

Appendix of Health Cards for Consumer Health Search

Table A: Perception questionnaire items, from Kelly et al. [1]. Unless specified, options for each item ranged from 1 (very [neg]) to 5 (very [pos]). Where the [neg], [pos] labels were contextualised to the items, e.g. for item 1, [neg]=uninterested, [pos]=interested.

Items
Interest & knowledge
(1) How interested are you to learn more about the topic of this scenario?
(2) How many times have you searched for information about the topic of this scenario? (1= never, 2= 1-2 times, 3= 3-4 times, 4= >5 times)
(3) How much do you know about the topic of this scenario? (1=nothing, 2=little, 3=some, 4=great deal)
Perceived Task Understandability
(5) How defined is the task in terms of the types of information needed to complete it?
(6) How defined is the task in terms of its expected solution?

ACM Reference Format:

. 2019. Appendix of Health Cards for Consumer Health Search. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

REFERENCES

- [1] Diane Kelly, Jaime Arguello, Ashlee Edwards, and Wan-ching Wu. 2015. Development and evaluation of search tasks for IIR experiments using a cognitive complexity framework. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on the Theory of Information Retrieval (ICTIR'15)*. ACM, 101–110.