# **ONE ARM Metagenomics Pipeline**

Prepared for and by: Project 4

Last updated September 19, 2024

# **Contents**

I	Pro	ject ov	erview	3				
	1	HPC I	Preparation	4				
II	Pro	oject 4	Scripts	8				
	1	ARG-	MGE.smk	9				
		1.1	Pair merging	9				
		1.2	Translation and Reverse Translation	9				
		1.3	Iterative alignment of ARG-contigs	9				
		1.4	Merging of paired reads	10				
		1.5	Guided Metagenomic Assembly	10				
		1.6	Confirmation of contigs with ARGs	10				
		1.7	Standard Contig Quality Metrics	11				
		1.8	Read-mapping	11				
			Read mapping parameters	11				
		1.9	Taxonomic profiling of reads and contigs	12				
		1.10	Detect structural variants (SVs) in contigs	12				
		1.11	Sketching contigs followed by calculating Bray-Curtis diversity	13				
		1.12	Determination of Transposons (TBA)	14				
		1.13	Determination of putative plasmids	14				
		1.14	Phage influence signatures	15				
		1.15	Phage Signature Extraction and Phylogenetic Analysis	15				
		1.16	Future Considerations	15				
2 metagenomics_general.smk								
		2.1	Quality control (Pre-processing)	16				
		2.2	Trimming	16				
		2.3	Metagenomic Taxonomy	17				
		2.4	Diversity analysis	17				
	3	trim_r	andomizer.smk	19				
		3.1	Random Parameter Generation	19				
		3.2	Log Parameters to a TSV File	19				
		3.3	Define Rules for Each Tool					
		3.4	Interpretation and Analysis of Results (TBA)	20				
	4	hootst	ranning rawreads smk	21				

		4.1	Directory setup of temporary files	21
		4.2	Sample Identification	21
		4.3	Bootstrapping	21
		4.4	Run kraken_pipeline.bash	22
	5	Binnir	ng.smk	23
		5.1	Universal Configurations	23
		5.2	FastUniq (Deduplication)	23
		5.3	Seqtk (FASTA Conversion)	23
		5.4	CD-HIT-EST (Clustering) at Identity: 90%	24
		5.5	MetaWRAP Binning and Reassembly	24
		5.6	DAS Tool (Bin Refinement)	24
		5.7	MAGpurify (Contamination Removal)	24
		5.8	MetaQUAST (Assembly Quality Assessment)	25
		5.9	dRep (Dereplication)	26
		5.10	CheckM2	26
	6	kraker	npipeline.bash	26
Ш	[ P	roiect S	Side Scripts	27
	1	•		28
	•	• •		_0
IV	Pı	•	Main Scripts	29
	1			30
V	Da	ily ent	ries	31
	1	Septer	mber 20, 2024	32
	2	Septer	mber 19, 2024	33
	3	-	mber 18, 2024	
	4	Septer	mber 17, 2024	35

# Part I Project overview

Project Overview 4

Metagenomic analysis of Antibiotic/Antimicrobial Resistance Genes (ARGs) in NCR (Metro Manila) hospitals, wastewaters, and surface waters.

	☐ Determination of optimal tool/s
	☐ Determination of optimal parameters
✓ Done • Essential	☐ Tool combination randomization script
☐ Pending • Optional	Assembly & Binning
<ul><li>Needs refine-</li><li>ment</li><li>Deprecated</li></ul>	☐ Testing binning pipeline
△ Unexpected issues	Creation
Drafted	□ metaSPAdes
• Moved	□ MASURCA
	□ PLASS
	AbySS
1 HPC Preparation	Testing
☐ Confirmation of Robustness of analysis	□ metaSPAdes
$\square$ Agree upon the type of analyses	□ MASURCA
☐ SLURM request management	□ PLASS
☐ Send email for HPC usage requests	□ AbySS
☐ Setup Docker containers for SLURM	☐ KMA-iterative
☐ SLURM container for simulations	☐ Benchmarking between all of them
☐ Automation of SLURM requests	Iterative assembly
File Management	Contig quality checking
□ BAM file parsing	Integration
☐ Interconversion between SAM and BAM	△ MetaWrap binning
Raw Read Processing	Binning.smk  ☐ Testing on datasets of higher depth
☐ Pipeline integration of raw.bash	MetaWrap tests
☐ Data visualization	☐ Refinement of bins

Project Overview 5

Annotation	17	✓ Kraken2 script
ARG-related scripts		krakenpipeline.bash
	19	✓ Bracken script
	20	krakenpipeline.bash
<del>prokka ARG.bash,</del>	21	✓ In-house diversity calcs script
shortbred_ARG.bash	22	calculate_diversity.py
☼ ShortBRED	23	Test Run of Modular Scripts
shortbred_ARG.bash	24	√ FasQC script raw.bash
	25 26	✓ Trimmomatic script
<b>Testing of Annotation Scripts</b>		Trimmomatic.bash
□ RGI	27	trimming-cleaning-checking.py
	28	√ fastp
□ ShortBRED	29	<del>fastp.bash</del>
shortbred_ARG.bash	30	trimming-cleaning-checking.py
□ AMRFinder	31	✓ Kraken2 script
Taxonomy releated scripts	32	krakenpipeline.bash
	33	✓ Bracken script
☐ MetaPhlan4	34	krakenpipeline.bash
Complete	35	✓ In-house diversity calcs script
Complete	36	calculate_diversity.py
General Taxo-Metagenomics Pipeline	27	Other Completed Pipeline Tasks
Creation of modular scripts	37	• •
( FacOC assist for your reads	38	✓ Integration with config folder
✓ FasQC script for raw reads	39	✓ Testing with simulated datasets
raw.bash	40	✓ Pipeline integration
✓ Trimmomatic script	40 41	metagenomics_general.smk
Trimmomatic.bash	41	me udgenomieb_general.bmk
trimming-cleaning-checking.py	42	√ FastQC
√ fastp	43	trimming-cleaning-checking.py
fastp.bash	44	✓ Trimmomatic
trimming-cleaning-checking.py	45	trimming-cleaning-checking.py
trimming creaning checking.py	46	√ Kraken2
✓ Script to check if reads PASS or FAIL	47	krakenpipeline.bash
FastQC.bash	48	√ Bracken
trimming-cleaning-checking.py	49	krakenpipeline.bash
✓ FasQC script for trimmed reads	50	$\triangle$ QIIME2 krakenpipeline.bash
FastQC_check.py	51	✓ Change QIIME2 to in-house diversity script
trimming-cleaning-checking.pv	52	calculate diversity.pv

Project Overview 6

53	✓ Aggregate diversity metrics	72	5. fastp
54	calculate_diversity.py		✓ Parsing QC metrics of all iterations
55	✓ Aggregate quality metrics	73	
56	summary_stat.bash	74	parseFastQC.py
57	✓ Remove fastp from Shellscript	75	✓ Bootstrapping script
31	remove rus up from shenseripe	76	bootstrapping_rawreads.smk
58	✓ Testing pipeline with simulated datasets		
59	${\tt metagenomics\_general.smk}$	77	Test-run
60	File Management	78	✓ Parsing and aggregation of FastQC metrics
00			from multi-site data (N=10)
61	✓ Compression and decompression scripts	79	summary_stat.bash
62	✓ Demultiplexing script		Dandamination of this miner was an atom from
02	Community of the	80	✓ Randomization of trimming parameters from
63	✓ Testing on multiple datasets		different trimmers simultaneously (5 trime
64	Read Quality Control	81	mers total) trim_randomizer.smk
04	Read Quanty Control		
65	Creation	82	✓ Bootstrapping of randomization of trimming
03			parameters. 5 Trimmers, 1000 bootstraps
66	✓ Parametric randomization script	83	bootstrapping_rawreads.smk
67	trim_randomizer.smk	84	Pipeline Preparation for HPC
68	1. Trimmomatic	04	Tipeline Treparation for III
	2 Cut Adopt	85	✓ Calculate using quotation from PGC
69	2. CutAdapt	86	✓ Set up config files
70	3. BBDuk	00	coming mes
71	4. Sickle	87	✓ Taxo-metagenomics pipeline

# **Script Descriptions**

## **Pipelines**

This section covers all the scripts that were created during the Project.

Listen, I'm eternally curious and I don't just want to settle for any random journal. No offense, but I'm aiming for something high-impact!

These were created during off hours or during work hours, so some scripts might seem irrelevant at first, but trust me, there's a conscientiousness or meticulousness to this madness.

I have separated them into folders/repositories (shameless plug here: https://github.com/GABallena) based on their relevance to the project.

- **Project4** Essential scripts directly related to the core analyses of the project.
- Side Scripts that can potentially be used to increase the robustness of the paper.
- Main Scripts related to file organization and data management, crucial for handling large datasets.

#### 1 ARG-MGE.smk

#### 2 Stage: Draft General Purpose

This pipeline is designed for **comprehensive metagenomic analysis of ARGs**, it also includes placeholders for analyses of **mobile genetic elements (MGEs)**, and **plasmid detection**. It integrates tools for **read quality control, assembly, annotation, taxonomic profiling, and structural variant** 

(SV) detection to provide a high-resolution view of the genetic components in metagenomic samples.

#### **Preprocessing**

Treprocessin

#### 10 1.1 Pair merging

Technical Notes: PEAR (Paired-End reAd mergeR) compares paired-reads to correct infer the likely

bases on its associated pair

Rationale: The main goal here is to ensure good read quality for downstream analyses and to maximize

the amount of data by reducing gaps in the sequence and improving confidence of base calls within that

15 region. seqtk then converts compressed FASTQ files to FASTA.

#### 16 Rationale

Note: Another tool, PandaSeq which does the same thing is used later, this usage of PEAR here is because

8 it is more optimized for larger datasets - which in this case are trimmed reads. Note: Conversion is

necessary here as some tools cannot read FASTQ files, and instead rely on FASTA formats.

#### 20 1.2 Translation and Reverse Translation

Technical Notes: transeq from EMBOSS translates nucleotide sequences to protein sequences based on standard genetic code. backtranseq reverses this to allow iterative alignments with nucleotide sequences.

Rationale: This leverages conserved protein-level information, which is lost at the nucleotide level due to synonymous mutations - while also increasing the sensitivity to potential ARG proteins from k-mer alignment. See Nature Methods, doi: doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0437-4 (2019) for more details.

#### Metagenomic Assembly

28 29

27

#### 1.3 Iterative alignment of ARG-contigs

Technical Notes: KMA (K-mer Alignment) is used find the reverse-translated ARGs with the proximity filtering option to determine the surrounding regions of ARGs. This is done iteratively for each gene

increasing the ARG-associated database.

Rationale: Firstly, KMA is used because, unlike Bowtie2 and BWA-MEM, which were created specifically

for Human metagenomics, KMA does not suffer (or suffers less) from multi-allelic databases. Secondly,

36 iterative alignment using this process allows us to contextualize the region wherein ARGs reside - thereby

narrowing our focus onto these local regions instead of looking at the global genomic context. **Notes:** 

The script is designed to have a cap on the number of iterations KMA creates, increasing the database size.

#### 40 1.4 Merging of paired reads

- Technical Notes: PANDASeq is then used to further refine the paired-reads collated in the ARG-related
- 42 genes database.
- Rationale: PANDASeq was chosen as, while being slower than PEAR, it is more accurate. This mergins
- step is included to ensure that only high-confidence reads are assembled. **Note:** We leverage the fact that
- the ARG-related genes database is smaller compared to the raw metagenomic reads database.

#### 46 1.5 Guided Metagenomic Assembly

- 47 Technical Notes: metaSPAdes is then used to create contiguous sequences from these local regions by
- extending them using reads from the whole metagenomic pool. Additionally, Contigs are filtered by
- length here to remove possible artefacts.
- 50 Rationale: metaSPAdes was chosen as, while being slower than MEGAHIT, it is optimized in han-
- 51 dling highly diverse and mixed microbial populations. CARD is used here because it is manually
- 52 curated and updated regularly in order to be included in the database, there must be clinical data (e.g.
- ASTs(Antimicrobial susceptibility testing)) involved in the study.
- Notably, this would decrease the sensitivity of our ARGs and would mostly be biased towards
- those reported in the clinical setting. To counter this, we could also incorporate other tools such as
- 56 ResFinder, the NCBI AMR Database, and ARG-ANNOT
- Notes: Filtering of contig length is handled by a python script called minimum\_length\_CARD.py.
- Notes: Contigs are further extended using contigextender to form scaffolds
- 59 Notes: Might add other contig extendending programs like GapFiller which leverages mate-pair
- 60 information

61

63

#### 62 Contig Quality Checks

#### 4 1.6 Confirmation of contigs with ARGs

- 65 Technical Notes: RGI scan the contigs and check whether which contigs created by metaSPAdes have
- 66 ARGs in them.
- 67 Rationale: metaSPAdes may have created contigs that DO NOT contain ARGs, and have instead
- assembled them into a more matching contig (a false-positive misassembly) this can happen because of
- 69 the different databases being used; also parallelization of methods like this increases robustness because
- <sub>70</sub> it has been confirmed independently from different starting points (bottom-up vs top-down approach).
- 71 This allows us to filter ARG-containing contigs.
- Notes: RGI is the official scanner of CARD.

#### 1.7 Standard Contig Quality Metrics

- 74 Technical Notes: A custom Python script calculate\_contig\_quality.py is created to do another
- round of checking contig quality for downstream analysis, R scripts (TBA) are used to visualize the data.
- Notes: The Python script will measure standard contig quality metrics: N50, L50, GC-content, and
- coverage, as well as more robust metrics: N90 and L90.

#### 78 1.8 Read-mapping

- Technical Notes: Samtools is used here to map the raw reads from the larger database back to the assembled contigs and then calculates the coverage over the entire contig.
- Rationale: Read-mapping is a quality control protocol used in metagenomics, to determine the quality of
- the assembly. High-coverage means that many of the k-mers align well with that region of the contig,
- while low coverage is evidence of inconsistent mapping and that the contigs should be refined, split, or
- 84 discarded.

90

93

- Note If there are persistent (after further refinement and reassemblies) sudden differences in coverage
- across a contig, that contig could be chimeric, meaning, it could be from two different populations.
- 87 Extra note A Python script plot\_and\_detect\_intermediate\_coverage.py is included in the pipeline
- 88 that is determine visualize and check how the coverage changes over contig regions. In general, they
- 89 could be interpreted as the following:
  - 1. **Smooth, Uniform Coverage**: Typically shown by well-assembled contigs.
- 2. **Sharp Coverage Drop**: May need to be split or flagged for reassembly. May also be a misassembly point (chimeric contig) or caused by a structural variant.
  - 3. Coverage Gaps: Regions with little to no read support; a strong indicator of misassembly.
- 4. **Gradual Drops**: Overlapping reads, repetitive or duplicate regions, partial HGT, sequence heterogeneity, or coverage differences due to a mixed population. Repeats and duplications can be filtered out using tools like RepeatMasker or BLAST (TBA).
- 5. **Sharp Increase**: May be due to repetitive or duplicated regions, amplification bias from PCR, HGT, SV, chimeras.

#### 99 Read mapping parameters

Technical Notes: Four (4) Tools will be used in parallel to do the read mapping process BWA Bowtie KMA, and minimap2, their parameters have been adjusted to map reads at 95 % identity to the contigs.

Rationale: 95 % identity is used to increase sensitivity - as is standard for determining homologous sequences. This adjustment was made because k-mers are either attach or don't. Parallelization is used to increase robusness.

Note K-mer extension is used to increase the accuracy of mapping. BWA k-mer lengths can be adjusted, while KMA does it by default. The others, cannot be adjusted.

Mapper	K-mer Length	Mismatch Penalty	Gap Opening	Gap Extension
			Penalty	Penalty
BWA	21	5	7	2
BWA	31	4	6	2
BWA	51	3	5	1
Bowtie2	-	4,2	5,2	5,2
KMA	Default	95% identity	Automatic	Automatic
Minimap2	-	5	7,2	4,1

Table 1: Example table of mapper configurations without command example

#### Note

108

109

110

111

115

121

I chose to change the script to not allow gaps during this phase as we already used reverse translation earlier to correct for synonymous codons, and protein sequences are more important when it comes to ARG function, the new values are below. I also added protein-based read mapping.

**Tool** K-mer Length Mismatch Gap Opening **Gap Extension Penalty Penalty** Penalty BWA 21, 31, 51 5, 4, 3 1000 1000 4,2 1000,1000 1000,1000 Bowtie2 \_ Automatic KMA 95% identity Automatic 1000,1000 1000,1000 5 Minimap2

Table 2: Alignment parameters for ungapped alignments across BWA, Bowtie2, KMA, and Minimap2

**Rationale**: The main rationale for adding a protein-based read mapping protocol is because ARGs are primarily about their *protein-protein interactions* (biological relevance). This method also accounts for frameshifts with higher specificity to homologous regions.

On a personal note: This approach may also require further exploration into whether protein-protein interactions are altered—perhaps by investigating changes in binding sites. Which is a story for another day (why do I do this to myself?)

#### 1.9 Taxonomic profiling of reads and contigs

116 **Technical Notes**: Kraken2 uses k-mer-based classification to assign taxonomy based on raw-reads.

While SprayNPray complements this by assigning taxonomy at the contig level.

Rationale: By comparing their respective databases with our ARG-related databases, we will be able to connect our reads and/or contigs to their corresponding taxa, uncovering the microbial hosts responsible for carrying and potentially spreading ARGs in the environment.

#### 1.10 Detect structural variants (SVs) in contigs

Technical Notes: Manta identifies large genomic rearrangements such as insertions, deletions, and duplications.

Rationale: Chimeric contigs may be due to systematic error or real biological signals. These chimeric contigs can be detected by Kraken2 and SprayNPray (i.e., when a portion of a contig is being assigned

Tool	Input Files	Key Parameters
tblastn	• Protein sequences: Translated protein sequences contigs • Nucleotide database: Cleaned sequence database	
blastp	<ul> <li>Protein sequences:     Translated     protein     sequences     contigs</li> <li>Protein database:     Translated     cleaned     sequence     database</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-outfmt 6</li> <li>-evalue 1e-5</li> <li>-gapopen 5</li> <li>-gapextend 2</li> <li>-matrix BLOSUM62</li> </ul>

Table 3: Protein read-mapping parameters for tblastn and blastp

to different taxa). The rationale behind this step is to investigate whether structural variations are present — which may be evidence of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events.

#### 1.11 Sketching contigs followed by calculating Bray-Curtis diversity

Technical Notes: Mash Sketch uses a MinHash approach to generate a presence/absence profile of 129 ARGs across contigs. This gives us a quick snapshot of what the contigs "look like" in terms of ARG content. For Bray-Curtis diversity, we calculate a dissimilarity matrix from the abundance data of 131 ARGs, followed by a PCoA plot to visualize similarities between contigs based on their ARG profiles. 132 Rationale: The Mash Sketch helps rapidly identify the genetic makeup of contigs in terms of ARGs, 133 which provides a foundation for further investigation. By applying Bray-Curtis diversity and using 134 PCoA, we can group contigs based on their ARG similarity. If contigs with the same sketch group to-135 gether, we can trace them back to their taxonomic IDs to identify the microbial hosts. However, if contigs 136 have similar ARG profiles but belong to different taxa, this could serve as evidence for Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT). This dual approach allows us to trace ARG spread and potential HGT events in a 138 metagenomic context. 139

Note: Bray-Curtis (dis-)similarity is most often used as a presence or absence diversity metric.

#### 2 Transposition

141

#### **Determination of Transposons (TBA)**

**Technical Notes**: Tools such as the following can be used: 145

- HMMER3 suite
- Tnppred a transposon predictor tool

**Rationale:** 148

150

146

147

#### **Plasmids**

151

155

157

158

159

161

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

#### **Determination of putative plasmids**

Technical Notes: The tools listed below will be used in parallel. Plasmids are considered valid when all 153 4 tools predict plasmid signatures in the contig. 154

- PlasPredict pipeline
- Recycler 156
  - PlasmidFinder
    - MOBSuite plasmid marker annotator

If plasmid signatures are present, plasmidSPAdes along with GapFiller will be used to check if the contig can circularize. oriTfinder will then be applied to contigs with fewer than 4 fragments. A 160 Python script (TBA) will calculate GC skews of the chimeric contig and compare it to its taxonomic counterparts. Another Python script (TBA) will normalize the data according to 16S rRNA from trimmed reads. Lastly, plasmid percentage will be calculated based on reads mapped to putative plasmids over the total reads.

**Equation:** 

$$Plasmid\ Percentage = \left(\frac{Plasmid\ Reads}{Total\ Reads}\right) \times 100$$

Rationale: oriTfinder looks for origin of transfer sites (oriT), which are characteristics of conjugative plasmid. This whole sub-pipeline is to look for evidence of conjugative plasmid transfer as the cause of these chimeric contigs. Normalization and percentage counts are used here to further check whether these "plasmids" align with our understanding of the average plasmid copy number.

Note: Will also be drafting a script (TBA) to do sliding window analysis of GC-skews - as different characteristics of this curve can be interpreted in different ways.

172 173

#### **Phages**

#### 1.14 Phage influence signatures

**Technical Notes**: They will be determined using a variety of tools:

• VirSorter: Identifies viral signatures within microbial genomes and separates prophages from bacterial sequences.

- PHASTER: A web-based tool for phage search and annotation, identifying integrated prophages.
- VIBRANT: A tool that combines several approaches to identify and annotate phage elements in metagenomic sequences.

**Rationale**:This analysis aims to detect potential phage signatures in the chimeric contigs. Since phages are mobile genetic elements, their involvement in transferring ARGs through transduction is highly relevant. Phages, especially temperate phages, can integrate into bacterial genomes and excise themselves, sometimes carrying host genetic material, such as ARGs, with them. The integration and excision signatures detected in contigs will provide evidence of possible transduction events in our datasets, supporting the hypothesis of ARG dissemination via phages.

#### 1.15 Phage Signature Extraction and Phylogenetic Analysis

**Technical Notes**: Phage-associated genes will be extracted from the chimeric contigs, followed by phylogenetic analysis to uncover evolutionary relationships.FastTree will be used to build a phylogenetic tree based on the extracted phage genes.For visualization, tools like iTOL or FigTree can be used to generate an interpretable phylogenetic tree.

**Rationale**:Phage genes embedded in chimeric contigs (their taxonomy) may serve as strong evidence of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events. The aim here is to check the evolutionary origins of the phage genes found in our dataset and their potential involvement in the dissemination of ARGs.

Homework?

200 1.16 Future Considerations

: Will continue improving this section (everything regarding HGT) by evaluating the results from these tools, aligning them with ARG presence, and refining the approach for identifying conjugation, transposon, and transduction events within chimeric contigs. This may also involve validating phage activity—again, why do I do this to myself?

#### 2 metagenomics\_general.smk

- 2 Stage: Done
- 3 General Purpose taxo-metagenomics
- This pipeline is designed for **The essentials in metagenomics**, which includes **quality checking**,
- 5 filtering, and trimming of raw reads to clean reads. As well as the usual taxo-metagenomic analysis.
- 6 Specifics:

#### 7 2.1 Quality control (Pre-processing)

- 8 Raw trimming of raw metagenomic data
- 9 Technical Notes: FastQC is used on raw reads
- Rationale: This is mainly used as a point of comparison determine whether the next step (trimming)
- was effective. This pre-processing step is the starting point in any and all metagenomics pipelines.
- Notes: This whole quality control steps are interconnected with each other.

#### 13 2.2 Trimming

- 14 Technical Notes: Trimmomatic is used on raw reads
- Rationale: Trimming involves removing low quality bases (often depending on something called the
- Phred Score which is just a measure of how "confident" we are that the base on that site was accurate),
- adapters, and filtering reads that go below a specific length threshold.
- Notes: Journals often report the parameters on Trimmomatic (or any trimmer they decide to use); this is
- often so that the study is reproducible, should one decide to actually reproduce the study starting from
- 20 scratch (raw reads).
- Notes: There are many different trimmers each with their own strengths and weaknesses, Trimmomatic
- 22 is just the most popular trimmer and is thus used here, though studies differ in the parameters they used
- 23 for trimming which often dictates how strict they are with what they define as "good enough".

\_\_\_\_\_

Perspective: Why different people choose different trimmers depend on the strengths and weak-

- nesses of the trimmer e.g. trimmers like "fastp" is used because it's fast making it suitable for very large
- 27 datasets like deep sequencing. While some trimmers like Sickle has automatic adjustment over the
- entire sequence which makes it useful for very ancient datasets where DNA is often highly-degraded.
- Other times, it's for convenience like Trim-Galore which combines FastQC and Cutadapt trimmer in
- a single command. Another good example is BBDuk which is part of a larger package called BBtools,
- 31 BBDuk also has an built-in contamination detection so it's particularly good at filtering out usual contam-
- inants like sequences known to be from the human genome. so you can simply just use all the modules in
- that package for all-in-one processing. Other times, it's just **familiarity**.

#### 35 Quality checks of post-trimmed data

- Technical Notes: FastQC is used on trimmed reads to determine how effective the trimming process
- 37 Was

- Rationale: If the trimming process was effective, we should notice a better quality reads here, otherwise,
- we might have to adjust the trimming parameters.

Notes: Determination of whether the trimmed reads are "clean enough" is more of an art rather than actual science, though thresholds exist like Phred > 20 or Phred > 30 depending on how strict you are as a researcher.

43

#### **Processing cleaned reads**

45

52

#### 47 2.3 Metagenomic Taxonomy

- 48 Taxonomy from Cleaned Reads
- Technical Notes: Kraken2 is used on raw reads to determine which species they came from.
- Rationale: Taxonomy based on reads is standard on metagenomics instead of using assembled contigs
- because information is lost during the assembly process. By using cleaned reads we make sure that:
  - 1. We are maximizing the amount of data
    - 2. We are not being biased by low quality reads
- Notes: There are many ways in which taxonomy is assigned to raw reads the Kraken-based packages use a curated database that links k-mers from your database to k-mers generated from their database (usually manually curated).
- Notes: Others like MetaPhlan first create "markers" that are based off of known sequences, and then scan your raw reads for these markers, which it then checks for under what taxon/taxa that marker fell under.

60

74

75

#### 61 **2.4 Diversity analysis**

- 62 Diversity analysis per site or sample
- Technical Notes: Here Bracken an extension of the Kraken packages or Qiime2 are often used to calculate diversity. Instead I opted to create my own Python script calculate\_diversity.py because:
- 1. I find that the diversity indices in either tools are limited to only the most often used i.e. the most popular indices so it is not comprehensive
- 2. I've had problems integrating them into the pipeline because some dependency limitations, unupdated scripts, and a pre-processing step that requires converting all of Kraken2, Bracken files, then importing them to Qimme2 which is too time-consuming and inefficient my script just automatically calulates from Bracken outputs and just puts out all the possible (non-phylogenetic-based-which you would need a phylogenetic tree to build first) indices out there.
- Rationale: Taxonomy based on reads is standard on metagenomics instead of using assembled contigs because information is lost during the assembly process. By using cleaned reads we make sure that:
  - 1. We are maximizing the amount of data
  - 2. We are not being biased by low quality reads

Notes: There are many ways in which taxonomy is assigned to raw reads the Kraken-based packages

- use a curated database that links k-mers from your database to k-mers generated from their database
- 78 (usually manually curated).
- Notes: Others like MetaPhlan first create "markers" that are based off of known sequences, and then
- scan your raw reads for these markers, which it then checks for under what taxon/taxa that marker fell
- 81 under.

#### 3 trim\_randomizer.smk

- 2 Stage: Done
- 3 Purpose: Randomization of trimming parameters
- This is a module that will be part of a bigger pipeline. The idea here to is randomize parameters in a
- variety of trimmers in this case Trimmomatic, fastp, CutAdapt, BBDuk, and Sickle famous bioin-
- 6 formatics trimming tools. Trimmomatic and Sickle in particular are widely used in Illumina-based
- 7 data.

8

#### 3.1 Random Parameter Generation

10 Technical Notes: Random parameters are generated for each trimming tool (Trimmomatic, Fastp,

11 Cutadapt, BBDuk, Sickle). This is done using the random module, which creates random values for

parameters such as quality scores, read length, adapter sequences, and error rates. These parameters are

stored in the generated\_parameters dictionary to ensure consistency across iterations for each sample.

Rationale: By randomizing parameters, the workflow allows for testing different parameter sets across

multiple iterations to find optimal settings for trimming and quality control.

Notes: This approach helps with parameter exploration, particularly when you are unsure which trimming

settings will give the best results. The randomness provides variability, which can highlight which

parameters consistently lead to good results.

#### 19 **3.2 Log Parameters to a TSV File**

- Technical Notes: Each set of generated parameters is logged into a separate TSV file (e.g., trimmomatic\_params.tsv,
- 21 fastp\_params.tsv). The file headers are written only once, and parameters are appended as the trim-
- 22 ming steps proceed. This is done in a structured way so that you can track the exact parameters used for
- each sample and iteration.
- 24 Rationale:Logging ensures reproducibility and transparency in bioinformatics workflows. Having a
- record of all the parameter values used in each iteration is crucial for comparing results and for future
- 26 reference

32

35

- Notes: This practice is a standard in scientific workflows where random parameter generation is in-
- volved. It helps maintain a clear audit trail of the steps performed and aids in troubleshooting or refining
- 29 workflows later.

#### 3.3 Define Rules for Each Tool

- Technical Notes: The script uses Snakemake rules to define separate rules for each tool which include
  - 1. Input folder (as the script is designed to go through all the FASTQ samples within the folder)
- 2. Output folder, where the processed files will be saved (e.g., trimmed paired and unpaired reads).

  The script is designed to keep all the trimmed reads in separate files.
  - 3. Params: Fetches the parameters to be randomized.

Rationale: Using Snakemake here allows for parallel execution of the workflow. This parallelization if

- very important as the generation of random paramaters is created using a random seed. Using a random
- 38 seed like this allows us to replicate what the parameters that had the optimal results were by tracking
- down what seed was assigned as dictated in the TSV file.
- Note keep in mind that this will create a large number of folders if you decide to iterate many times as
- each iteration, per tool, will have its own folder full of trimmed reads, per sample/site.

#### 42 3.4 Interpretation and Analysis of Results (TBA)

- Technical Notes: Once all iterations have completed, the trimmed files can be analyzed to determine
- which parameters led to the best results in terms of quality and length distribution of reads. There are
- many different metrics that can be used to interpret the results, including (but not limited to)
- 1. Quality Scores significant differences in quality among sites and across entire sequences (Phred score, Contamination, Adapter Removal, N Content, Length Distribution, etc.). Can be done using tools like FastQC
- 2. Visualization can be done using Rstudio or Python's matplotlib to visually look for differences in abnormalities.
- Rationale: Evaluating read quality and assessing key metrics post-trimming helps to ensure that the data is suitable for downstream analyses. Optimal trimming should maximize the number of high-quality, usable reads while eliminating low-quality bases and adapter contamination.

#### **A Personal Note**

I have long pondered upon the idea of creating a graph with biological information on the y-axis and read length on the x-axis. I hypothesize that we will find a "sweet spot" wherein we can optimize the amount of information/read using such trimmers. Unfortunately, it is extremely difficult to define what a biological sequence really is, because technically you can generate any random sequence - whether protein or nucleotide. That's the main reason I have been stuck on this problem for quite a while, I've been trying to find the answer first.

The core difficulty here lies in defining what constitutes biological information in a meaningful, quantifiable way. Since any random sequence of nucleotides or proteins could be technically "valid" (false-positives).

When people are asked this question they often give **DESCRIPTIONS** of what a biological sequence is but not what **DEFINES** a biological sequence. I understand that there are many characteristics that can help in determining the signal from the noise, but I am just not satisfied with whether this "thing" checks most (if not all) the boxes - I need a non-subjective answer to this problem.

#### 4 bootstrapping\_rawreads.smk

- 2 **Stage:** Further refinement
- Purpose: Bootstrapping the taxo\_metagenomic pipeline itself
- Bootstrapping is the process of randomly selecting from a pool of samples (with replacement) and
- 5 using that in a specific process you want to bootstrap. This is a standard method used in molecular
- phylogenetics to determine the robustness of trees where a > 70 support from bootstrapped data is
- 7 considered robust enough.

#### The principle of bootstrapping in phylogenetics

Phylogenetics uses this statistical technique because (in principle) it effectively means that removing parts of the entire sequence does not alter the topology of the tree.

Here I'm adapting this method with Kraken2's taxonomic profiling to see whether the taxonomic support of its k-mer assignment is also consistent even with changes in the sampling sites.

This is still WIP because I plan to go step further and start bootstrapping the raw reads themselves to see if changes in reads changes the topology of taxonomic assignment.

#### 4.1 Directory setup of temporary files

- 10 Technical Notes: Snakemake starts by ensuring the existence of necessary directories. Most notably, the
- 11 temporary bootstrap directories.
- Rationale: Bootstrapping is sometimes done iteratively thousands of times, so making this a temporary
- directory helps manage space.
- 14 Notes:

#### 15 4.2 Sample Identification

- 16 **Technical Notes:** The workflow identifies sample names by parsing filenames in the raw reads directory.
- 17 Rationale: Inclusion of these in the script allows the user to flexibly configure the naming convention
- and the directory in which they want to bootstrap.
- Notes: Presently it looks for \_R1.fastq.gz and it's associated pair, \_R2.fastq.gz in the raw\_reads directory.

#### 20 4.3 Bootstrapping

- 21 **Technical Notes:** This executes bootstrap\_reads. py in the scripts directory to start bootstrapping the
- paired-end reads and outputs them in the temporary folders I mentioned earlier.
- 23 Rationale: Moving bootstrapping logic into a Python script leverages its ability to create randomizations
- 24 from its libraries. Also it allows us to define a seed so it is reproducible (if you want to reproduce) the
- 25 results anyway.
- Note: The number of bootstraps and the fraction of samples you want to retain can be controlled in the
- 27 config.yaml file in the configuration folder.
- On a personal note: Before writing this part of the document (Sep 19, 2024, 3:00 AM), I decided to
- 29 change the bootstrapping rule. It used to rely on a simplified approximation. The probability of not being
- selected after N independent draws from a sample of size N is given by:

$$P = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^N$$

This is a well-known mathematical equation describing the probability of not selecting a sample at least once in N draws. As  $N \to \infty$ , this probability approaches:

 $\frac{1}{e}$ 

Previously, I used the probability of a sample *being selected*, which is:

$$1-\frac{1}{e}$$

This was used as an approximation for bootstrapping by shuffling and adjusting the sample size. However, the updated script now performs **actual bootstrapping**, sampling with replacement, which is a more accurate statistical method for resampling.

39 **4.4 Run** kraken\_pipeline.bash

Technical Notes: This Shellscript (or bash file) is used to automate the processing of all files from the

bootstrapping. It runs them under Kraken2 then Bracken to generate taxonomy profiles for all of them.

It also creates a log file for each replicate to provide traceability and error checking, helping diagnose any

issues with specific replicates or samples.

Rationale: Read why I'm bootstrapping from the textbox above. The reason why I also included Bracken and measurements diversity metrics in the analysis per sampling replicate is so we can analyze how the topology of diversity also changes - similiar to how we look at topology of phylogenetic trees. The final process consolidates all the diversity metrics (alpha diversity) and matrices (beta diversity) into a TSV

48 file.

33

34

35

36 37 38

Note: The decision to use Snakemake and Shellscripts here is so that the bootstrapping comes first before the pipeline is introduced. Otherwise Snakemake will run the entire thing in parallel, taking up so much memory because it runs Kraken2 for every single sample instead of doing it in batches - which takes up so much unnecessary time.

#### 5 Binning.smk

11

12

27

- 2 Stage: To test on higher coverage data
- 3 Binning pipeline to create high quality (Metagenome Assembled Genomes) MAGs
- 4 Specifics: This pipeline passes through multiple quality checks during binning of using a variety of tools
- 5 (both wrappers and modules) including MetaWrap, DasTool, CheckM2, MagPurify etc.

#### **5.1 Universal Configurations**

- 7 **Technical Notes**: The pipeline allows the user to configure settings they want for the binning process. By
- 8 default, the settings are Minimum Contig Length (2500bp), Completeness (50%), Contamination (10%).
- Rationale: The default settings were curated by me and the reason I chose them is because of the following
  - 1. Longer contigs tend to represent more complete genomic fragments. Setting a threshold of 2500bp ensures better assembly quality. Others prefer a lower threshold for more sensitivity like 2000 bp.
- 2. Completeness 50% and Contamination 10% are actually based from a standard called the MIMAG standards.
- Notes: Making configurations universal this way creates consistency across the script, i.e. when specifically asked by a specific tool, this returns a universal parameter.
- Notes: Additionally, the user can specify the memory usage and number of threads they want to allocate per tool as well as other tool-specific parameters at the top of the script for ease of use. *I plan to add this* to the configuration file soon once I have tested the file to be working at higher coverage since you can't make high quality bins with low read counts and my PC can't practically handle that sorry.

#### 21 **5.2** FastUniq (**Deduplication**)

- Technical Notes: FastUniq used to remove duplicate reads.
- 23 Rationale: Since we are focused on creating MAGs or genomes based on populations of genomes,
- removing duplicates is less risky during binning and is thus included. It also allows us to completely
- 25 remove amplification bias from PCR reactions. Moreoever, deduplication reduces redundancy and thereby
- 26 memory usage downstream.

#### 28 **5.3** Seqtk (FASTA Conversion)

- <sup>29</sup> **Technical Notes**: Seqtk converts FASTQ to FASTA.
- Rationale: This conversion prepares sequences for tools that require FASTA inputs, such as CD-HIT-EST.
- Notes: I used to include a decompress-then-compress mechanism in the script to minimize memory
- storage but according to my calculations from the sequencing facility quotations, re-compressing may
- actually be more costly when done throughout the pipeline. Hence, it should be more cost-efficient to
- start compressing files ones the bins are done.

#### 5.4 CD-HIT-EST (Clustering) at Identity: 90%

- Technical Notes: CD-HIT-EST clusters similar sequences at 90% identity.
- 37 **Rationale**: Clustering reduces redundancy in the contig data while maintaining closely related sequences.
- Notes:I chose 90% ID because that's what I often see in published journals that is all Perhaps, the 90%
- 39 identity threshold balances removing duplicates while preserving diversity and that higher thresholds
- would result in fewer clusters but might oversimplify the data. Fine, I'll make it my homework assignment
- why this specific threshold is used (I HAVE TO KNOW).

43

42

#### **Bin Refinement**

45

44

#### 5.5 MetaWRAP Binning and Reassembly

- 47 Technical Notes: MetaWRAP is what is known as a wrapper program meaning it makes use of other
- tools as its modules. For the binning process in particular it uses 3:MetaBAT2, MaxBin2, and CONCOCT.
- Each binning process goes through internal quality control checks and the one with the best bin-qualities
- are selected. It also has a reassembly feature wherein it reassembles the contigs again to try and further
- refine the bins.
- Rationale: Using 3 binners in parallel and choosing the best bins, quality checking, and then reassembling
- (not-so-good) bins make the binning process very robust, creating very refined bins not sponsored by the
- way, talking as a fellow researcher.
- Notes: No moving forward, the process of further refinement of bins seems redundant. But do note that I
- 56 have checked and validated that the process used in refining and checking by the tools used here cover
- of different metrics and therefore can be seen as parallel processes.

#### 58 **5.6 DAS Tool (Bin Refinement)**

- Technical Notes: DAS\_Tool is very similar to MetaWrap in that they both choose the best bins from a
- 60 pool of bins from different binners (in this pipeline DAS Tool is designed to ALSO use information from
- 61 MetaBAT2, MaxBin2, and CONCOCT outputs to improve binning)
- Rationale: However, as rationale for including it, is that it focuses more on single-copy gene (SCG)
- analysis. In contrast, in MetaWrap, bins are evaluated using completeness and contamination thresholds.
- Notes: Notably, in the checking phase of this pipeline we will not be using DAS\_Tool for SCG analysis. It
- is optimized for refining bins not quality checking bins. Instead we will use a more updated and optimized
- software for the latter called BUSCO.

#### 7 5.7 MAGpurify (Contamination Removal)

- <sup>68</sup> Technical Notes: MAGpurify is also a bin refiner, in a sense that it uses several modules to detect and
- prune contamination in genome bins. It also uses other metrics to define bin quality specifically it looks
- for differences in

#### 1. Phylo-markers,

- 2. Clade-markers,
- 3. Tetranucleotide-frequencies,
- **4. GC-content**,

72

73

81

- 5. and then removes **known-contaminants** from it's manually curated database (created back in 2013)
- Rationale: This step improves genome quality by removing low-confidence contigs or contamination from other taxa. Each module targets different contamination types (phylogenetic, clade, etc.).
- Notes: Similar to DAS\_tool, MAGPurify is relatively old (in the bioinformatics world where new tools are being published every day). So in checking our bins we will be using more recently updated tools.

#### 83 Quality checking

#### \_\_\_\_\_

#### 85 5.8 MetaQUAST (Assembly Quality Assessment)

- **Technical Notes**: MetaQUAST assesses the quality of genome assemblies via the following:
- 1. N50 and L50 to determine contiguity
- 2. Number of contigs to determine fragmentation
- 3. GC content since a single MAG should have a constant GC content across its entirety (usually)
- 4. Alignment to a reference sequence
- Additionally, it also detects other metrics using modular tools
- 5. structural variations (requires GRIDSS)
- 6. presence or rRNA (requires SILVA)
- 7. Conserved gene sets (requires BUSCO)
- Rationale: This step quantifies the completeness and accuracy of the assembled genomes, and is updated
   frequently.
- Notes: Using reference genomes improves the accuracy of the assessment, but it's optional if references are unavailable.

#### A Personal Note

**Personal Note**: As of this writing, BUSCO has updated beyond the version required by QUAST (BUSCO od9). Unfortunately, this version is not available in the archives (you'll encounter a 404 error). Likewise, SILVA and GRIDSS frequently update. I recommend downloading each separately and manually linking their databases to avoid potential issues with QUAST's download management. *Good luck and have fun!* 

#### 101 5.9 dRep (Dereplication)

102 **Technical Notes**: dRep dereplicates genomes by clustering them based on similarity.

Rationale: Dereplication reduces redundancy in the assembled genome data, ensuring unique genome representations. Basically CD-HIT but for whole genomes.

Notes: FastANI is utilized for quick, precise clustering, and is part of the dRep package. It defaults to a 95% ANI (Average Nucleotide Identity) threshold, a common yet somewhat subjective metric used to determine microbial species boundaries. This is often sufficient for microbial genomes due to their high gene density, frequently organized in operons. However, it may not be as suitable for eukaryotic genomes, which are laden with repetitive elements.

#### A Personal Note

CheckM2

**Personal Note**: I cannot find a newer version of either dRep or FastANI (both dating back to 2013, basically ancient in bioinformatics terms). There's a newer version called pyani, which is available in Bioconda, that might be a good replacement. However, I still need to reverse-engineer its source code to fully understand how it operates. Might be worth trying!

11 **5.10** (

110

111

Technical Notes: CheckM2 is used to predict genome completeness and contamination using low-memory mode (essential for resource-limited systems like mine; remember to adjust this on HPC systems).

Rationale: CheckM2 is an updated version of CheckM, but many tools in this pipeline haven't been updated to recognize it. I haven't tested whether aliasing CheckM2 as CheckM would work, so it's added here as a final step to ensure the results meet MIMAG standards for completeness and contamination.

## 6 krakenpipeline.bash

- 2 Stage: Done
- 3 The entire basic taxo-metagenomic pipeline with the usual tools
- 4 Specifics: This pipeline passes through a loop between FastQC and Trimmomatic before progressing to
- 5 Kraken2 then Bracken then calculate\_diversity.py.

# **Project Side Scripts**

Project Side scripts 28

# **Project Main Scripts**

Project Main scripts 30

# 1 September 20, 2024

## To-do List

Note: This should've been yesterday's to-do list, but my landline was down, possibly due to the
veather—it's been raining all day.
☐ Call for a group meeting regarding logistics (or when they will be available via Zoom call)
☐ Discuss the issue about VMMC review fee
☐ Raise concerns about data storage and management
$\square$ Inquire if there are scripts I can develop to streamline the bureaucratic process
☐ Contact engineering/sanitation departments via landline
- Talk about possible sampling sites and that we will present out authorization upon arrival
□ VMMC
* Tell them that we are have a go-signal from the admin, but we have yet to pay for the fee - which can be held off for later
☐ Mary Johnston
*
☐ ManilaMed
* Tell them we already have a go signal from Ma'am Eula
☐ St. Lukes
* Inquire where Engr. Valenzuela is there
* Inquire the status of their renovation and if they are available for sampling this October already as talked about last year
Completed Tasks
✓ raw API results now readable stored in TSV file
✓ Created symlinks in private (repo) to .git folders in public repos to enable tracking of Git and Github activities
✓ Resolved residual time-tracking activities within the local computer
Scripts Worked On
✓ checkingAPI.sh (now updated to make the raw API results readable)
Issues Encountered

## **Need to Troubleshoot**

•

## 2 September 19, 2024

#### **To-do List**

☐ Try to complete LaTeX documentation of scripts

- √ Project 4 pipelines
  - ✓ ARG-MGE.smk
  - ✓ metagenomics\_pipeline.smk
  - ✓ Binning\_.smk
- Project Main pipelines
- Project Side pipelines
- ✓ Counter-check Project4 scripts with documentation to see redundancies

#### **Completed Tasks**

- ✓ Deleted redundancies in Project 4 scripts
  - FastQC\_check.py
  - fastp.bash
  - README.md (since this document exists and is more comprehensive)
  - fastp metrics from summary\_stat.bash
- ✓ Decided to move to Project Side K-mer\_diversity.smk as it is not essential (yet).
- ✓ Finally resolved an issue with wakatime not integrating properly with TeXStudio
- ✓ I realize now that the entry dates were one-day behind.
- ✓ Also added the names of the python scripts I refer for proper documentation.

#### **Scripts Worked On**

• calculate\_contig\_quality.py now updated to calculate coverage statistics.

#### **Issues Encountered**

•

#### **Need to Troubleshoot**

•

## 3 September 18, 2024

#### **To-do List**

- Call for a group meeting regarding logistics
  - ✓ Offer to use landline to minimize on-site visits and reduce transportation costs; as pointpersons have not been replying via email as quickly lately
  - ✓ Discuss PGC calculations and talk about allocatable budget
  - ✓ On-site orientation with PGC engineering department (OETS) regarding sampling sites taken care of by James and Roch
  - Raise concerns about data storage and management
  - Inquire if there are scripts I can develop to streamline the bureaucratic process

#### **Completed Tasks**

- Setup wakatime in VS Code and Ubuntu to track my coding productivity
- Helped in receiving and moving the autoclave and fridge needed by the Program

#### **Scripts Worked On**

- rawAPIformatter.sh
- √ checkingAPI.sh
- wakatime.sh

#### **Issues Encountered**

- ✓ Connection blockage from wakatime API
- raw API results is unreadable

#### **Need to Troubleshoot**

• conky display of wakatime API for desktop

## 4 September 17, 2024

#### To-do List

- Call for a group meeting regarding logistics
  - Offer to use landline to minimize on-site visits and reduce transportation costs; as point-persons have not been replying via email as quickly lately
  - Discuss PGC calculations and talk about allocatable budget
  - Raise concerns about data storage and management
  - Inquire if there are scripts I can develop to streamline the bureaucratic process

#### **Completed Tasks**

- √ Updated GitHub repositories; created new repositories: Documentation and Confidential
- ✓ Created a new bash script to track progress across all repositories
- ✓ Set up this research diary to document daily progress and tasks
- ✓ Python script that calculates contig quality metrics L50, N50, but also L90, N90, GC skew etc.
- ✓ Python script that detects and calculates changes in coverage over contigs for read mapping
- ✓ Typesetting: explaining the process and rationale inside the ARG-MGE.smk pipeline
- ✓ Integration of the two scripts to the ARG-MGE pipeline

#### **Scripts Worked On**

- Project4/ARG\_MGE.smk updated
- √ Project4/calculate\_contig\_quality.py
- ✓ Project4/plot\_and\_detect\_intermediate\_coverage.py

#### **Issues Encountered**

√ Could not access GitHub, needed to reset the SSH keys and update my Git histories for version control

#### **Need to Troubleshoot**

· None identified at this time