

Programming Languages: Functional Programming

Practicals 5. Program Calculation

Shin-Cheng Mu

Autumn 2025

1. Let $descend$ be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}descend &:: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{List Nat} \\descend\ 0 &= [] \\descend\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) &= \mathbf{1}_+ n : descend\ n.\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Let $sumseries = sum \cdot descend$. Synthesise an inductive definition of $sumseries$.

Solution: It is immediate that $sum\ (descend\ 0) = 0$. For the inductive case we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}sum\ (descend\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n)) &= \{ \text{definition of } descend \} \\&\quad sum\ ((\mathbf{1}_+ n) : descend\ n) \\&= \{ \text{definition of } sum \} \\&\quad (\mathbf{1}_+ n) + sum\ (descend\ n) \\&= \{ \text{definition of } sumseries \} \\&\quad (\mathbf{1}_+ n) + sumseries\ n.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}sumseries\ 0 &= 0 \\sumseries\ (\mathbf{1}_+ n) &= (\mathbf{1}_+ n) + sumseries\ n.\end{aligned}$$

- (b) The function $repeatN :: (\text{Nat}, a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$ is defined by

$$repeatN\ (n, x) = map\ (\text{const } x)\ (descend\ n).$$

Thus $repeatN\ (n, x)$ produces n copies of x in a list. E.g. $repeatN\ (3, 'a') = "aaa"$. Calculate an inductive definition of $repeatN$.

Solution: It is immediate that $\text{repeatN} (0, x) = []$. For the inductive case we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{repeatN} (\mathbf{1}_+ n, x) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \text{repeatN} \} \\
 & \quad \text{map} (\text{const } x) (\text{descend} (\mathbf{1}_+ n)) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \text{descend} \} \\
 & \quad \text{map} (\text{const } x) (\mathbf{1}_+ n : \text{descend } n) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \text{map and const} \} \\
 & \quad x : \text{map} (\text{const } x) (\text{descend } n) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \text{repeatN} \} \\
 & \quad x : \text{repeatN} (n, x) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{repeatN} (0, x) &= [] \\
 \text{repeatN} (\mathbf{1}_+ n, x) &= x : \text{repeatN} (n, x) .
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) The function $\text{rld} :: \text{List} (\text{Nat}, a) \rightarrow \text{List } a$ performs run-length decoding:

$$\text{rld} = \text{concat} \cdot \text{map repeatN} .$$

For example, $\text{rld} [(2, 'a'), (3, 'b'), (1, 'c')] = "aabbbbc"$. Come up with an inductive definition of rld .

Solution: For the base case:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{rld} [] & \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \text{rld} \} \\
 & \quad \text{concat} (\text{map repeatN} []) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definitions of } \text{map and concat} \} \\
 & []
 \end{aligned}$$

For the inductive case:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{rld} ((n, x) : xs) & \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of } \text{rld} \} \\
 & \quad \text{concat} (\text{map repeatN} ((n, x) : xs)) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definitions of } \text{map} \} \\
 & \quad \text{concat} (\text{repeatN} (n, x) : \text{map repeatN } xs) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definitions of concat} \} \\
 & \quad \text{repeatN} (n, x) \mathbin{+} \text{concat} (\text{map repeatN } xs) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{definition of rld} \} \\
 & \quad \text{repeatN} (n, x) \mathbin{+} \text{rld } xs .
 \end{aligned}$$

We have thus derived:

$$\begin{aligned} rld [] &= [] \\ rld ((n, x) : xs) &= repeatN (n, x) ++ rld xs . \end{aligned}$$

We can in fact further construct a definition of *rld* that does not use $(++)$, by pattern matching on *n*. It is immediate that $rld ((0, x) : xs) = rld xs$. By a routine calculation we get:

$$\begin{aligned} rld [] &= [] \\ rld ((0, x) : xs) &= rld xs . \\ rld ((1_+ n, x) : xs) &= x : rld ((n, x) : xs) . \end{aligned}$$

2. There is another way to define *pos* such that $pos\ x\ xs$ yields the index of the first occurrence of *x* in *xs*:

$$\begin{aligned} pos :: Eq\ a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow List\ a \rightarrow Int \\ pos\ x = length \cdot takeWhile\ (x \neq) \end{aligned}$$

(This *pos* behaves differently from the one in the lecture when *x* does not occur in *xs*.) Construct an inductive definition of *pos*.

Solution: It is immediate that $pos\ x\ [] = 0$. For the inductive case we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} pos\ x\ (y : ys) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } pos \} \\ &= length\ (takeWhile\ (x \neq)\ (y : ys)) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } takeWhile \} \\ &\quad length\ (\text{if } x \neq y \text{ then } y : takeWhile\ (x \neq) ys \text{ else } []) \\ &= \{ \text{function application distributes into if, defn. of } length \} \\ &\quad \text{if } x \neq y \text{ then } 1_+ (length\ (takeWhile\ (x \neq) ys)) \text{ else } 0 \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } pos \} \\ &\quad \text{if } x \neq y \text{ then } 1_+ (pos\ x\ ys) \text{ else } 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have constructed:

$$\begin{aligned} pos\ x\ [] &= 0 \\ pos\ x\ (y : xs) &= \text{if } x \neq y \text{ then } 1_+ (pos\ x\ xs) \text{ else } 0 . \end{aligned}$$

3. Zipping and mapping.

- (a) Let $\text{second } f (x, y) = (x, f y)$. Prove that $\text{zip } xs (\text{map } f ys) = \text{map} (\text{second } f) (\text{zip } xs ys)$.

Solution: Recall one of the possible definitions of zip :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{zip} [] & ys &= [] \\ \text{zip} (x : xs) [] & = [] \\ \text{zip} (x : xs) (y : ys) &= (x, y) : \text{zip } xs \ ys .\end{aligned}$$

Following the structure, we prove the proposition by induction on xs and ys . A tip for equational reasoning: it is usually easier to go from the more complex side to the simpler side, from the side with more structure to the side with less structure. Thus we start from the left-hand side.

Case $xs := []$:

$$\begin{aligned}& \text{map} (\text{second } f) (\text{zip} [] ys) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{zip} \} \\ & \quad \text{map} (\text{second } f) [] \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{map} \} \\ & \quad [] \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{zip} \} \\ & \quad \text{zip} [] (\text{map } f ys) .\end{aligned}$$

Case $xs := x : xs$, $ys := []$:

$$\begin{aligned}& \text{map} (\text{second } f) (\text{zip} (x : xs) []) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{zip} \} \\ & \quad \text{map} (\text{second } f) [] \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{map} \} \\ & \quad [] \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{zip} \} \\ & \quad \text{zip} (x : xs) [] \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{map} \} \\ & \quad \text{zip} (x : xs) (\text{map } f []) .\end{aligned}$$

Case $xs := x : xs$, $ys := y : ys$:

$$\begin{aligned}& \text{map} (\text{second } f) (\text{zip} (x : xs) (y : ys)) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{zip} \} \\ & \quad \text{map} (\text{second } f) ((x, y) : \text{zip } xs \ ys) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{map} \} \\ & \quad \text{second } f (x, y) : \text{map} (\text{second } f) (\text{zip } xs \ ys) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } \text{second} \} \\ & \quad (x, f y) : \text{map} (\text{second } f) (\text{zip } xs \ ys)\end{aligned}$$

```

= { induction }
(x, f y) : zip xs (map f ys)
= { definition of zip }
zip (x : xs) (f y : map f ys)
= { definition of map }
zip (x : xs) (map f (y : ys)) .

```

(b) Consider the following definition

```

delete      :: List a → List (List a)
delete []    = []
delete (x : xs) = xs : map (x:) (delete xs) ,

```

such that

$$delete [1, 2, 3, 4] = [[2, 3, 4], [1, 3, 4], [1, 2, 4], [1, 2, 3]] .$$

That is, each element in the input list is deleted in turns. Let $select :: List a \rightarrow List (a, List a)$ be defined by $select xs = zip xs (delete xs)$. Come up with an inductive definition of $select$. Hint: you may find $second$ useful.

Solution: The base case $[]$ is immediate. For the inductive case:

```

select (x : xs)
= { definition of select }
zip (x : xs) (delete (x : xs))
= { definition of delete }
zip (x : xs) (xs : map (x:) (delete xs))
= { definition of zip }
(x, xs) : zip xs (map (x:) (delete xs))
= { property proved above }
(x, xs) : map (second (x:)) (zip xs (delete xs))
= { definition of select }
(x, xs) : map (second (x:)) (select xs) .

```

We thus have

```

select []    = []
select (x : xs) = (x, xs) : map (second (x:)) (select xs) .

```

(c) An alternative specification of $delete$ is

```

delete xs = map (del xs) [0 .. length xs - 1]
where del xs i = take i xs ++ drop (1 + i) xs ,

```

(here we take advantage of the fact that $[0..n]$ returns $[]$ when n is negative). From this specification, derive the inductive definition of *delete* given above. **Hint:** you may need the following property:

$$[0..n] = 0 : \text{map } (\mathbf{1}_+) [0..n-1], \text{ if } n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

and the *map-fusion* law (2) given below.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{delete } (x : xs) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of delete} \} \\ & \quad \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) [0.. \text{length } (x : xs) - 1] \\ &= \{ \text{definition of length, arithmetics} \} \\ & \quad \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) [0.. \text{length } xs] \\ &= \{ \text{length } xs \geq 0, \text{ by (1)} \} \\ & \quad \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) (0 : \text{map } (\mathbf{1}_+) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1]) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of map} \} \\ & \quad \text{del } (x : xs) 0 : \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs)) (\text{map } (\mathbf{1}_+) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1]) \\ &= \{ \text{map fusion (2)} \} \\ & \quad \text{del } (x : xs) 0 : \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1] \end{aligned}$$

Now we pause for a while to inspect $\text{del } (x : xs)$. Apparently, $\text{del } (x : xs) 0 = xs$. For $\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)$ we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)) i \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } (\cdot) \} \\ & \quad \text{del } (x : xs) (\mathbf{1}_+ i) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of del} \} \\ & \quad \text{take } (\mathbf{1}_+ i) (x : xs) \uparrow\downarrow \text{drop } (\mathbf{1}_+ (\mathbf{1}_+ i)) (x : xs) \\ &= \{ \text{definitions of take and drop} \} \\ & \quad x : \text{take } i xs \uparrow\downarrow \text{drop } (\mathbf{1}_+ i) xs \\ &= \{ \text{definition of del} \} \\ & \quad x : \text{del } xs i \\ &= \{ \text{definition of } (\cdot) \} \\ & \quad ((x) \cdot \text{del } xs) i . \end{aligned}$$

We resume the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{del } (x : xs) 0 : \text{map } (\text{del } (x : xs) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_+)) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1] \\ &= \{ \text{calculation above} \} \\ & \quad xs : \text{map } ((x) \cdot \text{del } xs) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1] \\ &= \{ \text{map fusion (2)} \} \\ & \quad xs : \text{map } (x) (\text{map } (\text{del } xs) [0.. \text{length } xs - 1]) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of delete} \} \\ & \quad xs : \text{map } (x) (\text{delete } xs) . \end{aligned}$$

We have thus derived the first, inductive definition of *delete*.

4. Prove the following *map-fusion* law:

$$\text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f \cdot g) . \quad (2)$$

Solution: To find out how to conduct induction:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f \cdot g) \\ & \equiv \{ \text{extensional equality} \} \\ & (\forall xs : (\text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g) xs = \text{map } (f \cdot g) xs) \\ & \equiv \{ \text{definition of } (\cdot) \} \\ & (\forall xs : \text{map } f (\text{map } g xs) = \text{map } (f \cdot g) xs) . \end{aligned}$$

We prove the proposition by induction on xs .

Case $xs := []$. Omitted.

Case $xs := x : xs$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } f (\text{map } g (x : xs)) \\ & = \{ \text{definition of map, twice} \} \\ & f(g x) : \text{map } f (\text{map } g xs) \\ & = \{ \text{induction} \} \\ & f(g x) : \text{map } (f \cdot g) xs \\ & = \{ \text{definition of } (\cdot) \} \\ & (f \cdot g) x : \text{map } (f \cdot g) xs \\ & = \{ \text{definition of map} \} \\ & \text{map } (f \cdot g) (x : xs) . \end{aligned}$$

5. Assume that multiplication (\times) is a constant-time operation. One possible definition for $\text{exp } m n = m^n$ could be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{exp} & :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \\ \text{exp } m \ 0 & = 1 \\ \text{exp } m \ (1_+ \ n) & = m \times \text{exp } m \ n . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to compute $\text{exp } m \ n$, multiplication is called n times: $m \times m \dots m \times 1$. Can we do better? Yet another way to represent a natural number is to use the binary representation.

- (a) The function $\text{binary} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{List Bool}$ returns the *reversed* binary representation of a natural number. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{binary } 0 &= [] , \\ \text{binary } 1 &= [\text{T}] , \end{aligned}$$

$\text{binary } 2 = [\text{F}, \text{T}]$,
 $\text{binary } 3 = [\text{T}, \text{T}]$,
 $\text{binary } 4 = [\text{F}, \text{F}, \text{T}]$,

where T and F abbreviates True and False. Given the following functions:

$\text{even} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$, returning true iff the input is even,
 $\text{odd} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$, returning true iff the input is odd, and
 $\text{div} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$, for integral division,

define binary . You may just present the code.

Hint One possible implementation discriminates between 3 cases – the input is 0, the input is odd, and the input is even.

Solution:

```

 $\text{binary } 0 = []$ 
 $\text{binary } n \mid \text{even } n = \text{F} : \text{binary } (n \text{ `div' } 2)$ 
 $\quad \mid \text{odd } n = \text{T} : \text{binary } (n \text{ `div' } 2)$  .
  
```

- (b) Briefly explain in words whether your implementation of binary terminates for all input in Nat, and why.

Solution: All non-zero natural numbers strictly decreases when being divided by 2, and thus we eventually reaches the base case for 0.

- (c) Define a function $\text{decimal} :: \text{List Bool} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$ that takes the reversed binary representation and returns the corresponding natural number. E.g. $\text{decimal } [\text{T}, \text{T}, \text{F}, \text{T}] = 11$. You may just present the code.

Solution:

```

 $\text{decimal } [] = 0$ 
 $\text{decimal } (c : cs) = \text{if } c \text{ then } 1 + 2 \times \text{decimal } cs \text{ else } 2 \times \text{decimal } cs$  .
  
```

Or equivalently,

```

 $\text{decimal } [] = 0$ 
 $\text{decimal } (\text{False} : cs) = 2 \times \text{decimal } cs$ 
 $\text{decimal } (\text{True} : cs) = 1 + 2 \times \text{decimal } cs$  .
  
```

- (d) Let $\text{roll } m = \text{exp } m \cdot \text{decimal}$. Assuming we have proved that $\text{exp } m \cdot n$ satisfies all arithmetic laws for m^n . Construct (with algebraic calculation) a definition of roll that does not make calls to exp or decimal .

Solution: Let's calculate $\text{roll } m \text{ xs} = \exp m (\text{decimal xs})$ by distinguishing between the three cases of xs :

Case $\text{xs} := []$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{roll } m [] \\ &= \exp m (\text{decimal } []) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of decimal} \} \\ &\quad \exp m 0 \\ &= \{ \text{definition of exp} \} \\ &\quad 1 . \end{aligned}$$

Case $\text{xs} := \text{False} : \text{xs}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{roll } m (\text{False} : \text{xs}) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of roll} \} \\ &\quad \exp m (\text{decimal } (\text{False} : \text{xs})) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of decimal} \} \\ &\quad \exp m (2 \times \text{decimal xs}) \\ &= \{ \text{arithmetic: } m^{2n} = (m^2)^n \} \\ &\quad \exp (m \times m) (\text{decimal xs}) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of roll} \} \\ &\quad \text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ xs} . \end{aligned}$$

Case $\text{xs} := \text{True} : \text{xs}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{roll } m (\text{True} : \text{xs}) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of roll} \} \\ &\quad \exp m (\text{decimal } (\text{True} : \text{xs})) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of decimal} \} \\ &\quad \exp m (1 + 2 \times \text{decimal xs}) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of exp} \} \\ &\quad m \times \exp m (2 \times \text{decimal xs}) \\ &= \{ \text{arithmetic: } m^{2n} = (m^2)^n \} \\ &\quad m \times \exp (m \times m) (\text{decimal xs}) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of roll} \} \\ &\quad m \times \text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ xs} . \end{aligned}$$

We have thus constructed:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{roll } m [] &= 1 \\ \text{roll } m (\text{False} : \text{cs}) &= \text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ xs} \\ \text{roll } m (\text{True} : \text{cs}) &= m \times \text{roll } (m \times m) \text{ xs} . \end{aligned}$$

Remark If the fusion succeeds, we have derived a program computing m^n :

$$\text{fastexp } m = \text{roll } m \cdot \text{binary}.$$

The algorithm runs in time proportional to the length of the list generated by *binary*, which is $O(\log_2 n)$.

6. The following problem concerns calculating the sum $\sum_{i=0}^n (x_i \times y^i)$. Let *geo* be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{geo } y &= 1 : \text{map } (y \times) (\text{geo } y) , \\ \text{horner } y \text{ xs} &= \text{sum } (\text{map mul} (\text{zip xs} (\text{geo } y))) , \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{mul } (a, b) = a \times b$. Let $\text{xs} = [x_0, x_1, x_2 \dots x_n]$, $\text{horner } y \text{ xs}$ computes the sum $x_0 + x_1 \times y + x_2 \times y^2 + \dots + x_n \times y^n$. (**Remark:** for those who familiar with currying, $\text{mul} = \text{uncurry } (\times)$.)

- (a) Show that $\text{mul} \cdot \text{second } (y \times) = (y \times) \cdot \text{mul}$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{mul } (\text{second } (y \times) (x, z)) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of second} \} \\ &\quad \text{mul } (x, y \times z) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of mul} \} \\ &\quad x \times (y \times z) \\ &= \{ \text{arithmetics} \} \\ &\quad y \times (x \times z) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of mul} \} \\ &\quad y \times \text{mul } (x, z) . \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $n = \text{length } \text{xs}$. Asymptotically (that is, in terms of the big-O notation), how many multiplications (\times) one must perform to compute *horner* $y \text{ xs}$?

Solution: We need $O(n^2)$ multiplications.

- (c) Prove that $\text{sum} \cdot \text{map } (y \times) = (y \times) \cdot \text{sum}$.

Solution: The aim is equivalent to prove that $\text{sum } (\text{map } (y \times) \text{ xs}) = y \times \text{sum } \text{xs}$ for all xs . The case for $\text{xs} := []$ is immediate. We consider the case for $\text{xs} := x : \text{xs}'$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{sum } (\text{map } (y \times) (x : \text{xs})) \\ &= \{ \text{definition of map} \} \\ &\quad \text{sum } (y \times x : \text{map } (y \times) \text{ xs}') \\ &= \{ \text{definition of sum} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& y \times x + \text{sum}(\text{map}(y \times) xs) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{induction} \} \\
& y \times x + y \times \text{sum} xs \\
= & \quad \{ \text{arithmetics} \} \\
& y \times (x + \text{sum} xs) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of sum} \} \\
& y \times \text{sum}(x : xs) .
\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Construct an inductive definition of *horner* that uses only $O(n)$ multiplications to compute *horner* y xs . **Hint:** you will need a number of properties proved in the previous problems in this exercise, and perhaps some more properties.

Solution: We construct an inductive definition of *horner* by case analysis.

Case $xs := []$. It is immediate that $\text{horner } y [] = 0$. Details omitted.

Case $xs := x : xs$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{horner } y (x : xs) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of horner} \} \\
& \text{sum}(\text{map mul}(\text{zip}(x : xs)(\text{geo } y))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of geo} \} \\
& \text{sum}(\text{map mul}(\text{zip}(x : xs)(1 : \text{map}(y \times)(\text{geo } y)))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of zip} \} \\
& \text{sum}(\text{map mul}((x, 1) : \text{zip } xs(\text{map}(y \times)(\text{geo } y)))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definitions of map, mul, and sum} \} \\
& x + \text{sum}(\text{map mul}(\text{zip } xs(\text{map}(y \times)(\text{geo } y)))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{since } \text{zip } xs(\text{map } f \text{ } ys) = \text{map } (\text{second } f)(\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys) \} \\
& x + \text{sum}(\text{map mul}(\text{map } (\text{second } (y \times))(\text{zip } xs(\text{geo } y)))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{map fusion} \} \\
& x + \text{sum}(\text{map } (\text{mul} \cdot \text{second } (y \times))(\text{zip } xs(\text{geo } y))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{since } \text{mul} \cdot \text{second } (y \times) = (y \times) \cdot \text{mul}, \text{map fusion} \} \\
& x + \text{sum}(\text{map } (y \times)(\text{map mul}(\text{zip } xs(\text{geo } y)))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{since } \text{sum} \cdot \text{map } (y \times) = (y \times) \cdot \text{sum} \} \\
& x + y \times \text{sum}(\text{map mul}(\text{zip } xs(\text{geo } y))) \\
= & \quad \{ \text{definition of horner} \} \\
& x + y \times \text{horner } y \text{ } xs .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{horner } y [] = 0 \\
& \text{horner } y (x : xs) = x + y \times \text{horner } y \text{ } xs .
\end{aligned}$$