

1. Consider the following system of equations in two variables.

0.75 / 1 point

$$\begin{cases} x + 3y = 15 \\ 3x + 12y = 3 \end{cases}$$

Check all the options that are **true, given the system above**.

☒  $y = -14$ .

☒ **Correct**

This can be obtained by dividing the second equation by 3 and subtracting it by the first one:

$$\begin{cases} x + 3y = 15 \\ 3x + 12y = 3 \end{cases}$$

Dividing the second equation by 3, yields the following equivalent system:

$$\begin{cases} x + 3y = 15 \\ x + 4y = 1 \end{cases}$$

Now, subtracting the second equation and by the first one you get that  $y = -14$  by canceling out the variable  $x$ .

☐  $4x + 15y = 18$ .

☐  $x + 3y = 0$ .

☒  $2x + 6y = 30$ .

☒ **Correct**

This equation is the first equation from the system, multiplied by 2, i.e.,  $2x + 6y = 30$  is equivalent to  $2 \cdot (x + 3y) = 2 \cdot 15$ .

You didn't select all the correct answers

2. Consider the following system of equations in two variables.

1 / 1 point

$$\begin{cases} 2x + y = 5 \\ 4x + 2y = 10 \end{cases}$$

Check all the options that are **true, given the system above**.

- ☐ The system has no solution.
- ☒  $x = 0$  and  $y = 5$  is a solution for this system.

✓ **Correct**

You can always verify if some proposed solution is indeed a solution for any system by simply replacing the values and checking that such values satisfy **every equation in the system**.

- ☐ The solution for this system has 0 degrees of freedom.
- ☒ The system has infinitely many solutions.

✓ **Correct**

One equation is a multiple of another. Note that the second equation is 2 times the first one. This makes the system redundant, because there is only one piece of information behind both equations.

3. Consider the following system of equations.

1 / 1 point

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 10 \\ 2x + 6y + 12z = 4 \\ 4x - 8y + 4z = 8 \end{cases}$$

The value for  $z$  is:

*Hint: You may use the Elimination Method, discussed in lecture*

[Solving system of equations with more variables.](#) ↗

-4

✓ **Correct**

By applying the elimination method, you can solve this linear system in the following way:

The coefficient for  $x$  in the first equation is already 1, so there is nothing to do there. Moving to the next equation,  $x$  has a coefficient of 2, so you may divide it by 2:

$$\frac{1}{2} (2x + 6y + 12z = 4) \Rightarrow x + 3y + 6z = 2$$

Therefore you get the following equivalent system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 10 \\ x + 3y + 6z = 2 \\ 4x - 8y + 4z = 8 \end{cases}$$

Now it is possible to remove  $x$  from the second equation by subtracting both first and second equations (note that the subtraction order does not matter). Performing **equation 2**  $-$  **equation 1**, you get the following valid equation:  $y + 3z = -8$ . So the following linear system is equivalent to the previous ones:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 10 \\ y + 3z = -8 \\ 4x - 8y + 4z = 8 \end{cases}$$

Now, with **equation 3**, to make  $x$  have constant term 1, you need to divide it by 4, therefore the you get the following equivalent system:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 10 \\ y + 3z = -8 \\ x - 2y + z = 2 \end{cases}$$

$x$  can now be cancelled out by subtracting **equation 1** and **3**. It will be performed **equation 1**  $-$  **equation 3**. The following system is obtained:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 10 \\ y + 3z = -8 \\ 4y + 2z = 8 \end{cases}$$

Dividing the last equation by 4, you get:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 10 \\ y + 3z = -8 \\ y + 0.5z = 2 \end{cases}$$

Finally, subtracting **equations 2** and **3**:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 3z = 10 \\ y + 3z = -8 \\ 2.5z = -10 \end{cases}$$

Solving  $2.5z = -10$ , leads to  $z = -\frac{10}{2.5} = -4$ .

4. Consider the following matrix:

1 / 1 point

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Its rank is:

- ☐ 0
- ☒ 1
- ☐ 2

✓ Correct

Converting the matrix into a linear system of equations in the following way:

$$\begin{cases} 3x + y = 0 \\ 6x + 2y = 0 \end{cases}$$

You see that the second equation is two times the first one, so the solution is all pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $3x + y = 0$ , this is a line in the plane, therefore it has dimension 1. As you saw in the lecture [The rank of a matrix](#), [↗](#) this means that the matrix has rank 1 (in this case the 1 comes from  $1 = 2 - 1$ , where 2 is the matrix size).

5. Check all matrices that are in row echelon form.

1 / 1 point



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

✓ Correct

The matrix satisfies the row echelon form definition:

1. Below any non-zero number in the diagonal there are only zeros.
2. Before the first non-zero element in each row, there are only zeros.



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

✓ Correct

The matrix satisfies the row echelon form definition:

1. Below any non-zero number in the diagonal there are only zeros.
2. Before the first non-zero element in each row, there are only zeros.



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

6. Check all the options that are a row echelon form of the following matrix.

1 / 1 point

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Correct

This could be obtained by **row operations**. One set of steps to get the correct matrix is, denoting  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$ , respectively rows number 1, 2 and 3:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 = r_3 - (r_1 + r_2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = 2 \cdot r_1 - r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, to turn the pivots into 1, there is one more step, divide the each row for its **pivot** value. This is not necessary for  $r_1$ , since its pivot is already 1. Thus:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = \frac{r_2}{5}, r_3 = \frac{r_3}{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In case you want to review the content, please go back the the lectures on [Row-echelon form in general](#) [↗](#) and [Reduced row-echelon form](#) [↗](#).



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$



Correct

This could be obtained by **row operations**. One set of steps to get the correct matrix is, denoting  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$ , respectively rows number 1, 2 and 3:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 = r_3 - (r_1 + r_2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = 2 \cdot r_1 - r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

In case you want to review the content, please go back the the lectures on [Row-echelon form in general](#) [↗](#) and [Reduced row-echelon form](#) [↗](#).



$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

7. Compute the rank of the following matrix:

1 / 1 point

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

2

✓ Correct

Initially, note that the third row is the sum of the first two, so the row operation  $\text{row } 3 = \text{row } 3 - (\text{row } 1 + \text{row } 2)$  makes the third row a row of zeros. Therefore, the resulting matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Performing  $\text{row } 2 = \text{row } 1 - 2 \cdot \text{row } 2$  the row echelon form is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & -5 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, the rank of the matrix is  $3 - 1 = 2$ .

8. Let  $M$  be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Check all sentences that are true.

1 / 1 point

☒ Multiplying a row by a non-zero real number does not affect its **singularity**.

✓ Correct

The singularity is related to the matrix determinant. If  $M$  has non-zero determinant, then multiplying any row by a fixed non-zero value will only scale the determinant by that same factor.

☒ Swapping its rows change the determinant sign if the determinant is non-zero.

✓ Correct

Correct! As you've seen in the lecture [Row operations that preserve singularity](#), [↗](#) swapping its rows invert the determinant calculation, therefore its sign will change! This is in fact true for **an arbitrary**  $n \times n$  matrix! Swapping two rows will change its determinant sign!

☐ Multiplying a row by a non-zero real number does not affect its **determinant**.

☐ Replacing one row by the sum of the two rows of the matrix does not affect singularity, but it does affect the determinant value.