











Pull Request

Description

Brief description of changes made in this pull request.

Type of Change

- ☐  Bug fix (non-breaking change that fixes an issue)
- ☐  New feature (non-breaking change that adds functionality)
- ☐  Breaking change (fix or feature that would cause existing functionality to not work as expected)
- ☐  Documentation update
- ☐  Style changes (formatting, renaming)
- ☐  Code refactoring (no functional changes)
- ☐  Performance improvements
- ☐  Tests (adding missing tests or correcting existing tests)
- ☐  Build/CI changes
- ☐  Document processing improvements

Related Issues

- Closes #
- Relates to #
- Fixes #

Document Processing Impact

Does this PR affect document processing?

- ☐ PPT Processing Engine
- ☐ PDF Processing Engine
- ☐ Markdown Generation
- ☐ Metadata Extraction
- ☐ Cross-Reference System
- ☐ Recursive Build System
- ☐ No processing impact

Testing

- ☐ I have tested these changes locally
- ☐ I have added new tests that prove my fix is effective or that my feature works
- ☐ All existing tests pass
- ☐ Document processing tests pass (if applicable)



Changes Made

Added

-

Changed

-

Removed

-

Fixed

-



Screenshots (if applicable)

Add screenshots to help reviewers understand the changes.



Checklist

- ☐ My code follows the project's style guidelines
- ☐ I have performed a self-review of my code
- ☐ I have commented my code, particularly in hard-to-understand areas
- ☐ I have made corresponding changes to the documentation
- ☐ My changes generate no new warnings
- ☐ Any dependent changes have been merged and published

Document Processing Checklist (if applicable)

- ☐ Processing pipeline tested with sample files
- ☐ Metadata extraction validated
- ☐ Cross-references properly indexed
- ☐ Digital twins generate correctly
- ☐ Recursive build system updated

Frontend Checklist (if applicable)

- ☐ Components are responsive
- ☐ Accessibility guidelines followed
- ☐ TypeScript types properly defined
- ☐ Error handling implemented

Backend Checklist (if applicable)

- ☐ API endpoints properly documented
- ☐ Error handling implemented
- ☐ Input validation added
- ☐ Security considerations addressed

Deployment Considerations

- ☐ No special deployment steps required
- ☐ Database migrations needed
- ☐ Environment variables changes required
- ☐ Dependencies updated (provide migration guide)

Performance Impact

Describe any performance implications:

- Memory usage:
- Processing time:
- Bundle size:
- Database queries:

Additional Notes

Add any additional notes, concerns, or questions for reviewers.

For Reviewers

Review Checklist

- ☐ Code quality and standards
- ☐ Functionality works as expected
- ☐ Tests are comprehensive and pass
- ☐ Documentation is updated
- ☐ No security vulnerabilities introduced
- ☐ Performance impact acceptable
- ☐ Breaking changes properly documented

Document Processing Review (if applicable)

- ☐ Processing logic is correct
 - ☐ Metadata schema maintained
 - ☐ Cross-references properly handled
 - ☐ Error handling for edge cases
 - ☐ Output format consistency
-

Thank you for contributing to Pipeline Automation Hub! 🎉