(a)

Let x=(-1,-1...,-1) and it neighboring dataset x'=(1,-1,-1...-1). Given  $z\sim Lap(\lambda)$ 

$$\begin{split} M(x) = & < x,q > +z \sim Lap(< x,q >, \lambda) \\ M(x') = & < x',q > +z \sim Lap(< x',q >, \lambda) \\ \frac{Pr(M(x) = x)}{Pr(M(x') = x)} = & \frac{\frac{1}{2\lambda} \exp(-\frac{|x - < x,q >)}{\lambda})}{\frac{1}{2\lambda} \exp(-\frac{|x - < x',q >|}{\lambda})} \\ = & \exp(\frac{|x - < x',q >|}{b} - \frac{|x - < x,q >)}{\lambda}) \\ \leq & \exp(\frac{|< x,q > - < x',q >|}{\lambda}) \quad \text{(Triangle inequality, } |a| - |b| \leq |a - b|) \end{split}$$

Then

$$< x, q > - < x', q > = \sum_i x_i q - \sum_i x_i' q = q(\sum_i (x_i - x_i'))$$

Given that there is only one bit different between x and x', so  $\sum_i (x_i - x_i') \leq 2$  So,

$$\frac{Pr(M(x) = x)}{Pr(M(x') = x)} \le \exp(\frac{2}{\lambda})$$

According to the definition of differential privacy,

any single query is  $\epsilon\text{-differentially private with }\epsilon=\frac{2}{\lambda}.$ 

(b)

Our object function is to minimize the sum of error  $e_i$ :

$$\text{minimize } \sum_i |e_i|$$

where  $i \in N$ , is the number of query. And in this situation, N=200

And  $e_i$  is computed from each query  $q_i$ :

$$e_i = ilde{a}_i - q_i^T x$$

where  $\tilde{a}_i$  is the response of the mechanism for i-th query and x is the real dataset.

As for bounds, to relax the restriction, we let

$$0 < x_i < 1$$

and in the end, we use np.sign to get the prediction.

To deal with absolute value in the object function, we let  $e_i=e_i^+-e_i^-$  and  $|e_i|=e_i^++e_i^-$ , and  $e_i^+,e_i^-\geq 0$ .

So the total optimization problem is as follow:

$$egin{aligned} ext{minimize} \sum_i e_i^+ + e_i^- \ s.\,t. \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \ e_i + q_i^T x = ilde{a}_i \ e_i^+, e_i^- \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then we use scipy.optimize.linprog to solve this linear programming problem. The core code is as follow:

```
# Linear programming
import numpy as np
from scipy.optimize import linprog
A, b, C, bounds = np.zeros((2*n, 4*n+n)), np.zeros((200,1)), np.zeros((1, 4*n+n)), np.zeros((1, 4*n+n)), np.zeros((200,1)), np.zeros((1, 4*n+n)), np.zeros((200,1)), np.zeros((200,1))
4*n+n)), np.zeros((4*n+n, 2))
# objective function
C[:4*n] = 1
# equation cons
A[:,:2*n] = np.eye(2*n)
A[:,2*n:4*n] = -np.eye(2*n)
A[:,4*n:] = queries
b = query_results.reshape(b.shape)
# bounds
bounds[:4*n, 1] = None
bounds[4*n:, 0] = -1
bounds [4*n:, 1] = 1
res = linprog(C, A, b, bounds=bounds)
x = np.sign(res.x[-100:])
best_query_result = query(challenge_id, x, submit=True)
print(f"\nReconstruction attack achieves fraction \{(1 + best\_query\_result \ / \ n) \ / \ \}
2} correct values")
```

And the final result is 0.81:

```
res = linprog(C, A, b, bounds=bounds)

x = np.sign(res.x[-100:])

best_query_result = query(challenge_id, x, submit=True)

print(f"\nReconstruction attack achieves fraction {(1 + best_query_result / n) / 2} correct values")

$\square$ 0.3s

Reconstruction attack achieves fraction 0.81 correct values
```

Detailed code is published on my github respository: A1>>A1.ipynb.

Another algorithm modeling the problem from the perspective of integer quadratic programming is also implemented.

(c)

For each bit 
$$x$$
, given  $Pr(M(x)=x)=rac{3}{4}$ ,  $Pr(M(x')=x)=rac{1}{4}$ ,  $\epsilon^{bit}=lnrac{3}{4}=ln$   $3$ .

For the 2-bit strings  $\epsilon = 2\epsilon^{bit} = \ln 9$ 

So  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies (ln9)-differential privacy

## (d)

Define M' as following:

$$M'(x) = egin{cases} x & p \ ext{other cases} & rac{1}{3} \cdot (1-p) \end{cases}$$

Given

$$rac{Pr(M'(x) \in \mathcal{X})}{Pr(M'(x') \in \mathcal{X})} \leq rac{Pr(M'(x) = x)}{Pr(M'(x') = x)} = rac{p}{rac{1}{3} \cdot (1-p)}$$

According to the question,  ${\it M}$  satisfies (ln9)-differential privacy, so

$$\frac{3p}{1-p} = \exp(\ln 9) = 9$$

so 
$$p=rac{3}{4}$$

As for Pr(M(x)=x), M(x)=x means the mechanism outputs two bits truly at the same time. As the probability that the mechanism outputs one bit truly is  $\frac{3}{4}$ ,  $Pr(M(x)=x)=\frac{9}{16}$ 

And when  $p=\frac{3}{4}$  ,  $Pr(M'(x)=x)=\frac{3}{4}$  .

As a result, the inequality  $Pr(M'(x)=x)>Pr(M(x)=x)\Leftrightarrow \frac{3}{4}>\frac{9}{16}$ , which is satisfied either.