# Appendix of Health Cards for Consumer Health Search

Table A: Perception questionnaire items, from Kelly et al. [1]. Unless specified, options for each item ranged from 1 (very [neg]) to 5 (very [pos]). Where the [neg], [pos] labels were contextualised to the items, e.g. for item 1, [neg]=uninterested, [pos]=interested.

### Items

### Interest & knowledge

- (1) How interested are you to learn more about the topic of this scenario?
- (2) How many times have you searched for information about the topic of this scenario? (1= never, 2= 1-2 times, 3= 3-4 times, 4= >5 times)
- (3) How much do you know about the topic of this scenario? (1=nothing, 2=little, 3=some, 4=great deal)

## Perceived Task Understandability

- (5) How defined is the task in terms of the types of information needed to complete it?
- (6) How defined is the task in terms of its expected solution?

Table B: User experience questionnaire items, adopted from Kelly et al. [1]. Options for item 1 to 7 ranged from 1 (very [neg]) to 5 (very [pos]). Where the [neg], [pos] labels were contextualised to the items, e.g., for item 1, [neg]=difficult, [pos]=easy.

### Items

# **Experienced Task Difficulty**

- (1) How difficult was it to *understand* the information the system presents?
- (2) How difficult was it to determine when you have enough information to finish the task?
- (3) Overall, how difficult was this task?

# System Effectiveness Assessment

- (5) Did the system provide *sufficient information* to help me complete the task?
- (6) How effective was the system in helping you to find the right information?

### Satisfaction & Workload Assessment

- (7) Overall, how satisfied are you with your solution to this task?
- (8) How would you describe the work you have done to complete this task? (1=very hard, 2=hard, 3=neutral, 4=easy, 5=very easy)

# REFERENCES

Diane Kelly, Jaime Arguello, Ashlee Edwards, and Wan-ching Wu. 2015. Development and evaluation of search tasks for IIR experiments using a cognitive complexity framework. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on the Theory of Information Retrieval (ICTIR'15)*. ACM, 101–110.

Table C: Exit questionnaire. Options for each items 1 to 4 ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

#### Items

- (1) The system was easy to use.
- (2) The system provided me with useful information.
- (3) Overall, the quality of the results displayed by the system is similar to those I experienced in my everyday interaction with general-purpose search engines like Google and Bing.
- (4) Overall, I am satisfied with the system.
- (5) Did you notice the health card when completing the tasks for which the card was displayed? (Yes or No)
- (6) Did you use the health card when completing the tasks for which the card was displayed? (Yes or No)
- (7) If you have searched for health information before, have you seen a health card before?
  - I never used a search engine to search for health information before.
  - I never seen a health card before, or
  - Yes, I have seen a health card before
- (8) If you have seen a health card before, based on your previous experience, you consider health card as being:
  - NOT relevant to my query or Relevant to my query
  - Difficult to understand or Easy to understand
  - NOT trustworthy or Trustworthy