

FutuRaM

Future availability
of secondary
raw materials

Work Package 2

Future Availability of Secondary Raw Materials

Scenario Storylines & Scenario Quantification
Draft Report – Version 3



Funded by
the European Union

Project funded by



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft
Confédération suisse
Confederazione Svizzera
Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Economic Affairs,
Education and Research EAER
State Secretariat for Education,
Research and Innovation SERI

DRAFT REPORT – VERSION 3

REVIEW NOTICE

Latest Revision: Tuesday 14th November, 2023 at 22:21

This is a draft report for internal review

The chapters beyond the Scenario Storylines chapter are in the first draft stage and are undergoing continual development.

CONTRIBUTING

- In a CSV file with the format: 'name, line start, line end, comment, reference' (if reference is applicable, please provide the DOI or BibTeX).
- Please use consistent formatting for the page numbers and any referencing.
- FutuRaM members add their comments to the shared document found at this link [🔗](#).
- The same template can be downloaded from here [🔗](#) and sent to SCM [🔗](#) for inclusion in the shared document.
- You can also contribute directly to the [LATEX](#) source files on the WP2 GitHub repository [🔗](#).

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

Waste Streams, please check your sections

- Review the waste stream impact sections for each scenario
- Add more references, especially for the targets, regulations, and projections.
- Contribute to the main points raised by the reviewers of the first draft (see below).
- Read the quantification chapter and develop your plan to interpret the scenarios in your waste stream.
- Consider how the scenario parameters and your waste stream model code-base will interface.
- Consider how your waste stream model will interface with the integrated model.

QUANTIFICATION: Data Collection

Contribute to the data collection in the future technology and product list here [🔗](#).

DISCUSSION POINTS

- How to transfer general targets?

general CRM/SRM ⇒ waste streams → product groups

We would need a set of constraints for each of the recovery flows and processes, as well as the individual waste flows (by code) in each WS, to backcast this.

- Consideration of possible future resource constraints in the scenarios.

We suggest covering this in sensitivity analysis and multi-objective-optimisation.

- Economic considerations (prices, subsidies, PPP vs. GPD etc.).

- Geopolitical Considerations (supply risk, trade, etc.).

- Scenarios relationship with the United Nations Framework Classification (UNFC).

- E-mobility.

Do we hold it level across the scenarios, as planned? Or, do we make changes, since the Autolobby & Deutschland GmbH have recently killed the ICE ban...

11

12

SOME LINKS

- Quantification: chapter 4
- Interpretation: chapter 5
- Appendices: chapter 13
- WP2 folder on the FutuRaM Sharepoint See  for more details.

13

14

15



16

Front Matter

17

CHAPTER CONTENTS

18	I	Report Details	v
19	II	Notice	vi
20	III	Preface	vii
21	IV	Report Contents	viii
22	V	List of Tables	xiii
23	VI	List of Figures	xv
24	VII	Executive Summary	xvii
25	VIII	Overview of the scenario storylines	xix
26	IX	Abbreviations	xxiv
27	X	Terminology (abbreviated)	xxvi
28	XI	Description of FutuRaM work package 2	xxvii
29		XI.1 Objectives	xxvii
30	XII	Task Descriptions	xxviii
31		XII.1 Interconnection with other work packages in FutuRaM	xxix
32		XII.2 Work package two milestones	xxxii
33		XII.3 Subtasks for Task 2.1— Scenario storyline development	xxxii
34		XII.4 Consortium partner contributions to WP2	xxxiii



I. REPORT DETAILS

Project:	Future Availability of Secondary Raw Materials
Acronym:	FutuRaM
Grant Agreement:	1010585
Funding Scheme:	Horizon Europe
Webpage:	www.futuram.eu
Work Package:	2
Work Package Leader:	
Deliverable Title:	Scenario Storylines
Deliverable Leader:	Stewart Charles McDowall
Version:	3.0
Status:	Draft
Author (s):	Stewart Charles McDowall
E-Mail:	s.c.mcdowall@cml.leidenuniv.nl
Reviewed By:	
Due Date:	2023-12-01
Date Of Submission:	2023-11-22
Dissemination Level:	Internal document

Table 1.1: Version history

VER. NO.	DATE	REASONS FOR RELEASE	RESPONSIBLE
1.0	2023-09-11	First draft for review	Stewart Charles McDowall
2.0	2023-11-11	Second draft for review	Stewart Charles McDowall
3.0	2023-11-22	Addition of scenario quantification	Stewart Charles McDowall

36 II. NOTICE

37 The contents of this document are the copyright of the FutuRaM consortium and shall not be copied in
38 whole, in part, or otherwise reproduced (whether by photographic, reprographic or any other method),
39 and the contents thereof shall not be divulged to any other person or organisation without prior written
40 permission. Such consent is hereby automatically given to all members who have entered into the FutuRaM
41 Consortium Agreement, dated 1st June 2022, and to the European Commission to use and disseminate this
42 information.

43 This information and the content of this report are the sole responsibility of the FutuRaM consortium
44 members and do not necessarily represent the views expressed by the European Commission or its services.
45 Whilst the information contained in the documents and webpages of the project is believed to be accurate,
46 the author(s) or any other participant in the FutuRaM consortium makes no warranty of any kind with regard
47 to this material.

III. PREFACE

The FutuRaM project aims to quantify the current and future availability of secondary raw materials (SRM), focusing on critical raw materials (CRMs) [1]. This study is concerned with six waste streams in the EU member states, as well as Iceland, Norway, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom (EU27+4). In this report, the EU27+4 will henceforth be referred to as the EU, unless specified otherwise.

THE WASTE STREAMS COVERED IN FUTURAM ARE:

- 54  Waste batteries (BAT)
- 55  Construction and demolition waste (CDW)
- 56  End-of-life vehicles (ELV)
- 57  Mining waste (MIN)
- 58  Slags and ashes (SLASH)
- 59  Waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE)

60 Work package two (WP2) is conducting foresight studies for materials that are either classified as critical
61 to the EU economy or are significant due to factors such as their large volumes, commercial importance, and
62 environmental impacts [1, 2, 3, 4]. WP2 is tasked with developing a set of coherent scenarios for material
63 use and waste/recovery over time across various sectors in the EU. This report describes the three distinct
64 scenarios and the process by which they were developed.

THE THREE SCENARIOS THAT HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED IN FUTURAM ARE:

- 66  I. Business-as-usual (BAU)
- 67  II. Recovery (REC)
- 68  III. Circularity (CIR)

IV. REPORT CONTENTS

1	Front Matter	iv
72	I Report Details	v
73	II Notice	vi
74	III Preface	vii
75	IV Report Contents	viii
76	V List of Tables	xiii
77	VI List of Figures	xv
78	VII Executive Summary	xvii
79	VIII Overview of the scenario storylines	xix
80	IX Abbreviations	xxiv
81	X Terminology (abbreviated)	xxvi
82	XI Description of FutuRaM work package 2	xxvii
83	XI.1 Objectives	xxvii
84	XII Task Descriptions	xxviii
85	XII.1 Interconnection with other work packages in FutuRaM	xxix
86	XII.2 Work package two milestones	xxxi
87	XII.3 Subtasks for Task 2.1 – Scenario storyline development	xxxii
88	XII.4 Consortium partner contributions to WP2	xxxiii
89	2 Methodology	1
90	2.1 The conceptual framework for scenario development	2
91	2.2 Scenario storyline development process	3
92	2.2.1 Step 1: Define the scope and objectives	3
93	2.2.2 Step 2: Determine methodology	10
94	2.2.3 Step 3: Marker-scenario mapping	15
95	2.2.4 Step 4: Identification of key drivers of change	16
96	2.2.5 Step 5: Develop storyline themes	26
97	2.2.6 Step 6: Qualitative narrative development	26
98	2.2.7 Step 7: Definition of scenario parameters	26
99	2.2.8 Step 8: Quantitative modelling	26

100	2.2.9 Step 9: Implementation	26
101	2.2.10 Step 10: Review process	27
102	3 Scenario storylines	28
103	3.1 Scenario I: Business-as-usual	29
104	3.1.1 Storyline narrative	29
105	3.1.2 Waste stream specific scenario impacts	31
106	3.2 Scenario II: Recovery	35
107	3.2.1 Storyline narrative	35
108	3.2.2 Waste stream specific scenario impacts	37
109	3.3 Scenario III: Circularity	42
110	3.3.1 Storyline narrative	42
111	3.3.2 Scenario needs and impacts	43
112	3.3.3 Waste stream specific scenario impacts	45
113	4 Quantification	50
114	4.1 Introduction	52
115	4.1.1 Quantification and Implementation of scenario elements in the models	53
116	4.2 Summary	55
117	4.3 External elements	56
118	4.3.1 Introduction	56
119	4.3.2 Summary	59
120	4.3.3 Demographic factors: <i>Population, age, urbanisation</i>	62
121	4.3.4 Economic factors: <i>GDP growth</i>	73
122	4.3.5 The Renewable Energy Transition	80
123	4.3.6 Supply constraints and market dynamics	96
124	4.3.7 Conclusion	104
125	4.4 Internal elements – Technological Change	105
126	4.4.1 Introduction	105
127	4.4.2 Summary	106
128	4.4.3 Future product and waste composition: <i>Description</i>	107
129	4.4.4 Future product and waste composition: <i>Scenarios</i>	109

130	4.4.5 Future recovery technology: <i>Description</i>	113
131	4.4.6 Future recovery technology: <i>Scenarios</i>	115
132	4.4.7 Future recovery system: <i>Description</i>	119
133	4.4.8 Future recovery system: <i>Scenarios</i>	121
134	4.5 Internal elements — The Circular Economy	126
135	4.5.1 Introduction	126
136	4.5.2 Summary	133
137	4.5.3 The EU Circular Economy Indicators: <i>Description</i>	134
138	4.5.4 The EU Circular Economy Indicators: <i>Scenarios</i>	141
139	4.5.5 Refuse, Reduce, Reuse: <i>Description</i>	145
140	4.5.6 Refuse, Reduce, Reuse: <i>Scenarios</i>	147
141	4.5.7 Repair: <i>Description</i>	151
142	4.5.8 Repair: <i>Scenarios</i>	159
143	4.5.9 Remanufacturing and Refurbishing: <i>Description</i>	163
144	4.5.10 Remanufacturing: <i>Scenarios</i>	167
145	4.5.11 The Sharing Economy: <i>Description</i>	171
146	4.5.12 The Sharing Economy: <i>Scenarios</i>	175
147	4.6 Conclusion	180
148	5 Interpretation	181
149	5.1 Introduction	182
150	5.2 Conclusion	183
151	6 Modelling — Waste generation	184
152	6.1 Introduction	185
153	6.2 Conclusion	186
154	7 Modelling — Recovery system	187
155	7.1 Introduction	188
156	7.2 Conclusion	189
157	8 Modelling — Integration	190
158	8.1 Introduction	191

159	8.2 Conclusion	192
160	9 Impacts	193
161	9.1 Introduction	194
162	9.2 Conclusion	195
163	10 Bottlenecks	196
164	10.1 Introduction	197
165	10.2 Conclusion	198
166	11 Conclusion	199
167	11.1 Introduction	200
168	11.2 Conclusion	201
169	12 References	202
170	12.1 Legislation and Government Documents	203
171	12.2 Reports	204
172	12.3 Datasets	206
173	12.4 Books	207
174	12.5 Book Chapters	207
175	12.6 Articles	208
176	12.7 Online Sources	212
177	12.8 Miscellaneous	212
178	13 Appendices	214
179	13.1 Terminology	215
180	13.2 List of relevant policy actions	218
181	13.3 Scenario development methods	220
182	13.4 Marker scenario mapping	223
183	13.5 Drivers and factors identified in the initial collection phase	228
184	13.6 Drivers and factors identified in the screening phase	232
185	13.7 Drivers and factors after categorisation	235
186	13.8 Drivers and factors for quantification	238

187	13.9 Work breakdown structure for WP2	241
-----	---	-----

V. LIST OF TABLES

188	Front Matter	iv
190	1.1 Version history	v
191	1.2 List of abbreviations	xxiv
192	1.3 List of terminology (abbreviated)	xxvi
193	1.4 WP2.1—Milestone list	xxxii
194	1.5 WP2.1—Subtask list	xxxii
195	1.6 Consortium partner contributions to WP2 (person months per sub-task)	xxxiii
196		
197	Methodology	1
198	2.1 Selected objectives of the FutuRaM project	5
199	2.2 FutuRaM WP2 aims and objectives	6
200	2.3 Types of scenario	14
201	2.4 List of drivers and factors identified in the screening phase	23
202	Scenario storylines	28
203	Quantification	51
204	4.1 List of external scenario elements	58
205	4.2 Population projections for the EU27+4	70
206	4.3 Raw materials essential to the renewable energy sector	84
207	4.4 Normalized renewable energy supply in the EU using the year 2010 as a base reference	91
208	4.5 Definitions and Objectives of the GEC Model 2023 Scenarios	92
209	4.6 EU circular indicators (CEIs) and their significance for FutuRaM's models	129
210	Interpretation	181
211	Modelling — Waste generation	184
212	Modelling — Recovery system	187

213	Modelling – Integration	190
214	Impacts	193
215	Bottlenecks	196
216	Conclusion	199
217	Appendices	214
218	13.1 Terminology	216
219	13.2 List of relevant policy actions	219
220	13.3 Scenario development methods	221
221	13.4 Overview of marker scenarios	224
222	13.5 Drivers and factors identified in the initial collection phase	229
223	13.6 List of drivers and factors identified in the screening phase	233
224	13.7 List of drivers and factors identified in the screening phase	236
225	13.8 List of scenario elements categorised for quantification	239
226	13.9 Work breakdown structure for work package two	242
227	13.10 Gaant chart for the entire FutuRaM project	243

VI. LIST OF FIGURES

228	Front Matter	iv
230	1.1 Interconnection between WP2 and the other work packages in FutuRaM	xxx
232	Methodology	1
233	2.1 Scenario storyline development process	4
234	2.2 An illustration of the process used for identifying key drivers of change	18
235	2.3 An excerpt of a spreadsheet used as part of the screening process	21
236	Scenario storylines	28
237	Quantification	51
238	4.1 Quantification and Implementation of scenario elements in the models	54
239	4.2 Population projections for the EU27+3 and the UK	63
240	4.3 GDP projections for the EU27+4	73
241	4.4 Factors incorporated in the long-term model [63]	76
242	4.5 EU electricity mix forecast until 2050	80
243	4.6 Change in demand for selected elements	93
244	4.7 Change in demand for battery relevant elements	94
245	4.8 Global mineral demand (total) under various scenarios	94
246	4.9 A detailed mapping of the re-X strategies in the circular economy	128
247	4.10 EU Circular Economy Indicators (CEIs) - trends from 2000-2022 and linear forecasts.	131
248	4.11 An example of a generic remanufacturing process for vehicle components [123]	165
249	Interpretation	181
250	Modelling — Waste generation	184
251	Modelling — Recovery system	187
252	Modelling — Integration	190

253	Impacts	193
254	Bottlenecks	196
255	Conclusion	199
256	Appendices	214

VII. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the first phase of the scenario development process – the storyline narrative phase. Three distinct future scenarios have been drafted up to the year 2050: Business as Usual, Recovery, and Circularity. These scenarios are designed to be internally consistent and provide an overview of the potential future landscape of waste management and SRM recovery within the EU.

The scenario development process employs a methodology that integrates both forecasting and back-casting techniques to build a comprehensive, future-facing knowledge base that can aid fact-based decision-making [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

In the next phases of scenario development, future product composition and recovery technology will be assessed, scenario elements will be quantified, and all data will be integrated with the quantitative models for waste generation and SRM recovery.

The FutuRaM project aims to offer a nuanced understanding of the potential future waste management and resource recovery landscape within the EU. This approach provides insights into key drivers, uncertainties, and the possible impacts of policy and technological advancements. Additionally, by aligning SRM recovery efforts with the United Nations Framework Classification for Resources (UNFC) [12], the project aims to facilitate the commercial exploitation of SRMs and CRMs by manufacturers, recyclers, and investors. With the comprehensive knowledge base that we are developing, FutuRaM aims to support informed decision-making by policymakers and government, as well as industry and community stakeholders.

FUTURAM'S THREE FUTURE SCENARIOS



Scenario I: Business-as-usual (BAU)

The BAU scenario extrapolates current trends into the future with limited change. Using forecasting techniques, it projects a potential future where there are minor advancements in resource efficiency, recovery technology, and the energy transition, but primary extraction of raw materials remains the dominant practice.



283

Scenario II: Recovery (REC)

The Recovery scenario imagines a future leveraging advanced technology to significantly enhance SRM recovery from waste streams. It outlines a future where the EU successfully meets its recycling and recovery targets through an effective waste management system and circular design principles [13, 14]. This scenario sees an increased recovery rate of SRMs, extensive use of digitalisation and automation in recycling processes, and new or strengthened waste regulations in line with EU targets.

289



290

Scenario III: Circularity (CIR)

The Circularity scenario captures the ideal of a fully realised circular economy, going beyond end-of-life recovery to minimise waste at every production and consumption stage. It predicts a future where the EU's targets for recycling, recovery, and circularity are met through extensive stakeholder collaboration, new business models, and increased use of renewable energy and circular economy technologies [15, 16, 17].

295

296

VIII. OVERVIEW OF THE SCENARIO STORYLINES

297

298

299

300 See section 3.1 for the full scenario description and waste-stream-specific scenario impact narratives.

301 This scenario envisions the future based on the current situation, extending to 2050 with very little
302 deviation from present consumption patterns and without substantial development of the secondary raw
303 material (SRM) recovery system. While there may be advances in some areas such as resource efficiency,
304 recovery technology, and the energy transition, substantial modifications remain hindered by economic,
305 social, and political constraints. The extraction of primary raw materials continues to be the predominant
306 source utilised to satisfy the EU's growing SRM demand.

307 In the Business as usual (linear economy) scenario, the following are key characteristics:

- 308 • A forecasting model is used to predict the future based on the current situation and the devel-
309 opment of existing trends.
- 310 • EU targets including those for eco-design, recycling and recovery are not met, and the current
311 linear model largely persists.
- 312 • Material demand remains coupled with economic growth, perpetuating a trend of increasing
313 consumption.
- 314 • Primary mining and extraction persist as the leading sources of raw materials, underlining the
315 dependency on traditional extraction methods.
- 316 • Recycling and recovery rates continue to lag, leading to increased production of SRM-containing
317 waste that signals missed opportunities for resource reuse.
- 318 • The EU's dependency on imports of SRMs escalates, heightening the risk of supply disrup-
319 tions [18].
- 320 • Investment in new SRM recovery technologies remains minimal, stifling innovation and ad-
321 vancements in this field.
- 322 • The industrial focus remains on cost-effective material production and use, disregarding the
323 long-term sustainability aspect.
- 324 • Material scarcity and price fluctuations pose potential risks to the EU industry, highlighting the
325 vulnerability of this business model [19].
- 326 • Without any significant updates to environmental regulations, the negative impacts on ecosys-
327 tems and biodiversity intensify.
- 328 • Mining activity in the EU remains limited and concentrated in only a few member states. Cur-
329 rent exploration projects (e.g., for Lithium in PT, FR, UK and rare earths in SE) are not realised.
- 330 • The transitions to renewable energy and e-mobility continue at their current pace.

331



332

Scenario II: Recovery (BAU)

333

334

See section 3.2 for the full scenario description and the waste-stream-specific scenario impact narratives.

335
336
337
338
339

In the recovery scenario, the central emphasis is on harnessing sophisticated technologies to salvage SRMs from waste streams at the end of their lifecycle. While there are noticeable strides towards the incorporation of 'circular design' principles and re-X strategies (which focus on reducing, reusing, recycling, repairing, and refurbishing), material demand increases similarly to the BAU scenario. This is, however, mitigated to some extent by the implementation of a comprehensive material recovery system.

340

Key features of this technology-promoted recovery scenario include:

- 341 • This scenario uses a combination of forecasting and backcasting methods to envision the
342 future.
- 343 • The backcasting method is used for scenario factors that are covered by governmental targets,
344 starting with the desired outcome and working backwards to the present.
- 345 • The forecasting method is used for scenario factors that are not covered by governmental
346 targets, starting with the current situation and extending to the future.
- 347 • EU targets for recycling and recovery are met, due to the EU's waste management system
348 becoming more expansive, efficient and effective.
- 349 • Technological innovation drives increased recovery rates of SRMs, enabling the more efficient
350 use of waste.
- 351 • Digitalisation and automation are more extensively used in recycling processes, leading to
352 enhanced productivity and efficiency.
- 353 • Business models like leasing and take-back schemes emerge, altering traditional consumption
354 patterns (here, the focus is on take-back for recycling).
- 355 • Ecodesign mandates are implemented, again, here, with a focus on end-of-life recovery.
- 356 • There is greater exploration and exploitation of alternative sources such as urban mining,
357 waste streams, and tailings, presenting novel opportunities for resource acquisition.
- 358 • New waste regulations and guidelines for SRM recovery are implemented, enforcing better
359 management and extraction of SRMs.
- 360 • Investment in research and development for SRM recovery technologies experiences an
361 upswing, promoting continuous innovation in this field.
- 362 • Closer collaboration and information sharing between industry and government institutions
363 (e.g., waste tracking and digital product passports) streamline processes and expedite decision-
364 making.
- 365 • New jobs are created in the recycling and recovery sector, offering economic benefits and
366 improving overall employment rates.
- 367 • SRM production and use become more efficient and cost-effective, fostering economic sus-
368 tainability.

370

Scenario III: Circularity

371



372 See section 3.3 for the full scenario description and the waste-stream-specific scenario impact narratives.

373 In this scenario, we move in the direction of the maximum achievable state of material efficiency as
374 government policy, private innovation and social changes are rapidly driving the transition toward a circular
375 economy. The emphasis here rests heavily on re-X strategies that are implemented in the design phase
376 of products (e.g., repairability and re-manufacturability) and that are actualised by changes in consumer
377 behaviour (e.g reduction, refusal, engagement in the 'sharing economy' and curtailment of the 'throw-away'
378 mindset).

379 Further, being enabled by the widespread adoption of 'circular design' principles and improvements in
380 information transparency (e.g., waste tracking and digital product passports) the system for the treatment
381 of post-consumer waste can divert a significant amount of their inflows (to, for example, re-use and re-
382 manufacture) with the residual fraction being readily segregated into purer, more efficiently recoverable,
383 material streams.

384 This scenario envisions a future where government policies are in synergy with private sector innovation
385 and societal changes, driving a wholesale transition towards a circular economy. Unlike the recovery scenario,
386 where the focus is on the end-of-life recovery of materials, this scenario emphasises minimising waste at
387 all stages, starting from the design phase itself.

388 **The circular economy scenario is characterised by the following:**

- 389 • This scenario uses a combination of forecasting and backcasting methods to envision the
390 future.
- 391 • The backcasting method is used for scenario factors that are covered by governmental targets,
392 starting with the desired outcome and working backwards to the present.
- 393 • The forecasting method is used for scenario factors that are not covered by governmental
394 targets, starting with the current situation and extending to the future.
- 395 • EU targets for recycling and recovery are met, as are those for circularity, due to advances in
396 waste management, ecodesign and re-X strategies.
- 397 • A circular economy is implemented, prioritising waste reduction, resource efficiency, and a
398 shift from the 'take-make-dispose' model.
- 399 • A notable increase in SRM recycling and recovery rates, indicating an efficient use of resources.
- 400 • A larger emphasis on designing products for reuse and recycling, making waste a valuable
401 resource rather than a problem.
- 402 • More extensive use of renewable energy and clean technologies in SRM production and use,
403 supporting a low-carbon economy.
- 404 • Collaboration between stakeholders — including industry, government, and consumers —
405 improves, enhancing the implementation of circular practices.
- 406 • New business models like leasing and take-back schemes emerge, altering traditional con-
407 sumption patterns [20].

- 408
- 409 • Digitalisation and data use are heightened to improve efficiency and traceability, aiding in effective resource management.

410

 - 411 • Investment in research and development for circular economy technologies increases, driving innovation and adoption.

412

 - 413 • Awareness and education around sustainable consumption and production practices are amplified, leading to behavioural changes in society.

414

 - 415 • Reliance on imports decreases, suggesting greater self-sufficiency and sustainability.

416

 - 417 • The creation of new jobs within the recycling, recovery and re-X sectors boosts the economy and alleviates social inequality.

418

 - 419 • Stricter waste regulations and product design guidelines are introduced, accelerating the transition towards circularity.
-
- 420
-

IX. ABBREVIATIONS

Table 1.2: List of abbreviations

ACRONYM	DEFINITION
AI	Artificial Intelligence
BAU	Business as Usual
BATT	Waste Batteries
BRGM	French Geological Survey (Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières)
CDW	Construction and Demolition Waste
CE	Circular Economy
CRM	Critical Raw Material
CU	Chalmers University
EEE	Electrical and Electronic Equipment
ELV	End-of-Life Vehicles
Empa	Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology
EoL	End-of-Life
EoU	End-of-Use
EoW	End-of-Waste
EU	European Union
EU27+4	EU + Iceland, Norway, Switzerland and the United Kingdom
EPR	Extended Producer Responsibility
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GEC	Global Energy and Climate [Model]
GTK	Geological Survey of Finland
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LCC	Life Cycle Cost Assessment
LMU	Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
LU	Leiden University
MIN	Mining Waste
R&D	Research and Development
REACH	Registration, Evaluation, Authorization, and Restriction of Chemicals
RECHARGE	EU rechargeable battery industry association
SGU	Geological Survey of Sweden
SLASH	Slags and Ashes
S-LCA	Social Life Cycle Assessment
SLCA	Sustainability Life Cycle Assessment
SRM	Secondary Raw Material
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
TUB	Technische Universität Berlin
UCL	University College London

Continued on next page

Table 1.2 – Continued from previous page

ACRONYM	DEFINITION
UNITAR	United Nations Institute for Training and Research
UNFC	United Nations Framework Classification for Resources
VITO	Flemish Institute for Technological Research
WEEE	Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment
WEEE Forum	Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Forum
WFD	Waste Framework Directive

X. TERMINOLOGY (ABBREVIATED)

The following table provides an abbreviated list of terminology used in this report.
See section 13.1 for a complete list.

Table 1.3: List of terminology (abbreviated)

TERM	DEFINITION
Backcasting	A method for predicting future trends based on a desired future state.
Business-as-usual	A scenario that assumes no significant changes in current trends and policies.
Circular economy	An economic system that prioritises waste reduction and resource efficiency.
Critical Raw Material	A raw material that is economically and strategically important to the EU, but with a high risk of supply disruption.
Forecasting	A method for predicting future trends based on historical data.
Recovery	The process of recovering SRMs from waste streams.
Re-X	A general term for circular strategies such as reuse, repair, refurbishment, remanufacturing and recycling.
Scenario	A plausible and coherent description of how the future may develop based on a set of assumptions.
Secondary Raw Material	A material that has been recovered from waste and can be used as a substitute for a primary raw material.
Storyline	A qualitative description of a scenario, including the key drivers, actors and events.

XI. DESCRIPTION OF FUTURAM WORK PACKAGE 2

A full breakdown of the tasks and subtasks in WP2, along with the responsible partners is provided in section 13.9. The following sections provide a brief description of each task.

More information can be found in the grant agreement [21], the consortium agreement [22], the project management plan [23] and the Milestone 6 report [24].

DELIVERABLE

D2.1: Report on the environmental and socioeconomic barriers to SRM recovery – Month 47: May 2026

XI.1. Objectives

WP2 will conduct foresight studies for materials critical to the EU economy, or materials that have significant impacts on EU sustainability because of their large volumes. WP2 will develop a set of coherent scenarios for material use and waste/recovery over time in various sectors in the EU: WEEE, ELV, BAT, CDW, MINW, SLASH.

Context

Source: [21]

MODELLING THE FUTURE OF WASTE GENERATION AND SRM RECOVERY

In WP2, modelling the foresight requires dealing with much unknown information and developments. A convincing mathematical model on the future thus requires a strong narrative developed from stakeholders and existing literature regarding how future circular behaviours, recycling and recovery technologies, and the overall material economy will develop. Furthermore, if the mathematical model used is too detailed, there will be many data gaps, leading to it being impractical to use and potentially leading to unrealistic results. This means a good balance needs to be found between data availability and its translation into a quantification of future narratives. The narratives applied to each scenario will follow plausible developments by taking into account stated MS policies by each regarding the material economy (with a special emphasis on the waste and recycling stages) and optimistic outlooks of both recycling technology using learning curves, and of increasing circular behaviour following global best practice. The rate of development towards each of these scenarios will be used for sensitivity and uncertainty analyses, such that a measure of the variability within each scenario is established.

OPEN SCIENCE

Considering the multidisciplinary character of FutuRaM and its aim to provide consistent and robust data, procedures, models, and methods, a critical discussion, harmonisation and integration of the concepts, perspectives and is crucial for the success of the project.

The consortium is committed to making the data available in open formats during the project and free of charge for the EC and all stakeholders to use and publish, along with other relevant reports tailored for

458 the use of the EC and respecting FutuRaM's open science principles.

459 **GENDER**

460 Within the FutuRaM, project no specific population group will be targeted. In contrast, the consortium is
461 aware that research often has a diversity problem since many groups are underrepresented, e.g. women,
462 ethnic minorities, people with disabilities and socially disadvantaged populations and we will consider
463 specific measures that will help to address specifically these groups.

464 We will especially consider the involvement of a variety of stakeholders in WP7.

465 In work packages 2, 3 and 5 we will use Delphi panels, which have an equal representation of gender
466 and an appropriate age distribution that encapsulates multiple perspectives. In the modelling of WP2 and 4
467 (foresight and stock and flow models), consumption of household electronics may increase with increasing
468 gender equality, and behavioural aspects of waste separation which could be an aspect of foresight of stock
469 and flows.

470 **XII. TASK DESCRIPTIONS**

471 **Task 2.1. Develop scenario storyline (ULEI, TUB, Empa, Chalmers, WEEE Forum, BRGM, UNITAR, SGU) (M01-M18):** This task involves scanning, mapping, and assessing scenarios used in the grey, scientific, policy,
472 and industry literature/reporting for the different waste streams, (e.g., the Shared Socioeconomic
473 Pathways, the International Resource Panel Scenarios, the International Energy Agency Scenarios,
474 etc) to develop cogent storylines for the three planned scenarios. These will cut across sectors and
475 will be used for the Stock-Flow models (WP4) and will include the translation of general concepts
476 such as stated policies, sustainable development, circular economy, to each sector. FutuRaM will
477 develop at minimum three scenarios (1. Sustainability, 2. Recoverability, and 3. Business-as-usual).

479 **Task 2.2. Integrate future technologies into the scenarios (Chalmers, ULEI, TUB, Empa, WEEE Forum, BRGM,
480 UNITAR, UCL, LMU, SGU, VITO) (M03-M20):** This task will review current and emerging technologies
481 used in the various sectors for product manufacturing and end-of-life handling, with a special emphasis
482 on material production, use, and recycling. Together with the storylines developed in Task 2.1, it will
483 adapt the market share of these technologies for each sector to determine the future development
484 of each sector.

485 **Task 2.3. Forecast material composition and products for each scenario (TUB, ULEI, UNITAR, Chalmers,
486 BRGM, Empa, VITO) (M7-M20):** Following the scenarios from T2.1, the material compositions and
487 future products for each sector will be determined based on the product and commodity demand
488 and technology realisation (T2.2). This task will be coupled to the data collection in WP3 and WP4.

489 **Task 2.4. Quantify environmental and socioeconomic impacts of SRM recovery under each scenario (ULEI,
490 TUB, Empa, UNITAR, WEEE Forum, BRGM, UCL, LMU) (M18-M36):** This task will use the information
491 generated in Tasks 2.1-2.3, together with the material flow analysis from WP4, to quantify the future
492 environmental and socioeconomic feedbacks for each waste sector and scenario according to future
493 recovery technology.

494 **Task 2.5. Assess the environmental and socioeconomic impacts and bottlenecks of future SRM recovery
495 (ULEI, TUB, Empa, UNITAR, Chalmers, UNITAR, WEEECycling) (M37-M47):** This task will develop a
496 report based on an assessment on the pressures and bottlenecks associated with environmental and
497 socioeconomic issues related to each waste sector, including the associated changes and impacts on
498 imports and of primary raw materials production (D2.1).

F
49

XII.1. Interconnection with other work packages in FutuRaM

REVIEW NOTICE

Does anyone have the original, editable version of this figure?

500

501

502

Figure 1.1 shows the interconnection between WP2 and the other work packages in FutuRaM [21].

Back to ToC




Figure 1.1: Interconnection between WP2 and the other work packages in FutuRaM

XII.2. Work package two milestones

Table 1.4: WP2.1 – Milestone list

M#	MILESTONE NAME	WP	DUE DATE	RESP. PARTNER	MEANS OF VERIFICATION
MS11	Mapping of published scenarios and Storyline/scenario description	2	Dec. 2023	ULEI	Datasets on available scenarios are fed into D1.1 and qualitative descriptions of 3 futures for the six waste streams are circulated
MS17	Mapping of future technologies for each sector	2	Feb. 2024	ULEI	Dataset covering sector-specific current and emerging technologies in both the production of products and their end-of-life treatment made available to WP1 Lead and consortium members, including quantitative descriptions of future product market shares related to 6 waste streams
MS20	Integration of social, environmental, and economic assessments	2	May 2026	ULEI	Social, environmental, and economic impacts of SRM recovery have been quantified for each scenario and waste stream. Information delivered to the consortium.

XII.3. Subtasks for Task 2.1 – Scenario storyline development

Table 1.5: WP2.1 – Subtask list

WP	TASK	SUB-TASK	NAME	WS	DESCRIPTION	START	END	PARTNERS	STATUS
2	2.1	2.1	Scenario mapping	Cross-cutting	Map various studies from the academic, policy, and grey literature for future scenarios and assess the applicability within FutuRaM	M01	M05	WEEE Forum, UNITAR, BRGM, CU, GTK, LMU, RECHARGE, SGU, TUB, LU, VITO, Empa, UCL	✓
2	2.1	2.2	Scenario methods	Cross-cutting	Compile various methodologies for scenario development and assess their applicability for developing scenarios on material recovery and circular economy for Europe	M02	M05	WEEE Forum, UNITAR, BRGM, CU, GTK, LMU, RECHARGE, SGU, TUB, LU, VITO, Empa, UCL	✓
2	2.1	2.3	Scenario storylines	Cross-cutting	Flesh out the storylines of the 3 main scenarios	M05	M08	UNITAR, CU, TUB, LU	✓
2	2.1	2.4	Qualitative scenario development	Cross-cutting	Use the chosen methods and qualitative methods to develop the three main scenarios to be used in FutuRaM (e.g. BAU, increased material recovery, and full circular economy)	M07	M11	UNITAR, CU, SGU, LU, VITO, UCL	✓(V3)

XII.4. Consortium partner contributions to WP2

Table 1.6 lists the consortium partner contributions to WP2, in terms of person months for each sub-task. The table is based on the FutuRaM grant agreement [21].

WP #	WP Name	Total PMs	Task No.	Start	End	ALL TASKS	WEEE Forum UNITAR BRGM Chalmers GTK LMU RECHARGE SGU TUB Leiden Uni VITO Empa UCL												Total	
							3	19	8	10	2	12	1	1	21	28	4	8	7	
2	Foresight on Secondary Raw Materials	151.0	2.1	2022-06-01	2023-11-30	Develop scenario storyline	1	5	1	2				.5	2	1		1	13.5	
			2.2	2022-08-01	2024-01-30	Integrate future technologies into the scenarios	1	4	2	6	1	1	.5	.5	6	1	2	1	3	29
			2.3	2022-12-01	2024-01-30	Forecast material composition and products for each scenario	1	4	2	2	1		.5		8	4	2	2		26.5
			2.4	2023-11-01	2025-05-31	Quantify environmental and socioeconomic impacts of SRM recovery under each scenario		2	2			11			2	11		3	4	35
			2.5	2025-06-01	2026-04-30	Assess the environmental and socioeconomic impacts and bottlenecks of future SRM recovery			4	1					3	11		1		20

Table 1.6: Consortium partner contributions to WP2 (person months per sub-task)

509



510



511

512



513

Methodology

514

CHAPTER CONTENTS

515	2.1	The conceptual framework for scenario development	2
516	2.2	Scenario storyline development process	3
517	2.2.1	Step 1: Define the scope and objectives	3
518	2.2.2	Step 2: Determine methodology	10
519	2.2.3	Step 3: Marker-scenario mapping	15
520	2.2.4	Step 4: Identification of key drivers of change	16
521	2.2.5	Step 5: Develop storyline themes	26
522	2.2.6	Step 6: Qualitative narrative development	26
523	2.2.7	Step 7: Definition of scenario parameters	26
524	2.2.8	Step 8: Quantitative modelling	26
525	2.2.9	Step 9: Implementation	26
526	2.2.10	Step 10: Review process	27



2.1. THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT

The conceptual framework for scenario development is based on the following principles.

The scenarios should:

- Be based on the best available scientific knowledge and data.
- Provide a coherent and consistent picture of possible futures.
- Provide decision makers with knowledge related to the possible consequences of their decisions.
- Consider a range of plausible future outcomes, accounting for uncertainties and alternative trajectories.
- Be developed in a participatory and collaborative manner, involving relevant stakeholders and experts.
- Be transparent and well-documented, allowing for replication and further analysis (e.g., publication in peer-reviewed journals and open-access repositories).
- Be flexible and adaptable, allowing for updates and adjustments as new information becomes available.
- Consider the interconnections and interactions between different sectors, waste streams, and policy domains.
- Take into account the broader societal, economic, and environmental context in which the waste streams operate.
- Incorporate a long-term perspective, considering the potential impacts and implications over several decades.
- Capture both quantitative and qualitative aspects, integrating data-driven modelling with qualitative narratives and storylines.
- Be regularly reviewed and updated to reflect evolving knowledge, technological advancements, and policy developments.
- Be used as a tool for learning and exploration, encouraging dialogue and collaboration among stakeholders.
- Inform policy and decision-making processes, providing insights into the potential consequences of different choices and interventions.
- Be communicated effectively to a wide range of audiences, ensuring accessibility and clarity of information.
- Contribute to the advancement of knowledge and understanding in the field of waste management, resource recovery, and circular economy.

By adhering to these principles, the FutuRaM project aims to develop robust, informative, and policy-relevant scenarios that support sustainable decision-making and contribute to the transition towards a more circular and resource-efficient economy.

2.2. SCENARIO STORYLINE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Building scenarios involves several steps and various methodologies, these will differ depending on the specific context and objectives of the exercise [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 25, 26, 27, 28].

The following section provides an overview of the scenario development process used in FutuRaM. Figure 2.1 provides a visual representation of the process.

2.2.1. Step 1: Define the scope and objectives

Scope and objectives of the scenario development process

The scope and objectives of the scenario development process are defined in the context of the overall aim, scope, and objectives of the FutuRaM project.

Aim of FutuRaM:

FutuRaM will develop the Secondary Raw Materials knowledge base on the availability and recoverability of secondary raw materials (SRMs) within the European Union (EU), with a special focus on critical raw materials (CRMs). The project research will enable fact-based decision-making for the recovery and use of SRMs within and outside the EU, and disseminate the data generated via an accessible knowledge base developed in the project.

Scope of FutuRaM:

FutuRaM will establish a methodology, reporting structure, and guidance to improve the raw materials knowledge base up to 2050. FutuRaM will focus on six waste streams: batteries; electrical and electronic equipment; vehicles; mining; slags and ashes; and construction and demolition.

It will integrate SRM and CRM data to model their current stocks and flows and consider economic, technological, geopolitical, regulatory, social and environmental factors to further develop, demonstrate and align SRM recovery projects with the United Nations Framework Classification for Resources (UNFC) [12].

This will enable the commercial exploitation of SRMs and CRMs by manufacturers, recyclers, and investors, and the knowledge base developed in the project will support policymakers and governmental authorities.

Selected objectives of the FutuRaM project in general are presented in Table 2.1.

WP2 – scope definition:

Given this context, the scope of the scenario development process is to develop a set of plausible scenarios that explore the future of waste management, resource recovery, and circular economy in the EU.

The scenarios will be used to identify key drivers and uncertainties that will influence the future of waste management and resource recovery. The scenarios will also be used to evaluate the potential impacts of different policy interventions and technological




Figure 2.1: Scenario storyline development process

Table 2.1: Selected objectives of the FutuRaM project

NEED	ACTION
A successful transition to a climate-neutral, circular and digitised EU economy relies heavily on a secure supply of raw materials.	FutuRaM will quantify the future availability of SRMs for three future scenarios for the EU material economy. Forecast material demand, SRM supply for each scenario, and raw material imports to evaluate EU material autonomy.
Presently, several socioeconomic scenarios have been developed at national, EU, and/or global scales to assess the energy and mobility transition. Still lacking are specific scenarios for the SRM and CRM recovery systems	FutuRaM will develop stock-flow models for six waste streams based on holistic scenarios to map current and future material use in the economy of the EU-27 plus Iceland, Norway, Switzerland and United Kingdom. FutuRaM will extend existing model approaches by a set of distinct scenarios which cover circular economy, high SRMs recoverability, and business as usual.

599 advancements.

600 ***Thematic scope***

601 The scenarios will be centred on the six waste streams of FutuRaM: WEEE, ELV, BAT,
 602 CDW, MIN, and SLASH. Additionally, consideration will be given to sectors and policy
 603 domains that are relevant to these waste streams and the general context of the system.
 604 These include manufacturing, energy, and transportation, as well as policies related to the
 605 environment, the economy, society, technology, and geopolitics.

606 ***Geographic scope***

607 The scenarios will be developed for the EU-27 plus Iceland, Norway, Switzerland and
 608 the United Kingdom (EU27+4). The scenarios will consider the current and future waste
 609 management practices and resource recovery technologies in these countries.

610 Additionally, the scenarios will consider the current and future policies and targets
 611 related to waste management and resource efficiency in these countries. To some extent,
 612 the scenarios will also consider the current and future trade relationships between these
 613 countries and other countries around the world.

614 ***Temporal scope***

615 The scenarios will be developed for the time horizon of 2025–2050. This time horizon
 616 is aligned with the long-term targets of the EU, including the EU Green Deal, the EU
 617 Circular Economy Action Plan, and the EU Industrial Strategy.

618 The discrete stages in the forecasts are planned to be: 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040, 2045
 619 and 2050.

620 The temporal resolution of the scenarios will be determined during the quantification
 621 phase of the scenario development process.

622 While it is possible to develop scenarios with a high (or even continuous) temporal

resolution, that of these scenarios will be determined based on the availability and quality of data. It is important to acknowledge that providing too high a temporal resolution may lead to a false sense of accuracy and precision.

Furthermore, the scenarios will be developed with the understanding that the further into the future we look, the more uncertain the predictions become [8, 29, 30].

WP2 — Aims and objectives definition

Table 2.2: FutuRaM WP2 aims and objectives

AIM	OBJECTIVE
Quantifying the current and future availability of secondary raw materials (SRM), particularly critical raw materials (CRM), for the identified waste streams from 2025 until 2050.	Developing a set of plausible scenarios that encompass these waste streams and provide quantitative estimates of the current and future availability of SRM and CRMs.
Informing private and public sector decision-making processes by assessing the impacts of different legislative and policy strategies related to waste management and resource efficiency	The scenarios will cover a range of such strategies, grouped in coherent sets in each of the three storylines including recycling, reuse, remanufacturing, and landfilling. Integration of the scenario with the system model will allow assessment of the impacts of these strategies not only on the availability of SRM and CRMs, but also on the environment, the economy, and society.

Consideration of EU legislation and policy targets

The scenarios developed in FutuRaM consider targets that the EU is setting for specific elements, materials, and waste streams. The targets incorporated into FuTuRaM's scenarios are aligned with the ambitions of the EU's Green Deal [31] and its Critical Raw Materials (CRM) act [2].

Additionally, the consumer-product-centric waste streams BATT, ELV, and WEEE have specific EU legislation that is directly applicable to them and will be considered in detail in the scenarios [32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37].

GENERAL POLICIES AND LEGISLATION

The EU Green Deal [31] is a set of policy initiatives by the European Commission with the overarching aim of making the EU climate-neutral in 2050.

This policy portfolio is a response to the Paris Agreement and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. It covers a wide range of economic sectors with an emphasis on investments towards building local, 'sustainable' industries.

The scope of FutuRaM is aligned with the EU Green Deal's goal of ensuring the sustainable sourcing and use of raw materials, reducing dependency on imports, and promoting resource security. These goals can conflict with each other; however, the modelling in FutuRaM will explore the trade-offs between them (e.g., optimising local sourcing may result in higher negative externalities).

The EU Circular Economy Action Plan [15] is a policy framework developed by the

648 European Commission to promote the circular economy in the European Union.

649 It sets out a comprehensive set of measures and targets to improve resource efficiency,
650 reduce waste, and foster sustainable production and consumption. The Action Plan
651 includes initiatives related to product design, waste management, recycling, and resource
652 efficiency, among others. The Action Plan is a key element of the European Green Deal
653 and is closely linked to the EU Industrial Strategy.

654 **The Circular Economy Action Plan:**

- 655 • Aims to promote the transition to a more circular economy in the EU.
- 656 • Sets out a range of measures to promote the sustainable use of resources,
657 reduce waste, and increase recycling.
- 658 • Includes proposals for new legislation, such as an EU-wide framework for the
659 circular economy, and revisions to existing legislation, such as the WEEE Direc-
660 tive.
- 661 • Emphasises the importance of product design for the circular economy and
662 proposes measures to promote eco-design and repairability.
- 663 • Includes initiatives to promote the use of secondary raw materials, such as the
664 establishment of a European Raw Materials Alliance.
- 665 • Aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve resource efficiency in
666 the EU.
- 667 • Calls for increased cooperation and dialogue among stakeholders in the circu-
668 lar economy.

669 **The Critical Raw Materials Act (CRM Act) [2]** is an EU regulation that aims to ensure a
670 secure and sustainable supply of raw materials to the EU.

671 The Act identifies a list of strategic raw materials, which are crucial to technologies
672 important to Europe's green and digital ambitions and for defence and space applications,
673 that are subject to potential supply risks. The regulation will cover the entire raw materials
674 value chain, from primary extraction to manufacturing to potential recovery as a secondary
675 raw material.

676 For example: According to the CRM act, by 2030, a single 'third country' (ex-EU, ex-
677 Schengen) should produce no more than 65% of the EU's annual consumption of each
678 strategic raw material.

679 Clear benchmarks have been set for the domestic capacities of the EU in 2030:

- 680 • Extract at least 10% of the EU's annual consumption
- 681 • Process at least 40% of the EU's annual consumption
- 682 • Recycle at least 15% of the EU's annual consumption

683 These benchmarks have been included in the scenarios developed in FutuRaM. Specif-

684
685
686
ically, in the Recovery scenario (REC), where the emphasis is on the recovery of materials
from waste streams, and the Circularity scenario (CIR) where the emphasis is on the
implementation of 're-X' strategies, such as recycling, remanufacturing, and reuse.

687
688
689
690
Many of these targets, benchmarks and mandates — despite being included in legis-
lation — are considered too optimistic to be included in the Business-as-usual scenario
(BAU) as they often make expectations whose attainment is likely highly unrealistic with-
out radical reform of the waste management system. For example, the targets in the
Battery Act suggest near-complete recovery for several elements [37].
691

692 ***Extent of policy and legislation inclusion in the scenarios***

693
694
695
696
The targets that result from the planned and ongoing review processes are non-negotiable
and legally binding and thus should be incorporated into our scenarios. These targets,
however, are only applicable to post-consumer products, namely WEEE, BAT and ELV.
This envisioned future in which legally binding targets for collection, reuse and/or material
recycling are achieved can be implemented as the Recovery scenario.
697

698
699
700
701
702
If there are no targets set for a specific consumer product category, then approach
targets similar to the WEEE directive and in line with the EU Green Deal. For the Recovery,
and especially for the Circularity scenario, FutuRaM will also consider the effects of pro-
posed ecodesign requirements for sustainable products (e.g., longer lifetimes, increased
reusability, repairability, recyclability).

703
704
705
706
However, for waste that does not consist of discarded consumer products, but instead
results from industrial production activities, in particular for MIN and SLASH, we must still
produce specific scenarios related to mining, metallurgy, and waste and fuel combustion.
The production of new mining wastes will depend on new local mining activity.

707
708
709
710
Predicted production in the EU until 2050 will be forecast (equally across the three
scenarios) and the flows into the MIN waste stream can be calculated with the respective
transfer coefficients. The recovery of historical MIN stock, which is a target of the CRM Act,
should be modelled differently. It requires a hypothesis about the percentage of historical
tailings recoverable by commodity and country.
711

712
713
714
715
716
717
The scenarios will account for increasing resource use effectiveness and production
process efficiency thus indicating lower volumes and quality of generated production
residues (both by-products and waste such as red mud, waste rock, slags, etc.) per unit of
product (expressed either as product mass or product value), whether that product is a
metal (e.g., a copper cathode), metal alloy (e.g., aluminium alloy n° 5183) or metal product
(e.g., cold rolled stainless steel sheet).

718
719
Excepting the BAU storyline, WEEE, ELV, and BATT waste material recovery will follow
the targets in the EU.

720
721
For SLASH and MIN, we will evaluate recent trends in waste generation and extract
plausible ranges of generation toward 2050.

722 For CDW, embedded WEEE will follow EU targets, and bulk waste will incorporate sto-
723 rylines and scenarios that are congruent with predicted demolition rates (where renovation
724 is the alternative emphasised in the CIR storyline).

725 Various drivers will be assigned to move between these ranges and will be key to the
726 specific, harmonized storyline for the scenario. Finally, the targets and storylines will be
727 aligned with assumptions on technology development.

728 ***Consideration of geopolitical developments***

729 The storylines also attempt to consider geopolitical considerations and thus supply chain
730 resiliency for satisfying the product demand in the scenarios. We must omit, however,
731 possible changes in waste flow volumes and composition that could arise from any
732 material supply constraints.

733 The reasoning for this is that it would needlessly confusate the interpretation of the
734 modelling results as the incertitude of these potentialities is very high and this realm is
735 outside the scope of FutuRaM's mandate and expertise.

736 The most volatile aspect of the 'criticality calculation' is the risk profile of the producing
737 country. For many material-exporting nations, this is not something that can be reliably
738 forecast, especially not over the next 30 years. Thus, it will be assumed that the growth
739 in material demand for (among other needs) the energy and mobility transitions can be
740 satisfied either by an increase in mining and metallurgy activities within the EU or by
741 growing imports from raw material-producing countries outside the EU.

742 That is, if we go for increased domestic EU production to minimize geopolitical supply
743 risk, it may indicate more EU production residue generation even under increased produc-
744 tion efficiency and resource effectiveness. The increase of domestic industrial activity, as
745 a response to an envisioned increased internal demand, supposes an equivalent rise of
746 societal approval for mining and refining activities on EU territory.

747 If the increased demand is, however, satisfied by imports from non-EU countries,
748 which we know have domestic resource consumption also growing significantly due to the
749 energy and mobility transition, our assumption would be to shift the mining and refining
750 activities from EU countries towards resource-rich non-EU countries.

751 This shift would also imply an increased risk for geopolitical instability and/or security
752 of supply of critical raw materials to the EU.

753 This situation is front of mind for many in policy and business and the EU is 'applying a
754 policy mix that aims to increase domestic capacity, diversify suppliers, and support the
755 multilateral rules-based trade environment.'

756 However, '...most experts predict that reshoring or nearshoring will be of limited
757 importance. With time, though, resilience may improve through international cooperation,
758 diversification and the accelerated uptake of digital technologies.' [38]

759 **Note: supply constrictions will be considered in the model's sensitivity analysis and**

760
761
**the codebase will be designed to allow for the optimisation of the SRM recovery system
based on any supply-demand value statements.**

762 2.2.2. Step 2: Determine methodology

763 ***Methodology types and selection criteria***

764
765
766
767
The second step in the scenario development process is to determine the methodology
to be used. This involves identifying the most appropriate methods and tools for the
specific context and objectives of the scenario development process. The methodology
should be selected based on the following criteria:

768 **Relevance:**

769
770 The methodology should be relevant to the specific context and objectives of the
scenario development process.

771 **Applicability:**

772
773 The methodology should be applicable to the specific context and objectives of
the scenario development process.

774 **Feasibility:**

775
776 The methodology should be feasible given the available resources (e.g., time, budget,
expertise, data, etc.).

777 **Transparency:**

778
779 The methodology should be transparent and well-documented, allowing for repli-
cation and further analysis.

780 **Flexibility:**

781
782 The methodology should be flexible and adaptable, allowing for updates and ad-
justments as new information becomes available.

783 **Accessibility:**

784
785 The methodology should be accessible to a wide range of stakeholders, ensuring
that it can be understood and used by non-experts.

786 **Effectiveness:**

787
788 The methodology should be effective in achieving the objectives of the scenario
development process.

789 **Efficiency:**

790
791 The methodology should be efficient in terms of time, cost, and resources required
to implement it.

792 **Acceptability:**

793
794 The methodology should be acceptable to stakeholders, ensuring that it is perceived
as fair and legitimate.

795 Further details are given in this section, and the table in section 13.3 provides an
796 overview of the methods and tools considered, along with a brief description of each and
797 its relevance to the specific context and objectives of the FutuRaM scenario development
798 process.

799 **Choice of methodology**

800 The grant proposal for the FutuRaM project outlined that there should be at least three
801 scenarios developed, namely business as usual, recovery, and circularity. This remains the
802 case; however, during the scenario development process, additional scenarios or scenario
803 dimensions were considered, including supply chain security and the energy transition.

804 **Considered dimension – Supply chain security:**

805 Due to various political developments in 2022, the question of the security of the EU's
806 supply chains for CRMs was brought into focus. This led to the proposal from stakeholders
807 to consider a scenario dimension that would explore the security of the EU's supply chains
808 for CRMs.

809 **Considered dimension – Energy transition:**

810 The energy transition is a key topic in the EU's policy agenda, and the FutuRaM project
811 is concerned with the role of CRMs in the energy transition. Therefore, the proposal was
812 made to consider a scenario dimension that would explore the energy transition in the
813 EU.

814 **Method – Multi-criteria analysis and cross-impact analysis**

815 In order to assess the potential inclusion of these additional scenario dimensions, a
816 multi-criteria analysis and a cross-impact analysis were conducted [39]. The addition of
817 extra dimensions increases the possible number of scenarios significantly. By assessing
818 the consistency and plausibility of these combinations with a matrix-based method, it
819 was possible to reduce the number of scenarios.

820 For example, low progress in the energy transition is unlikely to concur with high
821 progress in recycling/circularity indicators and can be excluded. In contrast, different levels
822 for the supply chain security dimension would result in an additional scenario, as this
823 dimension is considered independent of the others.

824 Ultimately, supply chain security was eliminated as a scenario dimension. This is due
825 to the consortium's inability to speculate on geopolitical developments and the added
826 incertitude it would introduce to the scenarios.

827 The potential of supply constraints will, however, be considered in the future sensitivity
828 analysis of the model, as well as potentially through an array of explorative multi-object
829 optimisation procedures. This can produce projects to answer the question, 'What would
830 happen to the SRM system if element x is constrained, and what would be the optimal
831 response to this constraint?'

Method — Delphi

The Delphi method [40] was used in the initial stages of the scenario-building process to gather and aggregate the opinions of experts or stakeholders. Internal consultation with consortium members who were experts in their respective waste streams or other aspects of the recovery system was conducted.

The method involves steps such as the selection of experts, generation of initial questionnaires, iterative rounds of responses, and convergence and consensus building. For the later stages of the process, further rounds of consultation will be conducted with external stakeholders, including representatives from industry, academia, and government.

Choice of Scenario Type

The general types of scenarios are summarized in Table 2.3. In the context of futures studies, various approaches and methodologies are employed to understand the potential trajectories of future developments [6, 7, 8, 25, 26, 27].

We can classify scenario studies into three primary categories, each addressing distinct questions about the future. These categories are tailored to better align with the specific objectives of scenario usage:

Predictive Scenarios (Answering ‘What Will Happen?’):

Pros: These scenarios offer insights into potential future outcomes, aiding in long-term planning.

Cons: They are contingent on assumptions and may not account for unexpected events.

Applicability: Predictive scenarios are valuable when the aim is to forecast future developments under certain conditions.

Explorative Scenarios (Answering ‘What Can Happen?’):

Pros: Explorative scenarios explore a wide range of potential future scenarios, fostering preparedness for various outcomes.

Cons: They do not prioritize the likelihood or desirability of scenarios.

Applicability: These scenarios are beneficial when considering multiple potential futures and the need to adapt to diverse outcomes.

Normative Scenarios (Answering ‘How Can a Specific Target Be Reached?’):

Pros: Normative scenarios focus on achieving predefined objectives and offer guidance on strategies to attain them.

863 **Cons:** They are inherently normative, starting with specific goals in mind.

864 **Applicability:** Normative scenarios are suitable when the objective is to work towards
865 predefined targets and develop actionable plans to reach them.

866 The choice of scenario category is influenced not only by the characteristics of the
867 system under study but also by the user's worldview, perceptions, and study objectives.
868 Additionally, the user's perspective plays a crucial role in determining the most suitable
869 approach. For instance, the decision to employ predictive, explorative, or normative
870 scenarios hinges on the user's goals and the nature of the questions they seek to answer.

871 Furthermore, considerations regarding the predictability of the future and the potential
872 for influencing it can impact the selection of scenario types. For example, some users may
873 argue that uncertainty in certain parameters makes long-term predictions less meaningful,
874 while others may see value in using forecasting and optimisation models to stimulate
875 discussions and inform decision-making processes.

876 In practice, a combination of qualitative and quantitative techniques can be employed
877 to create scenarios tailored to specific needs. For instance, a blend of techniques may
878 be used to generate forecasts, especially when external factors are uncertain. Likewise,
879 strategic scenarios often begin with external scenario generation and proceed to identify
880 available policy options.

Table 2.3: Types of scenario (adapted from [6, 7])

SCENARIO CATEGORY	SCENARIO TYPE	OUTCOME	TIMEFRAME	SYSTEM STRUCTURE	FOCUS ON FACTORS
Predictive <i>what will happen?</i>	Forecasts	Typically quantitative, sometimes qualitative	Often short	Typically one	Typically external
	What-if	Typically quantitative, sometimes qualitative	Often short	One to several	External and, possibly, internal
Explorative <i>what can happen?</i>	External	Typically qualitative, quantitatively possible	Often long	Often several	External
	Strategic	Qualitative and quantitative	Often long	Often several	Internal under influence of the external
Normative	Preserving	Typically quantitative	Often long	One	Both external and internal
	Transforming	Typically qualitative with quantitative elements	Often very long	Changing, can be several	Not applicable

F

Back to ToC

881 **The scenarios developed in the FutuRaM project are a combination of predictive and**
882 **normative:**



BAU:

883 *What will happen if current trends continue?*

884 This scenario is predictive in nature, based on the assumption that the current
885 trends and developments in waste management and resource recovery systems
886 will continue into the future.



Recovery:

887 *What will it take to achieve the EU's targets for material use and recovery? Focus on
888 technology*

889 This scenario is normative, focusing on manipulating the technology and infrastruc-
890 ture of the recovery system to achieve the EU's targets and mandates.



Circularity:

891 *What will it take to achieve the EU's targets for material use and recovery? Focus on re-X
892 strategies*

893 This scenario is a combination of normative and explorative, considering the targets
894 and mandates of the EU's circular economy action plan and exploring re-X strategies
895 in the recovery system.

896 The methodology and scenario types were selected based on their relevance, appli-
897 cability, feasibility, transparency, flexibility, accessibility, effectiveness, efficiency, and
898 acceptability to the scenario development process.

902 **2.2.3. Step 3: Marker-scenario mapping**

903 ***Justification and methodology***

904 This preliminary step in the scenario development process involves conducting a literature
905 study to identify existing scenarios that are relevant to the FutuRaM project. This step
906 is crucial as it serves several important purposes and provides valuable insights for the
907 overall scenario development process. It helps the scenario development team to build on
908 existing knowledge, identify relevant scenarios, gain insights and inspiration, fill knowledge
909 gaps, and enhance credibility and comparability.

910 **Building on existing knowledge:**

911 Conducting a literature study allows the FutuRaM project team to tap into existing knowl-
912 edge and expertise in the fields of waste management, resource recovery, and circular
913 economy. It provides a foundation of existing scenarios that have been developed by
914 other researchers, organizations, or institutions. By building on this existing knowledge,
915 the FutuRaM project can leverage the insights, methodologies, and findings from previous
916 scenario studies, saving time and resources.

Identifying relevant scenarios:

Marker scenario mapping helps identify scenarios that are relevant to the specific objectives and scope of the FutuRaM project. By reviewing the literature, the project team can assess the applicability of existing scenarios to their research questions and determine which scenarios align with the waste streams, sectors, and policy domains being considered. This step ensures that the scenarios selected for further analysis are well-suited to address the project's goals.

Gaining insights and inspiration:

Reviewing existing scenarios provides the FutuRaM project team with valuable insights and inspiration for the development of their own scenarios. It allows them to understand the different approaches, assumptions, and methodologies used in previous scenario studies. This knowledge can inform the design and structure of the FutuRaM scenarios, helping to ensure a rigorous and well-founded approach.

Filling knowledge gaps:

Marker scenario mapping helps identify any gaps or areas of limited knowledge in the existing scenario landscape. It allows the FutuRaM project team to identify topics or aspects that have not been adequately addressed in previous scenarios. This awareness of knowledge gaps can guide the project team in focusing their efforts on areas where new insights and contributions can be made, leading to a more comprehensive and innovative scenario development process.

Enhancing credibility and comparability:

By conducting a literature study and referencing existing scenarios, the FutuRaM project can enhance the credibility and comparability of their own scenarios. The project team can reference and compare their findings, assumptions, and results with those from previous studies, contributing to the overall body of knowledge in the field. This promotes transparency, robustness, and consistency in the scenario development process and allows for better benchmarking and evaluation of the FutuRaM scenario set.

Content of the marker scenario mapping for application to FutuRaM's scenarios

Table 13.4 in section 13.4 presents an overview of the marker scenarios considered in the FutuRaM project. The table is not intended to be exhaustive but rather to provide an overview of the different scenarios that have been developed in the fields of waste management, resource recovery, and circular economy.

2.2.4. Step 4: Identification of key drivers of change

In this step, the key drivers of change that will shape the future of the scenarios are identified. Key drivers are the factors or forces that have a significant influence on the waste management system and its development over time. These drivers can be social, economic, technological, environmental, or policy-related.

955 The purpose of identifying key drivers of change is to understand the factors that will
956 have the greatest impact on waste management and to ensure that the scenarios capture
957 the range of possible outcomes influenced by these drivers.

958 The process of identifying key drivers involves a combination of literature review,
959 expert consultations, and stakeholder engagement. It requires a comprehensive analysis of
960 relevant trends, uncertainties, and emerging issues that may affect the waste management
961 system.

962 The key drivers identified in this step will be used to develop the storyline themes and
963 scenario parameters in the next step.

964 Figure 2.2 illustrates the process of identifying key drivers of change.




Figure 2.2: An illustration of the process used for identifying key drivers of change

965
966

Methodology and results of this stage in FutuRaM's scenario development:

967
968

The overall goal of this process is to identify and include elements in the storylines and scenarios that are relevant, plausible, and influential in shaping the future.

969
970
971

The selection, screening, and categorisation steps ensure that the elements chosen for the development of storylines and scenarios are consistent, coherent, and aligned with the objectives and scope of the scenario exercise.

972

1. Preliminary collection:

973
974

This step involved gathering a pool of potential elements that could be included in the storylines and scenarios.

975
976
977

These elements were derived from expert input from waste streams and the scenario development team, including taking knowledge from the literature review and existing scenarios identified in Step 2 – Marker scenario mapping.

978
979
980

This step was conducted using the PESTLE analysis framework. The PESTEL (or PESTLE) framework is a strategic tool used to understand the macro-environmental factors that can affect a system.

981
982

A PESTEL analysis can help identify opportunities and threats linked to each of these factors, understand the broader context, and shape scenarios accordingly [41, 42].

983

The acronym PESTEL stands for:

984
985
986

Political: These factors refer to the impact of government policies, regulations, and political stability. This includes issues like tax policy, labour laws, environmental regulations, trade restrictions and reforms, tariffs, and political stability.

987
988
989
990

Economic: These factors relate to the broader economic environment, including factors like economic growth, exchange rates, inflation rates, interest rates, disposable income of consumers and businesses, and the general health of the economy.

991
992
993
994

Sociocultural: These factors include societal trends and characteristics that could affect your business. They include demographic trends (like age, gender, and ethnicity), cultural trends, lifestyle preferences, consumer attitudes, and broader societal expectations.

995
996
997

Technological: These factors refer to the impact of emerging technologies, research and development activities, automation, the rate of technological change, and the adoption of technology within your market.

998
999
1000

Environmental: These factors refer to ecological aspects that can affect a system. This includes environmental regulations, consumer attitudes towards sustainability, climate change, and other natural events.

1001
1002
1003

Legal: These factors include laws and regulations with which your business must comply. These can include labour law, consumer law, health and safety law, and restrictions on the import or export of goods.

1004

The 68 elements identified in the initial screening stage are listed in section 13.5.

1005
1006 **2. Screening:**

1007 In the screening step, the collected elements are evaluated and assessed based on
1008 specific criteria. This was conducted through a literature study and internal consul-
1009 tation of scientists in the project. This evaluation helps determine the relevance,
1010 reliability, and significance of each element for the development of storylines and
1011 scenarios. Many elements were aggregated, especially if they were deemed to follow
1012 similar trends to others (e.g., recyclability mandates and improved recyclability in
1013 project design). Elements that did not meet the predefined criteria or were deemed
1014 irrelevant, 'un-modellable' or unreliable were excluded from further consideration
1015 (e.g., corruption, data protection, and supply chain conflict).

1016 The 28 elements that were identified in this stage are listed in section 13.6.

1017 In Figure 2.3, an excerpt of a spreadsheet illustrates part of the screening process for
1018 the FutuRaM scenarios, which was informed by the waste streams. In this exercise,
1019 the elements were evaluated based on their relevance to the waste streams and
1020 their potential impact on the waste management system. The elements were also
1021 assessed based on their plausibility and likelihood of occurrence in the future. The
1022 elements that were deemed relevant, plausible, and influential were included in the
storylines and scenarios.

THIS TABLE IS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF THE RELEVENCE OF EACH SCENARIO ELEMENT TO INDIVIDUAL WASTE STREAM FLOWS	ELV			BAT					WEEE					
	Bulk metals	Critical raw materials	Average	Portable Batteries	Industrial Batteries	Automotive (SLI) Batteries	EV Batteries	Average	CAT-I - Temperature exchange	CAT-II Screens	CAT-III Lamps	CAT-IVa Large equipments	CAT-IVb PV	CAT-Small equipments
DRIVER/FACTOR														
Population				5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.
Resource shortage	3.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	5.00	4.25	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.
Treatment cost				4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.
Digital product passports	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.
Obsolescence	1.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.75						
Digitalization	1.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.75						
SRM prices				4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.
Product prices				3.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.
Recyclability mandates	4.00	5.00	4.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.
Conflict in supply chain	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.
Obligatory recycling standards for treatment facilities				3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.
Improved durability	4.00	5.00	4.50	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	2.50						
Composition change				3.00	3.00	0.00	4.00	2.50						
Subsidies				2.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	2.25	3.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.
Availability of recovery technologies				3.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	4.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	4.
Taxation (raw materials, landfill)	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.25	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.
Obligatory removal of CRMs from waste				3.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.
Corruption	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	2.25	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.
Supply chain due diligence laws	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.
Improved recyclability	4.00	5.00	4.50	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.50						
Ecodesign				2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.50						
Trade barriers	3.00	5.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.50	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.
Industrialisation of Europe	4.00	5.00	4.50	0.00	2.00	0.00	3.00	1.25	3.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.
Reduced consumerism	5.00	3.00	4.00	0.00	1.00	4.00	0.00	1.25	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	2.
Accessibility/Infrastructure			#DIV/0!	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.
New mines in rich EU countries?	3.00	5.00	4.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	3.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.
Miniturisation	3.00	5.00	4.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25						
Sharing economy	4.00	4.00	4.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	1.
Repairability mandates	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.
Renewable energy targets				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.

Figure 2.3: An excerpt of a spreadsheet used as part of the screening process

F

Back to ToC

WP2: Scenario Storylines | www.futuram.eu | 21

3. Assessment:

Once the screening process was complete, the remaining elements were aggregated and categorized based on their thematic relevance or characteristics. This categorisation helps organize the elements into meaningful groups or themes that align with the objectives and scope of the scenarios.

The 21 elements that were identified in this stage are listed in Table 2.4. Note that CIR and REC are very similar for many scenario elements, the main difference being the way in which the targets are achieved. That is, for CIR, re-X strategies are promoted, whereas, for REC, the focus is on technological advancements in the recovery system. This distinction will have a significant impact on how the scenarios are quantitatively modelled and on the subsequent outcomes of these models.

Table 2.4: List of drivers and factors identified in the screening phase

DOMAIN	DRIVER/FACTOR	DEFINITION	INTER	BAI	REC	CIR
TECH	Recovery technology	Implementation and advancements in waste recovery technologies	TRUE	I	III	III
TECH	Product technology	Changes in product function or composition	TRUE	I	III	III
TECH	Integration of SRM system across EU	Integration of a secondary raw material recovery system across EU countries	TRUE	I	III	III
ENV	Increased drive for environmental protection	Growing concern and motivation for environmental conservation	TRUE	I	III	III
ECO	Progress toward renewable energy targets	Advancements and achievements in renewable energy generation	TRUE	III	III	III
ECO	Subsidies and taxation to promote circularity	Financial incentives or taxes to encourage circular economy	TRUE	I	II	III
SOC	Participation in re-X activities	Engagement in refuse-reduce-repair-reuse activities	TRUE	I	I	III
POL	Stricter environmental regulations	Tightening of environmental laws and regulations	TRUE	II	III	III
POL	Stricter waste management regulations	Strengthening of waste management laws and regulations	TRUE	II	III	III
POL	Supply chain due diligence laws: implementation and enforcement	Obligations for identifying and mitigating negative impacts in supply chains	TRUE	I	III	III
POL	Compliance with waste targets	Meeting specific waste management and recycling targets	TRUE	I	III	III

Continued on next page

Table 2.4 – Continued from previous page

DOMAIN	DRIVER/FACTOR	DEFINITION	INTER	BAI	REC	CIR
ENV	Resource shortages	Limited availability of natural resources	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Raw material vs SRM prices	Price dynamics and competition between raw materials and secondary raw materials	FALSE	na	na	na
ENV	Climate change impactsmitigation	Effects and actions related to climate change	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	International trade and co-operation (vs. autarky)	Collaborative trade agreements and global cooperation	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Energy prices	Costs and fluctuations in energy prices	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Economic growth	Overall economic expansion and development	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Re-industrialisation of EU	Shift towards increased industrial activities in the EU	FALSE	na	na	na
SOC	NIMBY to projects	Opposition to local projects and developments	FALSE	na	na	na
SOC	Population and urbanisation	Growth and urban development of population	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	CO2 market price	Price and market dynamics of carbon emissions	FALSE	na	na	na

1034 4. Categorisation

1035 The scenario elements were then assessed based on their potential impact on the
1036 waste management system. For each element, an assessment was made as to
1037 whether it was within the scope of FutuRaM to include them as variables in the
1038 models, and therefore also the scenarios and their storylines.

1039 Those deemed to be within the scope are 'internal' and will be intensively researched
1040 and modelled (e.g., composition and design changes).

1041 Those deemed to be outside the scope are 'external' and will be included in the
1042 storylines, will vary over time, but will not vary across the three scenarios (e.g.,
1043 population and GPD).

1044 Those deemed to be outside the scope and also outside the influence of the waste
1045 management system are 'outside' and will not be included in the storylines or scenar-
1046 ios, though, in some cases, may be considered in the sensitivity analysis (e.g., supply
1047 constraints).

1048 ***1049 Justification for keeping certain elements outside of the scenario mod-
els:***

1050 The purpose of the FutuRaM project is not to provide all-encompassing scenarios that
1051 attempt to capture every possible future development. Such scenarios are inherently
1052 inaccurate and can give a false sense of certainty to the model's outcomes. Instead,
1053 the focus of FutuRaM is specifically on the Sustainable Resource Management (SRM)
1054 system and its implications for the future. Therefore, the scenarios developed within
1055 FutuRaM should selectively incorporate elements that have a direct impact on the
1056 SRM system.

1057 Furthermore, the scenarios should prioritize elements that can be considered as
1058 'policy knobs', meaning variables or factors that can be adjusted or controlled to
1059 test different settings. By including these, the scenarios can explore the effects
1060 of different policy decisions or interventions on the SRM system's outcomes. This
1061 targeted approach ensures that the scenarios generated are relevant to the project's
1062 objectives and facilitate meaningful analysis.

1063 It is crucial to avoid excessive complexity and convolution in scenario modelling.
1064 When there are too many convoluted elements included, the results of the modelling
1065 exercise can become, at best, difficult to understand and interpret. At worst, the
1066 outcomes may become practically useless due to the overwhelming interactions and
1067 uncertainties introduced by the complex elements. Therefore, careful consideration is
1068 necessary to strike a balance between incorporating essential factors and maintaining
1069 the clarity and usefulness of the scenario modelling results.

1070 ***Examples:***

1071 **Resource shortages:** Resource shortages can be highly unpredictable and subject to
1072 various external factors such as geopolitical events, natural disasters, or technolo-
1073 gical advancements. The precise timing and extent of resource shortages are
1074 challenging to forecast accurately, making it difficult to include them within the

model without introducing significant uncertainty. This is especially true for the long-term time horizon of the FutuRaM scenario set. This factor will, however, be considered in the sensitivity analysis of the model and additionally, the codebase will be designed to allow for the optimization of the SRM recovery system based on any supply-demand value statements.

Raw material vs SRM prices: The dynamics and competition between raw materials and secondary raw materials can be complex and influenced by various market factors, technological advancements and policy interventions. As with resource shortages, these dynamics are challenging to forecast accurately, making it difficult to include them within the model without introducing significant uncertainty. It will, however, be possible to couple the model with a market model to explore the effects of different price dynamics on the SRM system's outcomes. This could be considered in a multi-objective optimization procedure performed as an extension to the model.

2.2.5. Step 5: Develop storyline themes

Given that the scenario themes and directions were broadly dictated by the FutuRaM project charter, the rough shapes of the storyline narratives were already defined. That is: the effects on the availability of SRMs from the development of the SRM recovery system and the development of re-X strategies.

2.2.6. Step 6: Qualitative narrative development

The scenario storylines will be described in detail in the next section. This step involved taking the themes defined by the charter and the elements identified in the previous steps and working with the internal waste stream groups to develop qualitative estimates about how each of these elements (at their different levels) may have an impact on the amounts and composition of the SRM flows in their purview.

2.2.7. Step 7: Definition of scenario parameters

The scenario parameters are the set of quantitative values or functions that will be used to define the scenario inputs for the model. Details of these parameters can be found in chapter 4.

2.2.8. Step 8: Quantitative modelling

Full details of the scenario quantification process can be found in chapter 4.

2.2.9. Step 9: Implementation

The scenario implementation will be performed in the next stages of the project.

2.2.10. Step 10: Review process

The review process is intended to ensure that the elements included in the storylines and scenarios are relevant, plausible, and consistent with the scenario objectives and scope.

The first stage of the review process is to open the scenario development process to the wider FutuRaM consortium. This will be done by sharing the scenario development process and the results of the assessment and categorisation step with the consortium and inviting feedback and suggestions. The feedback will be used to refine the elements and their categorisation and to identify any elements that may have been missed in the initial assessment.

The second stage will involve opening the scenario development process to external stakeholders and subject matter experts.

The scenario review process will be performed repeatedly over all stages of the project. This document is a living document and will be updated as the project progresses.

Conclusion of methodology section

The methodology used for the FutuRaM scenario development ensured that the selected elements were relevant, plausible, and influential. The use of the PESTEL analysis framework and Delphi method during the preliminary collection phase provided a comprehensive overview of the macro-environmental factors.

Furthermore, the screening process and the assessment by internal experts ensured that the selected elements were coherent, consistent, and aligned with the objectives and scope of the scenario exercise.

The final list of scenario elements is suited to the goal of the FutuRaM project — to quantify the future availability of SRMs and to evaluate EU material autonomy — and will be used to develop the three FutuRaM scenarios into a quantitative model.



1135



1136

Scenario storylines

1137

CHAPTER CONTENTS

1138	3.1 Scenario I: Business-as-usual	29
1139	3.1.1 Storyline narrative	29
1140	3.1.2 Waste stream specific scenario impacts	31
1141	3.2 Scenario II: Recovery	35
1142	3.2.1 Storyline narrative	35
1143	3.2.2 Waste stream specific scenario impacts	37
1144	3.3 Scenario III: Circularity	42
1145	3.3.1 Storyline narrative	42
1146	3.3.2 Scenario needs and impacts	43
1147	3.3.3 Waste stream specific scenario impacts	45



3.1. SCENARIO I: BUSINESS-AS-USUAL

1148

1149



1150

3.1.1. Storyline narrative

1151 This scenario envisions the future based on the current situation, extending to 2050 with
1152 very little deviation from present consumption patterns and the secondary raw material
1153 (SRM) system [43]. While there may be advances in some areas such as resource efficiency,
1154 recovery technology, and the energy transition, substantial modifications remain hindered
1155 by economic, social, and political constraints. The primary extraction of raw materials
1156 continues to be the primary source to meet the EU's demand.

1157 In the Business As Usual (BAU) scenario, we are projecting the trajectory of the present
1158 into the future, extending up to the mid-century mark, 2050, with minimal disruption to
1159 existing consumption habits and the secondary raw material (SRM) system. This scenario
1160 unfolds on the assumption that the current pace and direction of technological, economic,
1161 and social development continue unhindered, and is characterised by a strong persistence
1162 of today's patterns.

1163 In this scenario, we see moderate improvements in resource efficiency, advancements
1164 in recovery technology, and a slow transition towards greener energy sources. However,
1165 these developments are only minor tweaks to the existing system, failing to disrupt or
1166 fundamentally alter the established structure. The potential for transformational change
1167 remains largely untapped due to various hurdles. Economic constraints, social resistance
1168 to change, political inertia, and entrenched interests act as barriers to change, stifling
1169 efforts toward a more sustainable SRM system.

1170 Primary extraction of raw materials remains the dominant source for raw materials
1171 consumed in the EU, continuing the linear 'take-make-dispose' model of resource con-
1172 sumption. Base metals are well recycled, given their developed markets and economies of
1173 scale but rare/special metals are wasted because recycling technologies and economics do
1174 not allow for their recovery. Recycling and recovery rates remain stubbornly low, resulting
1175 in significant CRM waste. Meanwhile, material demand continues to rise in tandem with
1176 GDP growth, further exacerbating the resource pressure.

1177 Moreover, the environmental impacts of mining and extraction persist as a significant
1178 concern. These operations continue to degrade ecosystems, leading to loss of biodiversity
1179 and contributing to climate change [44]. Simultaneously, the EU becomes increasingly de-
1180 pendent on imports of SRMs, raising concerns about supply chain security and geopolitical
1181 risks [44].

1182 Innovation in SRM recovery technologies is hampered by a lack of investment and

1183 regulatory support. The focus remains predominantly on cost-effective material produc-
1184 tion and use, with little regard for environmental implications or long-term sustainability.
1185 Material scarcity and price fluctuations, therefore, may become a considerable risk to
1186 the EU industry, limiting stable penetration of new recovery technology and threatening
1187 economic stability.

1188 Moreover, the tightening of environmental regulations is restricted, inadequately ad-
1189 dressing emerging challenges or incentivising sustainable practices. The lack of regulatory
1190 progress may further exacerbate environmental damage and biodiversity loss.

1191 In essence, the BAU scenario is characterised by a continuation of current trends and
1192 practices, a future where the potential for a sustainable SRM system is unrealised due to
1193 the stranglehold of prevailing economic, social, and political constraints.

1194 In the Business-as-usual (linear economy) scenario, the following are key characteris-
1195 tics:

- 1196 • A forecasting model is used to predict the future based on the current situation
1197 and the development of existing trends.
- 1198 • Many EU targets for recycling and recovery are not met, and the current linear
1199 model largely persists.
- 1200 • Material demand keeps pace with GDP growth, perpetuating a trend of increas-
1201 ing consumption. Primary mining and extraction persist as the leading sources
1202 of raw materials, underlining the dependency on traditional extraction meth-
1203 ods.
- 1204 • Recycling and recovery rates continue to lag, leading to an accumulation of
1205 SRM waste that signals missed opportunities for resource reuse.
- 1206 • The environmental repercussions of mining and extraction, such as land degra-
1207 dation and water pollution, continue to be a pressing concern, reflecting the
1208 ecological toll of this linear model.
- 1209 • The EU's dependency on imports of SRMs escalates, heightening the risk of
1210 supply disruptions. While supply disruption can serve to stimulate investment
1211 in new SRM recovery, volatility stifles innovation and advancements in this
1212 field.
- 1213 • The industrial focus remains on cost-effective material production and use, dis-
1214 regarding the long-term sustainability aspect.

3.1.2. Waste stream specific scenario impacts

BATT (Battery waste)

Sources: [13, 14, 36, 37]

In the business-as-usual (BAU) scenario, the management of end-of-life batteries remains largely unchanged. The lack of technological innovation and regulatory incentives leads to a continued low recovery rate of valuable materials from battery waste.

- A growing volume of battery waste due to the increased use of electronic transport and renewable energy storage systems.
- Lack of technological innovation and regulatory incentives lead to low recovery rates for certain battery types and certain elements.
- Collection systems for battery waste remain sporadic and unstandardised.
- Primary extraction remains the dominant source of battery materials.
- Share of LIB will increase (EV, LMT, Industrial LIB uptake)
- LIB Battery Chemistries will change and new LIB technologies will enter the market. Though, not with a focus on recycling and recovery.
- Larger portable batteries: shift towards Li-ion batteries
- Small format batteries in EEE: no significant change in battery chemistry.
- Use of critical resources continues but is already decreasing (BATT chemistry already changing towards less CRM content)
- Large-scale reuse of batteries is minimal
- Collection rates do not fulfil the EU targets
- Recycling efficiencies do not fulfil the EU targets
- Recovery rates do not fulfil the EU targets

ELV (End-of-Life Vehicles)

Sources: [14, 35, 45, 46]

The BAU scenario maintains the current approach to end-of-life vehicles, with minimal improvements in the recovery and recycling process. The absence of effective technologies and regulatory incentives results in low recovery rates of valuable materials from ELVs.

- Legislation banning new ICEVs from 2035
- Current recovery technologies are unable to significantly improve the extraction of valuable materials from ELVs.

- 1248 • Consumer demand continues to drive high production of new vehicles.
- 1249 • ELV collection systems remain at their current efficiency.
- 1250 • A significant proportion of vehicle components continue to end up as waste.
- 1251 • Gradual and slow improvement of recycling chain technology efficiency
- 1252 • No new legislation to improve recovery and support circular strategies in com-
- 1253 parison to 2023



WEEE (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment)

1254 **Sources:** [33, 34, 47, 48, 49, 50]

1255 In the BAU scenario, the treatment of WEEE does not significantly change. The lack of
1256 technological progress and effective regulation results in low recovery rates of valuable
1257 materials from WEEE.

- 1258 • Limited improvements in the recovery of valuable materials from WEEE.
- 1259 • High consumer demand for new electronics continues to drive high WEEE
1260 generation.
- 1261 • Ineffective collection systems and lack of public interest result in significant
1262 amounts of WEEE ending up in landfills.
- 1263 • No significant growth in collaboration between government and industry for
1264 WEEE recovery.
- 1265 • The majority of WEEE continues to be treated with common domestic waste,
1266 with low recycling rates.
- 1267 • No groundbreaking technologies and practices to improve recovery and circu-
1268 larity.
- 1269 • Reuse of products and components is not widely utilised
- 1270 • Changes in legislation (e.g., circular economy and product design targets, tar-
1271 gets for collection and recycling) are not strictly implemented.
- 1272 • The BAU and the REC scenarios are similar from the put-on-market perspec-
1273 tive (e.g., production and consumption remain the same), but it's the recovery
1274 stage that makes the difference.
- 1275



MIN (Mining Waste)

1277 **Sources:**

1278 The BAU scenario sees the continuation of current practices in mining waste manage-
1279 ment. The absence of advanced recovery technologies and regulatory incentives leads to
1280 low recovery rates of valuable materials from mining waste.



- 1281 • Limited technological advancements lead to static recovery rates of valuable
1282 materials from mining waste.
- 1283 • Continued reliance on primary extraction as the dominant source of raw mate-
1284 rials.
- 1285 • Minimal advances in collaboration between government and industry for min-
1286 ing waste recovery.
- 1287 • Low levels of traceability and management of mining waste.
- 1288 • Mining waste remains a significant environmental challenge.
- 1289 • Mining waste recovery projects remain too expensive.
- 1290 • Little incentive for the private sector and public sector, except for monitoring
1291 environmental risks of existing deposits.



CDW (*Construction and Demolition Waste*)

Sources: [51]

In the BAU scenario, the management of Construction and Demolition Waste (CDW) remains largely unchanged.

- 1296 • Focus on new construction to meet demand, no changes in CDW generation
1297 rate.
- 1298 • No increase or refurbishment or renovation activities relative to new construc-
1299 tion rates.
- 1300 • Continue meeting the 2020 EU target from the Waste Directive [51] of 70%
1301 CDW recovery (including preparation for re-use, recycling and other material
1302 recovery, including backfilling)
- 1303 • Recovery of metals remains on already high levels (90%) [52].
- 1304 • Recovery of minerals remains on already high levels (70%) by using them as
1305 aggregates in road construction and backfilling [52].
- 1306 • Recycling of wind turbines stays around 85% (mainly metals), permanent
1307 magnets continue to be recycled as part of the metal fractions.[CITATION]
- 1308 • Base metals are recovered as they have been, though there are limited im-
1309 provements in recovery technologies and regulatory incentives.
- 1310 • Repowering trends for wind turbines persist.
- 1311 • Excluding wind turbines, there is no particular focus on the recovery of CRMs
1312 from CDW, where they constitute only a small fraction of the total mass (e.g.,
1313 embedded in scrap steel).



SLASH (*Slags and Ashes*)

Sources:

In the BAU scenario, SLASH continues to be treated generally as low or negative-value waste. The absence of economically profitable recovery technologies or regulatory mandates leads to low improvements in the recovery rates of CRMs from SLASH.

- Increased generation of SLASH because SRMs are not recovered and end up in incineration and smelter residues.
- Low quality of SLASH due to:
 - poor sorting and separation of waste streams (e.g., consumer electronics and batteries, end up in general waste streams and are incinerated)
 - high ‘contamination’ from the above-described failures of segregation.
 - large proportion coming from mixed waste incineration
- Lack of technological advancements results in low recovery rates of valuable materials from SLASH.
- Continued high generation of SLASH due to the reliance on traditional energy sources.
- Minimal incentives for the recovery and reuse of materials from SLASH.
- Low levels of traceability and management of SLASH.
- SLASH continues to be a significant environmental challenge due to the high volume generated.
- Some products from SLASH are recovered in low added value, for example, as aggregates for roads or additives in cement.



3.2. SCENARIO II: RECOVERY



3.2.1. Storyline narrative

In the recovery scenario, the central emphasis is on harnessing sophisticated technologies to salvage SRMs from waste streams at the end of their lifecycle. While there are noticeable strides towards the incorporation of 'circular design' principles and re-X strategies, they are mostly seen at the end-of-life and material demand is akin to that observed in the BAU scenario. This is, however, mitigated by the implementation of a comprehensive material recovery system.

In this scenario, the central actor is the waste treatment sector, with the spotlight falling on the enhancement of recovery technology. The implementation and optimisation of cutting-edge technologies, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), automation, and advanced robotics, play a significant role in revolutionising waste treatment processes. These technologies streamline waste sorting, improve the quality of recovered materials, and increase the overall efficiency of the recovery process.

This scenario calls for an emphasis on policy development and standardisation to foster EU-wide development, integration, and compliance. Here, the role of governments and policy-makers becomes crucial in setting more ambitious recovery targets, developing conducive regulatory frameworks, and enforcing compliance. This multi-pronged approach also involves strengthening cross-border cooperation, harmonising waste management standards, and promoting knowledge and technology transfer among EU member states.

To realise more ambitious environmental impact reduction targets, significant progress needs to be made in both technological and policy aspects. Enhancing technological capabilities will improve recovery rates, while robust policy measures will ensure these advancements are integrated into the wider economy in a regulated manner. The future of this scenario depends on the successful fusion of advanced technology, regulatory harmonisation, and a commitment to continuous improvement in waste management and SRM recovery.

Key characteristics of this technology-promoted recovery scenario include:

- This scenario uses a combination of forecasting and backcasting methods to envision the future.
- The backcasting method is used for scenario factors that are covered by governmental targets, starting with the desired outcome and working backwards

1372 to the present.

- 1373 • The forecasting method is used for scenario factors that are not covered by
1374 governmental targets, starting with the current situation and extending to the
1375 future.
- 1376 • EU targets for recycling and recovery are met, due to the EU's waste manage-
1377 ment system becoming more expansive, efficient and effective.
- 1378 • Technological innovation drives increased recovery rates of SRMs, enabling the
1379 more efficient use of waste.
- 1380 • Digitalisation and automation are more extensively used in recycling pro-
1381 cesses, leading to enhanced productivity and accuracy.
- 1382 • There is greater exploration and exploitation of alternative sources such as
1383 urban mining, waste streams, and tailings, presenting novel opportunities for
1384 resource acquisition.
- 1385 • New waste regulations and guidelines for SRM recovery are implemented,
1386 enforcing better management and extraction of SRMs.
- 1387 • Investment in research and development for SRM recovery technologies expe-
1388 riences an upswing, promoting continuous innovation in this field.
- 1389 • Closer collaboration and information sharing between industry and govern-
1390 ment institutions streamline processes and expedite decision-making.
- 1391 • New jobs are created in the recycling and recovery sector, offering economic
1392 benefits and improving overall employment rates.
- 1393 • SRM production and use become more efficient and cost-effective, fostering
1394 economic sustainability.
- 1395 • Environmental impact from mining and extraction is reduced, signalling a
1396 more sustainable approach to resource acquisition.
- 1397 • The EU's dependence on primary extraction is reduced, with SRM recovery be-
1398 coming a more significant source of raw materials.

3.2.2. Waste stream specific scenario impacts

BATT (Battery waste)

Sources: [13, 14, 36, 37]

Under the recovery scenario, end-of-life batteries become a crucial source of secondary raw materials, primarily due to the increased adoption of electric vehicles and renewable energy storage systems. Technological innovation drives the recovery and recycling process, ensuring valuable materials are extracted from waste batteries for reuse.

- Increase in end-of-life batteries due to the growth of electric vehicles and renewable energy storage.
- Advanced recovery technologies facilitate the efficient extraction of valuable materials from battery waste.
- Standardised collection systems enhance the quantity and quality of battery waste available for recovery.
- Industry and government collaboration lead to investments in research and development of battery recovery technologies.
- Battery passports have a strong impact on collection, material recovery rates and recycling rates.
- Collection
 - Portable battery collection increases according to the trend seen in the WEEE waste stream.
 - Improved collection of light means of transport (LMT) batteries.
 - Improved regulation and collection of Industrial batteries.
- Material recovery
 - Improved recycling technologies
 - Battery Pass will improve material recovery
 - Higher recovery rate for lithium
 - Increase in recycling by average weight
 - Recycling of plastics
- Ambitious goals of recycling/recovery rates compete with reuse, so reuse remains low.
- Improved public awareness means that fewer batteries end up in the municipal waste stream and there is less hoarding.
- Against this: there is competition for the batteries from the reuse vs. recycling market.
- Design for recycling (DFR):

- 1435
1436
1437
- Material and composition selection for recycling [14].
 - Higher requirements on disassemblability.
 - Information available to promote efficient recovery.



ELV (*End-of-Life Vehicles*)

1439

Sources: [14, 35, 45, 46]

1440
1441
1442
1443

The recovery scenario envisions a more effective and technology-driven end-of-life vehicle treatment process. Advancements in recovery technologies allow for improved extraction of valuable materials from vehicles at their end of life, although consumerism still drives high demand for new vehicles.

- 1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
- Innovations in recovery technologies allow for a higher recovery rate of CRM-containing materials from ELVs.
 - The total number of vehicles produced remains high due to consumer demand.
 - Improved systems for ELV collection are established, ensuring efficient management of ELV waste.
 - Increased collaboration between the government and industry leads to investments in ELV recovery technologies.
 - Focus on managing end-of-life of vehicles
 - EU recovery targets are reached (currently implemented/proposed targets, but also increased and new targets)
 - Common/bulk materials (Fe, Non-Fe, plastics etc.,) and precious metals (Au, Ag, Pd, Pt) reach high mass recycling rates and high element recycling rates. Other CRMs currently not recovered reach a moderate level of recovery.
 - For instance,
 - More advanced dismantling and processing steps (e.g., components and materials)
 - More specialised recovery of certain components and materials (e.g., electric motors including permanent magnets and embedded REE) as suggested in the proposal for a revised ELV directive.
 - More public and private interest in developing recycling chains
 - Increase in collection rate due to increase in participation from the public and businesses, i.e., target-based incentives with strong regulations and monitoring
 - Design for recycling (DFR):
 - Higher requirements on 'disassemblability'.
 - Information available to enable recovery.



WEEE (*Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment*)

Sources: [33, 34, 47, 48, 49, 50]

Under the recovery scenario, WEEE becomes a significant resource for secondary raw materials. Technological advancements in the sector improve the efficiency of WEEE treatment, although the consumerism-driven demand for new electronics remains high.

- Advanced technologies enable higher recovery rates of valuable materials from WEEE
- Despite advancements in design for recyclability, WEEE generation remains high due to the consumer demand for new electronics
- Standardised and segregated collection systems for WEEE are implemented, improving the supply of materials for recovery
- Increased industry-government collaboration leads to further development in WEEE recovery technologies
- Consumer behaviour remains a significant hurdle for more efficient WEEE management
- Higher recycling rate — make full use of the disposed parts. For instance:
 - more automation of the dismantling and processing steps (e.g., AI)
 - recycling technologies improvements (e.g., small components recovery is also happening)
 - more effective collection infrastructure
 - financial support provided to recyclers/operators
 - bans on WEEE exports push for increased domestic recycling [53]
- ‘Design for recovery’ principle — Ecodesign mandates changes in weight and composition of EEE so complexity and the type of materials used
- Higher public awareness and participation on WEEE issue and management
- Higher compliance from the public, the producers and the businesses
- Strong regulations and monitoring are in place with higher collection and recycling targets which are set and implemented and fines are set for those who fail to achieve the targets
- Focus is given more to the EoL management of WEEE



MIN (*Mining Waste*)

Sources:

Under the recovery scenario, technological advancements enable the extraction of residual valuable materials from mining waste, transforming it into a valuable resource.



- 1505 • Technological advancements facilitate the extraction of valuable materials from mining waste.
- 1506
- 1507 • Despite progress in recovery technologies, primary extraction remains the dominant source of raw materials due to high consumer demand.
- 1508
- 1509 • Government and industry collaboration support the development of technologies for the recovery of materials from mining waste.
- 1510
- 1511 • Increased traceability and management of mining waste through digitalisation.
- 1512 • Mining waste remains a significant environmental challenge.



CDW (Construction and Demolition Waste)

Sources: [51]

1514 Under the recovery scenario, Construction and Demolition Waste (CDW) becomes an important resource for secondary raw materials, though mostly base metals and aggregates. Despite some progress in eco-design and material efficiency, the construction industry continues to generate significant amounts of waste or 'downcycled' materials. Some progress in eco-design and material efficiency, but the construction industry continues to generate significant amounts of waste or 'downcycled' materials.

- 1515 • Focus on new construction to meet demand, no changes in CDW generation rate.
- 1516
- 1517 • No increase or refurbishment or renovation activities.
- 1518 • Enhancement of the quality of recycling to recover materials at higher value.
- 1519 • Increased investment and enhanced regulatory system in waste management, contributing to increased recovery.
- 1520
- 1521 • Creation of new waste recovery infrastructure that improves recovery.
- 1522
- 1523 • Widespread application of selective demolition and strict on-site waste sorting leading to an increase in recovery of waste.
- 1524
- 1525 • Recovery of minerals is intensified with a stronger focus on closed-loop recycling (e.g., cement and aggregate are separated, aggregate is used, but cement is not treated).
- 1526
- 1527 • Recovery of other materials like glass, plastics, and wood is also intensified.
- 1528
- 1529 • Better separation of waste at source leads to a higher quality of secondary raw materials.
- 1530
- 1531 • Repowering trends for wind turbines stay the same.
- 1532
- 1533 • Improved recycling of wind turbine blades is notable, especially regarding plastics; permanent magnets are recycled at a functional level.
- 1534
- 1535
- 1536
- 1537
- 1538

1539



SLASH (*Slags and Ashes*)

1540

Sources:

In the recovery scenario, SLASH are recognized as a potential resource for secondary raw materials. Advances in recovery technologies enable the extraction of valuable metals from SLASH, however, the total volume of CRMs recovered from this material remains low, except in cases of supply constraint.

- Advanced recovery technologies allow for the extraction of valuable metals and minerals from SLASH.
- Despite improvements in energy production, SLASH generation remains significant due to the continued reliance on traditional energy sources.
- New regulations incentivize the recovery and reuse of materials from SLASH.
- Digital solutions enhance the traceability and management of SLASH.
- SLASH remains a significant environmental challenge due to the volume generated.
- Transferring down-cycling to recycling or even upcycling.
- Recycling technology improvements (e.g., cement additives using biomass ash are under investigation)
- More functional collection infrastructure.
- Financial support provided to recyclers/operators.
- Introduction of SRM/CRM recovery targets. For example, recovery of P from biomass ash for fertilizer. Recovery of Zn and Pb from Zn and Pb smelter slag.
- Higher awareness and participation of relevant sectors on SLASH issues and management.
- Strong regulations and monitoring are in place with higher collection and recycling targets.

1564

1565



3.3. SCENARIO III: CIRCULARITY

1566

1567



1568

3.3.1. Storyline narrative

1569 In this scenario, we move in the direction of the maximum achievable state of material
1570 efficiency as government policy, private innovation and social changes are rapidly driv-
1571 ing the transition toward a circular economy. The emphasis here rests heavily on re-X
1572 strategies that are implemented in the design phase of products (e.g., repairability and
1573 re-manufacturability) and that are actualised by changes in consumer behaviour (e.g re-
1574 duction, refusal, engagement in the 'sharing economy' and curtailment of the 'throw-away'
1575 mindset).

1576 Further, being enabled by the widespread adoption of 'circular design' principles and
1577 improvements in information transparency (e.g., waste tracking and digital product pass-
1578 ports) the system for the treatment of post-consumer waste can divert a significant
1579 amount of their inflows (to, for example, re-use and re-manufacture) with the residual
1580 fraction being readily segregated into purer, more efficiently recoverable, material streams.

1581 This scenario envisions a future where government policies are in synergy with private
1582 sector innovation and societal changes, driving a wholesale transition towards a circular
1583 economy. Unlike the recovery scenario, where the focus is on the end-of-life recovery of
1584 materials, this scenario emphasises minimising waste at all stages, starting from the design
1585 phase itself, where both policymakers and designers are moving away from short-lived
1586 products towards products designed for longevity.

1587 The emphasis is on re-X strategies that are integrated throughout the entirity of a
1588 product's lifecycle. This includes repairability, where products are designed to be easily
1589 fixed rather than replaced; and re-manufacturability, where products or their components
1590 are designed to be restored to their original state, extending their lifespan and reducing
1591 the need for new resources. This scenario calls for a drastic change in consumer behaviour,
1592 where reduction in consumption and waste, refusal of non-sustainable options, and active
1593 participation in the 'sharing economy' become the norm rather than the exception.

1594 In the circularity scenario, the widespread adoption of 'circular design' principles be-
1595 comes a cornerstone of production. In a circular design approach, products are designed
1596 and produced in a way that considers their entire lifecycle, including eventual disassembly
1597 and reuse. New economic models make it costly for producers to generate short-lived
1598 products and material waste. Companies are now giving priority to the design of products
1599 that are easily repairable, can be disassembled, and reused. The rise of technology has
1600 paved the way for predictive maintenance tools. These allow businesses to keep a tab on

material conditions through sensors and carry out repairs before a malfunction occurs, a method gaining traction in transport and manufacturing.

Additionally, this scenario envisions an improvement in transparency, with measures such as waste tracking and digital product passports becoming standard. Waste tracking allows for efficient management of waste flows, aiding in effective resource planning, while digital product passports provide information about a product's composition and how it can be properly disassembled, reused, or recycled. Material composition, including raw materials, is transparent to all involved in the value chain, promoting closer collaboration. Producers see the advantage of being open about their product details to aid in repair, repurposing, and recycling activities. This transparency about product components, durability, and reparability increases consumer demand for products that are designed to last and can be reused or recycled.

3.3.2. Scenario needs and impacts

In the proposed scenario, the European Union (EU) embarks on a pivotal transition towards a circular economy. This framework emphasises the retention of product, material, and resource value within the economic matrix for extended durations, simultaneously minimising waste generation. This transition is integral for the EU's strategic goal of cultivating a sustainable, low-carbon, resource-efficient, and globally competitive economy.

The implications of this shift are multifaceted. It presents an avenue for the EU to rejuvenate its economic architecture while providing businesses with a protective shield against challenges such as resource scarcity and price volatility. This revised economic model fosters the emergence of efficient, innovative production and consumption methods, thus offering novel business opportunities. Moreover, the circular economy approach has palpable socio-economic benefits, including diverse job creation and enhanced social integration.

From an environmental perspective, the transition aids in the reduction of the cumulative energy footprint and helps mitigate irreversible ecological damages. This encompasses challenges related to climate shifts, biodiversity conservation, and comprehensive pollution control. Several studies accentuate the overarching benefits of this economic approach, highlighting potential reductions in prevalent carbon dioxide emissions.

The successful implementation of this vision necessitates a collaborative approach involving various stakeholders, encompassing businesses, consumers, and regulatory entities. A robust regulatory framework is indispensable, designed to promote optimal practices and delineate clear progression benchmarks. This comprehensive framework encompasses the entirety of the circular economy's value chain, from production to consumption, extending into realms of repair, remanufacturing, and waste management, culminating in the reintroduction of secondary raw materials into the economic cycle.

1639 Environmental fiscal reforms are crucial for a circular economy transition. Taxes should
1640 pivot from labour to resource depletion, promoting a double dividend. The EU can leverage
1641 the VAT directive and the European semester process to endorse flexible rates on circular
1642 services like repair. It's imperative to abolish harmful subsidies, notably on fossil fuels,
1643 which are inherently linear and which Member States have pledged to eliminate. The
1644 tax framework should incentivise pioneers challenging the established linear economy.
1645 Analysing tax shifts at the national level can determine tax effectiveness and pinpoint
1646 instruments that best bolster circularity.

1647 The contribution of member states is paramount. They play a dual role, both in the
1648 actualisation of EU directives and in the integration of complementary regional initiatives.
1649 The principles of a circular economy possess global applicability, necessitating harmonised
1650 strategies within the EU and with external international partners. Such synergised efforts
1651 are crucial for the fulfilment of broader international commitments, notably the U.N. 2030
1652 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The ultimate objective remains the establishment
1653 of a sustainable future characterised by judicious consumption and production protocols.

3.3.3. Waste stream specific scenario impacts

BATT (Battery waste)

Sources: [13, 14, 36, 37]

In the circularity scenario, battery waste treatment undergoes a massive transformation. The shift towards electric vehicles and renewable energy storage significantly increases the quantity of end-of-life batteries. However, thanks to new regulations, technological advancements, and business models, the majority of battery components are recycled or reused.

- Massive increase in end-of-life batteries due to the shift to electric vehicles and renewable energy storage.
- New regulations incentivise battery manufacturers to design for recycling.
- Battery recycling technologies improve, enabling higher recovery rates of valuable metals.
- Standardised collection systems for battery waste are established, improving the efficiency of the recycling process.
- Service-based business models like leasing ensure manufacturers retain ownership of the batteries, promoting circularity.
- Greater transparency through digital product passports aids in effective battery waste management.
- Battery passport and publicly accessible information from the new Battery Regulation (SoH, SoC, Predicted lifetime/warranty, etc.) given by the economic operator that places the battery on the market enables high re-use rates.
- Increased repairability/modularity.
- Reduced demand from 'sharing economy' and more 'sustainable' transport choices.
- New emerging technologies more suited for reuse/repair.
- Ambitious targets set by business and public policy.

ELV (End-of-Life Vehicles)

Sources: [14, 35, 45, 46]

For End-of-Life Vehicles (ELVs), the circular economy model affects the way vehicles are designed, used, and discarded. Emphasising extended vehicle life through repair and remanufacturing, this scenario also focuses on the recovery of materials from vehicles at the end of their life.

- Vehicle design shifts towards repairability, upgradability, and recyclability, increasing the lifespan of vehicles.
- Standardised systems for ELV collection are established, ensuring efficient waste management.
- Innovative technologies enable higher recovery rates of metals and other valuable materials from ELVs.
- Service-based models like vehicle leasing and sharing could reduce the total number of vehicles produced.
- Digital product passports provide information about vehicle components, aiding in effective recycling or reuse.
- Focus on managing the use-phase of vehicles.
- Circular strategies take place before material recovery so that material recovery is “delayed”.
- Information available to enable these strategies.
- EU vehicles policy has implications for materials in vehicles, such as ‘lightweighting’ and downsizing
 - Increase in average occupancy and average vehicle-kilometres per trip.
 - Decrease in average lifetime (in terms of years): As the utilisation factor increases.
- Increase in circular strategies due to an increase in participation from the public and businesses, i.e., target-based incentives with strong regulations and monitoring.



WEEE (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment)

Sources: [33, 34, 47, 48, 49, 50]

In the circularity scenario, WEEE becomes a valuable resource instead of a disposal challenge. Thanks to product design changes and the application of advanced recovery technologies, a significant percentage of the materials in WEEE is reclaimed and fed back into the production cycle.

- Electronic products are designed for longevity, repairability, upgradability, and recyclability.
- Advanced technologies enable higher recovery rates of precious metals from WEEE.
- Collection systems for WEEE are improved, ensuring a steady supply of materials to feed the recovery system.
- Digitalisation and data use enhance traceability and efficiency in WEEE management.



- 1725 • Service-based models for electronics promote the use of products as a service
1726 rather than ownership, reducing WEEE generation [20].
- 1727 • Increased durability and lifespans.
- 1728 • Increased repairability.
- 1729 • More sharing and product-service systems, correspond to a reduction in the
1730 lifetime (for some equipment).
- 1731 • More reuse practices (expanded second-hand market).
- 1732 • Less hoarding.
- 1733 • Higher formal collection and recycling rate.
- 1734 • Focus is given more to the production and use phase rather than the EoL (End-
1735 of-Life).
- 1736 • 'Design for circularity' principle: Ecodesign mandates repairability, durability,
1737 no obsolescence, modularity, and that continual software upgrades are possi-
1738 ble [54, 55].
- 1739 • Electronically compatible chargers and battery packs can be used by different
1740 products.
- 1741 • The above also means that chargers and batteries are not integrated into the
1742 product and that the product is designed to be easily disassembled.
- 1743 • Strong regulations and monitoring are in place with higher reuse and circular
1744 targets, which are set and implemented, and fines are imposed on the mem-
1745 ber states that fail to achieve the targets.
- 1746 • Support and development of circular strategies infrastructure (e.g., easy infor-
1747 mation access for repairability, repair shops, accessibility to spare components
1748 on the market, etc.).
- 1749 • Greater use of connected products, smart technologies, and the IoT. Used to
1750 monitor and diagnose product performance in situ which, can extend product
1751 and component life.



MIN (Mining Waste)

Sources:

In this scenario, the impact on mining waste is two-fold. Firstly, the need for primary mining is reduced due to efficient resource use and high recovery rates of materials. Secondly, mining waste itself is treated as a valuable resource, with advanced technologies being used to extract residual valuable materials.

- 1758 • A Decrease in primary mining reduces the generation of mining waste.
- 1759 • Advanced technologies are employed to extract valuable materials from min-
1760 ing waste.

- 1761 • Policies and regulations incentivise the reuse of mining waste in various applications.
- 1762
- 1763 • Digital solutions improve tracking and management of mining waste.
- 1764 • Collaboration between stakeholders promotes circular practices in the mining industry.
- 1765



CDW (Construction and Demolition Waste)

Sources: [51]

1768 Construction and Demolition Waste (CDW) is another sector that sees significant improvement in the circularity scenario. This scenario reduces the generation of CDW 1769 and promotes the recovery of valuable materials from the waste stream. 1770

- 1771 • Less demolition and new construction results in a reduction of CDW.
- 1772 • Buildings are designed for disassembly and reuse, increasing the lifespan of 1773 materials and reducing CDW.
- 1774 • Longer lifetimes for buildings (more renovation and refurbishment) and wind 1775 turbines (less repowering, i.e. changing of wind turbines before the end of 1776 theoretical lifespan).
- 1777 • Wind turbine blades are refurbished/repaired and reused.
- 1778 • Recycling technologies for CDW improve, allowing higher recovery rates of 1779 materials and less 'downcycling'.
- 1780 • Policies and regulations incentivise the use of recycled materials in construc- 1781 tion.
- 1782 • Standardised systems for CDW collection and separation are improved.
- 1783 • Digital tools like building information modelling (BIM) improve resource man- 1784 agement in construction and renovation.
- 1785 • Focus on dismantling and selective deconstruction: constructions are taken 1786 apart in a way that individual parts can be reused.



SLASH (Slags and Ashes)

Sources:

1789 In the circularity scenario, the approach to SLASH dramatically changes. Instead of 1790 being treated as waste, SLASH is seen as a valuable secondary raw material. Advances in 1791 technology allow for the extraction of valuable metals and minerals from SLASH, that 1792 then re-enter the material cycle.

- 1793 • A shift in perception treats SLASH as a valuable resource instead of waste.



- 1794 • Advanced technologies enable the extraction of valuable metals and minerals from SLASH.
- 1795
- 1796 • New regulations incentivise the use of SLASH in various applications, such as in the construction industry.
- 1797
- 1798 • Digital solutions enhance the tracking and management of SLASH.
- 1799 • Collaboration between industries utilises SLASH in new and innovative ways.
- 1800 • Reduce the generation of SLASH by increasing the efficiency of the manufacturing side. For example, developing higher efficient production of metals and reducing by-products such as smelter slag.
- 1801
- 1802 • For ash from the incineration of solid biomass, maximizing the use of biomass by setting proper temperature, time, and furnace conditions to reduce ash contents and improve the efficiency of power and heat generation.
- 1803
- 1804 • For ash, developing other renewable technologies from bioenergy to reduce the incineration of solid biomass, e.g., biogas.
- 1805
- 1806 • Reduce the generation of SLASH by increasing the proportion of higher calorific waste and decreasing lower calorific waste, e.g., MSW (Municipal Solid Waste).
- 1807
- 1808 • Developing domestic feedstock supply for bioenergy or metal production to reduce the cost of transportation and others.
- 1809
- 1810 • Higher formal collection and recycling rate compared to BAU, but lower compared to the Recovery scenario.
- 1811
- 1812
- 1813

1814



1815



1816



1817



1818

Quantification

1819

CHAPTER CONTENTS

1820	4.1 Introduction	52
1821	4.1.1 Quantification and Implementation of scenario elements in the	
1822	models	53
1823	4.2 Summary	55
1824	4.3 External elements	56
1825	4.3.1 Introduction	56
1826	4.3.2 Summary	59
1827	4.3.3 Demographic factors: <i>Population, age, urbanisation</i>	62
1828	4.3.4 Economic factors: <i>GDP growth</i>	73
1829	4.3.5 The Renewable Energy Transition	80
1830	4.3.6 Supply constraints and market dynamics	96
1831	4.3.7 Conclusion	104
1832	4.4 Internal elements — Technological Change	105
1833	4.4.1 Introduction	105
1834	4.4.2 Summary	106
1835	4.4.3 Future product and waste composition: <i>Description</i>	107
1836	4.4.4 Future product and waste composition: <i>Scenarios</i>	109



1837	4.4.5 Future recovery technology: <i>Description</i>	113
1838	4.4.6 Future recovery technology: <i>Scenarios</i>	115
1839	4.4.7 Future recovery system: <i>Description</i>	119
1840	4.4.8 Future recovery system: <i>Scenarios</i>	121
1841	4.5 Internal elements – The Circular Economy	126
1842	4.5.1 Introduction	126
1843	4.5.2 Summary	133
1844	4.5.3 The EU Circular Economy Indicators: <i>Description</i>	134
1845	4.5.4 The EU Circular Economy Indicators: <i>Scenarios</i>	141
1846	4.5.5 Refuse, Reduce, Reuse: <i>Description</i>	145
1847	4.5.6 Refuse, Reduce, Reuse: <i>Scenarios</i>	147
1848	4.5.7 Repair: <i>Description</i>	151
1849	4.5.8 Repair: <i>Scenarios</i>	159
1850	4.5.9 Remanufacturing and Refurbishing: <i>Description</i>	163
1851	4.5.10 Remanufacturing: <i>Scenarios</i>	167
1852	4.5.11 The Sharing Economy: <i>Description</i>	171
1853	4.5.12 The Sharing Economy: <i>Scenarios</i>	175
1854	4.6 Conclusion	180

4.1. INTRODUCTION

In the FutuRaM project, scenario elements are categorised based on their influence and relevance to the secondary raw material (SRM) system. This categorisation aids in refining the focus of the scenarios and ensuring they are relevant, manageable, and useful.

Following the process detailed in chapter 2, the resultant scenario elements were classified in preparation for quantification. These elements are listed in Table 13.8.

Internal Elements:

(section 4.4 and section 4.5) These are directly within the scope of FutuRaM and significantly impact the waste management system. They are integral to the models and scenarios. For example, changes in waste composition and recovery methods fall under this category. These elements are thoroughly researched and modeled as they are central to understanding and projecting the SRM system's future.

External Elements:

(section 4.3) Elements deemed external are still relevant to the scenarios but are not as directly related as the internal elements. External elements are set as the background of the three scenarios, allowing a better focus on the main variables of importance to FutuRaM. These elements do not vary across the three different scenarios but they do change over time. These elements include demographics, economic growth, and the renewable energy transition.

Outside Elements:

(section 4.3) These are factors outside the scope and influence of the waste management system and are not included in the scenario storylines or directly in the models. They may be considered in sensitivity analysis but are not primary drivers in the scenario development. For instance, resource supply constraints are external factors that could impact the waste management system but are too unpredictable and complex to model directly within the scenarios. Their inclusion could introduce significant uncertainty and make the models less interpretable and actionable. These elements are, however, considered important, and their possible impacts on the SRM system will be explored in exercises of sensitivity analysis and optimisation.

The rationale behind this categorisation is to maintain focus and clarity in the scenario modeling. Including too many complex and indirectly related elements can convolute the scenarios, making them overly complex and less useful for practical decision-making and policy analysis. By concentrating on integral elements — or those that can be controlled or influenced by associated policy decisions — FutuRaM ensures that its scenarios are both manageable and directly relevant to its objectives of exploring different futures of the SRM system. This approach strikes a balance between realism and practicality, ensuring the scenarios are both meaningful and actionable.

4.1.1. Quantification and Implementation of scenario elements in the models

External drivers

For each external driver in the scenarios, the values are defined globally and each waste stream will interpret this into their models using a "top-down" approach. This will require the development of correlations between the scenario driver and the parameter in the waste stream model. The resolution of this will be different for each scenario driver and each waste stream.

Internal elements

For the internal elements in the scenarios, a global value can often not be defined. For these elements, the waste stream models will define the values for each scenario using a "bottom-up" approach. This will require the estimation of future trends for each parameter and scenario for the product/waste groupings in each waste stream. Then, a global value can be calculated by taking a weighted average for each of the groups.

Figure 4.1 depicts a schema for the interconnection between the models for the quantification and implementation of the scenario elements.




Figure 4.1: Quantification and Implementation of scenario elements in the models

4.2. SUMMARY

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

1908

1910

4.3. EXTERNAL ELEMENTS

4.3.1. Introduction

External drivers
<p>Scenario elements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demographic change: population, median age, urbanisation, gender • Economic growth: GDP • Renewable energy transition: energy mix <p>Waste model parameters include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Put-on-market • Composition <p>Recovery model parameters include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recovery processes: market penetration of recovery technologies • Transfer coefficients: function of recovery technologies • Recovery system size: BAU - set by trends in BAU, CIR & REC - defined by model outcomes within constraints <p>Impact model parameters include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foreground inventory: inputs and outputs of recovery system • Background inventory: energy mix, impact of primary production

In the FutuRaM project, scenario elements are categorised based on their influence and relevance to the secondary raw material (SRM) system. This categorization aids in refining the focus of the scenarios and ensuring they are relevant, manageable and useful.

Following the process detailed in chapter 2, several scenario elements were classified as "external" or "outside".

The following "external" elements are incorporated into the scenarios as background information but are not directly modelled. They are assumed to be constant across the three scenarios, but change over time.

- Demographic change

- 1924 • Economic growth
- 1925 • Renewable energy transition

1926 The following "outside" elements are not incorporated into the scenarios, but are
1927 considered important and will be explored in sensitivity analysis and optimisation.

- 1928 • Resource supply constraints
- 1929 • International trade and co-operation
- 1930 • Re-industrialisation of EU
- 1931 • Resistance to recovery projects ("NIMBY")

1932 These elements are detailed in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: List of external scenario elements

DOMAIN	ELEMENT	INTERNAL	EXTERNAL	OUTSIDE	BAU	REC	CIR	MODEL PARAMETERS AFFECTED
ECO	Progress toward renewable energy targets		✓		-	-	-	composition, demand, waste generation, recovery impacts
ECO	Economic growth		✓		-	-	-	composition, demand, waste generation
SOC	Population		✓		-	-	-	demand, waste generation
ECO	Primary vs. secondary raw material prices		✓		~	~	~	considered in sensitivity analysis
ECO	Energy prices		✓		~	~	~	considered in sensitivity analysis
ECO	Carbon price		✓		~	~	~	considered in sensitivity analysis
ENV	Resource supply constraints		✓		~	~	~	considered in sensitivity analysis:
ECO	International trade and co-operation (vs. autarky)			✓	n/a	n/a	n/a	not model input (resource supply constraints is a proxy)
ECO	Re-industrialisation of EU			✓	na	na	na	not model input
SOC	Resistance to recovery projects (NIMBY)			✓	na	na	na	not model input (considered in UNFC assessments)

F

Back to ToC

4.3.2. Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

1933

1934

1935

1936

Demographic change

1937

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

1938

- Population
- Median age
- Urbanisation

1939

1940

DEPENDENT VARIABLES

1942

- Demand
- Waste generation
- Waste composition

1943

1944

Economic growth

1946

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

1947

- GDP growth

1948

DEPENDENT VARIABLES

1949

- Demand
- Waste generation
- Waste composition

1950

1951

1952 **Renewable energy transition**

1953 INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

- Energy mix

1955 DEPENDENT VARIABLES

- Demand
- Waste generation
- Waste composition
- Recovery impacts

1960 **Resource supply constraints**

NOTE

This element is not forecast or modelled directly, but is considered in sensitivity analysis and optimisation.
Supply constraint is independent of its cause (e.g. resource depletion, political instability), thus, it can act as a proxy for other elements such as international trade and co-operation.

1963 INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

- Resource availability

1965 DEPENDENT VARIABLES

- Resource prices
- Settings of the recovery system to counteract supply crunch
- Waste composition (incorporating lag and substitution effects)

1969 MARKET DYNAMICS

NOTE

As with resource supply constraints, consideration of complex market dynamics are limited to sensitivity analysis and optimisation. General trend forecasts in supply and demand are considered in the scenarios, however, as functions of the other elements, such as GPD and population.

1970

1971

1972

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

1973

- Raw material prices
- Secondary raw material prices

1975

DEPENDENT VARIABLES

1976

- Recovery system settings
- Recovery system capacity
- Recovery system profitability
- Secondary raw material supply

1980

4.3.3. Demographic factors: *Population, age, urbanisation*

Demographic factors encompass a range of population characteristics, including age distribution, population growth rates, urbanisation levels, migration patterns, and household composition. These factors are crucial determinants in forecasting demand patterns, labour market dynamics, and consumption trends, which in turn affect supply chains and resource management.

In the context of the scenarios and modelling within FutuRaM, demographic factors could influence the demand for certain commodities, the availability of labour for new recycling technologies, and the generation of waste materials. As populations grow and become more urbanised, the demand for electronics, energy, and transportation increases, which in turn raises the demand for critical raw materials necessary for these technologies. Age distributions can affect the workforce available for the recycling industry and potentially shift consumption patterns, as older populations might consume differently compared to younger demographics.

Justification for setting as an external scenario factor

Demographics undoubtedly exert a significant influence on supply and demand patterns within any resource environment. [56] As such, demographic factors play a role in shaping the demand for CRMs and the efficiency of waste management systems. However, within the scope of FutuRaM's scenario modelling, these demographic elements are treated as background variables.

A standard set of demographic projections is applied across all scenarios, contributing to the baseline assumptions but not serving as the primary driver of change in the model. By setting demographics as an external factor, FutuRaM's scenarios can abstract from the nuanced impacts of demographic changes, allowing for a clearer interpretation of how policy levers directly affect SRM outcomes.

Furthermore, the structure of FutuRaM's models is designed to be sufficiently adaptable to account for future demographic shifts. As new data become available, they can be integrated into the existing models, allowing for regular updates that keep pace with the evolving demographic landscape. This flexibility ensures that the model's outputs remain both relevant and grounded in the most current understanding of demographic factors, while the focus stays on the core objectives of resource management and the evaluation of policy efficacy.

REVIEW NOTICE

Data for other demographic factors such as urbanisation, gender or persons per household can be added here if the waste stream models require it.

Population projections

Sources for demographic data

The population projections in this report have been produced from the most recent data provided by Eurostat and the UK Office of National Statistics (ONS) [57, 58, 59].

It was decided to 're-model' this data, rather than extract it from the population figures in the SSP2 baseline scenario datasets [60, 61] to which the background of FutuRaM's scenarios are (broadly) aligned. This allows the use of the most up-to-date and 'raw' data possible.

Figure 4.2 shows the normalised population projections for the EU27+3 and the UK. The index is set to 1 for the year 2020. An interactive figure can be viewed here [↗](#)

Normalised population forecasts for the EU27+4




Figure 4.2: Population projections for the EU27+3 and the UK

EU27 + 4

Data source: [57]

POPULATION MODELLING RESULTS:

The full results of the population modelling are presented in Table 4.2.

HIGHLIGHTS:

- The EU population is projected to rise from 446.7 million in 2022, peaking at 453.3 million in 2026 (+1.5%), before decreasing to 447.9 million in 2050 and further to 419.5 million in 2100.
- An increase of 5.8 years is expected in the median age of the EU population between 2022 and 2100.
- By 2100, the number of individuals aged 80 and over in the EU is projected to reach 64.0 million.

Populations evolve over time due to demographic factors: births, deaths, and migration. Each of these factors influences the population's structure. Presently, the EU is experiencing a trend of ageing in its population due to the prevailing levels of fertility and mortality.

EUROPOP2023 offers deterministic projections based on 'what-if' scenarios. These scenarios are formed on anticipated courses for fertility, mortality, and migration. A partial convergence is assumed among the countries in the EUROPOP2023 projection concerning fertility, mortality, and migration patterns. The methodology employed is primarily based on past projection exercises. Furthermore, this study accounts for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and the mass influx due to the conflict between Russia and Ukraine.

It is projected by Eurostat that all EU Member States and the three EFTA countries will experience continued population ageing. The population in 2100 is predicted to be lower than in 2022, with a decline in the working-age demographic. There's an observed trend of ageing within the elderly demographic itself. Migration can both alleviate and accelerate the ageing process. It depends on whether there's an influx or outflow of the working-age population. For instance, the search for better job opportunities can lead to a considerable outflow. Consequently, age dependency ratios are set to rise, posing challenges for public expenditure on pensions, healthcare, and long-term care.

METHOD

Eurostat provides international projections for the European Union (EU) and the European Free Trade Association countries, which include Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway, and Switzerland. Unlike the UN's projections, Eurostat's are deterministic in nature. Their most recent projection, dating from 2020, presents a base variant along with four other variants, starting with the baseline year 2019. In the base variant, it is forecasted that the EU-27 population will decline by nearly 7 percent or about 30 million people by 2100. However, in the medium term, the population is expected to grow until 2025, reaching about 449 million people, before reducing to 416 million by 2100. Country-specific, sex, and age data are available in Eurostat's database.

The final projection starts with the 2022 population divided by sex and age. Mortality rates are applied to determine the number of deaths. Numbers for non-EU and EU

immigrants are computed. For the years 2022 and 2023, refugees under TP are also included. Emigrants, including refugees under TP for the years 2024 to 3033, are then subtracted. Based on this, the end-of-year population and the working-age population are computed. Using these figures, additional non-EU immigrants are calculated, and the end-of-year population is re-assessed. This allows for the computation of live births, total deaths, immigration, and emigration for 2022.

SCENARIOS

Eurostat also considers five alternative scenarios besides the baseline for EUROPOP2023. These are: lower fertility, lower mortality, zero net migration, decreased non-EU immigration, and increased non-EU immigration. For instance, the lower fertility scenario posits a total fertility rate that's 20% less than the baseline for each projection year (2023 – 2100). This implies fewer live births yearly compared to the baseline. The lower mortality scenario suggests a life expectancy at birth in 2100 that's two years more than the baseline. Migration scenarios include zero net migration, 33% less non-EU immigration each year, and 33% more non-EU immigration every year throughout the projection horizon.

UK

Data source: [58]

- New data will be released January 2024.
- No scenarios were developed due to the additional uncertainty in the underlying data related to the CoViD-19 pandemic-related fluctuations.

POPULATION MODELLING RESULTS:

The full results of the population modelling are presented in Table 4.2.

POPULATION PROJECTIONS

The UK population in mid-2020 was estimated at 67.1 million. Over the decade to mid-2030, it's projected to rise by 2.1 million (3.2% increase), in comparison to a 6.9% increase between 2010 and 2020. Over the next 25 years, the projected growth is 3.9 million (5.8%), less than the 15.6% growth between mid-1995 and mid-2020.

In contrast to the EU27+3, the UK population is projected to continue to grow (slowly) until 2100, the end of the projection period, when it reaches 76 million.

ASSUMPTIONS:

- Long-term averages are based on a 22-year period, excluding the 1990s.

- 2099 • Long-term average falls within the ranges given by expert advisory feedback.
- 2100 • Estimated international migration data is used for the years ending mid-2021
- 2101 and mid-2022.
- 2102 • Linear interpolation is used from mid-2022 up to mid-2026.
- 2103 • A three-year average of data from mid-2020 to mid-2022 is used for starting
- 2104 the linear interpolation for mid-2022.
- 2105 • UK completed family size to reach 1.59 children per woman by 2045.
- 2106 • Annual improvement in UK mortality rates will be 1.2% for most ages by 2045.
- 2107 • Net international migration to the UK will average +205,000 from mid-2027
- 2108 onwards.

METHODOLOGY

2109 Projections are produced for successive years from one mid-year to the next. Age-
2110 based calculations are made to account for net migration, deaths, and births. Details
2111 such as migration timing, death rates, birth rates, and the ratio of male-to-female births
2112 are factored into the calculations. Projections are made for each UK country and then
2113 aggregated for broader regions.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

2114 Projections are based on the latest available data but are not forecasts. The inherent
2115 uncertainty in the data and the unpredictability of future events means projections may
2116 not align with future outcomes. Factors like political and economic changes can also impact
2117 population growth, and events like the UK leaving the EU or the COVID-19 pandemic are
2118 not explicitly factored in. While this bulletin focuses on projections up to mid-2045, the
2119 data includes projections up to mid-2120, which have greater inherent uncertainty.

MERGING THE EU27+3 AND THE UK INTO A UNIFIED POPULATION MODEL

2120 As the world undergoes the demographic transition, the relevance of Verhulst's logistic
2121 model has resurged, providing an adequate representation of current population growth
2122 trends. This logistic population growth dynamic is critical for achieving global sustainable
2123 development.

2124 These projections are informed by the finite reserves of primary exhaustible resources
2125 and the ongoing trend of declining birth rates. These indications suggest a shift towards a
2126 new equilibrium state for the planet that aligns with heightened industrial and technological
2127 capacities and improved healthcare standards. By constructing logistic models that
2128 depict the growth dynamics of the global population and individual continents, we can
2129 forecast population sizes and their growth rates for the next two centuries. The insights
2130 garnered present opportunities for the regulation and optimal management of global
2131 demographic resources.

2135 **Projection Methodology**

2136 **Methodology source:** [59]

2137 Population projections underpin many political and economic decisions at various
 2138 levels. Often, the users lack the expertise to fully grasp the methods and limitations of
 2139 the projections they rely on.

2140 Population development is contingent upon three primary factors: fertility, net migra-
 2141 tion, and mortality. Usually, a projection starts with the age- and sex-specific numbers at
 2142 a given time. Using estimates for the future development of the three determinants, the
 2143 population is projected forward. Forecasts often refine mortality and migration by age
 2144 and sex.

2145 Projection methodologies fall into deterministic and stochastic categories. Determinis-
 2146 tic models, being the most widespread, set parameters in one or more scenarios. Their
 2147 strengths lie in ease of use, adaptability to changes in parameters, and straightforwardness
 2148 for non-experts. A prominent deterministic method is the cohort component method
 2149 (CCM) which separately simulates fertility, migration, and mortality before integrating
 2150 them into a projection. Given a population P_{t-1} at the end of period $t - 1$, the CCM
 2151 updates this using births B_t , net migration M_t , and deaths D_t as:

$$P_t = P_{t-1} + B_t + M_t - D_t$$

2152 However, deterministic models face challenges. They:

- 2153 • Overlook the probabilistic nature of population processes.
- 2154 • Rely on rigid future assumptions with low individual probabilities of occur-
2155 rence.
- 2156 • Limit the number of considered scenarios, inadequately reflecting future risk.
- 2157 • Lack probabilistic quantification for identified futures.
- 2158 • May be biased by experts' subjective assessments.

2159 In contrast, stochastic models view parameters as random variables. While determin-
 2160 istic models might assume fixed values for determinants like G_t , M_t , and S_t in certain
 2161 scenarios, stochastic models see these as probabilistic, represented as:

$$\tilde{B}_t = \tilde{B}_{t-1} + \tilde{G}_t + \tilde{M}_t - \tilde{S}_t$$

2162 Yet, it's essential to understand that no forecast offers absolute truth. Their aim isn't pre-
 2163 dicting unexpected events, but extrapolating core demographic trends. Both deterministic
 2164 and stochastic methods exist to quantify forecast uncertainty.

2165 Applying these results in real-world scenarios warrants a cautious approach. Past

trends might not persist in the future. For instance, population growth isn't just about demographics but also infrastructure. Can a housing market accommodate growth? Will cities meet their limits? Projections inherently carry assumptions. For instance, regions must meet housing demands, and urban challenges arise from positive population growth, such as the need for expanded childcare or public transport infrastructure.

Predicting and managing future global population growth stands as a paramount challenge for humanity. Most contemporary researchers believe there's a ceiling to the planet's 'carrying capacity'. Come 2022, Earth's population is anticipated to hit the eight billion mark. UN predictions suggest that by 2100, this number will rise to ten billion. However, there's an observable trend towards smaller family sizes, with birth rates currently hovering around the replacement rate of 2.1 children per woman. Should global fertility rates align with family replacement levels (2.0) by 2100, Earth's population is projected to stabilise between ten and eleven billion. The emergence of new statistical data necessitates updates to global population growth models. Where once the Verhulst logistic model was deemed inadequate for characterising global population growth dynamics, the tapering growth rate now reaffirms its applicability. Many recent studies have leveraged the logistic growth model. Our analyses confirm that Earth's population growth rate aligns closely with a quadratic function, mirroring the Verhulst equation (Fig. 4). All subsequent computations will employ the Verhulst logistic model:

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = a \cdot Y - b \cdot Y^2 \quad (4.1)$$

The solution to equation (8) will be sought as a logistic function:

$$Y = g + \frac{b}{1 + A \exp(-a(t - t_0))} \quad (4.2)$$

Function $Y(t)$ parameters were ascertained using the least squares method, ensuring maximal alignment between the function's value and the existing statistical data. The parameter g was presumed equal to the initial population size at the start of observations ($t_0 = 1900$).

CURVE FITTING EXPLANATION

Terms with subscript 1 describe the initial logistic component, charting population growth from 2022 to 2042.

Subscript 2 terms Correspond to the second logistic component, which outlines post-2042 population decline.

- b_1 Represents the initial population at the start of the observation period - Europe's population in 1900 per the model's parameters.
- b_1 Denotes the carrying capacity of population growth, effectively indicating the population apex achievable via the first logistic function.

- 2199
2200 A₁ Influences the gradient of the first growth phase. Higher values result in steeper population inclines.
- 2201 a₁ Represents the growth rate of the initial logistic function, dictating how swiftly the population nears the carrying capacity b_1 .
- 2202 t₁ Marks the inflection point in the first logistic phase, signifying the period of maximum growth velocity.
- 2203 b₂ Illustrates the decline's carrying capacity, indicating the population decrease as projected by the second logistic function.
- 2204 A₂ Determines the gradient of the decline phase, with larger values resulting in sharper declines.
- 2205 a₂ Represents the rate of decline in the latter logistic function, determining the speed at which the population reaches the decline's carrying capacity b_2 .
- 2206 t₂ Highlights the inflection point during the decline phase, marking the period where the decrease is most rapid.
- 2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212

Table 4.2: Population projections for the EU27+4

YEAR	MEDIAN AGE	EU27+4 (million)	EU27+3 (million)	UK (million)
2023	43	530.1	462.0	68.1
2024	43	531.9	463.4	68.4
2025	43	532.6	463.8	68.7
2026	44	533.1	464.1	69.0
2027	44	533.4	464.1	69.2
2028	44	533.5	464.0	69.4
2029	44	533.6	464.0	69.7
2030	45	533.7	463.9	69.9
2031	45	533.8	463.8	70.1
2032	45	533.9	463.7	70.3
2033	45	534.0	463.6	70.4
2034	45	534.1	463.5	70.6
2035	45	534.3	463.5	70.8
2036	46	534.5	463.6	71.0
2037	46	534.7	463.6	71.1
2038	46	534.9	463.6	71.3
2039	46	535.0	463.5	71.5
2040	46	535.1	463.4	71.6
2041	46	535.1	463.3	71.8
2042	46	535.1	463.2	72.0
2043	46	535.1	463.0	72.1
2044	46	535.1	462.8	72.3
2045	47	534.9	462.5	72.4
2046	47	534.8	462.2	72.6
2047	47	534.6	461.8	72.8
2048	47	534.3	461.4	72.9
2049	47	533.9	460.9	73.0
2050	47	533.6	460.4	73.2

2213
2214

Incorporation of demographic factors into individual waste stream models

2215

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how the demographic parameters are incorporated into your stock and flow models

2216

2217

BATT (BATTERY WASTE)

2218

2220

2222

2224

2226

2228



BATT (BATTERY WASTE)

2218

2220

2222

2224

2226

2228

2219



CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)

2219

2220

2222

2224

2226

2228



ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)

2221

2222

2224

2226

2228



MIN (MINING WASTE)

2223

2222

2224

2226

2228



SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)

2225

2222

2224

2226

2228



WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)

2225

2222

2224

2226

2228

Conclusion

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

2230

2231

2232

2233

4.3.4. Economic factors: *GDP growth*

Definitions

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) PPP

A measure of a country's economic output that accounts for differences in price levels between countries. By using PPPs and the common currency of international dollars, GDP PPP is adjusted for price level differences across countries, providing a more accurate measure of the economic output and living standards, as it reflects the real purchasing power of the citizens.

Purchasing Power Parity (PPP)

An economic theory that allows the comparison of the purchasing power of various world currencies to one another. It involves a comparison of the relative prices of a standard set of goods and services in different countries, thus providing a measure of the relative cost of living and enabling a more accurate comparison of economic well-being.

Sources of data

The GDP projections for FutuRaM's future scenarios are based on economic data from the OECD as well as population data from Eurostat and the UK's ONS [57, 58, 62]

Results of projections

As an 'external element', the GDP projections do not differ across the scenarios, only as a function of time.

The results of the projections are shown in Figure 4.3. An interactive figure can be viewed here [here](#)



Figure 4.3: GDP projections for the EU27+4

Methodological Overview of OECD's GDP Projection Framework

[62, 63, 64, 65]

The OECD's approach to projecting GDP is rooted in the principle that income levels across various nations will gravitate towards those observed in the most advanced economies, an idea put forth by [66, 67]. This convergence is modelled through an enriched version of the Solow growth model, factoring in a dual-sector configuration [68], which the OECD dubs the ENV-Growth model. Rather than focusing solely on convergence in income, the ENV-Growth model prioritises the growth factors that will drive GDP over time.

For GDP projections up to 2060, the OECD combines model-based assessments with expert evaluations, considering the economic dynamics of individual countries and the global market. These forecasts are denominated in the constant US dollars and PPPs of 2010, based on data from OECD and World Bank, which use the Atlas method for calculating PPPs [63, 69]. The data originate from the OECD Long-Term Baseline Scenario. This scenario, which is integral to the OECD Economic Outlook, serves as a comparative standard to gauge the possible effects of structural reforms, assuming a policy-neutral environment. Conversely, long-term projections diverge from the medium-term forecasting model, which is predominantly demand-driven, by focusing on a supply-side perspective that takes into account labour and capital availability and productivity growth rates.

Determinants of Long-term Growth

[63, 70]

Recognizing the multifaceted nature of economic advancement, GDP growth projections consider an array of influences such as demographics, educational attainment, technological progress, energy access, and capital flow patterns. The MaGE framework facilitates GDP estimation by charting dynamic paths that reflect the structural interplay defining the economic landscape until 2050 [70].

The ENV-Growth model's projections span a century and include a wider selection of countries, enhancing the original methodologies developed by the OECD Economics Department [64, 65]. It introduces considerations for energy usage and resource revenue from oil and gas sectors, aligning with the enhanced sectoral approach for fossil fuels presented by [71].

The model's foundation lies in its projection of the five pivotal elements driving economic growth:

- Physical capital
- Employment, shaped by population trends, age demographics, participation rates, and unemployment scenarios

- 2293 • Human capital, based on education and its consequential effect on labour productivity
- 2294
- 2295 • Energy demand and resource extraction for exporting countries
- 2296 • Total factor productivity (TFP)

2297 The determinants of growth are not restricted to these factors; they also encompass a
2298 spectrum of social, economic, and institutional influences, including workforce education,
2299 trade openness, institutional integrity, fiscal strategies, regulatory frameworks, and de-
2300 mographic shifts. The underlying potential for economic catch-up through technology
2301 transfer and innovation is underscored by the differential in income between each country
2302 and the global technology frontrunner.

2303 In the context of employment, projections from IIASA inform the total employment
2304 figures, combining time-specific participation rates for different age cohorts with pro-
2305 jected unemployment trends. Education assumptions translate gender and age-specific
2306 educational projections into a human capital index, which then informs labour productivity
2307 enhancements.

2308 For physical capital, the model follows a standard capital accumulation methodology
2309 with a set depreciation rate, with the investment rate per unit of GDP edging towards a
2310 balanced growth path level determined by the production function's structural parameters.

2311 Energy and natural resources are integrated as productive components for consumers
2312 and as extra income from specific oil and gas sectors for producer nations. The model
2313 calibrates domestic energy productivity to historical improvement rates, progressing
2314 towards an efficiency frontier indicative of cutting-edge energy appliances. The economic
2315 contribution of energy resources to producer countries is extrapolated from resource
2316 depletion models that describe the dynamics between reserves and resources and the
2317 temporal evolution of marginal production costs.

2318 FutuRaM's economic forecasts, while not based directly on the SSP data, are consistent
2319 with the SSP2 baseline derived from similar sources and models [60, 61, 72, 73, 74, 75],
2320 offering a comprehensive picture of potential economic trajectories.




Figure 4.4: Factors incorporated in the long-term model [63]

Implications of GDP Growth on FutuRaM's Waste Models

GDP growth has significant implications for models concerning secondary raw material recovery and waste generation. For example, increasing GDP tends to lead to higher consumption levels, which can result in more waste generation across various streams. However, higher income also provides greater resources for investment in recovery technologies and infrastructure. Below are some examples for each of the specified waste streams.



BATT (BATTERY WASTE)

As GDP grows, the demand for electronic devices and electric vehicles typically increases, leading to a higher turnover of batteries. This could necessitate advancements in recovery methods for battery components, such as lithium and cobalt, to reduce reliance on primary sources and mitigate environmental impact.



CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)

Economic growth often spurs construction activity, thereby increasing CDW. Increasing GDP as a ratio of waste generation could lead to enhanced recycling processes, promoting the circular economy by converting waste into secondary raw materials for new construction projects.



ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)

2339 The number of ELVs rises with economic prosperity, as people can afford newer vehicles
2340 more often. This creates opportunities to recover valuable materials and components,
2341 necessitating more efficient recycling processes.



MIN (MINING WASTE)

2343 As economies expand, so does the demand for minerals, potentially increasing mining
2344 waste. With increased GDP, there could be more investment in techniques to minimise
2345 waste generation and recover valuable materials from mining by-products.



SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)

2347 Higher GDP can correlate with increased industrial activity, producing more slags
2348 and ashes. Enhanced recovery techniques can transform these by-products into useful
2349 secondary raw materials, such as aggregates in construction.



WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)

2351 GDP growth can lead to shorter replacement cycles for electronic goods, increasing
2352 the amount of WEEE. There's a potential for improved recovery of precious metals and
2353 rare earth elements, driving innovation in e-waste recycling technologies.

2354

Incorporation of economic growth into individual waste stream models

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

2355

2356

2357



BATT (BATTERY WASTE)

- X

2358



CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)

- X

2359



ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)

- X

2360



MIN (MINING WASTE)

- X

2361



SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)

- X

2362



WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)

- X

2363

Conclusion

2370

Economic growth can therefore act as both a driver of waste generation and a catalyst for innovation in the recovery of secondary raw materials. The challenge for models like

2371



2372 FutuRaM lies in accurately predicting these trends and proposing effective strategies to
2373 balance economic benefits with environmental sustainability.

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be more completely compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

2374

2375

2376

2377

4.3.5. The Renewable Energy Transition

Definition

The term "energy transition" refers to the current global shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources to meet the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, combat climate change, and enhance energy security. This transition encompasses a fundamental transformation of energy supply and consumption patterns, including the increased use of sustainable energy to achieve a low-carbon economy. Historical shifts in energy sources—from biomass to coal, and later to oil and natural gas—reflect the ongoing evolution of energy use. The present focus is on scaling up renewables such as solar and wind, which are becoming increasingly cost-competitive. Key aspects of the transition include adopting electric vehicles, improving public transportation, advancing energy-efficient technologies for building heating, and developing energy storage and grid solutions to support the integration of variable renewable energy sources.

Future energy mix in the EU

The projected electricity mix for the EU is presented in Figure 4.5. An interactive figure can be viewed here [↗](#)




Figure 4.5: EU electricity mix forecast until 2050

2394 ***Brief context of renewable energy in the EU***

2395 Renewable energy is integral to the EU's shift towards a low-carbon economy and reducing
2396 reliance on imported fossil fuels—a response accentuated by the urgency to curtail
2397 dependence on Russian energy sources. The EU's strategic move is encapsulated in the
2398 REPowerEU Plan of Action, introduced in May 2022, and agreed upon in 2023 which
2399 prescribes an aggressive uptake of renewables, emphasizing wind and solar PV, along-
2400 side hydrogen, heat pumps, and batteries, vital for energy storage and transportation
2401 decarbonisation [76, 77, 78].

2402 In analysing the renewable sector in FutuRaM, the focus is on solar PV, wind turbines,
2403 electrolyzers, batteries, and residential heat pumps. Other renewable sources like bioen-
2404 ergy, hydro, geothermal, and ocean energy, while part of the portfolio, are expected to
2405 have minimal impact on critical materials demand and are not central to this analysis.

2406 ***Justification for setting as an external scenario factor***

2407 The ongoing global energy transition is a profound shift that holds implications for almost
2408 every facet of society, especially regarding CRMs, other raw materials and the system of
2409 waste management. This transition from fossil fuels towards renewable energy sources
2410 demands a significant increase in various CRMs, influencing their supply and demand
2411 curves extensively. In the development of FutuRaM's scenarios, the energy transition is
2412 recognised as a fundamental driver of change. However, for the purposes of focussed
2413 and strategic scenario modelling, it has been categorised as an external factor.

2414 This classification allows for a delineation between direct policy levers within the
2415 purview of SRM systems and broader macro-environmental trends that, while influential,
2416 are not the primary subject of analysis within FutuRaM. As such, the project's scenarios
2417 incorporate a consistent baseline projection of the energy transition's effects, shared across
2418 the three scenarios, ensuring that the core analysis remains centred on material-centric
2419 policy outcomes and targets of the CRM act. This ensures that the resulting insights are
2420 actionable and tailored to the nuances of material management and recycling systems. It
2421 reflects a strategic choice to maintain scenario tractability and avoid the dilution of policy
2422 implications that could arise from an overly broad scope of variables.

2423 Moreover, the scenario architecture within FutuRaM is constructed with inherent flexi-
2424 bility, permitting later incorporation of amendments to the background energy transition
2425 trends. This adaptability is essential to ensure that, as the energy landscape evolves
2426 and new data becomes available, the scenarios can be revised and updated, thereby
2427 preserving the relevance and accuracy of the project's findings over time.

2428 ***Relevant technologies in the renewable energy sector***

2429 The cornerstone technologies in renewable energy—batteries, electrolyzers, wind turbines,
2430 heat pumps, and solar PV—play pivotal roles across various sectors (Figure 85). Heat
2431 pumps serve industrial processes, while solar PV and batteries support ICT, defence, and

mobility with energy and uninterrupted power supplies, respectively [76].

Wind energy, expected to surge, will benefit from cost-efficient, innovative turbines designed for increased productivity in offshore and low-wind conditions. Projections from GECO present two scenarios: a conservative estimate shows wind capacity expanding from 732 GW (2020) to 1,400 GW (2030), and to 4,050 GW by 2050. An optimistic forecast anticipates a rise to 2,500 GW by 2030 and 8,400 GW by 2050.

Solar PV is poised for exponential growth due to advancements enhancing efficiency and lowering costs. GECO's cautious scenario predicts growth from 710 GW (2020) to 2,950 GW (2030), reaching 7,500 GW by 2050. The optimistic scenario projects a tenfold increase by 2030 and sixteenfold by 2050 compared to 2020 levels.

Addressing the intermittency of wind and solar power necessitates adequate storage solutions and robust grid systems, with electrolyzers emerging as a crucial technology for renewable hydrogen production, forecasted to exceed 1 GW capacity by the end of 2022 [79].

Additionally, digitalisation, robotics, and 3D printing are set to boost the renewable sector's productivity and optimisation across its value chain. Heat pump sales are also on an upward trend, with a peak expected in 2045, ranging between 15 million (low demand) and 38 million units (high demand) by 2050.

Material demand in the renewable sector is dominated by wind turbines, electrolyzers, and solar PV, with wind energy leading in consumption of critical materials.

Supply Chain bottlenecks in renewable energy

Supply chain bottlenecks present a significant challenge in the deployment of renewable energy technologies, particularly for wind turbines, solar PV, electrolyzers, and heat pumps. The production of NdFeB permanent magnets for wind turbines demands rare earth elements (REEs) like neodymium, dysprosium, praseodymium, and terbium, with the EU being highly dependent on imports for both raw and processed materials such as permanent magnet alloys and components like blades.

Solar PV technologies necessitate strategic raw materials, including silicon metal and rare metals like gallium and germanium, with China dominating the production of silicon ingots and wafers. This reliance on imports extends across the value chain, including the crystalline silicon cell production where the EU's contribution is minimal.

The battery industry utilizes strategic raw materials such as lithium, manganese, and cobalt, with raw materials and components largely imported. A shift is anticipated towards nickel-rich batteries or alternative chemistries to reduce reliance on high-cobalt-content lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) due to the oligopoly control of critical components in Asia.

Electrolyzers for hydrogen production use a range of strategic raw materials, particularly from the platinum group metals (PGMs), but also silicon metal, aluminium, copper, and magnesium, with the EU facing challenges in sourcing these materials. For heat

2470 pumps, strategic raw materials needed include magnesium and copper, but no significant
2471 bottlenecks have been identified, with most critical materials used in microchips and IT
2472 controllers.

2473 Across all technologies analysed, a common pattern of heavy reliance on imports,
2474 particularly from China, is observed at different stages of the value chains. The EU's primary
2475 sourcing and processing capabilities for critical raw materials are notably low, creating
2476 dependencies at multiple levels. Despite a strong manufacturing capacity for wind turbine
2477 assembly, the EU is entirely reliant on imports for the value chain of rare-earth permanent
2478 magnets. Similarly, for solar PV, the dependence on imports is comprehensive. The recent
2479 surge in Chinese manufacturing market share for heat pumps and the developing value
2480 chain for batteries in the EU are also noteworthy.

2481 A breakdown of the materials required for each technology is given in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3: Raw materials essential to the renewable energy sector

SUPPLY RISK	MATERIAL	CRM	BATT	H2	WIND	SOLAR (PV)	HEAT PUMPS
5.3	HREE (rest)	x		x			
4.8	Gallium	x				x	
4.4	Niobium	x		x	x		
4.1	Magnesium	x		x			
4.1	REE (magnets)	x		x	x		x
3.8	Boron	x		x	x	x	x
3.5	LREE (rest)	x		x			
3.3	Phosphorus	x	x			x	
2.7	PGM	x		x			
2.6	Strontium	x		x			
2.4	Scandium	x		x			
2.3	Vanadium	x		x			
1.9	Lithium	x	x				
1.8	Geranium	x				x	
1.8	Natural graphite	x	x	x			
1.8	Antimony	x				x	
1.7	Cobalt	x	x	x			
1.6	Arsenic	x				x	
1.4	Silicon metal	x		x	x	x	x
1.3	Baryte	x		x			
1.3	Tantalum	x		x			
1.2	Manganese	x	x	x			x
1.2	Tungsten	x		x			
1.2	Aluminium	x	x	x	x	x	x
1.1	Fluorspar	x	x			x	x
0.9	Tin			x		x	
0.8	Molybdenum			x	x	x	x
0.8	Silver			x		x	x
0.8	Zirconium			x			
0.7	Chromium			x	x		x
0.7	Potash			x			
0.6	Indium					x	
0.5	Nickel	x	x	x	x	x	x
0.5	Iron ore		x	x	x	x	x
0.5	Titanium			x			
0.4	Gold			x			x

Continued on next page

Table 4.3 – Continued from previous page

SUPPLY RISK	MATERIAL	CRM	BATT	H2	WIND	SOLAR (PV)	HEAT PUMPS
0.3	Tellurium					x	
0.3	Limestone			x			
0.3	Selenium					x	
0.3	Silica				x	x	
0.2	Cadmium					x	
0.2	Zinc			x	x	x	x
0.1	Copper	x	x	x	x	x	x
0.1	Aggregates				x		
0.1	Lead				x	x	

The integration of the energy transition has specific implications for the management of Critical Raw Materials (CRMs) across various waste streams due to changing material requirements and waste profiles.

For example:

BATT (BATTERY WASTE)

- Increased deployment of Li-ion batteries for energy storage will lead to a surge in waste batteries, necessitating improved recycling technologies to recover CRMs.
- The transition to renewable energy sources may lead to changes in the battery composition, affecting recycling processes and the types of CRMs that need to be managed.

ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)

- The shift towards electric vehicles will transform the composition of ELVs, increasing the relevance of CRMs used in electric powertrains and batteries.
- This transition requires the adaptation of ELV recycling infrastructure to efficiently process and recover new types of CRMs.

WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)

- As energy systems become more digitized and interconnected, WEEE will contain a broader array of CRMs, prompting the need for more sophisticated recycling methods.
- The growing volume of WEEE will challenge current recycling capacity and technology, calling for significant innovation in CRM recovery techniques.

CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)

- Green building materials and energy-efficient technologies may introduce new CRMs into CDW, changing the material recovery landscape.
- The promotion of deconstruction over demolition could preserve the integrity of materials containing CRMs, allowing for better recovery rates.

MIN (MINING WASTE)

- The drive for clean energy technologies is expected to increase the mining of specific CRMs, potentially leading to higher volumes of mining waste that must be managed sustainably.

2513



SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)

2514

- The energy transition could increase the generation of certain industrial wastes such as slags, which may contain valuable CRMs.

2515

2516

Implementation in EU Law

2517

FIT FOR 55 PACKAGE (2021)

2518

2519

2520

2521

2522

2523

The "Fit for 55" package is a collection of policy initiatives proposed by the European Commission in July 2021 aimed at revising and updating EU legislation to reflect the increased ambition of reducing net greenhouse gas emissions by at least 55% by 2030, compared to 1990 levels [80]. This target was a significant step up from the previous goal of a 40% reduction and is part of the European Union's plan to become climate-neutral by 2050 — an objective set out in the European Green Deal[31].

2524

2525

2526

2527

2528

2529

The package includes proposals to revise the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS), to increase the use of renewable energy, to improve energy efficiency, and to implement carbon pricing mechanisms, among other measures. The intention is to align existing laws with the 2030 climate target and to set the legal foundation for Europe's transition to a green economy. This includes changes across various sectors including transportation, building, and energy production to reduce emissions and promote sustainable practices.

2530

REPOWEREU PLAN (2022)

2531

2532

2533

2534

2535

2536

The invasion of Ukraine by Russia has caused significant disruption to energy markets in Europe and globally. To eliminate reliance on an unreliable supplier, the European Commission has devised the REPowerEU plan [78]. This initiative focuses on energy conservation, the production of clean energy, and the diversification of energy sources, supported by financial and legal measures to develop Europe's necessary new energy infrastructure and systems.

2537

2538

2539

2540

2541

Accelerating Clean Energy Renewable energy sources, being both cost-effective and environmentally friendly, can be produced locally, thereby reducing dependency on imported energy. The REPowerEU plan aims to expedite the green transition and trigger substantial investments in renewable energy. It also seeks to facilitate the rapid transition of industry and transport from fossil fuels, reducing both emissions and dependency.

2542

2543

This includes a variety of measures focused on renewable energy and energy efficiency, such as:

2544

2545

2546

2547

2548

- Increasing the EU's 2030 renewable energy target of the 'Fit for 55 package' from 40% to 45%.
- Accelerating the deployment of photovoltaic (PV) energy.
- Introducing the European Solar Rooftop Initiative.
- Doubling the deployment rate of individual heat pumps.

- 2549 • Decarbonising the industry by promoting electrification and renewable hydro-
- 2550 gen.
- 2551 • Speeding up renewable energy project and grid infrastructure permit pro-
- 2552 cesses.
- 2553 • Increasing the EU's binding energy savings target for 2030 to 13%.

2554 The May 2022 REPowerEU plan by the European Commission, in response to the
2555 energy market disruptions due to Russia's invasion of Ukraine, is designed to rapidly cut
2556 down on the EU's reliance on Russian fossil fuels. It raises the renewable energy target of
2557 the Fit for 55 package from 40% to 45%.

2558 This ambitious goal for renewable energy use, coupled with REPowerEU's strategies to
2559 reduce energy demand, necessitates substantial increases in renewable capacity across
2560 the electricity, transport, and heating and cooling sectors. The Commission forecasts that
2561 to meet the 2030 objectives, renewable electricity should reach 69%, 32% in transport,
2562 and a yearly growth of at least 2.3 percentage points in heating and cooling.

2563 RENEWABLES ENERGY DIRECTIVE (2023)

2564 The recent legislation strengthening the EU Renewable Energy Directive marks an
2565 advancement towards the European Green Deal and REPowerEU ambitions. With the
2566 provisional agreement, the EU's binding renewable energy target for 2030 is now at least
2567 42.5%, aiming potentially to reach 45%. This target significantly surpasses the previous
2568 goal of 32% and is nearly double the present proportion of EU renewable energy.

2569 A distinct enhancement over the REPowerEU plan is the establishment of definitive
2570 binding targets for renewable energy. The legislation optimises permitting procedures,
2571 acknowledges renewable energy as an overriding public interest, and designates acceleration
2572 zones for expedited development in strategically identified regions.

2573 The directive also introduces specific directives across various sectors:

- 2574 • In heating and cooling, it sets forth progressive annual renewable targets and a
2575 49% renewable energy consumption benchmark in buildings by 2030.
- 2576 • It for the first time includes the industrial sector under its ambit, establishing
2577 indicative and binding targets for the use of renewable energy and renewable
2578 hydrogen, respectively.
- 2579 • For the transport sector, it specifies a reduction in greenhouse gas intensity
2580 and sets sub-targets for advanced biofuels and renewable fuels of non-biological
2581 origin, underpinning the EU's renewable hydrogen objectives.
- 2582 • It further enhances the "guarantees of origin" system to improve consumer in-
2583 formation and supports the integration of the energy system through electri-
2584 fication and waste heat capture.

2585 In summary, the agreement accelerates the EU's strides towards energy autonomy,
2586 promises to reduce energy costs over time, and decreases dependence on imported fossil
2587 fuels. It intensifies the EU's pledge to a decarbonised economy and aligns with REPow-
2588 erEU's broader goals but with specific, more ambitious targets and refined processes for
2589 rapid renewable energy adoption.

2590 While the reinforced EU Renewable Energy Directive is a pivotal step towards the EU's

"Fit for 55" framework and the overarching European Green Deal goals, it has not been without its critics. The Directive's ambitious targets for 2030 have spurred a range of responses from member states and institutions, with concerns centered around feasibility, economic impact, and the varying capabilities of nations to meet these objectives [81].

The following is a summary of the key points raised by member states and the European Commission in their statements on the directive [81]:

Belgium

Belgium supports the directive while voicing "*serious concerns*" over the feasibility of increased renewable energy targets, citing "*demographical and geographical limitations*" and the presence of energy-intensive industries. The national contributions and sectoral sub-targets are deemed "*extremely difficult to achieve*" and potentially "*unachievable*" within the proposed timeline.

Poland

Poland boasts a rapidly growing renewable sector but cannot support the proposed directive, stating it is unrealistic and could destabilize the energy grid and security. They assert that the targets lack realism and flexibility, and stress that the energy transition should be "*accessible to society*" and in favor of European industry.

Romania

Romania is committed to decarbonisation but expresses concern that the high level of ambition may lead to increased costs and discourage certain sectors, making them "*un-competitive*." They highlight the importance of national specificities and energy mixes in setting targets and advocate for technology neutrality.

Slovak Republic

Slovakia finds the EU RES target for 2030 "*very ambitious*" and difficult, stressing that additional contributions may not reflect the real potential for renewable development in the country. The statement also points to concerns over hydrogen production support not being satisfactorily addressed.

European Commission

The Commission acknowledges the significant efforts required from Member States to meet the targets, noting the high adaptation costs for certain industries. It concedes that achieving the directive's objectives will involve significant public and private investment and national budget implications. The Commission emphasizes the need for complementary decarbonisation efforts involving other non-fossil energy sources.

Challenges to the expansion of renewable energy in the EU

In addition to the internal conflict among member states [81], a recent IEA analysis concluded that EU's renewable energy expansion is constrained by inadequate policy support, complex permitting, and grid upgrades' pace. [79]

Current forecasts indicate that the solar PV and wind capacity expansions fall short of the REPowerEU plan's renewable electricity targets for 2030. The European Commission Staff Working Document states that achieving a 69% share of renewable electricity requires 592 GW of solar PV and 510 GW of wind by 2030, translating to annual additions of 48 GW for solar PV and 36 GW for wind [82]. These figures significantly exceed the IEA's main case projections of 39 GW for solar PV and 17 GW for wind between 2022 and 2027, resulting in a renewable generation share of 54% in the electricity sector—15 percentage

points below the desired 69% by 2030. Therefore, to fulfill the necessary installed capacity for generating 69% of electricity from renewables by 2030, the annual net additions for solar PV need to increase by 22%, and for wind, more than double [83]. The EU estimates that the total amount required for these investments will exceed €360bn before 2030 [82].

Policy Support:

Uncertainty from infrequent auctions and limited visibility hampers utility-scale solar PV and distributed PV projects, with issues in current auction designs and support scheme extensions affecting growth and profitability.

Permitting:

A primary bottleneck due to complex regulations, land restrictions, social opposition, and permitting office inefficiencies increases costs and extends project lead times.

Grid Congestion:

Insufficient grid capacity and upgrade challenges caused by permitting hurdles, labour shortages, and opposition slow the integration of new renewable plants.

The IEA analysis states that improvements addressing these issues could boost solar and wind deployment by 30% by 2027. An accelerated case requires increased policy support, regulatory reforms, and quicker infrastructure development [83].

For utility-scale solar PV, competitive auctions must be introduced or extended, with revised auction designs to reflect current market conditions. Distributed PV could see growth with better support and remuneration for self-consumption.

Despite potential policy and regulatory advances, wind energy, particularly onshore, faces persistent permitting difficulties, and offshore wind is bogged down by grid connection delays.

Finally, market interventions and the energy crisis debate could influence renewable investments, stressing the need for careful reform processes involving all stakeholders to maintain investor confidence.

2663

Incorporation of the energy transition into the FutuRaM scenarios

REVIEW NOTICE

There will need to be a discussion about choice of energy scenario and the use of this data:

- (1) if it is to be used commercially, we will need a license from the IEA;
- (2) more detailed data is available for purchase
- (3) the choice of scenario and alignment with the WSs.

There are alternatives, such as the EU reference scenario [84] and the POTEEnCIA central scenario, which is similar, although somewhat outdated [85]

2664

2665

In light of the information presented above, as well as the nature of the three scenarios, FutuRaM will use a moderate growth scenario for the energy transition. The data for this is sourced from the projections of the International Energy Agency (IEA) using the "base case" of their "Stated Policies (STEP)" scenario [86].

The IEA's World Energy Outlook 2023 presents a range of scenarios, including the Stated Policies (STEP) scenario, the Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS) — which is the IEA's pathway to achieving the Paris Agreement goals — and the Net Zero Emissions scenario. Full details of the scenarios are available in the documentation of the IEA's Global Energy and Climate (GEC) Model [87].

A comparison of the IEA's projections for the renewable energy transition in the EU under the STEP and APS scenarios is presented in Table 4.4.

2675

2676

Table 4.4: Normalized renewable energy supply in the EU using the year 2010 as a base reference

Year	Historical	Stated Policies	Announced Pledges
2010	1.00	—	—
2021	1.66	—	—
2022	1.66	—	—
2030	—	3.33	3.69
2050	—	5.69	7.23

2677

A summary of the IEA's scenarios is presented in Table 4.5.

2678

THE STATED POLICIES SCENARIO (STEP)

The Stated Policies Scenario (STEPS) is an energy model that offers a conservative projection based on existing and developing energy policies, without assuming full achievement of governments' announced goals. It undertakes a detailed, sector-by-sector assessment including a variety of factors such as pricing, efficiency standards, and infrastructure projects as of the end of August 2023. Although it incorporates far-reaching governmental targets, such as net zero emissions and complete energy access, these are not presumed to be fully implemented without evaluating the regulatory, financial, and infrastructural context of each country.

2687

The STEPS assumes that current time-bound policies will be continued with similar

Table 4.5: Definitions and Objectives of the GEC Model 2023 Scenarios

	Net Zero Emissions by Announced Pledges Scenario 2050 Scenario	Sce- nario	Stated Policies Scenario
Definitions	A pathway to achieve net zero CO2 emissions by 2050 within the energy sector, updated fully by 2023. Universal access to electricity and clean cooking achieved by 2030.	Assumes all climate policies in place or under development as of end of August 2023, including NDCs and net zero targets, August 2023 and planned 2023. Universal access to are met on time.	Reflects energy-related capacities for clean energy technologies.
Objectives	To detail sector-specific actions needed to achieve net zero energy-related CO2 emissions by 2050 and other sustainable development goals.	To assess how pledges align with the 1.5 °C global warming limit, current policies, highlighting the ambition gap and the steps needed for universal energy access.	To benchmark the achievements and limitations of current policies, highlighting the gap in implementation and the steps needed for universal energy access.

measures but does not speculate on the future intensification or reduction of policies unless there is evidence to suggest this. For the first time in 2023, it also accounts for industry actions, such as the manufacturing capacities for clean energy technologies and their market impact.

Overall, the STEPS indicates that while existing commitments can make a substantial impact, there remains a significant gap to reach the ambitions of the Announced Pledges Scenario or the Net Zero Emissions by 2050 Scenario.

2695 THE FUTURE ENERGY MIX IN THE EU

2696 In a global sense, the energy transition manifests in FutuRaM's forecasts by way of the
 2697 background energy mix. In Figure 4.5 is the IEA's projection of the energy mix in the EU
 2698 for the Stated Policies scenario. Additional forecasts for rare earth elements (REEs) supply
 2699 and demand related to wind energy and e-mobility are offered by the JRC [88].


2700 IMPACT OF THE ENERGY TRANSITION ON THE FUTURAM SCENARIOS

2701 REVIEW NOTICE

2702 Many more details to be added later once we have confirmed the scenario choice and the alignment with the WSs

2703 NOTE: One advantage of the IEA data is that it is aligned with other data sets, such as CRM supply and demand forecasts
 2704 NOTE: The figures below are just an example of some of the impacts that we could portray here. Better figures will be generated later.

The following figures illustrate some of the impacts that scenario choice can have on raw material demand forecasts [18]



2705 Figure 4.6: Change in demand for selected elements




Figure 4.7: Change in demand for battery relevant elements




Figure 4.8: Global mineral demand (total) under various scenarios

4.3.6. Supply constraints and market dynamics

REVIEW NOTICE

The precise methodology to be applied to determine the effects of hypothetical supply constraints and market dynamics on the model's outcomes has not yet been determined. This will be developed once the precise structure of the model has been finalised.

Introduction

Supply constraints refer to limitations in the availability of resources that can impact industries and markets. These constraints can arise from various sources, such as natural resource depletion, geopolitical issues, economic factors, or environmental regulations. In the context of waste generation, collection, and recovery, supply constraints can significantly affect the dynamics of the entire system.

Market dynamics refer to the interactions between supply and demand that determine the prices of goods and services. These dynamics are influenced by various factors, such as the availability of resources, technological change, the state of the economy, and governmental policies.

Incorporating these economic factors into waste management models is essential to understand and predict the behavior of waste systems under different economic conditions. Accurate modeling can aid in making informed decisions regarding waste management policies and practices.

The following section details the importance of supply constraints and market dynamics and introduces the proposed strategy for incorporating these 'outside' elements in the modelling work in FutuRaM.

Impacts of supply constraints and market dynamics

IMPACT ON WASTE GENERATION

Constraints on the supply of materials can lead to alterations in waste composition. For instance, scarcity in a particular raw material can decrease the production and subsequent waste of products made from that material.

IMPACT ON COLLECTION AND RECOVERY SYSTEMS

The availability of resources dictates the priorities of waste collection and recovery systems. Material scarcity can shift the focus towards recycling, while abundance might lead to alternative materials being preferred.

LAG TIMES TO RECOVERY

2753 The scarcity of materials can extend the time products remain in use before entering
2754 the waste stream, affecting the timing and efficiency of waste recovery systems.

2755 **Economic Factors Influencing Waste Management**

2756 Economic aspects like prices, subsidies, and taxation play a crucial role in waste manage-
2757 ment, especially in the context of supply constraints.

2758 **INFLUENCE OF RAW MATERIAL PRICES**

2759 High prices for primary raw materials can incentivize the recycling of secondary mate-
2760 rials. This economic motivation can lead to advancements in recycling technology and
2761 increased recycling efforts.

2762 **SECONDARY RAW MATERIAL PRICING**

2763 The pricing of secondary raw materials, often influenced by the availability and price
2764 of primary materials, affects their attractiveness for recycling. Competitive pricing of
2765 secondary materials can promote their use over primary materials.

2766 **GOVERNMENTAL POLICIES: SUBSIDIES AND TAXATION**

2767 Policies involving subsidies for recycling activities or taxation on primary raw mate-
2768 rials can shape the waste management landscape. These fiscal tools can encourage or
2769 discourage recycling based on their design and application.

2770 **MARKET DYNAMICS AND POLICY INTERVENTIONS**

2771 Market dynamics, influenced by policy interventions, can also impact waste manage-
2772 ment. For example, a policy promoting the use of recycled materials can alter market
2773 preferences and boost recycling efforts.

2774 ***Incorporation of supply constraints and market dynamics into the model***

2775 **SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

2776 Sensitivity analysis is an instrumental approach in modelling to ascertain the impact
2777 of supply constraints and market dynamics on the waste management system. This
2778 technique involves systematically varying parameters within the model and observing the
2779 resultant effects on the output. It is particularly beneficial in identifying which variables
2780 have the most significant influence on the system's behaviour.

2781 Global Sensitivity Analysis, an extension of this concept, examines the entire parameter
2782 space, offering a comprehensive view of potential model responses to changes in input
2783 factors. This method is crucial in revealing the relative importance of different variables
2784 and can highlight areas where the system is most susceptible to economic fluctuations.

2785 By utilising sensitivity analysis, decision-makers can better understand the robustness

2786

of their systems and formulate strategies that are resilient to economic uncertainties.

EXAMPLE**Impact of Raw Material Prices and Government Subsidies on Recovery System:****Scenario:**

The model tests scenarios with significant fluctuations in raw material prices. In certain scenarios, a government subsidy is introduced to set a minimum price for recycled materials, ensuring their economic viability.

Analysis:

Sensitivity analysis evaluates the effect of raw material price changes on the profitability and viability of the recovery system. It also tests the impact of government subsidies in stabilising the system against these fluctuations.

Outcome:

This analysis can reveal the dependency of recovery operations on raw material market prices and the effectiveness of government subsidies in mitigating associated risks.

2787

2788

EXAMPLE**Reduction of a Valuable Material in the Waste Stream:****Scenario:**

The model explores a situation where a previously abundant and valuable material becomes scarce in the waste stream.

Analysis:

This sensitivity analysis investigates the impact of reduced availability of this valuable material on the overall profitability of the waste management system.

Outcome:

It identifies critical thresholds where a reduction in material significantly affects the system's financial viability, guiding strategies for diversifying material recovery or exploring alternative revenue sources.

2789

2790

2791

OPTIMISATION

Optimisation techniques are employed to identify the most efficient operational settings for the waste management system under diverse economic conditions. By modelling

2792

2793

2794 various local scenarios that encapsulate different economic realities — such as varying
2795 levels of resource scarcity, price dynamics of primary versus secondary materials, and the
2796 impact of subsidies or taxes — the model aims to find the optimal balance. This balance
2797 could be in terms of cost-effectiveness, resource utilization, environmental impact, or a
2798 combination of these factors. Optimization provides a framework to make data-driven
2799 decisions that can enhance the efficiency and sustainability of the waste management
2800 system.

2801 Multi-Objective Optimisation is a key aspect of this process, where multiple conflicting
2802 objectives are considered simultaneously. This approach is essential in waste management
2803 systems, where there is often a need to balance economic goals with environmental
2804 sustainability.

2805 By employing optimisation techniques, particularly Multi-Objective Optimisation, the
2806 model can provide insights into the trade-offs and synergies between different objectives,
2807 thereby facilitating more informed and balanced decision-making in waste management
2808 policies and operations.

EXAMPLE

Responding to Sudden Demand Increase for a Previously Less Valuable Material (Element X):

Scenario:

The model simulates a sudden increase in demand and price for a specific material (Element X) that was previously less valuable.

Analysis:

The system's response is optimized to maximise profitability under this new market condition, involving adjustments in collection and processing priorities towards Element X.

Outcome:

The optimisation indicates the most effective strategies for reallocating resources and operations to capitalise on the increased demand for Element X, enhancing profitability.

2809

2810

EXAMPLE**Optimizing for Environmental and Economic Goals amid Rising Carbon Emission Costs:****Scenario:**

The model considers a significant increase in the cost of carbon emissions, impacting the expense of recovery operations.

Analysis:

The optimisation aims to balance environmental impact (carbon footprint) with economic viability, exploring operational adjustments like adopting more carbon-efficient recovery processes or prioritising materials with higher primary carbon footprints (offsets and substitution).

Outcome:

This approach yields insights into effective strategies for maintaining profitability while minimising environmental impact, aiding the system in achieving a dual bottom line of environmental sustainability and financial health.

2811

2812

2813

2814
2815

Relevance of supply constraints and market dynamics for FutuRaM's waste streams

2816

BATT (BATTERY WASTE)

- 2817
2818
- Fluctuating availability and prices of lithium and cobalt can significantly impact the recycling value and processes for batteries.
 - Governmental policies on battery disposal and recycling can alter the landscape of battery waste management, influencing recycling rates and methodologies.
- 2819
2820
2821

2822

CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)

- 2823
2824
- Variations in the construction market and raw material prices can influence the generation and composition of construction and demolition waste.
 - Economic incentives and regulatory frameworks for sustainable construction practices can drive the recycling and reuse rates of CDW.
- 2825
2826

2827

ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)

- 2828
2829
- Changes in the metal market, particularly for steel and aluminium, can impact the profitability of recycling ELVs.
 - Environmental regulations on vehicle disposal and recycling can shape the recovery strategies for ELV waste.
- 2830
2831

2832

MIN (MINING WASTE)

- 2833
2834
- Market demand for specific minerals can influence the focus and intensity of recovery efforts from mining residues.
 - Policy changes regarding mine waste management can lead to shifts in recovery and disposal practices for mining residues.
- 2835
2836

2837

SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)

- 2838
2839
2840
- The variability in the composition of slags and ashes due to different industrial processes and raw materials can significantly influence recovery and recycling strategies.
 - Market conditions for secondary raw materials derived from slags and ashes, such as metals, can greatly affect the economic viability of their recovery and recycling processes.
- 2841
2842
2843

2844



WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)

2845

- Fluctuations in precious metal prices can affect the economics of recycling electronic waste.
- Evolving technology and product lifecycles can influence the generation and composition of WEEE, affecting recycling strategies.

2846

2847

2848

2849

2850
2851

Incorporation of supply constraints and market dynamics into individual waste stream models

2852

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

2853

2854



BATT (BATTERY WASTE)

2855

- X

2856



CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)

2857

- X

2858



ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)

2859

- X

2860



MIN (MINING WASTE)

2861

- X

2862



SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)

2863

- X

2864



WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)

2865

- X

2866

4.3.7. Conclusion

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

2868

2869

2870

4.4. INTERNAL ELEMENTS — TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE

2871

4.4.1. Introduction

Technological Change

Scenario elements

- **Product technology(composition)**
- **Recovery technology**
- **Recovery system development**

Waste model parameters include:

- **Lifetimes:** function of product technology
- **Composition:** function of product composition, durability, design-for-repair, etc.

Recovery model parameters include:

- **Recovery processes:** market penetration of recovery technologies
- **Transfer coefficients:** function of recovery technologies
- **Recovery system size:** BAU - set by trends in BAU, CIR & REC - defined by model outcomes within constraints

2872

2873

2874

4.4.2. Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

2875

2876

2877 4.4.3. Future product and waste composition: *Description*

2878 Future compositions, technologies and products will be assessed based on technology
2879 outlooks and stakeholder interviews and will include sector-specific Delphi surveys. In-
2880 formation needs and availability for composition data as well as the type of relevant
2881 recoverable embodied SRMs varies across the waste streams. Thus, specific data collec-
2882 tion strategies will be developed and used for each waste stream.

Task 2.2 and 2.3

2883 Following the scenarios from T2.1, the material compositions and future
2884 products for each sector will be determined based on the product and
2885 commodity demand and technology realisation (T2.2). This task will be
2886 coupled to the data collection in WP3 and WP4

2885 ***Definition***

2886 ***Context***

2887 ***International and European Trends***

2888 ***Implementation in EU Law***

2889 ***Development of a metric for XXX***

2890 ***Benefits and risks***

2891 **ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS AND RISKS**

2892 **MANUFACTURERS' PERSPECTIVE**

2893 **BROADER ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

2894 ***Relevance of XXX to Critical Raw Materials in Waste Streams***

2895 The integration of the XXX has implications for the management of Critical Raw Materials
2896 (CRMs) across various waste streams, such as BATT (waste batteries), ELV (end-of-life
2897 vehicles), WEEE (waste electrical and electronic equipment), and CDW (construction and
2898 demolition waste).

2899 **BATTERIES (BATT)**

2900

- X

2901

END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES (ELV)

2902

- X

2903

WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT (WEEE)

2904

- X

2905

CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE (CDW)

2906

-

2907



2908



4.4.4. Future product and waste composition: Scenarios

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

2909

2910

2911

2912

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

2913

2914

2915

**Scenario I: Business-as-usual**

2916

X

2917

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

2918

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

2919

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

2920

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

2921

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

2922

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

2923



Scenario II: Recovery

2924

X

2925

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

2927

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

2928

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

2929

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

2930

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

2931

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

2932





Scenario III: Circularity

2933

X

2934

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

2935

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

2936

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

2937

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

2938

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

2939

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

2940



2941



2942



4.4.5. Future recovery technology: *Description*

Definition

This task will review current and emerging technologies used in the various sectors for product manufacturing and end-of-life handling, with a special emphasis on material production, use, and recycling. Together with the storylines developed in Task 2.1, it will adapt the market share of these technologies for each sector to determine the future development of each sector.

Context

International and European Trends

Implementation in EU Law

Development of a metric for XXX

Benefits and risks

ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS AND RISKS

MANUFACTURERS' PERSPECTIVE

BROADER ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

Relevance of XXX to Critical Raw Materials in Waste Streams

The integration of the XXX has implications for the management of Critical Raw Materials (CRMs) across various waste streams, such as BATT (waste batteries), ELV (end-of-life vehicles), WEEE (waste electrical and electronic equipment), and CDW (construction and demolition waste).



BATT (Battery waste)

•



ELV (End-of-Life Vehicles)

•



2967



WEEE (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment)

2968

1

2969



CDW (Construction and Demolition Waste)

2970

1

2971



MIN (Mining Waste)

2972

1

2973



SLASH (Slags and Ashes)

2976

4.4.6. Future recovery technology: Scenarios

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

2977

2979

2980

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

2981

2982

2983

**Scenario I: Business-as-usual**

2984

X

2985

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

2986

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

2987

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

2988

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

2989

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

2990

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

2991





Scenario II: Recovery

2992

X

2993

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

2994

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

2995

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

2996

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

2997

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

2998

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

2999





Scenario III: Circularity

3001

X

3002

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3003

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3004

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3005

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3006

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3008

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3009



3010



4.4.7. Future recovery system: *Description*

Definition

Context

International and European Trends

Implementation in EU Law

Development of a metric for XXX

Benefits and risks

ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS AND RISKS

MANUFACTURERS' PERSPECTIVE

BROADER ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

Relevance of XXX to Critical Raw Materials in Waste Streams

The integration of the XXX has implications for the management of Critical Raw Materials (CRMs) across various waste streams, such as BATT (waste batteries), ELV (end-of-life vehicles), WEEE (waste electrical and electronic equipment), and CDW (construction and demolition waste).



BATT (Battery waste)

•



ELV (End-of-Life Vehicles)

•



WEEE (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment)

•

3032



CDW (Construction and Demolition Waste)

3033



3034



MIN (Mining Waste)



3035



3036



SLASH (Slags and Ashes)



3038



3039



3040

4.4.8. Future recovery system: *Scenarios*

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

3041

3042

3043

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

3044

3045

3046

**Scenario I: Business-as-usual**

3047

X

3048

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3049

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3050

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3051

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3052

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3053

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3054



Scenario II: Recovery

3055

X

3056

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3057

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3059

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3060

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3061

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3062

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3063



3064



Scenario III: Circularity

3065

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3066

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3067

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3068

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3069

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3070

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3071



3072



Conclusion

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

3073

3075

3076

3077

4.5. INTERNAL ELEMENTS — THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY

4.5.1. Introduction

3079 Main sources: [89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95]

Circular Strategies
Scenario elements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RO-2: Refuse, Reduce, Reuse (including 'sharing economy') • R3: Repair • R4-5: Refurbish and Remanufacture
Waste model parameters include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Put-on-market: function of consumer behaviour (internal) • Lifetimes: function of repair rate, durability, design-for-repair • Waste composition: function of product composition, durability (weight), design-for-repair, etc. • Collection rate: function of consumer engagement in re-X strategies, collection infrastructure, legislation, export rate
Recovery model parameters include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transfer coefficients: function of design-for-(re-X) strategies, recovery technology (internal) • Recovery system size: function of collection rate

The Circular Economy and its re-X strategies

3084 The re-X strategies underpin the circular economy, embodying a range of actions and
 3085 approaches. These strategies are conceptualised in various ways, depending on the
 3086 focus and perspective of different authors. The description that follows is based on the
 3087 framework provided by [96], with a detailed mapping of these strategies illustrated in
 3088 Figure 4.9.

RO: Refuse

3089 — This concept is applied both in consumer and producer contexts. For consumers,
 3090 it means choosing not to buy or use products or services that are not needed or
 3091 are unsustainable, thereby preventing waste creation. For producers, it involves
 3092 refusing the use of specific hazardous materials and designing production processes
 3093 to avoid waste. This approach is integral to shifting towards a more post-material
 3094 lifestyle and reducing packaging waste.

R1: Reduce

— Reduce is used in a consumer-oriented, producer-oriented, and generic sense. It encompasses using products less frequently, with more care, and for longer, and making repairs for life extension. For producers, it involves using less material per unit of production, a concept known as dematerialization. It also includes participation in the sharing economy through pooling and sharing products.

R2: Resell/Reuse

— Resell and Reuse involve bringing products back into the economy after initial use, either by reselling or reusing them for the same or a different purpose. This concept includes direct reuse of products such as second-hand sales and reuse in fabrication like refurbishment and remanufacturing. Quality inspections, minor repairs, and online consumer-to-consumer auctions are part of this strategy.

R3: Repair

— Repair aims to extend the product's lifespan by bringing it back to working order, fixing minor defects, or replacing broken parts. It can be performed by various actors, including the customer, repair companies, or through non-commercial peer-to-peer repair workshops. Planned repairs and ad-hoc repairs are both included under this concept.

R4: Refurbish

— Refurbishing typically applies to large multi-component products where many components are replaced or repaired, resulting in an overall upgrade of the product. This process brings the product up to a state-of-the-art level using newer, more advanced components, and is often seen in industries like aviation and construction.

R5: Remanufacture

— Remanufacture involves disassembling, checking, cleaning, and replacing or repairing the full structure of a product in an industrial process. It is distinguished from refurbishing by the extent of disassembly and replacement of components, often resulting in a product that is like new but with a shorter expected lifespan due to the use of recycled components.

R6: Repurpose

— Repurposing involves adapting discarded goods or components for another function, giving the material a distinct new lifecycle. This can result in both low and high-value end-products and is popular in industrial design and art communities. Examples include transforming defective microchips into jewellery or plastic sheeting into handbags.

NO LONGER RE-X

— The following strategies belong to the recovery system

R7: Recycle Materials

— Recycling involves processing mixed streams of post-consumer products or post-producer waste streams using technological equipment to capture pure materials. It usually results in secondary materials that do not maintain any of the original product structure and can be re-applied anywhere. Recycling typically requires high energy inputs for collection and re-processing.

R8: Recover (energy)

— Recovery primarily refers to capturing energy embodied in waste, linking it to incineration combined with producing energy, or the use of biomass. It is also used to describe the collection of used products for disassembly, sorting, and cleaning for utilization, and the extraction of elements or materials from end-of-life composites.

R9: Re-mine

— Re-mining involves the retrieval of materials after the landfilling phase, including extracting valuable parts from disposed products and mining valuable resources stored in old landfills or urban mining. This practice is becoming more lucrative with technological advancements, allowing for the effective extraction of resources from waste stock.




Figure 4.9: A detailed mapping of the re-X strategies in the circular economy [96]

EU CIRCULAR ECONOMY INDICATORS (CEIs)

Table 4.6 lists the relevant EU circular indicators (CEIs) along with their significance for FutuRaM's models and their changes between 2000–2022.

Table 4.6: EU circular indicators (CEIs) and their significance for FutuRaM's models

CODE	TITLE	WS MODEL RELEVANCE	RECOVERY MODEL RELEVANCE	RATIO 2022:2000
CEI_CIE011	Persons employed in circular economy sectors	Product technology, lifetimes, collection rates	Capacity	1.28
CEI_CIE012-GVA	Gross added value related to circular economy sectors	Product technology, lifetimes, collection rates	Capacity	1.4
CEI_CIE012-INV	Private investment related to circular economy sectors	Product technology, lifetimes	Process technology	0.8
CEI_CIE020	Patents related to recycling and secondary raw materials	Product technology, lifetimes	Process technology	0.8
CEI_PCO20	Material footprint	Demand	Efficiency	0.89
CEI_PCO30	Resource productivity	Demand	Capacity	1.38
CEI_PCO31	Generation of municipal waste per capita	Waste generation	Capacity	1.03
CEI_PCO32	Generation of waste excluding major mineral wastes per GDP unit	Waste generation	Capacity	0.86
CEI_PCO34	Waste generation per capita	Waste generation	Capacity	0.93
CEI_SRM030	Circular material use rate	Collection rates	Capacity	1.41
CEI_WMO10	Recycling rate of all waste excluding major mineral waste	Collection rates	Capacity	1.09
CEI_WMO11	Recycling rate of municipal waste	Collection rates	Efficiency	1.78
CEI_WMO60	Recycling rate of waste of electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) separately collected	Collection rates	Efficiency	0.99

3153 Figure 4.10 depicts the CEIs, their trends from 2000-2022, and their linear forecasts
3154 until 2050. An interactive figure can be viewed here [8](#). Note that the linear trends are
3155 not deemed to be representative of the actual future values, but are used to illustrate the
3156 trends and the magnitude of the changes. There will be constraints defined to limit and
3157 shape the growth of the CEIs in each of the scenarios. The settings for this will be
3158 determined from the waste stream quantification and the scenario storylines.

[Back to ToC](#)

WP2: Scenario Storylines | www.futuram.eu | 131




Figure 4.10: EU Circular Economy Indicators (CEIs) - trends from 2000-2022 and linear forecasts.

3160

4.5.2. Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

3161

3162

4.5.3. The EU Circular Economy Indicators: *Description*

[91, 97, 98]

Economic Indicators

**CEI_CIE011: PERSONS EMPLOYED IN CIRCULAR ECONOMY SECTORS &
CEI_CIE012: PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND GROSS ADDED VALUE RELATED TO CIRCULAR
ECONOMY SECTORS**

Indicator metadata: 

Context:

Targets economic activities that contribute to the circular economy, delineating those activities through established environmental policy frameworks and classifications.

Indicator Description:

The indicator encompasses “Private investments”, “Persons employed” and “Gross value added”. Eurostat has developed a method to derive these key economic variables, incorporating a multi-step approach: establishing a conceptual framework based on international environmental policy definitions, mapping and classifying relevant activities against an integrated system of economic classifications (using NACE, CPA, and PRODCOM codes), and finally compiling data using defined estimation procedures. The primary outputs of this process are the measurements of employment in FTE, gross value added at factor cost, and investments in tangible goods, each quantified in million euros.

Unit:

Economic metrics are presented in million euros, with employment figures given in full-time equivalents (FTE); both sets of figures are also contextualised as percentages of GDP and total employment, respectively.

Source Data:

Data is sourced from a combination of Structural Business Statistics, National Accounts, Prodcos surveys, and the Labour Force Survey, enriched by additional sector-specific statistics.

CEI_CIE020: PATENTS RELATED TO RECYCLING AND SECONDARY RAW MATERIALS

Indicator metadata: 

Context:

This indicator is integral to the Circular Economy set, focusing on ‘competitiveness and innovation’ and serving to gauge progress towards a more circular economy.

Indicator Description:

The indicator enumerates the number of patent families pertinent to recycling and secondary raw materials, leveraging the Cooperative Patent Classification to ensure unique

3198 counts.

3199 **Unit:**

3200 The unit of measure is the number of patent families, with a secondary metric of patents
3201 per million inhabitants.

3202 **Source Data:**

3203 Sourced from the European Patent Office (EPO), the data are extracted and analyzed by
3204 the Joint Research Centre (JRC), using the PATSTAT database.

3205 **CEI_PC030: RESOURCE PRODUCTIVITY**

3206 **Indicator metadata:** 

3207 **Context:**

3208 Embedded within the Circular Economy indicator suite, this metric tracks progress in
3209 'Production and consumption', emphasizing material use efficiency to gauge economic
3210 growth relative to resource use.

3211 **Indicator Description:**

3212 Resource productivity is articulated as GDP over DMC, showcasing the efficiency of mate-
3213 rial utilization within an economy. This indicator assists in understanding the dynamics
3214 between economic performance and environmental pressure.

3215 **Unit:**

3216 Measured in three distinct units: euro per kg in chain-linked volumes (2015), PPS per kg,
3217 and as an index (2000=100) for temporal and spatial comparisons.

3218 **Source Data:**

3219 The European Statistical System (ESS) supplies the data, with Eurostat disseminating
3220 information on DMC and GDP, derived from the Material Flow Accounts and GDP and
3221 main components datasets, respectively.

3222 **Waste and Material Indicators**

3223 **CEI_PC020: MATERIAL FOOTPRINT**

3224 **Indicator metadata:** 

3225 **Context:**

3226 The 'Material footprint' indicator is a critical component of the Circular Economy monitor-
3227 ing framework, highlighting the 'production and consumption' thematic area. It reflects
3228 the EU's impact on global resources, pertinent to the EU's consumption exceeding its
3229 production, especially concerning goods manufactured in Asia and consumed in Europe.

3230 **Indicator Description:**

3231 This indicator assesses the global demand for material extraction driven by EU consump-
3232 tion and investment. The Material Footprint provides insight into the environmental

3233 burden shifted to other regions due to the EU's consumption patterns. It is expressed
3234 through the Raw Material Consumption (RMC) metric, indicating the material extraction
3235 required for goods consumed within the EU.

3236 **Unit:**

3237 The unit of measure is tonnes per capita.

3238 **Source Data:**

3239 Data source: European Statistical System (ESS) Data provider: Statistical Office of the
3240 European Union (Eurostat). Material flow accounts in raw material equivalents – modelling
3241 estimates (env_ac_rme). ↗ Material flow accounts in raw material equivalents by final
3242 uses of products - modelling estimates (env_ac_rmefd). ↗

3243 **CEI_PC031: GENERATION OF MUNICIPAL WASTE PER CAPITA**

3244 **Indicator metadata:** ↗

3245 **Context:**

3246 The 'Generation of municipal waste per capita' indicator is integral to the Circular Economy
3247 indicator set, falling under the 'production and consumption' thematic area. It underscores
3248 efforts to sustain product and material value in the economy, minimize waste generation,
3249 and drive waste prevention strategies in alignment with the Waste Hierarchy.

3250 **Indicator Description:**

3251 This indicator tracks municipal waste generated and managed by local authorities or
3252 entities appointed by them. It predominantly accounts for household waste, although it
3253 may include waste from commercial activities, offices, and public institutions, reflecting
3254 consumer behaviour and the impact of waste reduction measures.

3255 **Unit:**

3256 The unit of measure is kilograms per capita, based on the annual average population.

3257 **Source Data:**

3258 The data is provided by Eurostat, consistent with the high-quality standards of the Euro-
3259 pean Statistical System (ESS), deriving from the Municipal waste by waste operations
3260 report, collected under the OECD/Eurostat Joint Questionnaire. Data submission is volun-
3261 tary, known as a 'gentlemen's agreement'.

3262 **CEI_PC032: GENERATION OF WASTE EXCLUDING MAJOR MINERAL WASTES PER GDP**

3263 **UNIT**

3264 **Indicator metadata:** ↗

3265 **Context:**

3266 The 'Generation of municipal waste per capita' indicator is integral to the Circular Economy
3267 indicator set, falling under the 'production and consumption' thematic area. It underscores
3268 efforts to sustain product and material value in the economy, minimize waste generation,
3269 and drive waste prevention strategies in alignment with the Waste Hierarchy.

Indicator Description:

This indicator tracks municipal waste generated and managed by local authorities or entities appointed by them. It predominantly accounts for household waste, although it may include waste from commercial activities, offices, and public institutions, reflecting consumer behaviour and the impact of waste reduction measures.

Unit:

The unit of measure is kilograms per capita, based on the annual average population.

Source Data:

The data is provided by Eurostat, consistent with the high-quality standards of the European Statistical System (ESS), deriving from the Municipal waste by waste operations report, collected under the OECD/Eurostat Joint Questionnaire. Data submission is voluntary, known as a gentlemen's agreement.

CEI_PC034: WASTE GENERATION PER CAPITA**Indicator metadata:** **Context:**

The 'Waste generation per capita' indicator is a key component of the Circular Economy monitoring framework, aimed at assessing the effectiveness of EU policies focused on waste reduction and resource efficiency within the 'production and consumption' thematic area.

Indicator Description:

This indicator reflects the total waste generation within a country, including major mineral wastes from all economic activities and households. It is an essential measure for evaluating the impact of waste prevention measures, allowing comparison of Member States' performance over time.

Unit:

The unit of measure is kilogram per capita

Source Data:

The data originates from the European Statistical System (ESS), specifically Eurostat, which collates information reported by countries under the Waste Statistics Regulation (EC) No 2150/2002.

CEI_SRMO30: CIRCULAR MATERIAL USE RATE**Indicator metadata:** **Context:**

As a core metric within the Circular Economy indicator set, the 'Circular material use rate' is crucial for monitoring advancements in the utilization of 'secondary raw materials'. It encapsulates the circular economy's goal to enhance material recycling, reduce waste, and curb the reliance on primary raw material extraction.

Indicator Description:

This indicator assesses the proportion of recycled material re-entering the economy against the overall material consumption, serving as a benchmark for the 'circularity rate'. It signifies the efficiency of resource use by contrasting the circular use of materials against the aggregate domestic material consumption (DMC), adjusted for waste trade.

Unit:

The indicator is presented as a percentage, depicting the share of recycled material in total material usage, reflecting the level at which secondary materials replace primary resources.

Source Data:

Data is sourced from the European Statistical System (ESS) and Eurostat, employing a trio of statistical resources: waste treatment statistics, material flow accounts, and international trade data.

CEI_WM010: RECYCLING RATE OF ALL WASTE EXCLUDING MAJOR MINERAL WASTE**Indicator metadata:** **Context:**

This indicator is pivotal for measuring advancements in 'waste management'. It gauges the efficiency of resource use by monitoring the volume of materials recycled and reincorporated into the economy, thus encapsulating the essence of material conservation and loss reduction.

Indicator Description:

The recycling rate is formulated by the proportion of waste recycled versus the total waste treated, excluding significant mineral waste, rendered in percentage terms. It encompasses both hazardous and non-hazardous waste across all sectors, including household and secondary waste from waste treatment processes, thereby providing a comprehensive snapshot of the national recycling efforts.

Unit:

Expressed in percentage

Source Data:

Eurostat, under the aegis of the ESS, supplies this data. It incorporates waste treatment information aligned with the Waste Statistics Regulation, fine-tuned with international trade data, to accurately reflect the recycling of domestically produced waste.

CEI_WM011: RECYCLING RATE OF MUNICIPAL WASTE**Indicator metadata:** **Context:**

As an integral part of the Circular Economy indicators, this measure serves as a barometer for the progression towards a more circular economy, with a focus on 'waste management'.

3344 It assesses the re-utilisation of consumer waste in the economy, capturing the complexities
3345 inherent in the diverse composition of municipal waste.

3346 **Indicator Description:**

3347 This indicator quantifies the proportion of municipal waste that is recycled, relative to
3348 the total amount of municipal waste produced, presented as a percentage. The breadth
3349 of municipal waste includes household refuse and similar commercial and public waste,
3350 representing a snapshot of the waste management quality from a consumer perspective.

3351 *"In order to comply with the objectives of this Directive, and move to a European circular
3352 economy with a high level of resource efficiency, Member States shall take the necessary
3353 measures designed to achieve the following targets: (a) by 2020, the preparing for re-use
3354 and the recycling of waste materials such as at least paper, metal, plastic and glass from
3355 households and possibly from other origins as far as these waste streams are similar to waste
3356 from households, shall be increased to a minimum of overall 50 % by weight;" — Article 11.2 of
3357 the Waste Framework Directive. [51]*

3358 **Unit:**

3359 The metric of evaluation is a percentage

3360 **Source Data:**

3361 Data source: European Statistical System (ESS) Data provider: Statistical Office of the
3362 European Union (Eurostat) based on data reported by the countries: Municipal waste
3363 by waste operations ↗ collected via a subset of the OECD/Eurostat Joint Questionnaire,
3364 section waste. Data are provided under a so-called gentlemen's agreement.

3365 **CEI_WMO60: RECYCLING RATE OF WASTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIP-
3366 MENT (WEEE) SEPARATELY COLLECTED**

3367 **Indicator metadata:** ↗

3368 **Context:**

3369 This indicator is a crucial component of the Circular Economy suite, offering insights
3370 into the progression towards enhanced sustainability in 'waste management'. WEEE, or
3371 e-waste, is a rapidly expanding waste stream within the EU that encapsulates items like
3372 computers, TVs, refrigerators, and mobile phones. Given the valuable materials found in
3373 e-waste, improving recycling processes is of paramount importance.

3374 **Indicator Description:**

3375 The indicator measures the efficiency of WEEE recycling by calculating the ratio of the
3376 weight of WEEE processed for recycling/re-use against the total weight of WEEE collected
3377 separately, in compliance with Article 11(2) of the WEEE Directive 2012/19/EU [33, 34].
3378 The indicator's transition from 'Recycling rate of e-waste' to its current form is to align
3379 more closely with the CE monitoring framework revisions.

3380 The applicability of Directive 2012/19/EU is twofold:

- 3381 • Applicable up to the year 2018 for EEE classified under 10 product categories
3382 as outlined in Annex I of the Directive, with Annex II providing a corresponding

3383 indicative product list.

- 3384
- 3385 • Applicable from the year 2019 forward, where all EEE will be classified within 6 product categories as delineated in Annex III.

3386 **Unit:**

3387 The percentage serves as the unit of measure

3388 **Source Data:**

3389 Data procurement is executed by the ESS and supplied by Eurostat. The indicator's
3390 underlying data stems from:

- 3391
- 3392 • For WEEE by waste operations: (env_waselee) ↗.
 - 3393 • For WEEE by waste management operations - open scope, 6 product categories (from 2018 onwards): (env_waseleeos) ↗.

3394

3395

4.5.4. The EU Circular Economy Indicators: *Scenarios*

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

3397

3398

3399

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

3400

3401

3402

**Scenario I: Business-as-usual**

3403

XX

3404

3405

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3406

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3407

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3408

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3409

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3410

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3411



3412



Scenario II: Recovery

3413

XX

3414

3415

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3416

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3417

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3418

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3419

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3420

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3421





Scenario III: Circularity

3422

XX

3424

3425

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3426

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3427

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3428

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3429

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3430

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3431

3432



4.5.5. Refuse, Reduce, Reuse: *Description*

Refuse, Reduce, and Reuse are the first three short loops (R0-2) in the circular economy scheme. They exist close to the consumer and can be linked to commercial or non-commercial actors engaged in extending the product's lifespan [99].

These strategies are pivotal in the circular economy, effectively reducing the environmental impact of products or services.

- R0: Refuse: Choosing not to purchase products or services that are unnecessary or unsustainable.
- R1: Reduce: Decreasing the quantity of products or services used or needed.
- R2: Reuse: Utilising products or services again for the same or a different purpose.

These strategies will be incorporated into FutuRaM's modelling framework through:

- Waste volume reduction from:
 - Reduction in overall demand, that is, the put on market (POM) of a product or service.
 - Reduction in the amount of material used in a product or service (efficiency).
 - Extension of the lifetime of products from reuse.
- Changes in the composition of waste, as some products are more amenable to being refused or reused than others.

Definitions

REFUSE

Refuse encompasses consumer and producer decisions aimed at minimising waste creation and reducing environmental impact. For consumers, it involves choosing not to purchase products that are not environmentally friendly and reducing overall consumption. In the production context, it signifies the deliberate avoidance of certain materials or processes to enhance circularity, such as eschewing hazardous substances or designing to minimise waste. Refuse, as a concept, prioritises waste prevention at the source and is integral to fostering a more circular economy [96].

REDUCE

Reduce refers to strategies aimed at minimising the use of natural resources, including energy, raw materials, and thereby reducing waste generation. This concept is multi-faceted:

- 3466 • For consumers, it involves using products less frequently, caring for and repairing products to extend their life, and participating in the sharing economy.
- 3467
- 3468 • For producers, it focuses on using less material per production unit, often referred to as 'dematerialisation', and incorporating these principles early in the Concept and Design Life Cycle.
- 3469
- 3470

3471 Reduction is also linked to the notion of Reuse, as decreasing the quantity of products
3472 (like cars) can incentivise their reuse. Policy measures to enforce reduction, such as
3473 banning single-use plastics or imposing environmental taxes, are essential for effective
3474 implementation [100].

3475 **Reuse** Reuse is about extending the life of products in their original form for as long as
3476 possible, thus conserving resources and energy. It involves maintaining and repairing
3477 products to keep them in use and developing business models that support these practices.
3478 Examples include:

- 3479 • Reusable packaging initiatives in various industries.
- 3480 • Encouraging the reuse of items like clothing, furniture, and electronics.
- 3481 • Deposit-refund systems that incentivise product return and reuse.

3482 Reuse strategies are vital for reducing the consumption of new products and avoiding
3483 the dichotomy of 'new for the rich, reused for the poor', promoting equitable and
3484 sustainable consumption patterns [90].

4.5.6. Refuse, Reduce, Reuse: *Scenarios*

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

3488

3489

3490

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

3491

3492

3493



Scenario I: Business-as-usual

3494

XX

3495

3496

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3497

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3498

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3499

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3500

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3501

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3502





Scenario II: Recovery

3503

XX

3505

3506

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3507

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3508

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3509

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3510

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3511

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3512





Scenario III: Circularity

3513

XX

3515

3516

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3517

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3518

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3519

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3520

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3521

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3522

3523



3524 4.5.7. Repair: Description

3525 [101, 102, 103, 104, 105]

3526 **Definition**

3527 Right to repair refers to the concept that end users, business users as well as consumers, of
3528 (generally) technical, electronic or automotive devices should be allowed to freely repair
3529 these products. Four requirements are of particular importance:

- 3530 • The device should be constructed and designed in a manner that allows repairs
3531 to be made easily;
- 3532 • End users and independent repair providers should be able to access the orig-
3533 inal spare parts and necessary tools (software as well as physical tools) at fair
3534 market conditions;
- 3535 • Repairs should, by design, be possible and not be hindered by software pro-
3536 gramming; and
- 3537 • The repairability of a device should be clearly communicated by the manufac-
3538 turer.

3539 **Context**

3540 Discarded products are often viable goods that can be repaired but are often tossed
3541 prematurely, resulting in 35 million tons of waste, 30 million tons of resources and 261
3542 million tons of greenhouse gas emissions in the EU every year [106]

3543 Repairing is one of the most relevant strategies within the Circular Economy (CE)
3544 concept since it contributes to waste prevention and extends product and components'
3545 lifespan. Thus, reparability becomes an essential issue from the early product design
3546 phases, where materials, geometries, and joints are defined. Despite some repairability
3547 indicators that can be found in the literature and are applied worldwide, there is a lack of
3548 connection between repairability and the early decision-making process for improving it
3549 from the design of components or subsystems of a product.

3550 However, repair is often seen as difficult by consumers. The 'right to repair' initiative
3551 complements several other proposals presented by the Commission to achieve sustain-
3552 able consumption throughout the entire lifecycle of a product, setting the framework for a
3553 true 'right to repair' across the EU. Obstacles to owner repair can lead to higher consumer
3554 costs or drive consumers to single-use devices instead of making repairs.

3555 The right to repair is a legal right for owners of devices and equipment to freely modify
3556 and repair products such as automobiles, electronics, and farm equipment. This right is
3557 framed in opposition to restrictions such as requirements to use only the manufacturer's
3558 maintenance services, restrictions on access to tools and components, and software
3559 barriers.

A right to repair can exist either in a closed access system, where the consumer is restricted to the repair services provided by the manufacturer or authorized repairers – a situation closer to the current reality. Or, a right to repair can evolve in an open access system, which implies full access to spare parts, tools, repair manuals and digital permission to repair. Policy options for a right to repair differ based on whether they encourage one or the other approach. Some argue an open access system is the only form of right to repair that is consumer-empowering and can yield the expected benefits. Others argue for a more complex system, moving towards open access but with some safeguards on a sectoral or product category basis. A cost-benefit analysis could help identify the sectors or product categories where a full open-access system would be most beneficial.

The goals of the right to repair are to favour repair instead of replacement and make such repairs more affordable leading to a more sustainable economy and reduction in waste.

International and European Right to Repair Initiatives

[103, 104, 105]

- **Availability of Spare Parts and Repair Information:**

- US state-level legislation includes laws like Massachusetts' requirement for car manufacturers to provide repair tools and information.
- The EU has measures like France's mandate for sellers to inform about the availability of spare parts, and Slovenia's requirement for maintenance and spare parts for at least 3 years after guarantee expiration.

- **Legal Guarantees:**

- European legal guarantee periods often exceed the EU directive's minimum, encouraging repair culture.
- For example, Sweden has a 3-year guarantee period, and Finland ties the period to the expected lifespan of the product.

- **Design Requirements:**

- Legislation like Washington State's (USA) proposed fair repair bill is aimed at promoting repairable product designs by prohibiting the creation of electronics that obstruct repairability.

- **Financial Incentives:**

- Cities like Graz offer subsidies for electronic device repairs and countries like Belgium provide écochèques to incentivize repair over replacement.

- **Copyright Law Exemptions:**

- In the US, certain copyright law exemptions facilitate repairability, such as the ability to unlock phones, although the exemption renewal process is cumbersome.

- 3598 • **Consumer Information:**

- 3599 – France's reparability index helps inform consumers by rating products on
3600 repairability criteria, promoting repair-friendly designs.

- 3601 • **Voluntary Labels and Green Public Procurement:**

- 3602 – Ecolabels such as EPEAT and various national labels incorporate repairability
3603 to different degrees.
- 3604 – Green Public Procurement practices push the market towards sustainable,
3605 repairable products.

- 3606 • **Communication and Awareness:**

- 3607 – Initiatives include repair-focused websites, awareness campaigns, and
3608 the establishment of repair hubs to build a repair-oriented culture.

3609 *Implementation in EU Law*

3610 [106, 107]

3611 European Product Policy has to date focused on the environmental performance of
3612 products via the Ecodesign and Ecolabelling Directives. The Ecodesign Directive sets
3613 minimum standards of performance for products, which results in poorly performing
3614 products being removed from the market whilst also driving innovation in the design and
3615 manufacture of new products to improve their performance. The Ecolabelling Directive
3616 provides consumers with clear information on product performance to inform their buying
3617 decisions. Originally cast for energy-using products, the directives have been extended
3618 to energy-related products and the assessment methodologies have been developed to
3619 include other aspects including materials and water consumption.

3620 Further measures considered include:

- 3621 • Amending Directive 2005/29/EC to prohibit presenting products as allowing repair when such repair is not possible, as well as omitting to inform consumers that it is not possible to repair goods in accordance with legal requirements.
- 3622 • Amending Directive 2005/29/EC to prohibit omitting to inform the consumer that the good is designed to limit its functionality when using consumables, spare parts, or accessories that are not provided by the original producer.
- 3623 • Traders to provide, before the conclusion of the contract, for all types of goods, where applicable, the reparability score of the good as provided by the producer in accordance with Union law, to allow consumers to make an informed transactional decision and choose goods that are easier to repair.
- 3624 • Ensuring information such as on the availability of spare parts and a repair manual, should no reparability score be available at the Union level.

To this end, new 'Digital Product Passports' providing information about products' environmental sustainability, will empower consumers and businesses to make informed choices when purchasing products, facilitate repairs and recycling, and improve transparency about products' lifecycle impacts on the environment. The passports also help public authorities to better perform checks and controls.

In addition, as part of the implementation of the EU Circular Economy Action Plan [15], the European Commission has carried out a study for the analysis and development of a possible scoring system to inform about the ability to repair and upgrade products [105] and has an ongoing project in the Product Bureau to develop and propose new metrics [108, 109].

Development of a metric for repairability

[105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112]

The trend in consumer goods towards reduced durability and repairability has been contributing to an increase in waste electronic and electrical equipment (WEEE). The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development has suggested that extending product lifetimes through enhanced durability and repairability is a viable solution to this growing issue. The European Commission's Circular Economy Action Plan reinforces this viewpoint, advocating for maintaining the value in products for as long as possible by imposing durability and repairability requirements. In response, several scoring systems for repairability have been developed to guide standardization efforts, aid market surveillance authorities, and inform consumer decision-making.

For a scoring system to be effective, it should provide an objective evaluation of repairability that aligns with the established design principles in the literature. Comparative analyses of various repairability scoring systems for different products have been undertaken in previous studies. However, the thoroughness of these systems is sometimes not fully evaluated, and some of the most recent systems have not been comprehensively reviewed.

Literature on the subject identifies specific design features and principles that significantly affect product repairability, and these should be central to any scoring system aimed at accurately measuring repairability. Assessing these design elements against selected scoring systems can shed light on their inclusiveness.

The objectivity of these scoring systems is another critical aspect, evaluated by examining their scoring methodologies. Selection criteria for these systems include their availability in English, the use of quantitative or semi-quantitative assessment methods to enable objective comparison, and their recognition as the most current versions from their respective issuing organizations or groups.

In 2021, France took a pioneering step by integrating the reparability index into national legislation. [113] This move compels producers to transparently communicate the repairability of their products through consumer labelling. The reparability index stands as a key development in empowering consumers to make informed choices regarding the

3674 repairability of products. The widespread issue of repairing common electronic devices
3675 like laptops and smartphones often stems from the unavailability of tools, spare parts, or
3676 repair instructions.

3677 An exemplary repair index would encompass elements such as product design, the
3678 availability of repair information, and additional services like the availability of spare parts.
3679 These aspects are crucial for the repair process. Data indicates that a substantial number
3680 of electronic product repairs are hindered by the lack of available spare parts.

3681 France's method mandates transparency regarding product repairability, yet relies on
3682 producers' self-assessment, prompting questions about the objectivity of such evaluations.
3683 The rapid implementation is advantageous, but the credibility of self-assessment remains
3684 a concern.

3685 With sustainability becoming increasingly important, France's repairability index marks
3686 an assertive step towards the broader adoption of such measures. Looking ahead, en-
3687 hancements like a durability index may offer greater insights into the long-term usability
3688 of products.

3689 In parallel, organizations such as TÜV SÜD are actively supporting the repairability
3690 testing landscape, aligning with standards like the French Repairability Scoring Index to
3691 ensure products fulfil specified repairability criteria [114]. Their approach factors in docu-
3692 mentation, disassembly, and the availability of spare parts and repair services, highlighting
3693 a practical, though less detailed, framework compared to France's comprehensive index.

3694 Benefits and risks

3695 ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS AND RISKS

3696 [105, 115]

3697 The implementation of the right to repair holds considerable promise for the reduction
3698 of environmental impacts if applied appropriately. It must be recognized that electronic
3699 equipment replacement often occurs not solely due to product failure. Influencing factors
3700 such as perceived obsolescence contribute significantly, as evidenced by a study in Austria
3701 revealing only 30% of replacements were attributable to malfunctioning products [102].

3702 Direct measurement of the impact of a right to repair is challenging, with the need to
3703 consider additional variables such as obsolescence perception, device performance, and
3704 consumer behaviour trends in determining potential extensions in consumer electronics'
3705 average lifespan.

3706 Moreover, the environmental benefit of repair is contingent not only on the increased
3707 product lifespan post-repair but also on the environmental footprint of the spare parts
3708 required for repair. Circuit boards, for example, carry substantial environmental impacts,
3709 and their replacement could still result in significant environmental costs. Common
3710 repairs typically involve less impactful components such as screens, casings, batteries, or

3711 software [101].

3712 Cordella et al. [101] report that compared to the baseline of replacing smartphones
3713 every two years, extending the device's life through repair can substantially diminish the
3714 carbon footprint. A one-year extension, with a battery change, can reduce greenhouse
3715 gas (GHG) emissions by 29%, and by 44% with a two-year extension.

3716 With 472 million Europeans owning a mobile phone, there are 8.11 Mt CO₂-eq. in
3717 annual emissions solely from phones. Extending the life of a mobile phone by just one
3718 year, including component replacements, could reduce emissions to 6.23 Mt CO₂-eq.
3719 annually [106]. A further extension by an additional year could decrease emissions to
3720 4.91 Mt CO₂-eq., effectively removing the equivalent of over 2 million cars from European
3721 roads.

3722 Nevertheless, these potential reductions should be interpreted with caution as they
3723 are based on estimations and may not account for potential rebound effects. For instance,
3724 economic savings from prolonged use of electronic devices could lead to rebound ef-
3725 fects where savings are offset by additional consumption stemming from the economic
3726 savings [116].

3727 Finally, repair activities offer a more energy-efficient alternative within the Circular
3728 Economy compared to recycling and remanufacturing, which demand extensive energy
3729 input and high material throughput. When feasible, repair should be prioritized over other
3730 circular economy strategies [105].

3731 MANUFACTURERS' PERSPECTIVE

- 3732 • Compliance with eco-design standards could reduce profit margins.
- 3733 • Risk of increased liability and the need to ensure long-term availability of spare
3734 parts.
- 3735 • Potential decrease in turnover due to extended product lifecycles.
- 3736 • Reduction in EU imports could foster the EU's technological independence, as
3737 per the EU Chips Act.
- 3738 • Loss in turnover potentially offset by repair services and spare parts supply.

3739 BROADER ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

- 3740 • Right to Repair could enhance competitiveness by increasing product longevity
3741 and added value.
- 3742 • Positive impact on professional repair services, spare parts provision, and tool
3743 providers.
- 3744 • SMEs and local repair shops likely to benefit significantly.
- 3745 • Potential for the development of new European leaders in repair services.

- 3746
- 3747
- A more repairable design could improve recycling processes and increase component harvesting.

3748

Relevance of Repairability to Critical Raw Materials in Waste Streams

3749
3750
3751
3752

The integration of the ‘Right to Repair’ ethos and the promotion of repairability has implications for the management of Critical Raw Materials (CRMs) across various waste streams, such as BATT (waste batteries), ELV (end-of-life vehicles), WEEE (waste electrical and electronic equipment), and CDW (construction and demolition waste).

3753

BATTERIES (BATT)

3754
3755

Batteries are a crucial repository of CRMs like lithium, cobalt, and nickel. Enhancing their repairability can lead to:

- 3756
- 3757
- 3758
- 3759
- Refurbishing batteries for second-life applications.
 - Design modifications for easier replacement of battery cells.
 - Reduced extraction of new raw materials, mitigating the environmental footprint.

3760

END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES (ELV)

3761
3762

Vehicles are a significant source of CRMs such as platinum and palladium (catalytic converters) and rare earth elements (electronics and magnets). ‘Right to Repair’ can:

- 3763
- 3764
- Influence design changes for modularity and ease of part replacement.
 - Prolong the utility of CRMs and lessen new resource extraction.

3765

WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT (WEEE)

3766
3767

The WEEE stream contains valuable CRMs like gold, silver, and rare earth elements. Promoting repairability results in:

- 3768
- 3769
- 3770
- Prolonged life spans for electronic devices.
 - A reduction in the volume of CRMs entering the waste stream.
 - Conservation of valuable materials through repair and refurbishment.

3771

CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE (CDW)

3772
3773

CRMs feature in many building materials as well as wind turbines which are part of this waste stream, and advocating for repairability in construction can:

- 3774 • Lead to buildings designed for deconstruction, not demolition.
- 3775 The emphasis on repairability and 'Right to Repair' legislation can lead to reduced CRM
3776 demand, decreased environmental impact through less mining, creation of economic
3777 incentives for repair industries, and improved resource security by minimizing reliance on
3778 raw material extraction. This approach is in line with fostering a circular economy, aiming
3779 for a sustainable management of resources within the EU.

4.5.8. Repair: Scenarios

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

3782

3784

3785

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

3786

3787

3788



Scenario I: Business-as-usual

3789

XX

3790

3791

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3792

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3793

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3794

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3795

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3796

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3797





Scenario II: Recovery

3798

XX

3800

3801

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3802

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3803

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3804

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3805

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3806

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3807





Scenario III: Circularity

3808

XX

3810

3811

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3812

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3813

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3814

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3815

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3816

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3817



3818



4.5.9. Remanufacturing and Refurbishing: *Description*

Definition

Remanufacturing involves disassembling the full structure of a multi-component product, inspecting, cleaning, repairing, or replacing necessary parts, and reassembling it to its original state or better. This process can include both reused and new components, aiming to achieve a quality level that meets or exceeds the new product [96, 117, 118].

Refurbishing, often confused with remanufacturing, is the process where the overall structure of a large multi-component product remains intact, while components are replaced or repaired, resulting in an overall 'upgrade' of the product. It aims to bring the product up to a specified quality, possibly incorporating newer, more advanced components [96].

Context

Remanufacturing and refurbishing are essential strategies in the circular economy, aimed at extending product lifecycles and reducing waste. They are particularly relevant in the medium long loops (R4-R6) of product recovery, where they serve as business activities indirectly linked to the consumer [119].

International and European Trends

Remanufacturing is gaining momentum globally, particularly in the U.S. and Europe. Governments are legislating manufacturers to assume responsibility for their products post-use, emphasizing recyclability and waste reduction. The market for environmentally friendly products, valued at over USD 200 billion, is driving corporations to adopt remanufacturing and other green practices [120, 121].

Implementation in EU Law

EU laws increasingly mandate manufacturers to engage in product recovery, including remanufacturing. This aligns with the EU's broader goals of sustainable development, resource efficiency, and transitioning to a circular economy [31, 119].

Economic Scale and Regional Focus in Europe

The remanufacturing industry in Europe generates around €30bn in turnover and employs about 190,000 people. Key regions like Germany, the UK, Ireland, France, and Italy have significant remanufacturing activities. Germany leads in remanufacturing turnover, particularly in aerospace, automotive, and heavy-duty off-road (HDOR) sectors [119].

3850 Benefits and Risks

3851 Environmental Benefits and Risks:

3852 Remanufacturing significantly reduces environmental impact by conserving raw
3853 materials and energy, while risks may include the potential for resource-intensive
3854 processes if not efficiently managed.

3855 Manufacturers' Perspective:

3856 For manufacturers, remanufacturing offers economic benefits, with costs typically
3857 40–60% lower than manufacturing new products. It also enhances corporate image
3858 and competitive advantage in a market increasingly sensitive to environmental
3859 concerns [120].

3860 Broader Economic and Environmental Implications:

3861 Remanufacturing contributes to a sustainable economy, offering a less resource-
3862 intensive alternative to new production. It supports employment, innovation, and
3863 reduces dependency on raw material extraction.

3864 Benefits and Risks

3865 Environmental Benefits and Risks:

3866 Remanufacturing significantly reduces environmental impact by conserving raw ma-
3867 terials and energy. However, risks may include the potential for resource-intensive
3868 processes, especially if not managed efficiently, and the need for effective sorting
3869 and inspection policies to decide on the remanufacturability of returned prod-
3870 ucts [122].

3871 Manufacturers' Perspective:

3872 For manufacturers, remanufacturing offers economic benefits, with costs typically
3873 40–60% lower than manufacturing new products. It also enhances corporate image
3874 and competitive advantage in a market increasingly sensitive to environmental
3875 concerns. Additionally, manufacturers' identity, brand reputation, and technological
3876 capabilities play a crucial role in the success of remanufacturing initiatives [120, 122].

3877 Broader Economic and Environmental Implications:

3878 Remanufacturing contributes to a sustainable economy, offering a less resource-
3879 intensive alternative to new production. It supports employment, innovation, and
3880 reduces dependency on raw material extraction. Key factors such as government
3881 regulations, collection strategies, and public awareness about environmental bene-
3882 fits are crucial in promoting remanufacturing. Moreover, design for remanufacturing
3883 and skilled labor are essential for efficient remanufacturing processes [122].

3884 Market Dynamics:

3885 Factors like consumer purchase intentions, pricing strategies, and the fear of can-
3886 nabalization significantly influence the market for remanufactured products. Con-
3887 sumers' willingness to return used products and their perception of remanufactured
3888 products also play a crucial role in shaping the remanufacturing market [122].




Figure 4.11: An example of a generic remanufacturing process for vehicle components [123]

Regulatory and Strategic Aspects:

Government regulations, such as take-back laws and extended producer responsibility, incentivize remanufacturing. Strategic elements like inventory control, scheduling, and material matching are vital for operational efficiency in remanufacturing. Management prescience is required to spearhead remanufacturing business and maintain circularity in the economy [122].

Figure 4.11 illustrates an example of a remanufacturing process (in this case, for vehicle components), highlighting the key steps and the inputs and outputs [123].

3899 **Relevance of Remanufacturing and Refurbishing in FutuRaM's Waste Streams**



3901 **BATT (Battery waste)**

- 3902 • Electric Vehicle Batteries: Remanufacturing can involve replacing degraded cells or modules to extend their lifespan, thereby conserving lithium and cobalt.
- 3903 • Laptop Batteries: Through remanufacturing, individual cells within the battery pack can be replaced or upgraded, enhancing the overall battery life and efficiency.



3907 **ELV (End-of-Life Vehicles)**

- 3908 • Automotive Engines: Remanufacturing can include refurbishing engine components, such as pistons and bearings, to restore performance and efficiency.
- 3909 • Transmission Systems: Rebuilding transmission systems with replaced or refurbished gears and bearings can significantly extend the life of the vehicle.



3912 **WEEE (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment)**

- 3913 • Smartphones: Remanufacturing can involve replacing batteries, screens, and other components to restore them to like-new condition.
- 3914 • Printers and Copiers: Components such as toner cartridges, drums, and fusers can be remanufactured to extend their service life and improve functionality.



3917 **CDW (Construction and Demolition Waste)**

- 3918 • Structural Steel Elements: In construction and demolition, steel beams and columns can be refurbished and reused in new construction projects.
- 3919 • Wooden Beams and Flooring: Wooden elements can be remanufactured through processes like sanding, treating, and reinforcing for reuse in construction.



3925

4.5.10. Remanufacturing: *Scenarios*

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

3926

3927

3928

Global trends

3929

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

3930

3931





Scenario I: Business-as-usual

3932

XX

3934

3935

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3936

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3937

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3938

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3939

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3940

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3941





Scenario II: Recovery

3942

XX

3944

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3945

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3946

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3947

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3948

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3949

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3950

3951

[Back to ToC](#)WP2: Scenario Storylines | www.futuram.eu | 169



Scenario III: Circularity

3952

XX

3954

3955

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

3956

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

3957

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

3958

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

3959

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

3960

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

3961



3962



4.5.11. The Sharing Economy: Description

[124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129]

Definition

The sharing economy is a socio-economic system that emphasizes the collaborative sharing of goods and services via community-based online platforms. It represents a shift from traditional ownership, where assets were exclusively leased, to a flexible model allowing for both personal use and lease. This flexibility is a hallmark of the sharing economy, which has grown significantly in response to advancements in technology, such as e-commerce and mobile connectivity, coupled with a societal push for more sustainable living and efficient resource use.

Context

As the concept of ownership transforms, particularly among the younger generation, the sharing economy has increasingly taken root in the EU market. This shift towards more communal and cost-effective ways of accessing goods and services is supported by a new wave of consumer behavior, underpinned by technological innovation and a pressing need to reduce environmental waste and resource duplication.

While the sharing economy is broad and its definition fluid, it is often associated with collaborative consumption, though the two can differ in motives and mechanisms. Collaborative consumption may span consumer-to-consumer and business-to-consumer interactions, whereas the sharing economy typically operates within the consumer-to-consumer sphere. The sharing economy is thereby defined as an innovative marketplace where entities engage in the distribution and utilization of products and resources, with scalability achieved through technological means.

This socio-economic model has not only disrupted traditional business sectors but has also brought new value to the global economy, with rapid and profound market penetration. Financial forecasts have been bullish, with revenue for sharing platform providers expected to increase from USD 18.6 billion in 2017 to an estimated USD 40.2 billion in 2022. Moreover, the overall value of the global sharing economy is projected to expand significantly, from USD 14 billion in 2014 to USD 335 billion in 2025, reflecting an unprecedented growth trajectory over a mere twelve years[129]. Such growth reflects the substantial economic potential and transformative power of the sharing economy in contemporary markets.

Scope within the EU Economy

The sharing economy has made a significant economic contribution to the EU, with an estimated €26.5 billion added to the GDP in 2016 [125]. This figure is expected to

grow, indicating the sharing economy's increasing importance within the EU's economic structure.

Environmental Prospects

[124, 125, 127]

See [129] Table 2 for a summary of the studies on the environmental impacts of the sharing economy.

The sharing economy has the potential to reshape consumption behaviors and reduce environmental impacts by promoting the sustainable use of resources. This economic paradigm encourages the efficient employment of underutilised goods, which can lead to a decrease in the need for new products, thus conserving resources and mitigating greenhouse gas emissions. It fosters a lifestyle that lessens the adverse environmental effects of consumption while improving quality of life.

Central to the sharing economy is the promotion of moderate consumption patterns. This approach aims to reduce the excessive purchasing habits of certain populations to alleviate ongoing environmental harm. The sharing economy's alignment with green consumption practices encompasses waste reduction, energy conservation, and the adoption of sustainable resources, all while managing and moderating excessive consumption.

The impact on the fast fashion industry serves as a pertinent example, with the sector's frequent turnover to keep pace with changing trends leading to significant textile waste. Collaborative consumption through the sharing economy can mitigate this waste by encouraging the reuse and extension of clothing's service life. Clothing libraries are an example of how the sharing economy can provide environmental benefits by prolonging the usable life of garments.

Eco-efficiency is enhanced when environmental resources are utilised more effectively, leading to an increased use of products with minimal environmental burden. This is exemplified in collaborative fashion consumption, which could reduce the prevalent overconsumption in the fashion industry. By facilitating the exchange of underused clothing, the sharing economy can increase the lifecycle of garments and encourage the production of more durable products.

Beyond the realm of fashion, car sharing and shared accommodation are other aspects of the sharing economy with notable environmental benefits. Car sharing can significantly reduce the number of vehicles needed, thereby lowering exhaust emissions. Similarly, shared accommodations have been associated with significantly lower carbon dioxide emissions compared to conventional hotel stays.

However, the question of whether the sharing economy indeed delivers environmental benefits remains contested. [129, 130] Detractors highlight the potential for an increase in environmental burdens, particularly if the heightened usability of shared goods escalates greenhouse gas emissions. The environmental and socio-economic impacts engendered by the collaborative economy are intricate and highly variable across different business

models. Generally speaking, collaborative consumption models that optimise the use of existing assets tend to exhibit a lower environmental footprint compared to their traditional counterparts. Nevertheless, there is a risk that the financial savings afforded by collaborative consumption could spur additional spending and consumption, which might negate the direct environmental savings. Despite such reservations, the prevailing view is that the sharing economy, by transforming consumption from ownership to communal use, can yield considerable environmental advantages.

Implications for Waste Streams

The adoption of sharing economy principles can influence various waste streams, including:

- **BATT (Waste Batteries):** As devices are shared and utilized more efficiently, the frequency of battery disposal could decline, mitigating the waste battery stream.
- **CDW (Construction and Demolition Waste):** The sharing of construction equipment and machinery could potentially slow down the turnover rate of these items, reducing associated waste.
- **WEEE (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment):** Sharing electronic devices extends their lifecycle and reduces the rate at which they are discarded, thereby impacting electronic waste volumes.
- **ELV (End-of-Life Vehicles):** A shift towards car-sharing services could reduce the demand for manufacturing new vehicles, potentially leading to a downturn in the generation of automotive waste.

The trajectory of the sharing economy indicates a shift towards collective usage patterns. Its continuing evolution could play a critical role in the future of critical raw material recovery systems by affecting demand and the lifecycle of products, which, in turn, influences waste stream outputs. The broader implications for the raw materials sector are significant, suggesting a possible recalibration of recovery strategies for critical raw materials in light of emerging consumption patterns.

Challenges in Measuring Sharing Economy Growth

Identifying a universal metric for the growth of the sharing economy is challenging due to its diverse and dynamic nature. Current measures, such as STOXX Global sharing economy indices, Solactive Sharing Economy Index and the INDXX US Sharing Economy Index, largely revolve around the market sizes of prominent sharing economy companies, such as Uber and Airbnb. These indices, while useful, predominantly reflect the scalability of these businesses rather than the sharing economy's broader impacts on production and waste reduction.

In the absence of a standardised metric, the assessment of the sharing economy's

expansion is often best approached through product-specific data. This involves examining the adoption rates and usage trends of sharing services at the product level to infer growth patterns. Such a detailed, product-centric analysis allows for a closer inspection of the sharing economy's implications on resource utilisation and waste generation, offering insights that aggregate economic data may overlook.

4079

4080

4.5.12. The Sharing Economy: Scenarios

WASTE STREAM NOTICE

This section will be filled out with the details of exactly how this parameter is incorporated into your stock and flow models

Summary

REVIEW NOTICE

This summary will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

4087



Scenario I: Business-as-usual

4088

XX

4089

4090

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

4091

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

4092

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

4093

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

4094

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

4095

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

4096





Scenario II: Recovery

4097

XX

4099

4100

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)****CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)****ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)****MIN (MINING WASTE)****SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)****WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

4106





Scenario III: Circularity

4107

4108

4109

4110

**BATT (BATTERY WASTE)**

4111

**CDW (CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE)**

4112

**ELV (END-OF-LIFE VEHICLES)**

4113

**MIN (MINING WASTE)**

4114

**SLASH (SLAGS AND ASHES)**

4115

**WEEE (WASTE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT)**

4116



4117



4118

Conclusion

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

4119

4120

4121

4122

4.6. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

4123

4125

4126

4127

4128



4129



4130

Interpretation

4131

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4132	5.1 Introduction	182
4133	5.2 Conclusion	183



5.1. INTRODUCTION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4135

4136

5.2. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be compiled once the individual waste stream sections for each parameter are complete.

4138

4139

4140

4141

4142



4143



4144

Modelling — Waste generation

4145

4146

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4147	6.1 Introduction	185
4148	6.2 Conclusion	186



6.1. INTRODUCTION

4149

Development of the codebase for the waste generation models is ongoing.

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4150

4151

4152

6.2. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4154

4155

4156

4157

4158



4159



4160

Modelling — Recovery system

4161

4162

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4163	7.1 Introduction	188
4164	7.2 Conclusion	189



4165

7.1. INTRODUCTION

4166

Development of the codebase for the recovery model is ongoing.

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4167

4168

7.2. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4169

4170

4171

4172



4173

4174

4175



4176

Modelling — Integration

4177

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4178	8.1 Introduction	191
4179	8.2 Conclusion	192



8.1. INTRODUCTION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4180

4181

4182

8.2. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4184

4185

4186

4187

4188





4189

Impacts

4190

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4191	9.1 Introduction	194
4192	9.2 Conclusion	195
4193		



9.1. INTRODUCTION

Task 2.4

Quantify environmental and socioeconomic impacts of SRM recovery under each scenario (ULEI, TUB, Empa, UNITAR, WEEE Forum, BRGM, UCL, LMU) (M18-M36)

This task will use the information generated in Tasks 2.1–2.3, together with the material flow analysis from WP4, to quantify the future environmental and socioeconomic feedbacks for each waste sector and scenario according to future recovery technology.

4194

4195

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4197

4198

9.2. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This conclusion will be This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4199

4200

4201

4202

4203

4204





4205

Bottlenecks

4206

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4207	10.1 Introduction	197
4209	10.2 Conclusion	198



10.1. INTRODUCTION

Task 2.5

Assess the environmental and socioeconomic impacts and bottlenecks of future SRM recovery (ULEI, TUB, Empa, UNITAR, Chalmers, UNITAR, WEEEcycle) (M37-M47)

This task will develop a report based on an assessment on the pressures and bottlenecks associated with environmental and socioeconomic issues related to each waste sector, including the associated changes and impacts on imports and of primary raw materials production (D2.1).

4210

4211

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4212

4214

10.2. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4216

4217

4218



4219

4220



4221

Conclusion

4222

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4224	11.1 Introduction	200
4225	11.2 Conclusion	201



11.1. INTRODUCTION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4227

4228

11.2. CONCLUSION

REVIEW NOTICE

This section will be conducted at a later stage of the project.

4229

4230

4231

4232



4233

4234



4235

References

4236

Literature referred to in section 13.4 is excluded from the following lists of references, except for those titles cited elsewhere in the report.

4239

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4240	12.1 Legislation and Government Documents	203
4241	12.2 Reports	204
4242	12.3 Datasets	206
4243	12.4 Books	207
4244	12.5 Book Chapters	207
4245	12.6 Articles	208
4246	12.7 Online Sources	212
4247	12.8 Miscellaneous	212



12.1. LEGISLATION AND GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS

- [2] European Commission. *European Critical Raw Materials Act*. European Commission, 2023. URL: https://single-market-economy.ec.europa.eu/publications/european-critical-raw-materials-act_en (cit. on pp. vii, 6, 7).
- [15] European Commission. *A New Circular Economy Action Plan For a Cleaner and More Competitive Europe*. European Commission, 2020. DOI: 10.2779/05068. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM:2020:98:FIN> (cit. on pp. xviii, 6, 154).
- [31] European Commission. *The European Green Deal*. European Commission, 2019. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM:2019:640:FIN> (cit. on pp. 6, 87, 163).
- [32] European Commission. *Directive 2002/96/EC Of The European Parliament and of The Council of 27 January 2003 on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE)*. European Commission, 2003. URL: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2003:037:0024:0038:en:PDF> (cit. on p. 6).
- [33] European Commission. *Directive 2012/19/EU of the European Parliament and of the council of 4 July 2012 on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) text with EEA relevance*. European Commission, 2012. URL: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2012:197:0038:0071:EN:PDF> (cit. on pp. 6, 32, 39, 46, 139).
- [34] European Commission. *Directive 2012/19/EU of the European Parliament and of the council of 4 July 2012 on waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) (recast)*. European Commission, 2012. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32012L0019> (cit. on pp. 6, 32, 39, 46, 139).
- [36] European Commission. *Regulation (EU) 2023/1542 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 July 2023 concerning batteries and waste batteries, amending Directive 2008/98/EC and Regulation (EU) 2019/1020 and repealing Directive 2006/66/EC*. European Commission, 2023. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2023/1542/oj> (cit. on pp. 6, 31, 37, 45).
- [37] European Commission. *Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Concerning Batteries and Waste Batteries, Repealing Directive 2006/66/EC and Amending Regulation (EU) No 2019/1020*. European Commission, 2020. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:52020PC0798> (cit. on pp. 6, 8, 31, 37, 45).
- [51] European Commission. *Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (Text with EEA relevance)*. European Commission, 2008. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32008L0098> (cit. on pp. 33, 40, 48, 139).
- [54] European Commission. *Directive 2014/53/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States relating to the making available on the market of radio equipment and repealing Directive 1999/5/EC*. European Commission, 2023. URL: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2014/53/2023-10-01> (cit. on p. 47).
- [77] European Parliament and Council of the European Union. *Directive (EU) 2023/2413 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 October 2023 amending Directive (EU) 2018/2001, Regulation (EU) 2018/1999 and Directive 98/70/EC as regards the promotion of energy from renewable sources, and repealing Council Directive (EU) 2015/652*. Directive. European Parliament and Council of the European Union, 31st Oct. 2023. URL: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:L_202302413 (cit. on p. 81).
- [78] European Commission. *Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: REPowerEU Plan*. Communication. European Commission, 2022. URL: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:fc930f14-d7ae-11ec-a95f-01aa75ed71a1.0001.02/DOC_1&format=PDF (cit. on pp. 81, 87).

- 4296 [80] European Commission. *Fit for 55 - The EU's plan for a green transition - Consilium*. 2021. URL: <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/policies/green-deal/fit-for-55-the-eu-plan-for-a-green-transition/#package> (cit. on p. 87).
- 4297
- 4298
- 4299 [81] European Parliament and Council of the European Union. *Draft Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Directive (EU) 2018/2001, Regulation (EU) 2018/1999 and Directive 98/70/EC as regards the promotion of energy from renewable sources, and repealing Council Directive (EU) 2015/652 (first reading) - Interinstitutional File: 2021/O218(COD)*. Interinstitutional File. European Parliament and Council of the European Union, 6th Oct. 2023. URL: <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-13188-2023-ADD-1-REV-3/en/pdf> (cit. on p. 89).
- 4300
- 4301
- 4302
- 4303
- 4304
- 4305 [91] European Commission. *Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a Monitoring Framework for the Circular Economy*. COM (2018) 29 Final. 2018. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1516265440535&uri=COM:2018:29:FIN> (visited on 02/11/2018) (cit. on pp. 126, 134).
- 4306
- 4307
- 4308
- 4309
- 4310 [98] European Commission. *Commission Staff Working Document: Measuring Progress towards Circular Economy in the European Union – Key Indicators for a Revised Monitoring Framework Accompanying the Document Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on a Revised Monitoring Framework for the Circular Economy*. Staff Working Document. SWD/2023/306 final. 2023. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52023SC0306> (cit. on p. 134).
- 4311
- 4312
- 4313
- 4314
- 4315
- 4316
- 4317 [107] Directorate-General for Justice European Commission and Consumers. *Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on Common Rules Promoting the Repair of Goods and Amending Regulation (EU) 2017/2394, Directives (EU) 2019/771 and (EU) 2020/1828*. Directive Proposal. 2023. URL: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:cdbeaa83-c94e-11ed-a05c-01aa75ed71a1.0001.02/DOC_1&format=PDF (cit. on pp. 153, 154).
- 4318
- 4319
- 4320
- 4321
- 4322 [113] Ministère de la transition écologique. *Décret n°2020-1757 du 29 décembre 2020 relatif à l'indice de réparabilité des équipements électriques et électroniques [Decree No. 2020-1757 of 29 December 2020 on the repairability index of electrical and electronic equipment]*. Légifrance. NOR: TRED2023604D, ELI: <https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/eli/decret/2020/12/29/TRED2023604D/jo/texte>, Aliases: <https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/eli/decret/2020/12/29/2020-1757/jo/texte>, JORF n°0316 of 31 December 2020, Text n°5. Paris, 2020 (cit. on p. 154).
- 4323
- 4324
- 4325
- 4326
- 4327

4328 12.2. REPORTS

- 4329 [3] European Commission et al. *Study on the critical raw materials for the EU 2023 — Final report*. 2023. DOI: 10.2873/725585. URL: <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2873/725585> (cit. on p. vii).
- 4330
- 4331 [6] Lena Börjeson et al. *Towards a user's guide to scenarios - a report on scenario types and scenario techniques*. 2005. URL: <https://www.osti.gov/etdeweb/biblio/20688312> (cit. on pp. xvii, 3, 12, 14).
- 4332
- 4333
- 4334 [13] Vivienne Halleux. *New EU regulatory framework for batteries: Setting sustainability requirements*. 2021. URL: [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/EPRS_BRI\(2021\)689337](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/EPRS_BRI(2021)689337) (cit. on pp. xviii, 31, 37, 45).
- 4335
- 4336
- 4337 [18] International Energy Agency (IEA). *Critical Minerals Market Review 2023*. 2023. URL: <https://www.iea.org/reports/critical-minerals-market-review-2023> (cit. on pp. xix, 93).
- 4338
- 4339 [19] Lucia Mancini, Camillo De Camillis and David Pennington. *Security of supply and scarcity of raw materials: Towards a methodological framework for sustainability assessment*. 2013. DOI: 10.2788/94926. URL: <https://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/uploads/RawMat-scarcity-of-raw-materials.pdf> (cit. on p. xix).
- 4340
- 4341
- 4342

- [38] Marcin Szczepański. *Resilience of global supply chains: Challenges and solutions*. 2021. URL: [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/EPRS_BRI\(2021\)698815](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/EPRS_BRI(2021)698815) (cit. on p. 9).
- [43] International Energy Agency (IEA). *World Energy Outlook 2022*. 2022. URL: <https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/830fe099-5530-48f2-a7c1-11f35d510983/WorldEnergyOutlook2022.pdf> (cit. on p. 29).
- [44] Hoesung Lee, Katherine Calvin, Dipak Dasgupta et al. *Climate Change 2023 Synthesis Report Summary for Policymakers*. 2024. URL: <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr/> (cit. on p. 29).
- [45] A. Løvik, C. Marmy, M. Ljunggren et al. *Material composition trends in vehicles: critical raw materials and other relevant metals*. Eur 30916 En. 2021. DOI: 10.2760/351825. URL: <https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC126564> (cit. on pp. 31, 38, 45).
- [46] N. Tazi et al. *Initial analysis of selected measures to improve the circularity of critical raw materials and other materials in vehicles*. 2023. DOI: 10.2760/207541. URL: <https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC132821> (cit. on pp. 31, 38, 45).
- [47] K. Parajuly, R. Kuehr, A. K. Awasthi et al. *Future E-waste Scenarios*. StEP Initiative, UNU ViE-SCYCLE, UNEP IETC, 2019. URL: <https://www.unep.org/ietc/resources/publication/future-e-waste-scenarios> (cit. on pp. 32, 39, 46).
- [48] United Nations Institute for Training United Nations Environment Programme and Research. *Electronic and Electrical Waste Outlook in West Asia*. 2023. URL: <https://wedocs.unep.org/20.500.11822/42147> (cit. on pp. 32, 39, 46).
- [49] Vanessa Forti, Cornelis P Balde, Ruediger Kuehr et al. *The Global E-waste Monitor 2020: Quantities, flows and the circular economy potential*. 2020. URL: https://ewastemonitor.info/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/GEM_2020_def_july1_low.pdf (cit. on pp. 32, 39, 46).
- [53] Jaco Huisman et al. *Countering WEEE Illegal Trade (CWIT) Summary Report, Market Assessment, Legal Analysis, Crime Analysis and Recommendations Roadmap*. 2015. DOI: 10.13140/rg.2.1.4864.2328. URL: <http://i.unu.edu/media/ias.unu.edu-en/news/10221/CWIT-Final-Summary1.pdf> (cit. on p. 39).
- [56] B. Oberle et al. *Global Resources Outlook 2019: Natural Resources for the Future We Want*. Tech. rep. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme, 2019. URL: <https://www.resourcepanel.org/reports/global-resources-outlook> (cit. on p. 62).
- [66] Robert J. Barro. *Determinants of Economic Growth in a Panel of Countries*. CEMA Working Papers. China Economics, Management Academy, Central University of Finance and Economics, 2003. URL: http://www.clmeconomia.jccm.es/pdfclm/barro_i.pdf (cit. on p. 74).
- [76] Stefano Carrara et al. *Supply chain analysis and material demand forecast in strategic technologies and sectors in the EU – A foresight study*. JRC132889. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2023. DOI: 10.2760/334074. URL: https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC132889/JRC132889_01.pdf (cit. on pp. 81, 82).
- [79] International Energy Agency (IEA). *Renewables 2022*. 2022. URL: <https://www.iea.org/reports/renewables-2022> (cit. on pp. 82, 89).
- [82] European Commission. *Commission Staff Working Document Implementing the REPowerEU Action Plan: Investment Needs, Hydrogen Accelerator and Achieving the Bio-methane Targets Accompanying the document Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions REPowerEU Plan COM(2022) 230 final*. Commission Staff Working Document SWD(2022) 230 final. European Commission, 18th May 2022. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52022SC0230&from=EN> (cit. on pp. 89, 90).
- [84] European Commission et al. *EU reference scenario 2020 – Energy, transport and GHG emissions – Trends to 2050*. 2021. DOI: doi/10.2833/35750. URL: <https://op.europa.eu/o/opportunities/download-handler?identifier=96c2ca82-e85e-11eb-93a8-01aa75ed71a1&format=pdf&language=en&productionSystem=cellar&part=> (cit. on p. 91).

- 4392 [85] Mantzos L et al. *The POTEnCIA Central scenario: an EU energy outlook to 2050*. 2021. DOI: 10.2760/32835. URL: https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC118353/potencia_central_scenario_online.pdf (cit. on p. 91).
- 4393
- 4394
- 4395 [89] R. Pardo and J.P. Schweitzer. *A Long-term Strategy for a European Circular Economy – Setting the Course for Success*. Policy Paper. Produced for the Think2030 project. Brussels: Think2030, 2018 (cit. on p. 126).
- 4396
- 4397
- 4398 [93] OECD. *Material Resources, Productivity and the Environment*. 2015, p. 172. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264190504-en>. URL: <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/publication/9789264190504-en> (cit. on p. 126).
- 4400
- 4401 [105] M. Cordella, F. Alfieri and J. Sanfelix Forner. *Analysis and development of a scoring system for repair and upgrade of products*. 29711 En. Jrc114337. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2019. DOI: 10.2760/725068 (cit. on pp. 151, 152, 154–156).
- 4402
- 4403
- 4404 [106] M. Moeslinger et al. *Towards an Effective Right to Repair for Electronics*. JRC Technical Reports Jrc129957. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2022. DOI: 10.2760/42722. URL: https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC129957/JRC129957_01.pdf (cit. on pp. 151, 153, 154, 156).
- 4405
- 4406
- 4407
- 4408 [109] Christoforos Spiliotopoulos et al. *Product reparability scoring system: Specific application to smartphones and slate tablets*. 2022 (cit. on p. 154).
- 4409
- 4410 [121] Nabil Nasr et al. *Re-defining Value – The Manufacturing Revolution. Remanufacturing, Refurbishment, Repair and Direct Reuse in the Circular Economy*. Tech. rep. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme, 2018. URL: <https://www.resourcepanel.org/file/1105/download?token=LPqPM9Bo> (cit. on p. 163).
- 4411
- 4412
- 4413
- 4414 [125] Robert Vaughan and Raphael Daverio. *PWC report for DG-Grow: Analytical paper on the economic scale and growth of the collaborative economy*. 2016. URL: <https://ec.europa.eu/docsroom/documents/16954/attachments/1/translations/en/renditions/native> (cit. on pp. 171, 172).
- 4415
- 4416
- 4417
- 4418 [126] European Commission et al. *Study to monitor the economic development of the collaborative economy at sector level in the 28 EU Member States – Final report*. 2018. DOI: doi/10.2873/83555. URL: <https://op.europa.eu/o/opportal-service/download-handler?identifier=0cc9aab6-7501-11e8-9483-01aa75ed71a1&format=pdf&language=en&productionSystem=cellar&part=> (cit. on p. 171).
- 4419
- 4420
- 4421
- 4422
- 4423 [127] European Commission et al. *Environmental potential of the collaborative economy – Final report and annexes*. 2018. DOI: doi/10.2779/518554. URL: <https://op.europa.eu/o/opportal-service/download-handler?identifier=8e18cbf3-2283-11e8-ac73-01aa75ed71a1&format=pdf&language=en&productionSystem=cellar&part=> (cit. on pp. 171, 172).
- 4424
- 4425
- 4426
- 4427 [128] Alberta Andreotti et al. *Participation in the Sharing Economy : Report from the EU H2020 Research Project Ps2Share: Participation, Privacy, and Power in the Sharing Economy*. 2017. DOI: 10.5167/uzh-149823. URL: <https://www.bi.edu/globalassets/forskning/h2020/participation-working-paper.pdf> (cit. on p. 171).
- 4428
- 4429
- 4430
- 4431 [134] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). *Climate Change and Land*. 2022. DOI: 10.1017/9781009157988. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157988> (cit. on p. 217).
- 4432
- 4433

12.3. DATASETS

- 4434 [57] Eurostat and European Commission. *Population projections - Population and demography - Eurostat*. 2023. URL: <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/population-demography/population-projections> (cit. on pp. 63, 73).
- 4435
- 4436

- 4437 [58] Office for National Statistics – ONS. *2020-based interim national population projections: year ending*
4438 *June 2022 estimated international migration variant.* (Accessed on 10/30/2023). 2023. URL: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/file?uri=/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/2020basedinterimnationalpopulationprojectionsyearendingjune2022/ukpopulationxmlopen-data/ukppvopendata2020.xls> (cit. on pp. 63, 65, 73).
- 4442 [62] OECD. *Long-term baseline projections, No. 109 (Edition 2021).* Accessed on 01 November 2023.
4443 2021. DOI: 10.1787/cbdb49e6-en. URL: <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/data/cbdb49e6-en> (cit. on pp. 73, 74).
- 4445 [69] *Databank / The World Bank.* The World Bank. 2011. URL: <https://databank.worldbank.org/>
4446 (cit. on p. 74).
- 4447 [86] International Energy Agency (IEA). *World Energy Outlook 2022.* International Energy Agency (IEA).
4448 2022. URL: <https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/830fe099-5530-48f2-a7c1-11f35d510983/WorldEnergyOutlook2022.pdf> (cit. on p. 91).
- 4450 [88] European Commission, Joint Research Centre. *Forecast of Rare Earths Supply and Demand - Wind*
4451 *Energy and E-Mobility.* Updated 2020-12-17, Accessed 2023-11-13. 2020. URL: <http://data.europa.eu/89h/aa1fc9e1-360d-478e-a6da-51b092f30d32> (cit. on p. 93).
- 4453 [97] Eurostat. *Database - Eurostat.* 2023. URL: <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>
4454 (cit. on p. 134).

12.4. BOOKS

- 4455 [67] Robert J. Barro and Xavier Sala-i-Martin. *Economic growth.* 2nd ed. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The
4456 MIT Press, 2004 (cit. on p. 74).
- 4457 [95] Walter Stahel. *The Circular Economy - a user's guide.* 2019. ISBN: 978-0-367-20014-5 (cit. on p. 126).

12.5. BOOK CHAPTERS

- 4460 [9] Saskia Sardesai, Markus Stute and Josef Kamphues. "A Methodology for Future Scenario Planning".
4461 In: *Next Generation Supply Chains: A Roadmap for Research and Innovation.* Ed. by Rosanna Fornasiero
4462 et al. Springer International Publishing, 2021. ISBN: 978-3-030-63505-3. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-63505-
4463 3_2. URL: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-63505-3_2 (cit. on pp. xvii, 3).
- 4465 [50] Isabel Narbón-Perpiñá and Diego Prior. "Management of Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment
4466 in European Union Countries: A Comparison". In: *E-waste Recycling and Management: Present*
4467 *Scenarios and Environmental Issues.* Ed. by Anish Khan, Inamuddin and Abdullah M. Asiri. Springer
4468 International Publishing, 2020. ISBN: 978-3-030-14184-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-14184-4_7.
4469 URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-14184-4_7 (cit. on pp. 32, 39, 46).
- 4470 [135] Warren E. Walker, Robert J. Lempert and Jan H. Kwakkel. "Deep Uncertainty". In: *Encyclopedia of*
4471 *Operations Research and Management Science.* Ed. by Saul I. Gass and Michael C. Fu. Springer US,
4472 2013, pp. 395–402. ISBN: 978-1-4419-1153-7. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4419-1153-7_1140. URL:
4473 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1153-7_1140 (cit. on p. 217).

12.6. ARTICLES

- [1] T. E. Graedel, E. M. Harper, N. T. Nassar et al. "On the materials basis of modern society". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2015). ISSN: 0027-8424. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1312752110. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312752110> (cit. on p. vii).
- [5] Karl H Dreborg. "Essence of backcasting". In: *Futures* 9 (1996). ISSN: 0016-3287. DOI: 10.1016/s0016-3287(96)00044-4. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328796000444> (cit. on pp. xvii, 3).
- [7] Lena Börjeson et al. "Scenario types and techniques: Towards a user's guide". In: *Futures* (2006). ISSN: 0016-3287. DOI: 10.1016/j.futures.2005.12.002. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328705002132> (cit. on pp. xvii, 3, 12, 14).
- [8] Muhammad Amer, Tugrul U. Daim and Antonie Jetter. "A review of scenario planning". In: *Futures* (2013). ISSN: 0016-3287. DOI: 10.1016/j.futures.2012.10.003. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328712001978> (cit. on pp. xvii, 3, 6, 12).
- [10] Elisabeth A Lloyd and Vanessa J Schweizer. "Objectivity and a comparison of methodological scenario approaches for climate change research". In: *Synthese* (2014). DOI: 10.1007/s11229-013-0353-6. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-013-0353-6> (cit. on p. xvii).
- [11] Fulvio Ardente and Fabrice Mathieu. "Environmental assessment of the durability of energy-using products: method and application". In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2014). ISSN: 0959-6526. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.03.049. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652614002807> (cit. on p. xvii).
- [14] Harald Helander and Maria Ljunggren. "Battery as a service: Analysing multiple reuse and recycling loops". In: *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* (2023). ISSN: 0921-3449. DOI: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.107091. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344923002276> (cit. on pp. xviii, 31, 37, 38, 45).
- [16] Julian Kirchherr, Denise Reike and Marko Hekkert. "Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions". In: *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* (2017). ISSN: 0921-3449. DOI: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.005. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344917302835> (cit. on p. xviii).
- [17] Teresa Domenech and Bettina Bahn-Walkowiak. "Transition towards a resource efficient circular economy in Europe: policy lessons from the EU and the member states". In: *Ecological Economics* (2019) (cit. on p. xviii).
- [20] Martin Geissdoerfer, Marina P.P. Pieroni, Daniela C.A. Pigozzo et al. "Circular business models: A review". In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2020). ISSN: 0959-6526. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123741. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123741> (cit. on pp. xxii, 47).
- [25] Peter Bishop, Andy Hines and Terry Collins. "The current state of scenario development: An overview of techniques". In: *Foresight - The journal of future studies* (2007). DOI: 10.1108/14636680710727516 (cit. on pp. 3, 12).
- [26] Kathya Cordova-Pozo and Etiënne A.J.A. Rouwette. "Types of scenario planning and their effectiveness: A review of reviews". In: *Futures* (2023). ISSN: 0016-3287. DOI: 10.1016/j.futures.2023.103153 (cit. on pp. 3, 12).
- [27] Jim Skea, Renée van Diemen, Joana Portugal-Pereira et al. "Outlooks, explorations and normative scenarios: Approaches to global energy futures compared". In: *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* (2021) (cit. on pp. 3, 12, 215–217).
- [28] Philip W.F van Notten, Jan Rotmans, Marjolein B.A van Asselt et al. "An updated scenario typology". In: *Futures* (2003). ISSN: 0016-3287. DOI: 10.1016/s0016-3287(02)00090-3. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016328702000903> (cit. on p. 3).
- [29] Matthew Spaniol and Nicholas Rowland. "The scenario planning paradox". In: *Futures* (2017). DOI: 10.1016/j.futures.2017.09.006. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.09.006> (cit. on p. 6).

- [30] Herman Kahn and Anthony J. Wiener. "The Next Thirty-Three Years: A Framework for Speculation". In: *Daedalus* (1967). ISSN: 00115266. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20027066> (cit. on p. 6).
- [39] Bernhard Steubing, Christopher Mutel, Florian Suter et al. "Streamlining scenario analysis and optimization of key choices in value chains using a modular LCA approach". In: *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* (2016) (cit. on p. 11).
- [40] Chia-Chien Hsu and Brian A. Sandford. "The Delphi Technique: Making Sense of Consensus". In: *Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation* (2019). ISSN: 1531-7714. DOI: 10.7275/pdz9-th90. URL: <https://scholarworks.umass.edu/pare/vol12/iss1/10> (cit. on p. 12).
- [41] Konstantinos Kokkinos, Vayos Karayannis, Nicholas Samaras et al. "Multi-scenario analysis on hydrogen production development using PESTEL and FCM models". In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2023). ISSN: 0959-6526. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138251. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652623024095> (cit. on p. 19).
- [42] Manel Sansa, Ahmed Badreddine and Taieb Ben Romdhane. "Sustainable design based on LCA and operations management methods: SWOT, PESTEL, and 7S". In: (2021). DOI: 10.1016/b978-0-12-823987-2.00019-2. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823987-2.00019-2> (cit. on p. 19).
- [43] Julia Moschen-Schimek, Thomas Kasper and Marion Huber-Humer. "Critical review of the recovery rates of construction and demolition waste in the European Union — An analysis of influencing factors in selected EU countries". In: *Waste Management* (2023). ISSN: 0956-053x. DOI: 10.1016/j.wasman.2023.05.020. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0956053X23003616> (cit. on p. 33).
- [44] Patrizio Vanella, Philipp Deschermeier and Christina B. Wilke. "An Overview of Population Projections—Methodological Concepts, International Data Availability, and Use Cases". In: *Forecasting* (2020), pp. 346–363. ISSN: 2571-9394. DOI: 10.3390/forecast2030019. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2571-9394/2/3/19> (cit. on pp. 63, 67).
- [45] Detlef P. van Vuuren et al. "The Shared Socio-economic Pathways: Trajectories for human development and global environmental change". In: *Global Environmental Change* 42 (2017), pp. 148–152. ISSN: 0959-3780. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.10.009. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959378016301790> (cit. on pp. 63, 75).
- [46] Samir KC and Wolfgang Lutz. "The human core of the shared socioeconomic pathways: Population scenarios by age, sex and level of education for all countries to 2100". In: *Global Environmental Change* (2017). DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.06.004 (cit. on pp. 63, 75).
- [47] Yvan Guillemette and David Turner. "The long game: Fiscal outlooks to 2060 underline need for structural reform". In: 29 (2021). DOI: 10.1787/a112307e-en. URL: <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/paper/a112307e-en> (cit. on pp. 74, 76).
- [48] Romain Duval and Christine de la Maisonneuve. "Long-run GDP growth framework and scenarios for the world economy". In: *Journal of Policy Modeling* (2010). Also published as OECD Economics Department Working Papers 663 (cit. on p. 74).
- [49] OECD. "Long-term growth scenarios". In: (2012) (cit. on p. 74).
- [50] N. Gregory Mankiw, David Romer and David N. Weil. "A contribution to the empirics of economic growth". In: *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 107 (1992), pp. 407–437 (cit. on p. 74).
- [51] Lionel Fontagné, Erica Perego and Gianluca Santoni. "MaGE 3.1: Long-term macroeconomic projections of the World economy". In: *International Economics* 172 (2022). URL: http://www.cepii.fr/PDF_PUB/wp/2021/wp2021-12.pdf (cit. on p. 74).
- [52] Jean Chateau et al. "Long-term economic growth and environmental pressure: reference scenarios for future global projections". In: (2012). OECD Environment Directorate Working Papers, forthcoming (cit. on p. 74).
- [53] Leiwen Jiang and Brian C. O'Neill. "Global urbanization projections for the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways". In: *Global Environmental Change* (2017). DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.03.008 (cit. on p. 75).

- 4574 [73] Marian Leimbach et al. "Future growth patterns of world regions – A GDP scenario approach". In:
4575 *Global Environmental Change* 42 (2017), pp. 215–225. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.02.005
4576 (cit. on p. 75).
- 4577 [74] Jesús Crespo Cuaresma. "Income projections for climate change research: A framework based on
4578 human capital dynamics". In: *Global Environmental Change* 42 (2017), pp. 226–236. DOI: 10.1016/
4579 j.gloenvcha.2015.02.012 (cit. on p. 75).
- 4580 [75] Rob Dellink et al. "Long-term economic growth projections in the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways".
4581 In: *Global Environmental Change* 42 (2017), pp. 200–214. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.06.
4582 004 (cit. on p. 75).
- 4583 [90] Piero Morseletto. "Targets for a circular economy". In: *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 153
4584 (2020), p. 104553. ISSN: 0921-3449. DOI: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104553. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344919304598> (cit. on pp. 126, 146).
- 4586 [92] Alfonso Marino and Paolo Pariso. "Comparing European countries' performances in the transition
4587 towards the Circular Economy". In: *Science of The Total Environment* 729 (2020), p. 138142. ISSN:
4588 0048-9697. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138142. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969720316557> (cit. on p. 126).
- 4590 [94] Martin Calisto Friant, Walter J.V. Vermeulen and Roberta Salomone. "Analysing European Union
4591 circular economy policies: words versus actions". In: *Sustainable Production and Consumption* 27
4592 (2021), pp. 337–353. ISSN: 2352-5509. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.11.001>.
4593 URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352550920313750> (cit. on
4594 p. 126).
- 4595 [96] Denise Reike, Walter J.V. Vermeulen and Sjors Witjes. "The circular economy: New or Refurbished
4596 as CE 3.0? – Exploring Controversies in the Conceptualization of the Circular Economy through a
4597 Focus on History and Resource Value Retention Options". In: *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*
4598 135 (2018). Sustainable Resource Management and the Circular Economy, pp. 246–264. ISSN:
4599 0921-3449. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.08.027>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344917302756> (cit. on pp. 126, 128,
4600 145, 163).
- 4602 [99] Walter Vermeulen, Denise Reike and Sjors Witjes. "Circular Economy 3.0 - Solving confusion
4603 around new conceptions of circularity by synthesising and re-organising the 3R's concept into a 10R
4604 hierarchy". In: *Renewable Matter* 2019 (June 2019). URL: https://www.ru.nl/publish/pages/940104/renewable_matters_tt_vermeulen.pdf (cit. on p. 145).
- 4606 [100] Eléonore Maitre-Ekern and Carl Dalhammar. "Towards a hierarchy of consumption behaviour in the
4607 circular economy". In: *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* (2019). DOI: 10.1177/
4608 1023263X19840943. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1023263X19840943> (cit. on p. 146).
- 4609 [101] Mauro Cordella, Felice Alfieri and Javier Sanfelix. "Reducing the carbon footprint of ICT products
4610 through material efficiency strategies: A life cycle analysis of smartphones". In: *Journal of Industrial*
4611 *Ecology* (2021). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13119>. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jiec.13119> (cit. on pp. 151, 156).
- 4613 [102] H. Wieser and N. Tröger. "Exploring the inner loops of the circular economy: Replacement, repair,
4614 and reuse of mobile phones in Austria". In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* 172 (2018). DOI: 10.1016/
4615 j.jclepro.2017.11.10. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.10> (cit. on
4616 pp. 151, 155).
- 4617 [103] S. Svensson et al. "The Emerging 'Right to Repair' legislation in the EU and the U.S." In: (2018).
4618 Paper presented at Going Green CARE INNOVATION 2018 (cit. on pp. 151, 152).
- 4619 [104] Ricardo J Hernandez, Constanza Miranda and Julian Goñi. "Empowering sustainable consumption
4620 by giving back to consumers the 'right to repair'". In: *Sustainability* 12.3 (2020), p. 850 (cit. on pp. 151,
4621 152).

- [110] Laura Ruiz-Pastor and Jaime A. Mesa. "Proposing an integrated indicator to measure product repairability". In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2023). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136434>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652623005929> (cit. on p. 154).
- [111] Mário Barros and Eric Dimla. "Smartphone repairability indexes in practice: Linking repair scores to industrial design features". In: *Journal of Industrial Ecology* (2023). DOI: [10.1111/jiec.13398](https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13398) (cit. on p. 154).
- [112] Sagar Dangal, Jeremy Faludi and Ruud Balkenende. "Design Aspects in Repairability Scoring Systems: Comparing Their Objectivity and Completeness". In: *Sustainability* (2022). ISSN: 2071-1050. DOI: [10.3390/su14148634](https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148634). URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/14/8634> (cit. on p. 154).
- [115] Stephanie Boulos et al. "The durability of products: standard assessment for the circular economy under the Eco-Innovation Action Plan". In: *Report for European Commission, DG Environment* (2015) (cit. on p. 155).
- [116] Tamar Makov and David Font Vivanco. "Does the circular economy grow the pie? The case of rebound effects from smartphone reuse". In: *Frontiers in Energy Research* (2018). DOI: [10.3389/fenrg.2018.00039](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00039). URL: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00039/full> (cit. on p. 156).
- [117] Siru Sihvonen and Tuomas Ritola. "Conceptualizing ReX for Aggregating End-of-life Strategies in Product Development". In: *Procedia CIRP* 29 (2015), pp. 639–644. DOI: [10.1016/j.procir.2015.01.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2015.01.026). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.procir.2015.01.026> (cit. on p. 163).
- [118] Monsuru O. Ramoni and Hong-chao Zhang. "An entropy-based metric for product remanufacturability". In: *Journal of Remanufacturing* 2 (2012), pp. 1–8. ISSN: 2210-4690. DOI: [10.1186/2210-4690-2-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/2210-4690-2-2). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1186/2210-4690-2-2> (cit. on p. 163).
- [119] David Parker et al. "Remanufacturing market study". In: (2015). URL: <https://www.remanufacturing.eu/assets/pdfs/remanufacturing-market-study.pdf> (cit. on p. 163).
- [120] Subrata Mitra. "Revenue management for remanufactured products". In: *Omega* (2007). ISSN: 0305-0483. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2005.10.003>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030504830500157X> (cit. on pp. 163, 164).
- [122] Deepak Singhal, Sushanta Tripathy and Sarat Kumar Jena. "Remanufacturing for the circular economy: Study and evaluation of critical factors". In: *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 156 (2020), p. 104681. ISSN: 0921-3449. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104681>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344920300033> (cit. on pp. 164, 165).
- [123] Jiali Zhao et al. "An energy and time prediction model for remanufacturing process using graphical evaluation and review technique (GERT) with multivariant uncertainties". In: *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* (Apr. 2021), pp. 1–13. DOI: [10.1007/s11356-021-13438-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13438-z) (cit. on p. 165).
- [124] Amal Dabbous and Abbas Tarhini. "Does sharing economy promote sustainable economic development and energy efficiency? Evidence from OECD countries". In: *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge* (2021). DOI: [10.1016/j.jik.2020.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2020.11.001). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2444569X20300500> (cit. on pp. 171, 172).
- [129] Xiaoxi Zhu and Kai Liu. "A systematic review and future directions of the sharing economy: business models, operational insights and environment-based utilities". In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2021). ISSN: 0959-6526. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125209>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652620352537> (cit. on pp. 171, 172).
- [130] Andrea Geissinger et al. "How sustainable is the sharing economy? On the sustainability connotations of sharing economy platforms". In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2019). ISSN: 0959-6526. DOI: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.196](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.196). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652618329299> (cit. on p. 172).

- 4671 [132] Mohammed Mahmoud et al. "A formal framework for scenario development in support of environ-
4672 mental decision-making". In: *Environmental Modelling & Software* (2009). ISSN: 1364-8152. DOI: 10.
4673 1016/j.envsoft.2008.11.010. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2008.11.010>
4674 (cit. on p. 216).
- 4675 [133] Eva Wollenberg, David Edmunds and Louise Buck. "Using scenarios to make decisions about the
4676 future: anticipatory learning for the adaptive co-management of community forests". In: *Landscape
4677 and Urban Planning* (2000). ISSN: 0169-2046. DOI: 10.1016/s0169-2046(99)00071-7. URL:
4678 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046\(99\)00071-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(99)00071-7) (cit. on p. 216).

4679 12.7. ONLINE SOURCES

- 4680 [4] European Commission. *Questions and Answers: The European Critical Raw Materials Act*. European
4681 Commission. 2023. URL: https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/qanda_23_1662 (cit. on p. vii).
- 4683 [35] European Commission. *End-of-life vehicles Regulation*. European Commission. 2023. URL: https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/waste-and-recycling/end-life-vehicles/end-life-vehicles-regulation_en (cit. on pp. 6, 31, 38, 45).
- 4686 [55] European Parliament. *Long-awaited common charger for mobile devices will be a reality in 2024*.
4687 European Commission. 2023. URL: <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/en/press->
4688 [room/20220930IPR41928/long-awaited-common-charger-for-mobile-devices-will-be-a-reality-in-2024](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/en/press-room/20220930IPR41928/long-awaited-common-charger-for-mobile-devices-will-be-a-reality-in-2024) (cit. on p. 47).
- 4690 [114] TÜV SÜD. *Repairability Testing / TÜV SÜD*. 2023. URL: <https://www.tuvsud.com/en/services/testing/repairability-testing> (cit. on p. 155).
- 4692 [131] Center for Climate Adaptation Science and Solutions at the University of Arizona. *Glossary - Scenario
4693 Planning for the Institute of the Environment*. 2023. URL: <https://www.adaptationscenarios.org/glossary> (cit. on pp. 215–217).

4695 12.8. MISCELLANEOUS

- 4696 [12] United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). *United Nations Framework Classification
4697 for Resources (UNFC)*. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE), 2023. URL:
4698 <https://unece.org/sustainable-energy/sustainable-resource-management/united-nations-framework-classification> (cit. on pp. xvii, 3).
- 4700 [21] FutuRaM consortium European Commission. *Grant Agreement – Project 101058522 – FutuRaM*.
4701 2023. DOI: 10.3030/101058522. URL: <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101058522>
4702 (cit. on pp. xxvii, xxix, xxxiii, 241).
- 4703 [22] FutuRaM consortium. *Consortium Agreement – Project 101058522 – FutuRaM*. 2022 (cit. on p. xxvii).
- 4704 [23] Kees Baldé James Horne. *Project Management Plan – Project 101058522 – FutuRaM*. 2023 (cit. on
4705 pp. xxvii, 241).
- 4706 [24] Kirsten Remmen et al. *FutuRaM conceptual and methodological framework (M6 report) – Project
4707 101058522 – FutuRaM*. 2023 (cit. on p. xxvii).
- 4708 [83] International Energy Agency (IEA). *Is the European Union on track to meet its REPowerEU goals?*
4709 International Energy Agency (IEA), 2022. URL: <https://www.iea.org/reports/is-the-european-union-on-track-to-meet-its-repowereu-goals> (cit. on p. 90).

- 4711 [87] International Energy Agency (IEA). *Global Energy and Climate Model*. International Energy Agency
4712 (IEA), 2023. URL: <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-energy-and-climate-model>
4713 (cit. on p. 91).
- 4714 [108] European Commission. *Product Bureau / Circular Economy: Environmental and Waste Management*.
4715 2023. URL: <https://susproc.jrc.ec.europa.eu/product-bureau//product-groups/447/home> (cit. on p. 154).
- 4716

4717



4718



4719

4720



4721

Appendices

4722

CHAPTER CONTENTS

4723	13.1 Terminology	215
4724	13.2 List of relevant policy actions	218
4725	13.3 Scenario development methods	220
4726	13.4 Marker scenario mapping	223
4727	13.5 Drivers and factors identified in the initial collection phase	228
4728	13.6 Drivers and factors identified in the screening phase	232
4729	13.7 Drivers and factors after categorisation	235
4730	13.8 Drivers and factors for quantification	238
4731	13.9 Work breakdown structure for WP2	241



13.1. TERMINOLOGY

The following is a suggested terminology for use in our discussions and reports related to scenarios.

This glossary is modelled on that used by [27]. Some additional definitions were sourced from [131].

Table 13.1: Terminology

TERM	DEFINITION	LEVEL/CONTEXT	ALSO CALLED	SOURCE
Normative scenario	Goal-oriented scenario: identify decisions and investments that must be made to achieve desired future outcomes. Example: Constraining cumulative emissions	Scenario type	Backcasting	[27]
Exploratory scenario	Exploration of plausible alternative developments to test whether decision-making is robust against different outcomes. Generally, involving a qualitative storyline about a possible future, complemented by quantitative analysis. Example: Socio-economic scenarios	Scenario type	Plausible scenarios	[27]
Outlook	To provide a most likely estimate of future trends as a guide for decision-making	Scenario type	Forecast, projection	[27]
Scenario characteristics	A combination of the vague attributes that make up the qualitative storyline for a scenario. For example, in WEC (2019) the scenario titled Modern Jazz is described as: “A market-led, digitally disrupted world with faster-paced and more uneven economic growth. Recent signals suggest that this entrepreneurial future might accelerate clean energy access on both global and local scales, whilst presenting new systems integration, cyber security and data privacy challenges”	Scenario description	Qualitative storyline descriptors	[27]
Scenario scale	Description of the spatial extent or temporal extent of a scenario. For us, mostly EU toward 2050.	Scenario component		[131]
Scenario dimensions	Uncertainties around which scenarios are constructed, represented as axes in some methods. In our case they might end up being, level of circularity, free-trade/autarky, progress in energy transition	Scenario component		[131]
Scenario literature	Journal articles, grey literature, etc., from which data is sourced that can be used to justify decisions in scenario development	Scenario component		[27]
Scenario logics	Methods for structuring the relationships between different drivers and assumptions in scenarios	Scenario component		[131]
Time horizon	End date of the scenario's forecast	Scenario attribute		[27]
Snapshot	The position of scenario/s at a particular point of time	Scenario attribute		[27]
Storyline and simulation	Combination of qualitative narrative development and quantitative modelling	Scenario component		[132, 133], in [131]
Marker scenario	Generally, a widely accepted scenario which can be used a guide or to provide background information. E.g., SSP1-5, and the GEC models from the IEA. If applicable, these can be extended upon or combined to help build our models.	Scenario description	Basis scenario	[27]

Continued on next page

Table 13.1 – Continued from previous page

TERM	DEFINITION	LEVEL/CONTEXT	ALSO CALLED	SOURCE
SSP	Shared Social Pathways. They “describe plausible major global developments that together would lead in the future to different challenges for mitigation and adaptation to climate change. The SSPs are based on five narratives describing alternative socio-economic developments, including sustainable development, regional rivalry, inequality, fossil-fuelled development, and middle-of-the-road development. The long-term demographic and economic projections of the SSPs depict a wide uncertainty range consistent with the scenario literature.”	Marker scenario examples		[134]
Drivers	Underlying causes of system change that are external from the system of analysis. They come from higher scales and are not affected by what happens within the system.	Scenario component	Factors	[135], in [131]
Factors	Causes of system change that are internal from the system of analysis. Can be (hopefully) quantified, or at least estimated	Scenario component (internal)		[27]
Factor variables	Discrete elements which are subject to change and have effects on one or more factors	Factor component		[27]
Factor parameters	Discrete elements which are NOT subject to change (possibly based on assumptions and simplifications) and have effects on one or more factors	Factor component		[27]
Trends	An inclination in a particular direction	Attribute of drivers or factors	System development	[27]
Likelihood	The likelihood of an occurrence, an outcome, or a result, where this can be estimated probabilistically	Attribute of drivers or factors	Probability	[131]

13.2. LIST OF RELEVANT POLICY ACTIONS

The following table contains a description of policy actions that are relevant to the future of secondary raw material supply in the EU.

Table 13.2: List of relevant policy actions

POLICY	STATE	YEAR	STATUS	JURISDICTION	LINK
European Institute of Innovation and Technology: Raw Materials Project Call	European Union	2023	Announced	International	🔗
Minerals Security Partnership	European Union	2022	Announced	International	🔗
Resilience for the future: The UK's critical minerals strategy	United Kingdom	2022	In force	National	🔗
Circular Economy Action Plan	Spain	2021	In force	National	🔗
Horizon Europe Strategic Plan (2021 – 2024)	European Union	2021	In force	International	🔗
National Battery Strategy 2025	Finland	2021	In force	National	🔗
National Planning Policy Framework	United Kingdom	2021	In force	National	🔗
EU Sustainable Batteries Regulation	European Union	2020	Announced	Regional	🔗
Green Deal: Circular Economy Action Plan	European Union	2020	In force	International	🔗
Battery fund: 3.2 billion euros for research and innovation	European Union	2019	In force	International	🔗
Resources for France Plan	France	2018	In force	National	🔗
European Battery Alliance	European Union	2017	In force	National	🔗
National Strategy for Energy Research	France	2016	In force	National	🔗
Horizon 2020: Climate action, environment resource efficiency and raw materials	European Union	2013	Ended	International	🔗
Resource Security Action Plan	United Kingdom	2012	In force	National	🔗
Supply of Mineral Resources (SoS MinErals)	United Kingdom	2012	In force	National	🔗
Finland's Minerals Strategy	Finland	2010	In force	National	🔗
Royal Decree 975/2009 about extractive industries waste management and the protection and rehabilitation of areas affected by mining activities	Spain	2009	In force	National	🔗
EU Directive 2006/66/EC Battery Directive	European Union	2006	In force	International	🔗

13.3. SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT METHODS

Table 13.3 provides an overview of the methods and tools considered, along with a brief description of each and its relevance to the specific context and objectives of the FutuRaM scenario development process.

Table 13.3: Scenario development methods

METHOD	DESCRIPTION	KEY CHARACTERISTICS	LIMITATIONS	APPLICATION
Delphi	Structured expert consultation to gather and distil knowledge and judgments	Iterative rounds of surveys/questionnaires, Expert consensus building	Time-consuming process, May be influenced by dominant opinions or group dynamics	Policy development, Technology foresight, Long-term planning
MCA	Decision-support technique to evaluate and rank scenarios based on criteria	Consideration of multiple dimensions in quantifying qualitative factors	Policy assessment, Project evaluation, Strategic planning	
Forecasting	Use of historical data and statistical methods to predict future trends	Reliance on quantitative models, Time series analysis	Assumption of future patterns based on past data, Sensitivity to data quality and accuracy	Economic forecasting, Demand/supply projections, Financial planning
Backcasting	Working backward from a desired future vision to identify necessary steps	Focus on desired outcomes and future targets, Identification of necessary actions	Uncertainty in future outcomes, Difficulty in determining feasible pathways	Sustainable development planning, Policy design, Long-term goal setting
Scenario Planning	Development of multiple future scenarios to understand the range of possibilities	Identification of key drivers and uncertainties, Narrative construction for each scenario	Subjectivity in scenario construction, Lack of predictive accuracy	Strategic management, Risk assessment, Policy analysis
Morphological Analysis	Exploration of different combinations of variables/factors	Matrix-based exploration of variables and combinations	Complexity in analysing a large number of variables and combinations	Technology assessment, Innovation analysis, System design
Cross-Impact Analysis	Analysis of interdependencies and interactions between variables/factors	Identification of relationships and cross-impacts	Assumptions about causal relationships, Difficulty in capturing complex dynamics	Policy analysis, Risk assessment, System modelling
Morphological Box	Systematic exploration of the potential combinations of different components	Identification of component options and combinations	Complexity in analysing a large number of components and combinations	Technology assessment, Innovation analysis, Decision-making
Gausemeier approach	Scenario development method involving the identification of future developments, evaluation of influencing factors, and determination of desired and undesired developments	Systematic analysis of future developments and factors	Relies on expert judgment and subjective assessments	Strategic planning, Innovation management

Continued on next page

Table 13.3 – Continued from previous page

METHOD	DESCRIPTION	KEY CHARACTERISTICS	LIMITATIONS	APPLICATION
Schwartz' 8-Step Scenario Model	Scenario building model consisting of eight steps: identify the focal issue, determine the key forces, construct the scenario framework, identify driving forces, assess the uncertainties, develop the scenarios, analyze the scenarios, and monitor and adjust the scenarios	Systematic progression through stages of scenario development	Requires detailed data and analysis	Strategic planning, Decision-making
Schoemaker's 10-Step Scenario Model	Scenario building model consisting of ten steps: identify the focal issue, determine the scope, identify the key driving forces, develop the scenarios, define the scenario logic, assess the scenarios, refine the scenarios, examine implications, formulate actions, and communicate results	Emphasis on thorough analysis and evaluation of scenarios	Can be time-consuming and resource-intensive	Strategic planning, Risk management

13.4. MARKER SCENARIO MAPPING

4744
4745
4746
4747
4748
Table 13.4 below presents an overview of the marker scenarios that were considered in the scenario development phase of the FutuRaM project. The table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather to provide an overview of the different scenarios that have been developed in the field of waste management, resource recovery, and circular economy.

Table 13.4: Overview of marker scenarios

LITERATURE	TYPE	WASTE STREAM	TEMPORAL COVERAGE	LOCATION	NUMBER OF SCENARIOS	LINK
The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview	Academic	All (narratives)	Scenario to 2100	Global	5 SSPs	🔗
Environmental Impacts of Global Offshore Wind Energy Development until 2040	Academic	CDW	Scenario: 2019–2040	Global	4 (based on IEA)	🔗
Global greenhouse gas emissions from residential and commercial building materials and mitigation strategies to 2060	Academic	CDW	Scenario: 2020–2060	Global	2 (based on SSP2)	🔗
Modelling global material stocks and flows for residential and service sector buildings towards 2050	Academic	CDW	Scenario: 2020–2060	Global	1 (SSP2)	🔗
The evolution and future perspectives of energy intensity in the global building sector 1971–2060	Academic	CDW	Scenario: 2020–2060	Global	1 (SSP2)	🔗
Tracking Construction Material over Space and Time Prospective and Geo-referenced modelling of Building Stocks and Construction Material Flows	Academic	CDW	Scenario to 2060	Global	6 scenarios concerning per-capita floor area, building stock turnover, and construction material.	🔗
Global construction materials database and stock analysis of residential buildings between 1970–2050	Academic	CDW	Scenario to 2060	Global	1 (SSP2)	🔗
A comprehensive set of global scenarios of housing, mobility, and material efficiency for material cycles and energy systems modelling	Academic	CDW	Scenario to 2060	Global	Low energy demand, SSP1, SSP2	🔗
Global scenarios of resource and emission savings from material efficiency in residential buildings and cars	Academic	CDW, ELV	Scenarios to 2050	Global	SSP1, SSP2	🔗
Matching global cobalt demand under different scenarios for co-production and mining attractiveness	Academic	BAT	2050	Global	5	🔗
Copper at the crossroads: Assessment of the interactions between low-carbon energy transition and supply limitations	Academic	Copper	2050	Global	2: 2°C and 4°C	🔗
The impact of climate policy implementation on lithium, cobalt and nickel demand: The case of the Dutch automotive sector up to 2040	Academic	ELV, Batteries	Scenario: 2019–2040	NL	2 (Based on policies)	🔗
The rise of electric vehicles—2020 status and future expectations	Academic	ELV, BAT	up to 2050	Global	various	🔗

Continued on next page

Table 13.4 – Continued from previous page

LITERATURE	TYPE	WASTE STREAM	TEMPORAL COVERAGE	LOCATION	NUMBER OF SCENARIOS	LINK
Scenarios for the Return of Lithium-ion Batteries out of Electric Cars for Recycling	Academic	ELV, Battery	Scenario to 2050	Global	2	🔗
The dynamic equilibrium mechanism of regional lithium flow for transportation electrification	Academic	ELV, BAT	Scenario to 2050	Global	1 (projection)	🔗
Future material demand for automotive lithium-based batteries	Academic	ELV, BAT	Scenario to 2050	Global	4 (based on IEA)	🔗
Analysis of the Li-ion battery industry in light of the global transition to electric passenger light-duty vehicles until 2050	Academic	ELV, BAT	Scenario to 2050	Global	Combination of SSPs and RCPs	🔗
Circular economy strategies for electric vehicle batteries reduce reliance on raw materials	Academic	ELV, BAT	Scenario to 2050	Global	Reference + 4 technologies	🔗
Summary and critical review of the International Energy Agency's special report: The role of critical minerals in clean energy transitions	Academic	Energy	2050	Global	n/a	🔗
Review of critical metal dynamics to 2050 for 48 elements	Academic	Energy	Scenario to 2050	Global	1 compiled from various renewable technologies	🔗
Major metals demand, supply, and environmental impacts to 2100: A critical review	Academic	Energy	Scenario to 2100	Global	1 review of 197 studies	🔗
Requirements for Minerals and Metals for 100% Renewable Scenarios	Academic	Energy	Scenario to 2050	Global	1.5 degree scenario	🔗
The 3-machines energy transition model: Exploring the energy frontiers for restoring a habitable climate	Academic	Energy	2100	Global	20, rapid transition stabler 1.5 °C and return to 350 ppm	🔗
Modelling the demand and access of mineral resources in a changing world	Academic	Energy, Construction	2060	Global	RTS, BD2S IEA	🔗
Rare earths in the energy transition: what threats are there for the 'vitamins of modern society'?	Academic	Rare earths	2050	Global	2: 2°C and 4°C	🔗
A slag prediction model in an electric arc furnace process for special steel production	Academic	SLASH	None	Global	n/a	🔗
Decarbonising the iron and steel sector for a 2°C target using inherent waste streams	Academic	SLASH	Scenario to 2050	Global	1 (2 degree climate goal)	🔗

Continued on next page

Table 13.4 – Continued from previous page

LITERATURE	TYPE	WASTE STREAM	TEMPORAL COVERAGE	LOCATION	NUMBER OF SCENARIOS	LINK
Environmental Implications of Future Demand Scenarios for Metals: Methodology and Application to the Case of Seven Major Metals	Academic	Various	Scenario to 2050	Global	4 (UN GEO-4)	🔗
Resource Demand Scenarios for the Major Metals	Academic	Various	Scenario to 2050	Global	4 (UN GEO-4)	🔗
Raw material depletion and scenario assessment in European Union – A circular economy approach	Academic	Various	None	EU	n/a	🔗
Material bottlenecks in the future development of green technologies	Academic	Various	Scenario to 2050	Global	1 (BAU)	🔗
Reuse assessment of WEEE: Systematic review of emerging themes and research directions	Academic	WEEE	None	Global	n/a	🔗
A systematic literature review on the circular economy initiatives in the European Union	Academic	Circularity	None	EU	Circular strategies	🔗
Material Flow Accounting: Measuring Global Material Use for Sustainable Development	Academic	Various	Scenario to 2100	Global	1 (BAU)	🔗
Circular Economy Action Plan	Action plan	Various	Scenario to 2050	EU	35 actions to climate neutrality	🔗
Construction and demolition waste: challenges and opportunities in a circular economy	Report	CDW	None	EU	n/a	🔗
IEA world energy model	Report	Energy	Scenario to 2050	Global	4	🔗
Bloomberg scenarios	Report	Energy	Scenario to 2050	Global	3	🔗
The Role of Critical Minerals in Clean Energy Transitions	Report	Energy	None	Global	n/a	🔗
Transitions to 2050 decide now act for climate	Report	Energy	Scenario to 2050	France	4 to reach 2.1C by 2100	🔗
Raw materials demand for wind and solar PV technologies in the transition towards a decarbonised energy system	Report	Energy	Scenario to 2050	EU	low and high material demand scenarios	🔗
Inventaires des besoins en matière, énergie, eau et sols des technologies de la transition énergétique	Report	Energy	Scenario to 2050	France	1	🔗
Minerals in the future of Europe	Report	MinW	Scenario to 2050	EU	3 (2050 net-zero, digital, circular)	🔗
Minerals, Critical Minerals and the US Economy	Report	MinW	None	US	n/a	🔗

Continued on next page

Table 13.4 – Continued from previous page

LITERATURE	TYPE	WASTE STREAM	TEMPORAL COVERAGE	LOCATION	NUMBER OF SCENARIOS	LINK
Minéraux stratégiques – État des lieux et propositions pour une vision partagée	Report	MinW	None	FR	n/a	
The Critical Raw Materials (CRM) initiative – Underpinning the strategic approach to the EU's raw materials policy	Report	MinW	None	EU	n/a	
Towards the Circular Economy: Accelerating the scale-up across global supply chains	Report	Circularity	None	Global	n/a	
The Circular Economy in Europe	Report	Circularity	None	EU	n/a	
Global material flows and resource productivity: Forty years of evidence	Report	Circularity	None	Global	n/a	
The circular economy concept: contextualisation and multiple perspectives	Report	Circularity	None	Global	n/a	
Global material flows database	Database	Various	None	Global	n/a	
International Resource Panel	Reports	Various	None	Global	n/a	
World Business Council for Sustainable Development	Reports	Various	None	Global	n/a	
Ellen MacArthur Foundation	Reports	Various	None	Global	n/a	
European Environment Agency	Reports	Various	None	EU	n/a	
International Energy Agency	Reports	Energy	None	Global	n/a	
United Nations Environment Programme	Reports	Various	None	Global	n/a	
United Nations Industrial Development Reports	Reports	Various	None	Global	n/a	
World Bank	Reports	Various	None	Global	n/a	
World Economic Forum	Reports	Various	None	Global	n/a	

4749

13.5. DRIVERS AND FACTORS IDENTIFIED IN THE INITIAL COLLECTION PHASE

4750

4751 Table 13.5 lists the elements that were identified in the initial phase of driver/factor collection.

4752

Table 13.5: Drivers and factors identified in the initial collection phase

METHOD	DESCRIPTION
Stricter environmental regulations	Increased regulations and policies aimed at reducing environmental impact
Inflation	Increase in the general price level of goods and services over time
Employment rates	Percentage of the working-age population that is employed
Exchange rates	Value of one currency relative to another currency
Interest rates	Cost of borrowing money or the return on investment
Gasoline price	Cost of gasoline for vehicles
Electricity price	Cost of electricity for consumers or businesses
Raw material prices	Prices of primary materials used in production processes
CO2 market	Trading system for carbon emissions permits or credits
Education level	Level of education attained by individuals or the overall population
Volunteering	Engagement in unpaid activities for the benefit of others
Transparency	Openness, accountability, and information accessibility
Compliance with rules	Adherence to regulations, guidelines, or standards
Cultural values / Consciousness	Beliefs, attitudes, and awareness of individuals and society
Accessibility	Ease of access to goods, services, or infrastructure
Land rights	Legal rights to ownership, use, or access to land
Work-life balance	Equilibrium between work and personal life
Urbanisation	Increase in the population living in urban areas
Water supply constraints	Limitations on the availability or access to freshwater resources
Increased intrinsic drive for env. protection	Growing internal motivation to protect and conserve the environment
NIMBY to projects	Not-In-My-Backyard opposition to the location of certain projects
Climate change impacts (flooding, etc.)	Consequences of climate change, such as increased flooding or extreme events
Climate change mitigation efforts	Actions taken to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and combat climate change
Redundancy	Availability of backup systems or alternative options
Material efficiency	Effective use and management of materials to minimize waste and loss

Continued on next page

Table 13.5 – Continued from previous page

METHOD	DESCRIPTION
Energy efficiency of buildings	Performance and efficiency of energy consumption in buildings
Change of products in the scope WEEE directive	Inclusion or exclusion of certain products within the scope of the WEEE directive
GDP/PPP	Gross Domestic Product (GDP) adjusted for purchasing power parity (PPP)
Improved repairability	Enhanced ability to repair and maintain products or equipment
Target enforcement	Implementation and enforcement of specific targets or goals
Data protection	Safeguarding personal data and ensuring privacy
Infrastructure	Physical structures and facilities necessary for the functioning of society
Intellectual property issues	Legal rights and protections for intellectual creations and innovations
Population	Total number of people in a given area or region
Resource shortage	Insufficient availability or scarcity of natural resources
Treatment cost	Cost of waste treatment, disposal, or recycling processes
Digital product passports	Digital documentation providing information about a product's lifecycle
Obsolescence	State of being outdated or no longer in use or demand
Digitalization	Integration and adoption of digital technologies and processes
SRM prices	Prices of secondary raw materials or recycled materials
Product prices	Prices of goods or products in the market
Recyclability mandates	Requirements or regulations promoting the recyclability of products
Conflict in supply chain	Disputes or conflicts within the supply chain of raw materials or products
Obligatory recycling standards for treatment facilities	Mandatory standards for recycling processes in treatment facilities
Improved durability	Enhanced longevity and resistance of products or materials
Composition change	Alteration or modification of the composition of materials or products
Subsidies	Financial support or incentives provided by governments or organizations
Availability of recovery technologies	Existence and accessibility of technologies for material recovery
Taxation (raw materials, landfill)	Imposition of taxes on raw materials or landfill activities
Obligatory removal of CRMs from waste	Required removal or extraction of critical raw materials from waste streams
Corruption	Dishonest or unethical behaviour, typically involving misuse of power

Continued on next page

Table 13.5 – Continued from previous page

METHOD	DESCRIPTION
Supply chain due diligence laws	Regulations or laws requiring companies to assess and manage supply chain risks
Improved recyclability	Increased ability of products or materials to be recycled or reused
Ecodesign	Designing products with consideration for their environmental impact
Trade barriers	Barriers or restrictions to international trade or commerce
Industrialization of Europe	Development and growth of industrial activities in European countries
Reduced consumerism	Shift towards decreased consumption and a more sustainable lifestyle
Accessibility/Infrastructure	Availability and adequacy of infrastructure to support accessibility
New mines in rich EU countries?	Establishment of new mines in economically prosperous European countries
Miniaturization	Process of making products or components smaller and more compact
Sharing economy	Economic system based on sharing resources and services
Repairability mandates	Requirements or regulations promoting the repairability of products
Renewable energy targets	Set goals or objectives for increasing the use of renewable energy sources

4753

13.6. DRIVERS AND FACTORS IDENTIFIED IN THE SCREENING PHASE

4754

The following table lists the scenario elements that were identified in the screening phase of driver/factor collection.

4755

4756

Table 13.6: List of drivers and factors identified in the screening phase

DOMAIN	DRIVER/FACTOR	DEFINITION	BAU	REC	CIR
Economic	CO2 market price	Price of carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions in carbon markets	I	I	I
Economic	Economic growth	Rate of economic growth	I	I	I
Economic	Energy prices	Prices of energy resources	I	I	I
Economic	Market saturation	Level of saturation reached in the market for certain products or services	I	I	II
Economic	Raw material vs SRM prices	Price comparison between raw materials and Secondary Raw Materials (SRMs)	I	I	I
Economic	Re-industrialisation of EU	Process of revitalizing industrial activities in the European Union	I	I	I
Environmental	Climate change impacts (flooding, etc.)	Impacts of climate change such as flooding and other related events	I	I	I
Environmental	Climate change mitigation efforts	Efforts made to mitigate the effects of climate change	I	I	I
Environmental	Increased drive for env. protection	Growing motivation and drive to protect the environment	I	III	III
Environmental	Resource shortage	Shortage of natural resources	I	I	I
Legal/Political	Ecodesign/re-X mandates	Establishment of ecodesign requirements for specific product groups to improve circularity, energy performance, and other environmental sustainability aspects	I	II	III
Legal/Political	Governance: corruption vs compliance	Contrasting levels of corruption and compliance within governance systems	I	I	I
Legal/Political	International trade and co-operation (vs. autarky)	Level of international trade and cooperation versus self-sufficiency	I	I	I
Legal/Political	Product information transparency	Provision of transparent product information to consumers, manufacturers, importers, repairers, recyclers, or national authorities	I	III	III
Legal/Political	Progress toward renewable energy targets	Progress made in achieving renewable energy targets	I	I	I
Legal/Political	Stricter environmental regulations	Implementation of more stringent rules and regulations for environmental protection	I	III	III
Legal/Political	Subsidies/taxation to promote circularity	Provision of subsidies or implementation of taxation policies to incentivize circularity	I	I	I
Legal/Political	Supply chain due diligence laws	Implementation and enforcement of laws requiring companies to address negative human rights and environmental impacts in their value chains	I	II	III
Social	Hoarding	The act of stockpiling and keeping excessive amounts of products	III	II	II
Social	NIMBY to projects	Opposition of local communities to the location of new projects, such as mining, in their vicinity	I	I	I
Social	Participation in re-X activities	"Involvement in activities related to the ""re-"" concepts, including refusing, reducing, repairing, and reusing products"	I	II	III

Continued on next page

Table 13.6 – Continued from previous page

DOMAIN	DRIVER/FACTOR	DEFINITION	BAU	REC	CIR
Social	Population	Size and growth of the population	I	I	I
Social	Urbanisation	Rate of urban population growth	I	I	I
Technical	Digitisation	Adoption and integration of digital technologies	I	I	I
Technical	Integration of SRM system across EU	Integration of a Secondary Raw Materials (SRM) system across the European Union	I	III	III
Technical	Product technology	Changes in product function or composition that lead to changes in waste stream composition and quantity	I	III	III
Technical	Recovery technology	Technologies and processes for recovering materials from waste	I	III	III

13.7. DRIVERS AND FACTORS AFTER CATEGORISATION

4758 The 21 elements that were identified in this stage are listed in Table 13.7.

4759 Note that CIR and REC are very similar for many scenario elements, the main difference
4760 being the way in which the targets are achieved. That is, for CIR, re-X strategies are
4761 promoted, whereas, for REC, the focus is on technological advancements in the recovery
4762 system.

4763 This distinction will have a significant impact on how the scenarios are quantitatively
4764 modelled and on the subsequent outcomes of these models.

Table 13.7: List of drivers and factors identified in the screening phase

DOMAIN	DRIVER/FACTOR	DEFINITION	INTERNAL	BAU	REC	CIR
TECH	Recovery technology	Implementation and advancements in waste recovery technologies	TRUE	I	III	III
TECH	Product technology	Changes in product function or composition	TRUE	I	III	III
TECH	Integration of SRM system across EU	Integration of a secondary raw material recovery system across EU countries	TRUE	I	III	III
ENV	Increased drive for environmental protection	Growing concern and motivation for environmental conservation	TRUE	I	III	III
ECO	Progress toward renewable energy targets	Advancements and achievements in renewable energy generation	TRUE	III	III	III
ECO	Subsidies and taxation to promote circularity	Financial incentives or taxes to encourage circular economy	TRUE	I	II	III
SOC	Participation in re-X activities	Engagement in refuse-reduce-repair-reuse activities	TRUE	I	I	III
POL	Stricter environmental regulations	Tightening of environmental laws and regulations	TRUE	II	III	III
POL	Stricter waste management regulations	Strengthening of waste management laws and regulations	TRUE	II	III	III
POL	Supply chain due diligence laws: implementation and enforcement	Obligations for identifying and mitigating negative impacts in supply chains	TRUE	I	III	III
POL	Compliance with waste targets	Meeting specific waste management and recycling targets	TRUE	I	III	III
ENV	Resource shortages	Limited availability of natural resources	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Raw material vs SRM prices	Price dynamics and competition between raw materials and secondary raw materials	FALSE	na	na	na
ENV	Climate change impactsmitigation	Effects and actions related to climate change	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	International trade and co-operation (vs. autarky)	Collaborative trade agreements and global cooperation	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Energy prices	Costs and fluctuations in energy prices	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Economic growth	Overall economic expansion and development	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	Re-industrialisation of EU	Shift towards increased industrial activities in the EU	FALSE	na	na	na
SOC	NIMBY to projects	Opposition to local projects and developments	FALSE	na	na	na

Continued on next page

Table 13.7 – Continued from previous page

DOMAIN	DRIVER/FACTOR	DEFINITION	INTERNAL	BAU	REC	CIR
SOC	Population and urbanisation	Growth and urban development of population	FALSE	na	na	na
ECO	CO2 market price	Price and market dynamics of carbon emissions	FALSE	na	na	na

13.8. DRIVERS AND FACTORS FOR QUANTIFICATION

The following Table 13.8 lists the categorised scenario elements that were quantified and incorporated into the modelling.

Table 13.8: List of scenario elements categorised for quantification

DOMAIN	ELEMENT	IN-	EX-	OUT-	BAU	REC	CIR	PARAMETERS AFFECTED
ECO	Subsidies and taxation to promote circular strategies	✓			I	I	III	demand, waste generation, lifetimes, sharing, collection,
POL	Targets and enforcement to promote circular strategies	✓			I	I	III	demand, waste generation, lifetimes, sharing, collection
SOC	Participation in re-X activities	✓			I	I	III	demand, waste generation, lifetimes, sharing, collection,
ECO	Subsidies and taxation to promote recovery strategies	✓			I	III	I	recycling rates, recovery capacity, recovery impacts, collection
POL	Targets and enforcement to promote recovery strategies	✓			I	III	I	recycling rates, recovery rates, capacity
POL	Supply chain due diligence laws	✓			I	III	III	composition, export
POL	Stricter environmental regulations	✓			I	III	III	composition, waste generation, lifetimes, export, recovery rates, recovery capacity, recovery impacts
POL	Stricter waste management regulations	✓			I	III	III	composition, waste generation, lifetimes, export, recovery rates, recovery capacity, recovery impacts
TECH	Product technology	✓			I	III	III	lifetimes, recovery rates, recovery impacts
TECH	Recovery technology	✓			I	III	III	recovery rates, recovery capacity, recovery impacts
TECH	Integration of SRM recovery system across Europe	✓			I	III	III	recycling rates, recovery rates, recovery capacity, recovery impacts
ECO	Progress toward renewable energy targets		✓		-	-	-	composition, demand, waste generation, recovery impacts
ECO	Economic growth		✓		-	-	-	composition, demand, waste generation
SOC	Population		✓		-	-	-	demand, waste generation
ECO	Primary vs. secondary raw material prices		✓		na	na	na	considered in sensitivity analysis
ECO	Energy prices		✓		na	na	na	considered in sensitivity analysis
ECO	Carbon price		✓		na	na	na	considered in sensitivity analysis

Continued on next page

Table 13.8 – Continued from previous page

DOMAIN	ELEMENT	IN-	EX-	OUT-	BAU	REC	CIR	PARAMETERS AFFECTED
ENV	Resource supply constraints		✓		na	na	na	considered in sensitivity analysis:
ECO	International trade and co-operation (vs. autarky)			✓	na	na	na	not model input (resource supply constraints is a proxy)
ECO	Re-industrialisation of EU			✓	na	na	na	not model input
SOC	Resistance to recovery projects (NIMBY)			✓	na	na	na	not model input (considered in UNFC assessments)

13.9. WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE FOR WP2

4768
4769
4770
4771
Table 13.9 lists tasks and subtasks for work package two, along with the responsible partner and the planned start and end dates for each task. This table was sourced from the FuTuRaM project management plan [23].

4772
4773
Table 13.10 shows the Gaant chart for the entire FuTuRaM project. This chart was sourced from the FuTuRaM grant agreement, page 37 [21].

WP	Task	SubTask	SubTask Name	Waste Group	Step (Optional)	Description SubTask/Step	Partners										Start	End			
							WEEE Forum	UNI PAR	BRGM	Chalmers	GTK	IMU	RECHARGE	SGU	TU B	Leiden Uni	ViTO	EmPa	UCL		
2	2.1	2.1.1	Scenario mapping	ALL		Map various studies from the academic, policy, and gray literature for future scenarios and assess the applicability within FutuRaM	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	M01	M05		
2	2.1	2.1.2	Scenario methods	ALL		Compile various methodologies for scenario development and assess their applicability for developing scenarios on material recovery and circular economy for Europe	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	M02	M05		
2	2.1	2.1.3	Scenario storylines	ALL		Flesh out the storylines of the 3 main scenarios	X		X					X	X				M05	M08	
2	2.1	2.1.4	Qualitative scenario development	ALL		Use the chosen methods and qualitative methods to develop the three main scenarios to be used in FutuRaM (e.g. BAU, increased material recovery, and full circular economy)		X		X			X		X	X		X	M07	M11	
2	2.2	2.2.1	Emerging technology assessment for future material use	ALL		Compile information on emerging technologies for sectors associated with waste streams, including changing material use	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		M03	M10	
2	2.2	2.2.2	Emerging technology assessment for recovery	ALL		Compile information on emerging technologies for sectors associated with waste streams, including changing material use	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		M07	M11	
2	2.2	2.2.3	Technology quantification	ALL		Develop methods for a quantified assessment of technology implementation (e.g. market share)		X		X										M11	M16
2	2.2	2.2.4	Technology intergration	ALL		Quantitatively integrate future technologies into the scenarios		X		X										M16	M20
2	2.2	2.2.5	Technology integration	ALL		Quantitatively integrate future technologies into the scenarios with Tasks 4.1 and 4.2 Compile (and possibly harmonize) present and future material use based on emerging technology assessment (Subtask 2.2.1)													M14	M20	
2	2.3	2.3.1	Future product/resource material composition	ALL		Identify methods for imputing missing composition data	X	X	X					X	X	X	X	X		M06	M18
2	2.3	2.3.2	Methods for data gaps in future compositions	ALL		Create database of material compositions for future products/waste streams for each scenario in combination with WP3	X							X	X					M14	M20
2	2.3	2.3.3	Create database of future waste stream compositions	ALL		Compile preliminary results from Tasks 4.1 and 4.2 and WP3, and harmonize initial waste stream results, feeding back to these tasks		X	X	X				X	X	X	X	X		M18	M20
2	2.4	2.4.1	Harmonization of future material waste stream material recovery	ALL		Evaluate the use of S-LCA, tecnoeconomic assessments, cost-benefit analysis, multi-criteria analysis using information from both the waste stream models and the UNFC case studies								X	X				M18	M21	
2	2.4	2.4.2	Develop methods for environmental and social and economic assessments	ALL		Implement methods chosen from subtask 2.4.2 to assess the social, economic, and environmental impacts for each scenario (thus quantify environmental and socioeconomic impacts of SRM recovery under each scenario)			X	X		X				X		X		M18	M30
2	2.4	2.4.3	Perform social, economic and environmental assessments	ALL		Adapt/modify/streamline the methodology for application within the context of the UNFC				X		X		X		X	X	X		M30	M36
2	2.4	2.4.4	Feedback with UNFC methodology	ALL		Compile all the modelling and case study information thus far and prepare for report writing				X		X		X		X	X	X		M24	M36
2	2.5	2.5.1	Compile information for the report	ALL		Write the report on the bottlenecks, environmental, and socioeconomic impacts of secondary material recovery	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		M37	M43	
2	2.5	2.5.2	Report writing	ALL		Report review by stakeholders and partners	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		M44	M45	
2	2.5	2.5.3	Report reviewing	ALL		Revise report based on recommendations	X	X											M46	M46	
2	2.5	2.5.4	Report revising	ALL		Deliver final report													M47	M47	
2	2.5	2.5.5	Report delivery	ALL															M48	M48	

Table 13.9: Work breakdown structure for work package two

Title	Leader/M		Year 1					Associate	Year 2 with document					Year 3					Area(s) 2021			Year 4			20/05/2022			
			1	3	5	7	9		11	13	15	17	19	21	23	25	27	29	31	33	35	37	39	41	43	45	47	
1 Conceptual and Methodological Development	Empa							M6																	D1.1			
1.1 Develop, harmonize and integrate framework, concepts, methods and tools	Empa																									D1.2		
1.2 Proposal on SRMs statistics to the EC	Empa																									D1.3		
1.3 Draft reporting standard in line with the UNFC	UNITAR																											
2 Foresight for Future Secondary Raw Materials	ULEI																											
2.1 Develop scenario storyline	ULEI																											
2.2 Integrate future technologies into the scenarios	Chalm																											
2.3 Forecast material composition and products for each scenario	TUB																											
2.4 Quantify environmental and socioeconomic impacts of the scenarios	ULEI																											
2.5 Env. and socio-econ. impacts and bottlenecks of future scenarios	ULEI																											D2.1
3 Secondary Raw Material Composition	TUB																											
3.1 Agree composition data templates for SRM potential	TUB																											
3.2 Collect, update, and consolidate composition data for SRMs	TUB																											
3.3 Extend waste stream composition assessment to SRMs	TUB																											D3.1
4 Stock and Waste Flow Characterization	UNITAR																											
4.1 Quantify stocks and flows of ProSUM streams	UNITAR																											
4.2 Quantify stocks and flows of CDW, MinW, SLASH	ULEI																											
4.3 Quantify SRM stocks and flows	UNITAR																											D4.1
5 SRM availability assessment in line with the UNFC ...	LMU																											
5.1 Map current practice, gaps and future needs for SRMs	LMU																											
5.2 Use case studies to test (...) in line with the UNFC	LMU																											D5.1
6 Development of data information system for EU	BRGM																											
6.1 Data model, registries and harvesting for SRM-KB	BRGM																											
6.2 API : Injection web services and diffusion web services	BRGM																											M21
6.3 Web site: developing the SRM-KB in EU	BRGM																											D6.1
7 Exploitation, Communication & Dissemination	SPI																											
7.1 Communication, dissemination and exploitation plan	SPI																											M15
7.2 Stakeholder mapping, consultation and engagement	WF																											M15, 16
7.3 Developing a business plan for the KERs	SPI																											M19
7.4 Communication & dissemination tools and activities	WF																											D7.3
7.5 Dissemination	SPI																											M22
7.6 Clustering activities	WF																											M10, D7.5
8 Project Management	WF																											
8.1 Consortium & Admin Management	WF																											M14
8.2 Scientific Management	UNITAR																											M5
8.3 Reporting and Legal & Financial Management	WF																											M5
8.4 Risk Management	WF																											D8.1
8.5 Data Management Plan	Empa																											D8.2
8.6 Creation and management of the Advisory Board	WF																											M2
8.7 Ethics requirements	WF																											D8.3

Table 13.10: Gantt chart for the entire FutuRaM project

4774
4775
4776

END OF REPORT

4777

FutuRaM

Future availability
of secondary
raw materials