KNN and the NFL CombineTM: Can machine learning predict offensive lineman draftability

John Barber-Ormerod, Gianluca Iarrusso

Introduction

The NFL is the highest point in professional football, each year a draft is held to add new talent to teams. The Draft consists of 7 rounds with each team having 1 selection, which they may trade away before or on draft nights. There are an additional 32 picks awarded to teams based on the players they lose in free agency. With about 250 selections each year, there is great stock placed in scouting new players to best address team needs. Traditionally this is done through watching game film and attending pro/senior days at university campuses. The combine is also an event held before the draft that is used to determine a player's ability. 6 events, as well as positionspecific drills, occur for each player invited. Some players optout of drills to both their benefit and detriment. This paper aims to determine if the results of the 6 combine drills, as well as measurables like height and weight, can determine a player's draft value. The focus will be placed on offensive lineman(center, guard and tackle).

OVERVIEW

40-yard dash

The individual must sprint 40 yards from a sprinter's stance. 10 and 20 yard interval times are also recorded.

20-yard shuttle

The individual runs 5 yards to his right, touches his hand to the ground, he then runs 10 yards left and touches the ground, then running right 5 yards to the return position

3-cone drill

The individual runs 5 yards and touches the ground next to the pillon, runs back to the starting pillon and touches the ground, then he runs back to the second pillon and turns 90 degrees toward a third cone, he then wraps around the cone and heads back to the first cone, again making a 90 degree turn at the second cone to return back.

225lb bench press

The individual will attempt to bench press 225 lbs as many times as possible, only reps with a full range of motion are counted.

Broad jump

The individual stands behind the start line, they then must jumbo as far as possible while still having the balance to remain in their spot. Bending of the knees and swinging of the arms for momentum are allowed.

Vertical jump

The individual stands underneath a bar with multiple swinging dowels, the jumper will stand straight up with their hand extended, the last dowel is adjusted to the persons hand. They then jump aiming to hit the highest possible dowel, the number of dowels moved correlates to the distance jumped.

KNN

KNN is a machine learning algorithm mainly focused on the classification of entries based on their proximity to other similar instances in the dataset. Unlike most other models such as linear regression or support vector machines, KNN does not require a split between a testing set and a training set. Rather it will be able to immediately classify new instances as they are added to the dataset. It does this by using a formula to measure the distance to each instance in the dataset and returns the closest K instances, it then "votes" on the classification of the unknown by taking whichever class appears most often in these K instances. The tuning process for the KNN model relies heavily on ensuring the data is properly formatted. That is to say the data must be normalized already or have similar magnitudes of values to ensure that when the calculations are done no column dominates the others by being more than three magnitudes greater than our other features. Other parameters to tune are the value for K which has a mathematical starting point of n where n is the number of instances in the dataset. Finally there exists differing ways to calculate the distance between neighbors, most commonly Minkoski

Minkoski

The Minkowski distance formula, written as

$$Minkowski(X,Y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a way to calculate the distance between two vectors X and Y. The formula itself has different names depending on the value of p where p=1 is called the Manhattan distance and p=2 is called the Euclidean distance. This formula for determining distance is very common in KNN classifiers and is even the default measurement formula for many KNN libraries.

Feature Selection

Feature selection is an integral part of the preprocessing for classification. Features are attributes used by models to predict the outcome, some features are raw data, others normalized, or even the result of transformations. The goal of feature selection is to remove entropy(degree of randomness) from

1

our data set. This process is not trivial, there is alot of effort placed in feature selection, many methods have been created to address this issue. The most general description for the feature selection process is reducing redundant or useless features. The feature that are given to the model will be the only information it sees, thus it is important for an optimium outcome it is fed the leanest and most powerrful feature set. Feature selection only deals with removing of existing features, not creatign new ones.

Feature Extraction

Feature extraction works to create new features from existing ones. This process can be done by applying functions to the values of one or more existing features. Feature extration has the same goal as feature selection, to reduce entropy and produce an optimal feature set. It is not uncommon for both to be applied to a feature set to generate a truely optimal feature set.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Combine Values determining success

Meil noted a difference between the drafted and undrafted players in regards to preformance in 40 yard dash, 3 cone drill and bench press. He also refreences a study conducted that found that broad jump and vertical jump when paired for 40-yard dash were a good representation of draft order. Although the intentions of this model was not to predict the exact order, this did provide a strong baseline to test against. The key point made by Meil was that in comparisson between personal and statistical decissions, the personal is usually worse. As the aim of this model is to remove some of the human biad from the selection process. The metric of success is more subjective than draftability, however Meil states that for success important metics for linemen are: height, weight, bench press, broad jump, and three-cone drill. This is an interesting feature set, that will be considered when selecting our own features.

Distance formulas in KNN

One of the most important metrics to consider when creating a KNN model is the distance formula that will be used to decide the similarity of two instances in the data set. There are many aspects to consider as each formula will bring something new to the table in terms of flexibility. For instance in a study conducted by Li-Yu et al. In the case of Minkowski distances and their derivatives, namely Manhattan and Euclidean, they performed well on categorical datasets with a low number of features. In contrast to this, those distances would perform better on numerical datasets with more features. Their performance in mixed datasets would drop off considerably when adding more features causing their performance to take an almost 40% dip when moving from 6 to 7. When it comes to their observations on Chi Squared in the Li-Yu et al. study it was found that it worked best on all datasets but would perform better than others on datasets with mixed values. It should also be noted that choosing a p value for Minkowski will vary by data set. It was observed that there was little difference between the two in terms of performance(Hu,2016). In other studies it was observed that the Euclidean measure was not an effective way to measure distance(Najat et al., 2019). Data type isn't the only thing to keep in mind, however, when choosing a distance formula. Another important consideration, especially in a voting algorithm like KNN, is noise in the data. A study done by Alfeilat et Al. would find that formulas like Manhattan, Hassanat, and Lorentzian performed well when there was over 90% noise in the dataset. Despite these findings, however, it is important to note that these remain recommendations since each dataset is unique in its challenges and all are subject to the "No free lunch" theorem (Alfeilat et al.,2019).

HYPOTHESIS

The hypothesis is that offensive lineman combine results can be manipulated in such a way that a KNN classifier can predict wheter or not a player could be drafted with 75% accuracy or better.

METHODOLOGY

Data Gathering and Preprocessing

The values of combine information was gathered from Pro-Football-Refrence(PFR). The Combine results availabale from 2000 to 2021, and contained each position group. Attaining the data was simple, PFR allows for each year to be downlaoded as a CSV. From there removing non-offensive lineman is trivial, there were some anomilies in our dataset. These were easily handleable, there were two instances of weights being 100lbs lighter, additionally one height was a foot shorter. Cleaning the data was overall straight forward, the data was manageble to verrify outliers manually and the above issues were rectified immeditattly. Although we had access to 21 years of data, it was decided to only use the most recent 6 years(2021-2016). This is a result of the everchnaging landscape of the NFL and the demands of offensive lineman. Within our data set there are instances of missing data as a result of players opting-out of the events. The decision was made to retain all participants, when testing models if the indiovidual did not have values for all features the individuals record was dropped. This was done in an effort to provide as much data as possible to the models. In total there are 330 entires with only 190 participating in all events. With the differences between players drafted later and undrafted players being so minute, it was important to try and distinguish as best as possible. This was accomplished by not normalizing the data, normalizing the data wouldunfavorably affect our model. The lack of normilization does disproportionately value bench press, thuis value is an integer, often greater than 20, Decimal values like the 40 yard dash would not see a difference greater than 2.00.

Feature Selection and Extraction

When examining the features we currently had, there was very little difference between the 40 yard time of a drafted and undrafted player. The idea of examining combine values and determining a player's outcome is not uncommon. An important study was by Meil in which he outlined the corelation matrix for combine events relating to offensive linemen. We created a similar matrix using our data set which is represented in figure 1. This inconjuction to events deemed important by Meil and Gallagher were the baselines for features beign fed to the KNN model. Meil placed high stock in the 40 yard dash time with minor importance placed on the shuttle, 3 cone and maximum bench press. Testing several models with features mentioned in Meil produced favorable outcomes, a model with a feature set of { 40 Yard dash, Bench Press, 3 Cone produced 75% accuracy. likewise swapping Bench Press with Broad jump also resulted in 75%. This was agood sign of potential for creating an accurate model. Gallagher also favored the 40 yard dash, unlike Miel, Gallagher placed value in the Verticle Jump. This model produced an accuracy of 72% These two studies presented very good insight into what metrics were important. The 40 Yard dash was a recurring theme amongst these studies, which was the first first feature used in our model. However, unlike the previous studies we adjusted the 40 times to account for the weight of the athlete, this was done by applying the formula:

$$AdjustedWeight = \frac{PlayerWeight * 200}{40 YardTime^4}$$

This produced a more accurate metric for speed, as the lighter slower players and faster heavier players would be better differentiated. The formula is not perfect but for the purposes of classification was better than weight and 40 yard time on their own. The equation is from *Pro Football Focus(PFF)*, they are one of the leading analytics for football specifically the *NFL*. Another equation used was that for the one rep max. The purpose of this was to create a tangebile metric for upper body strength. The most common equation for one rep max is as follow:

$$One Rep Max = Weight Repped*(1 + \frac{Number Of Reps}{30})$$

With all players only able to lift 225lbs this equation reduces to:

$$One Rep Max = 225*(1 + \frac{Number Of Reps}{30})$$

The remaining features were unmodified values from the events, the 3 cone and 20 yard shuttle run. Both of these features had strong corelation to the 40 yard dash, there was a minor discorreclation to the bench press. However, this set was what we felt best represented what was needed for an offensive lineman. 40 yard dash was indicitive of down field speed, the bench press was an indicator of their upper body strenght to push defenders, finally, the shuttle and 3 cone measure ones ability to chnage direction, important in swing blocks and reach blocks. Both broad and vertical jumps were removed from the feature set, as well as 40 yard daash and bench press were replaced by the agregated counterparts

Model Creation

Once these features were applied to our classifier, we had 192 entires We then needed to determine the number of neighbors applying the optimal neighbor formula:

$$OptimalNeighbor = \sqrt{NumOfEntries}$$

Resulting in an optimal neighbor number of 13. However with some additonal testing it was determined that a K value of 17 would work better for this data set, while still not overfitting. Other K values such as 15 and 19 both produce accuracies greater than 80% suggesting the model is properly responding to changes. It is important to ensure we are not creating a model that overfits. Using sklearn we were able to easily implement a KNN classifer, when changing parameters we opted to use the Minkoski Distance formula. We applied a p value of 2 essentially changing the formula to Euclidean distance, reducing the afformentioned formula to:

$$Distance = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|^2\right)}$$

Sklearn also allows for adjusting weights, opting for the uniform weight meaning there was no premium placed on closer nodes. Offsetting the issue of similarity between late draft picks and undrafted players.

OUTCOME

With a feature set of {Weight adjusted 40 yard dash, One rep maximum bench press, 3 cone drill, and 20 yard shuttle run} and a K value of 17 the models outcome is found on figure 2. With the Accuracy of this model beign above the desired 75% it can be confirmed that a model that can predict an offensive linemens draftability with over 75% accuracy.

DISCUSSION

Although some sources were able to correlate linemen ability to their performance in jumps both vertical and broad, our models were more successful ignoring these features. The studies conducted by Meil and Gallagher were excellent starting points for this paper. Providing insight into the demands of offensive lineman as what metrics were important. Our outcome overall and for classifying Drafted players was very promising, however there is some worry as to the unrafted results. This trend extended thropughout refining the model as well as existed in the models using Meil and Gallagher features. The most plausible reason for this outcome is the difference in talent. A first round player will physically preform better than a seventh round pick however, the difference between a player taken in the 7th round and one not drafted is much smaller. It is not uncommon for seventh round picks to be released prior to the start of the season, a trend common amongst all position groups. Although this model boasts high accuracy it should be treated as another tool for scouts, all sports drafts are equal parts luck and scouting. This model may also have a very short shelf life, the demands of players is changing. In the 21st century alone, the avid viewer will notice that offensives have begun passing more in the last few

Height	Weight	40 Yard	Vertival Jump	Bench Press	Broad Jump	3 Cone	Shuttle Run
1	_		_		_		
0.22	1						
0.06	0.31	1					
-0.07	-0.29	-0.59	1				
-0.16	0.07	-0.32	0.21	1			
0.08	-0.28	-0.60	0.67	-0.07	1		
0.07	0.40	0.45	-0.34	-0.11	-0.40	1	
0.13	0.41	0.55	-0.42	-0.14	-0.51	0.70	1
		TABLE I					
	1 0.22 0.06 -0.07 -0.16 0.08 0.07	1 0.22 1 0.06 0.31 -0.07 -0.29 -0.16 0.07 0.08 -0.28 0.07 0.40	1 0.22 1 0.06 0.31 1 -0.07 -0.29 -0.59 -0.16 0.07 -0.32 0.08 -0.28 -0.60 0.07 0.40 0.45	1	1	1	1

Figure 1. Correlation matrix for combine events and measurables (2016-2021)

Drafted Not Drafted	Precision 0.94 0.67	Recall 0.94 0.67	F1-Score 0.94 0.67	Support 17 3
Accuracy	90%	TAB	LE II	

FIGURE 2. OUTCOME OF KNN-CLASSIFIER

years compare to the turn of the century. These changes can result in a model soon obsolete, as other combine features preform better for classification. There would need to be constant validation test to ensure this is the optimal feature set. It is also important to make a distinction that this model uses exclusively combine results, as pro days are geared toward showing off the players, the combine is a level playign field with times usually more accurate. This models strength is its precision for determining drafted players, this is especially impressive with the afformentioned little difference in players event scores. One likely reason for the miss classification is the depth of each draft. These events are random, the number of offensive lineman varries based on year, a breakdown of this is as such

- 2016: 53 70% Drafted
- 2017: 47 62% Drafted
- 2018: 48 71% Drafted
- 2019: 57 60% Drafted
- 2020: 52 79% Drafted
- 2021: 73 47% Drafted

This is important to consider, with such a disperity in both % of players drafted and attendees, there is higher influence from some years in comparisson to others. With 2021 having both the largest amount of offensive linemen attendee but also the lowest draft percentage. Although combine metrics are tangebile, team needs and depth are intangebile, this can be a huge factor into a players draft status. Another potential model could be one used to determine wheter a player would make the starting 53-player roster at the beginning of the season. This model would require significantly more analytics and metrics to be devloped for very subjective factors, like depth at postion, versitility, and team philosophy.