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# Abstract

The abstract of a document corresponds to a short and self-contained (maximum one page) description of the main aspects of the work presented. This summary plays a key role in motivating the reader to continue reading the entire document. The abstract should include the following aspects: (1) Motivation and description of the problem, *i.e.*, why is the work presented important and what is the problem you are trying to solve; (2) Approach, *i.e.*, what was the approach to solving the problem; (3) Results, *i.e.*, what is the proposed solution to the problem and the main results of the comparative study; (4) Conclusions, *i.e.*, what are the implications of the solution.

**Keywords:** a list separated by commas with no more than seven key words that characterize the work.
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# Introduction

In this section you should describe the purpose of the work, the problem that is being addressed, the approach and structure of the report.

**Notes:**

* The Introduction *is not* a copy of the abstract;
* Reports should not exceed 20 pages, including the cover, abstract, index and references, according to the format shown in this document.
* All references used in the development of the project must be clearly marked and placed in the References section.

# The Scenario

This section is especially important in projects where the realization of the environment is one of the objectives of the project, that is, for projects whose theme was proposed. The environment’s elements, dynamics, implementation details and possible existing parameterization for the same should be described and justified. The description of the agent’s perceptions, actions, possible limitations, etc. should also be provided.

For projects implementing one of the provided proposals, this description should only summarize the involved entities, developed sensors, considered perceptions and actions, and extra considerations.

# Agent Architectures / Algorithms

In this section you should describe the several architectures and algorithms according to what is required in the project’s description, *e.g.*, reactive, deliberative / BDI, hybrid, etc. The text should present a conceptual description mentioning aspects of implementation only if necessary for the understanding of the concepts. Some of the aspects to describe in each subsection are:

## Reactive Architecture

* **The *<perception> \* → <actuator>* rules developed for each type of agent;**

Para desenvolver os agentes utilizando uma arquitectura reactiva foram definidas as seguintes percepções e acções:

Percepções:  
-Ver ovelha

-Estar ao lado da ovelha

Acções:

-Mover na direção da ovelha

-Mover aleatoriamente

-Parar

* **The description of the arbitration mechanism of rules, *i.e.*, how they are ordered;**

Cada lobo decide a acção a tomar verificando as suas percepções pela seguinte ordem:  
1º- Verifica se esta ao lado da ovelha, numa das 4 posições adjacentes a esta, se estiver então o lobo escolhe a acção de parar.

2º-Verifica se consegue ver a ovelha dentro do seu raio de visão, se conseguir move-se na direcção da ovelha

3º-Se não está ao lado da ovelha e não a consegue ver então o Lobo move-se aleatoriamente para uma qualquer direção com 75% de probabilidades ou então fica parado com 25% de probabilidades.

* **The justification for any internal state variable that was used.**

Cada um dos agentes neste cenário possui duas variáveis internas, ***prev-xcor*** e ***prev-ycor*** respectivas a posição anterior em X e em Y do agente no mundo. Estas variáveis existem apenas para simplificar a correcção das colisões que ocorrem no mundo. As colisões são corrigidas no final de todos os agentes executarem as suas acções e são corrigidas repondo a posição de cada agente para a sua posição no instante anterior.

## Deliberative / BDI Architecture

* The beliefs of each type of agent;
* The desires of each type of agent;
* The intentions of each type of agent;
* How do beliefs originate desires and, lastly, the intentions;
* The kind of plans the agents create and follow in order to implement the intentions;
* Description of possible changes to the original BDI algorithm, justifying them.

## Hybrid Architecture

* Description of the hybrid architecture, if implemented;
* How the mediation between the deliberative layer and the reaction was made.

## Coordination, Cooperation, Negotiation

In this section it should be described all coordination and/or cooperation algorithms and communication protocols used to create cooperative agents, if implemented in the project.

**Note:** This section is especially important for the project “*Warehouse Delivery”*. In this project, it should also be explained the algorithms for coalition formation (one subsection each) that were implemented.

## Emotions Component

In this section it should be described the emotions component that was incorporated into the architecture, if any. You should provide a conceptual description that focuses on the modeled emotional mechanisms, how they were used to influence behavior and how they were integrated into the architecture.

**Note:** This section is especially important for the project “*Extreme Scuba”*. In this project, it should also be explained the emotional contagion mechanisms implemented, if any.

## Learning Component

If learning techniques were used in the project, detail the aspects on which learning was incorporated, what learning algorithms were used and how they were implemented in the specific case of the project.

**Note:** This section is especially important for the project “*Wolf Pack”*.

# Comparative Study

In this section you should present the comparative study made between the several approaches explored in the creation of agents. In particular, the study should be able to provide conclusions about which of the approaches explored contributed more to the "success" of the agents.

Some of the aspects to describe this section are:

* The approaches that will be compared in the tests;
* The metrics used to determine the "success" of each agent / team;
* The tests that were designed;
* The results obtained in each studied condition (charts, tables, etc.);
* A statistical analysis of the results;
* Conclusions (limitations / advantages of each approach, etc.).

**Notes:**

* Any conclusions must be substantiated by the results of the experiments;
* This section applies to all projects, *i.e.*, even if the requested comparative study does not refer to the several architectures used, the general indications described above remain valid, only the object of the comparative study changes.

# Conclusions

This section should summarize the proposed solution to the problem presented in the Introduction. In particular, you should present an interpretation of the results described in the Comparative Study section.

**Note:** It is also expected in this section to describe how the work presented could be extended in order to motivate future work.
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