EA1

[doc version 09.02]

Ethical Approval Form: Library/Desk/Lab/Studio-based Research Projects



This form must be completed for each piece of research activity whether conducted by academic staff, research staff, graduate students or undergraduates. Applications by students must be endorsed by an academic member of staff acting as Principal Investigator/supervisor. The completed form must be sent to the designated Ethics Committee within the Faculty.

Please complete all sections. If a section is not applicable, write N/A.

1	Name of Applicant	Liam Mason	
2	School or Department	School of Computer Science	
3	Position in the University	Student	
4	Role in relation to this research	Researcher	
5	Name(s) of collaborators/co-workers and their relationship to the project (e.g. supervisor, assistant etc.)	Andy Cowe- supervisor	
6	Brief statement of main Research Question or Project Title	Investigating how balance affects interactions between video game partic	cipants.
7	Ethical checklist	Does the research involve living human participants, or human tissue? If you answered "yes", submit form EA2 for Ethical Approval.	Yes ⊠ No 🗌
		Does the research involve living animals, or animal tissue? If you answered "yes", submit form EA3 for Ethical Approval.	Yes □ No 🏻
		Does the research involve confidential data, or data not in the public domain?	Yes □ No ⊠
		Does the project potentially put you or your collaborators at physical or psychological risk?	Yes ☐ No ⊠
		Could the topic or results of this research be seen as illegal, or attract legal action against the University from an outside agency?	Yes □ No ⊠
		Could the topic or results of this research attract unwelcome media attention, or affect the reputation or standing of the University?	Yes □ No ⊠
		Could the topic, results or conduct of this research be regarded as offensive, immoral or destructive by some reasonable people?	Yes □ No ⊠
		Does this research need to be undertaken under a relevant professional code of conduct?	Yes □ No ⊠
		Are there any potential conflicts of interest in conducting this research, including financial gain for the researchers, or for individuals or external organizations affiliated with the researchers?	Yes □ No ⊠
		Are there any factors inhibiting the application of the University's ethical guidelines, including those on proper treatment of data, research design and publication of results?	Yes □ No ⊠
		Does the research require the approval of any external body?	Yes ☐ No ⊠
		If the answer to all questions above is "No", you may complete section 8 to certify that there are no ethical issues, submit this form to the relevant Ethics Committee, and proceed with the research immediately. You accept professional responsibility for this decision, and if unsure should instead submit to the Committee. If the answer to any of the above questions is "Yes", complete the rest of the form, submit to the relevant Ethics Committee, and await approval before proceeding with the research. Answering "Yes" does not necessarily imply that the research is problematic, only the Ethics Committee needs to consider the research to ensure that it can proceed, and that the research design conforms to best practice.	
8	Self certification of Ethical Review	Having reviewed the ethical implications of this research, I certify that there are no issues	

requiring Ethical Approval. I certify that the research will be carried out in compliance with the University's ethical guidelines for library/desk/laboratory/studio-based research, with Health and Safety regulations, and with all other relevant University policies and procedures. If there are any changes to the research requiring ethical clearance, I shall apply for such clearance before continuing with the research. Signed Note. This section must be endorsed by the member of academic staff responsible for the project. In the case of research by students, the supervising member of academic staff must sign. The signed form should then be submitted to the relevant Ethics Committee within the Faculty, and the research may proceed.		
Yes 🛛 No 🗌		
If "No", provide an ethical justification for your project and explain why you wish to continue with the research in breach of normal ethical principles:-		
Yes □ No ⊠		
If "Yes", please state which body: -		
Yes ☐ -Please append documentary evidence to this form.		
Yes ☐ -Please append documentary evidence to this form. No ☑ If "No", please state why not:- N/A		
No ⊠ If "No", please state why not:-		

APPLICANT SIGNATURE						
I haveby reguest othical approval for the	he receased as decaribed above					
I hereby request ethical approval for the I certify that I have read the University	research as described above. 's ethical guidelines for library/desk/laboratory/studio-based research.					
Liam Mason	25/10/2016					
Applicant Signature	Date					
Liam Mason						
PRINT NAME						
FRINT NAME						
FOR COMPLETION BY THE DESIGNATED FACULTY AUTHORITY						
Please select ONE of A, B, C or D below	:					
☐ A. The Faculty gives ethical appro	val to this research					
A. The Faculty gives etilical appro	val to this research.					
☐ B. The Faculty gives <u>conditional</u> ef	hical approval to this research.					
10 Please state the condition (inc. date by which condition must be						
satisfied if applicable)						
	approval to this research but refers the application to the University					
Research Ethics Committee for higher	rievel consideration.					
11 Please state the reason						
The found state the rousen						
D. The Faculty cannot give ethical approval to this research and recommends that the research should <u>not</u>						
proceed.						
12 Please state the reason, bearing in						
mind the University's ethical framework, including the primary						
maniework, including the primary						

concern for Academic Freedom.							
Signature of Designated Faculty Authority for Research Ethics							
Signatura	 						
Signature	Date						

Key ethical guidelines for library/desk/laboratory/studio-based research

The University of Lincoln has drawn up the following key principles for researchers engaged in library/desk/laboratory/studio-based projects in order to promote high professional standards. They should be read alongside the University's Ethical Principles for Conducting Research with Humans and Other Animals, and operate as part of the University's Ethical Framework.

- Non-falsification of data: Researchers have an ethical obligation to refrain from tampering with data. Thus questionnaire responses, experimental observations and data analyses should not be fabricated, altered nor discarded. In addition, researchers have a responsibility to exercise reasonable care in processing data to ensure no errors affect the results.
- <u>Ethics of reporting research</u>: Researchers are obliged to give full and proper attribution of ideas: presenting the words, data or ideas of another person as your own without properly citing them amounts to plagiarism. This is not only misconduct but can also be an infringement of copyright, amounting to theft of intellectual property.
- <u>Ethics and research design</u>: Researchers should be open to a range of methods: failure to consider and evaluate alternative methods and tools for the collection of data may be regarded as too overtly biased. All appropriate steps should be taken to ensure that no samples are obtained from unethical sources e.g. illegal databases; unregistered suppliers of samples from humans or other animals.
- <u>Authorship credit</u>: Only those researchers who are significant contributors to a research project should be given authorship credit. A "significant contributor" might be described as a person playing a major role in conceptualising, analysing or writing the final document. Ideally, all those involved in the research project should decide upon the order of authorship. Usually, the first author is the one who has made the biggest contribution.
- <u>Conflict of interest</u>: Researchers should be aware of the potential influence of personal or commercial interests on their work and take all practical measures to ensure that information is presented without distortion.
- <u>The principle of beneficence</u>: Researchers are required to protect individuals by seeking to maximise anticipated benefits and minimise possible harms. It is therefore necessary to examine carefully the design of the study and its risks and benefits including, in some cases, identifying alternative ways of obtaining the benefits sought from the research. Research risks must always be justified by the expected benefits of research.
- <u>Professional codes</u>: Researchers should undertake research legally and in accordance with any relevant professional codes of conduct.
- <u>Personal information</u>: Researchers should anonymise information which relates to individuals when they have not obtained informed consent, unless there is a clear justification to the contrary. They should also be aware of the impact of wider public dissemination of their work and the impact this might have on any individual or group of individuals. If it is anticipated that it might cause distress, it is essential to demonstrate that the benefits outweigh this risk.