### Criteria Specification

## ClinGen Hereditary Breast, Ovarian and Pancreatic Cancer Expert Panel Specifications to the ACMG/AMP Variant Interpretation Guidelines for ATM Version 1.4.0

**Affiliation:** Hereditary Breast, Ovarian and Pancreatic Cancer VCEP

Type: Richards et.al., 2015 - Combining rules

**Description**: ACMG-modified rules specifications for ATM (autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive

disorders)

**Version** : 1.4.0

**Released**: 7/14/2025

Release Notes: Release notes v1.4

Removed n for PM2 Supporting and clarified use of gnomAD v4

Clarified when to assume in trans for PM3
Provided PP1 guidance for AR condition

Added SpliceAI thresholds for PP3 and BP4

Clarified use of PP3/BP4 in the presence of RNA data

Updated BP7 donor site cutoff from c.-40 to c.-21

Updated MONDO from hereditary breast carcinoma to ATM-related cancer predisposition

Minor formatting adjustments

#### Rules for ATM

**General Comments:** Release notes v1.4 Removed n for PM2 Supporting and clarified use of

gnomAD v4 Clarified when to assume in trans for PM3 Provided PP1 guidance for AR condition Added SpliceAI thresholds for PP3 and BP4 Clarified use of PP3/BP4 in the presence of RNA data Updated BP7 donor site cutoff from c.-40 to c.-21 Updated MONDO from hereditary breast carcinoma to ATM-related cancer predisposition Minor formatting

adjustments

Gene: ATM (HGNC:795)

Transcripts: NM\_000051.3

**HGNC Name:** ATM serine/threonine kinase

Disease:

ATM-related cancer predisposition

(MONDO:0700270) Mode of Inheritance: Autosomal

dominant inheritance ataxia telangiectasia

(MONDO:0008840) Mode of Inheritance: Autosomal

recessive inheritance

ataxia - telangiectasia variant (MONDO:0018266) ☑ Mode of Inheritance: Autosomal

recessive inheritance

#### **Criteria & Strength Specifications**

#### PVS1

### Original ACMG Summary

Null variant (nonsense, frameshift, canonical +/-1 or 2 splice sites, initiation codon, single or multi-exon deletion) in a gene where loss of function (LOF) is a known mechanism of disease.

#### Caveats:

- Beware of genes where LOF is not a known disease mechanism (e.g. GFAP, MYH7).
- Use caution interpreting LOF variants at the extreme 3' end of a gene.
- Use caution with splice variants that are predicted to lead to exon skipping but leave the remainder of the protein intact.
- Use caution in the presence of multiple transcripts.

#### **VCEP**

Use ATM PVS1 Decision Tree.

#### **Specifications:**

- PVS1: Predicted splice defect
- PVS1\_Strength(RNA): Observed splice defect
- The default RefSeq transcript for nucleotide (c.) annotation is
   NM\_000051.3/ENST00000278616.8. All exons from this transcript
   can be considered constitutive exons without major alternate splice
   isoforms that could potentially rescue presumed LoF events (ENIGMA
   unpublished data).
- Of note, ATM is occasionally annotated with multiple non-coding first exons so exon numbering must be carefully reviewed for variant interpretation using literature sources of data.
- The FAT/PI3K/FATC (collectively the FATKIN) domains are considered *critical* for ATM protein function (PMID 28508083, 31740029, 31320732). PVS1 alterations that are predicted to escape NMD, but that adversely affect these domains can be granted PVS1 (as opposed to PVS1\_Strong as the recommended base-line (PMID 30192042).
- The HEAT repeat domain is considered *important* for protein function based on the appearance of many A-T affected individuals harboring a variant resulting in an in-frame, single exon loss in this domain (PMID 10980530, 19535770, 30819809, 15054841, 22927201, 19691550, 10330348, 17124347, 8845835, 16266405, 9463314, 24090759, 22213089). PVS1-eligible alterations that are predicted to escape NMD, but that adversely affect the HEAT repeat domain can be granted PVS1\_Strong. They are limited to strong due to a lack of known missense pathogenic alterations in this domain.
- The most 3'/C-Terminal residue considered to be pathogenic is p.R3047 (PMIDs: 8755918, 19691550, 18560558, 10980530,

26628246)

- NOTE: Many diagrams for ATM show the FAT, PI3-K and FATC domains as separated by spacers, however these are not empirically derived and there is evidence of missense pathogenic alterations in the 'spacer' regions. This VCEP considers them a contiguous domain (PMID 28508083).
- PVS1 can be applied as per the PVS1 decision tree.
  - PVS1\_Variable(RNA) shall be used for observed splice defects, whether from canonical +/-1,2 positions or other spliceogenic regions (including mid-exonic missense/synonymous variants that cause splice defects) with baseline weight as per the below decision tree. Weight can be further modified based on the quality of the RNA study including consideration of concepts such as:
  - Starting material (where patient material is preferable to in vitro minigene)
  - $\circ$  Use of NMD inhibitors where translation does occur such as cell lines  $^{56}$
  - Primer design (to make sure it's comprehensive to capture possible multicassette events)
  - Method of quantification
    - where e.g. capillary electrophoresis is preferable to estimation by gel band density
    - where SNP analysis is most preferred (where analysis of exonic SNPs and their relative presence in aberrant and WT transcripts is informative)
  - Quantification (where complete effects should have increased weight over incomplete effects)
  - Specific guidance on the use of RNA evidence in variant assessment is not a gene-specific consideration for PALB2 at this time, therefore discretion is left to assessors until further guidance is provided for this general concept from the Sequence Variant Interpretation group.
- In the event that RNA data are available and they reflect a substantial variant-specific impact, do not use both PVS1(RNA) and PP3 or BP4. However, in the event that RNA data are available and they reflect no variant-specific impacts, PP3 or BP4 may be applied in conjunction with BP7(RNA).

### **Very Strong**

Use ATM PVS1 Decision Tree

**Modification** Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

### **Strong**

Use ATM PVS1 Decision Tree.

**Modification** Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

#### Moderate

Use ATM PVS1 Decision Tree.

Modification Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

### Supporting

Use ATM PVS1 Decision Tree

**Modification** Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

#### **PS1**

### **Original ACMG**

### **Summary**

Same amino acid change as a previously established pathogenic variant regardless of nucleotide change.

Example: Val->Leu caused by either G>C or G>T in the same codon.

Caveat: Beware of changes that impact splicing rather than at the amino acid/protein

level.

# VCEP Specifications:

- Use as ascribed for missense changes as long as a splice defect is ruled out for both variants;
- Use ATM PS1 Splicing table for splicing variants with similar predictions or observations of splice defect. (PMID: 36865205)

### **Strong**

- Use for missense changes as long as splicing is ruled-out for both alterations.
- Use ATM PS1 Splicing table for splicing variants with similar predictions or observations of splice defect.

**Modification** General recommendation

Type:

#### **Moderate**

Use ATM PS1 Splicing table for splicing variants with similar predictions or observations of splice defect.

Modification General recommendation, Strength

Type:

### Supporting

Use ATM PS1 Splicing table for splicing variants with similar predictions or observations of splice defect.

**Modification** General recommendation, Strength

Type:

#### **PS2**

### **Original ACMG**

### **Summary**

De novo (both maternity and paternity confirmed) in a patient with the disease and no family history.

Note: Confirmation of paternity only is insufficient. Egg donation, surrogate motherhood, errors in embryo transfer, etc. can contribute to non-maternity.

#### Not Applicable

**Comments:** Do not use for AD or AR disease: Informative de novo occurrences have

not yet been observed and de novo AR conditions are unlikely to be

informed by phase

#### **PS3**

### Original ACMG

### Summary

Well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on the gene or gene product.

Note: Functional studies that have been validated and shown to be reproducible and robust in a clinical diagnostic laboratory setting are considered the most well-established.

**VCEP** For protein, see detailed notes on ATM-specific assays; For RNA use code **Specifications**PVS1\_Strength(RNA) and modulate strength based on assay quality and quantity (curator discretion).

### **Strong**

Do not use as strong.

**Modification** Gene-specific

Type:

### Moderate

Use when a variant fails to rescue both an ATM specifc feature (e.g. phosphorylation of ATM-specific targets) AND radiosensitivity.

**Modification** Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

#### **Supporting**

Use when a variant fails to rescue an ATM specifc feature, only (e.g. phosphorylation of ATM-specific targets). Do not use for radiosensitivity-only as that is not a feature specific to ATM deficiency

**Modification** Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

#### **PS4**

### Original ACMG Summary

The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared to the prevalence in controls.

Note 1: Relative risk (RR) or odds ratio (OR), as obtained from case-control studies, is >5.0 and the confidence interval around the estimate of RR or OR does not include 1.0. See manuscript for detailed guidance.

Note 2: In instances of very rare variants where case-control studies may not reach statistical significance, the prior observation of the variant in multiple unrelated patients with the same phenotype, and its absence in controls, may be used as moderate level of evidence.

**VCEP** PS4\_Moderate: Do not use. Proband counting for genes causing a common **Specifications**disorder need to be calibrated in a population-specific way before use.

### Strong

Case-control studies; p-value  $\leq$  .05 AND (Odds ratio, hazard ratio, or relative risk  $\geq$  2 OR lower 95% CI  $\geq$  1.5).

**Modification** General recommendation

Type:

### <u>PM1</u>

### Original ACMG Summary

Located in a mutational hot spot and/or critical and well-established functional domain (e.g. active site of an enzyme) without benign variation.

### Not Applicable

**Comments:** Do not use: Benign and pathogenic variants are known to occur within the

same domains and germline mutational hotspots are not well defined at

this time

### <u>PM2</u>

### Original ACMG Summary

Absent from controls (or at extremely low frequency if recessive) in Exome Sequencing Project, 1000 Genomes or Exome Aggregation Consortium.

Caveat: Population data for indels may be poorly called by next generation sequencing.

## Specifications:

- Is not considered a conflicting piece of evidence for variants that otherwise are likely benign/benign
- Use as **PM2 Supporting** (not moderate)

### **Supporting**

Frequency ≤.001% in gnomAD v4 dataset

If n=1 in a single sub population, that is sufficiently rare and PM2 supporting would apply.

**Modification** Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

#### **PM3**

### Original ACMG Summary

For recessive disorders, detected in trans with a pathogenic variant Note: This requires testing of parents (or offspring) to determine phase.

VCEP See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband, and Specificationsfinal PM3 code assignment based on the sum of PM3-related points.

Ataxia Telangiectasia (A-T) is a rare, severe, early-onset disease with some exceptions denoted 'variant' or 'atypical' A-T in which cases phenotypes are more mild with slower progression. Phenotypes associated with A-T are very specific and do not generally require differential diagnosis. Therefore, publications that claim a 'clinical diagnosis of A-T' are taken at face value and granted a 'confident diagnosis. Specific phenotype criteria may qualify for 'confident or 'consistent' diagnosis of A-T based on the below criteria. No additional weight modifications are made for 'atypical' cases if they meet 'confident or 'consistent' criteria as although the disease progression is different, the clinical features are the same.

Variant may not exceed general population frequency >0.01%.

If the variant under assessment has co-occurred with at least 2 different P/LP variants, one co-occurrence must be weighed as phase unknown while the remaining can be assumed in *trans* 

Multiple unrelated cases are additive.

 For example, one individual with a 'confident A-T phenotype' is homozygous for a variant scores 2.0 points. Another individual who has a 'consistent A-T phenotype' and has the same variant and another phase-unknown truncating ATM variant scores 1.0 points. The total points towards PM3 are 3.0 points leading to PM3 used as its baseline moderate strength.

#### CONFIDENT PHENOTYPE (must include Laboratory result)

- Presence of ≥2 Laboratory results 1-4 (see notes) -OR-
- Presence of Clinical feature 1a or 1b AND presence of Laboratory result 1 or 2 -OR-
- Presence of Clinical feature 2 or 3 AND Laboratory result 1 or 2

#### CONSISTENT PHENOTYPE (does not require laboratory result)

- Presence of two or more Clinical features of ataxia (1a-1e) -OR-
- Presence of one Clinical feature 1a or 1b AND either Clinical feature 2 or 3

#### Clinical features (Neurological and MRI findings):

- 1. Progressive cerebellar ataxia, manifesting as:
  - a: Progressive truncal/limb ataxia
  - b: Cerebellar degeneration (atrophy of the frontal and posterior vermis and both hemispheres by MRI).
  - c: Oculomotor apraxia (inability to follow an object across visual fields) or abnormal ocular saccades (rapid refixation from one object to another).
  - d: Choreoathetosis or dystonia (involuntary movements; twisting and repetitive movements, abnormal postures).
  - e: Peripheral axonal neuropathy OR Anterior horn cell neuronopathy
- 2. Oculocutaneous telangiectasia of the conjunctivae, ears, or face.
- 3. Immunodeficiency (often frequent infections) and/or leukemia/lymphoma.

### Laboratory Results:

- ATM protein levels ≤ 15% of controls in patient fibroblast or lymphoblastoid cell lines. If ATM protein levels are slightly greater than 15%, the ATM kinase activity must be shown to be "negative or low or residual" (see notes).
- Elevated serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels >65ug/L in a patient ≥
   years old.
- 3. Increased sensitivity to ionizing radiation in patient fibroblast or lymphoblastoid cell lines.
- 4. Presence of a 7;14 chromosomal translocation in patient peripheral blood cells (≥ 5% of cells).

#### Notes:

- 1. ATM protein levels ≤15% of control levels show >95% sensitivity and >98% specificity for diagnosing ataxia-telangiectasia (A-T). Protein levels >15% may arise due to a missense variant, a leaky splicing variant, a variant resulting in a kinase-dead protein (where protein levels may not be affected), or a diagnosis other than A-T.
- 2. When assigning case report criteria based solely on laboratory results (i.e., presence of TWO or more of laboratory results 1-4), there is a greater likelihood that the most specific laboratory results #1 and #2 will be available, and that there will be some clinical indication that the individual(s) has A-T.

### **Very Strong**

PM3\_VeryStrong ≥ 8 points

See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband.

**Modification** Disease-specific, General recommendation, Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

#### Strong

PM3\_Strong = **4** points

See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband.

**Modification** Disease-specific, General recommendation, Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

#### **Moderate**

PM3 = 2 points

See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband.

**Modification** Disease-specific, General recommendation, Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

### Supporting

PM3\_Supporting = **1** point

See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband.

**Modification** Disease-specific, General recommendation, Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

### <u>PM4</u>

# Original ACMG Summary

Protein length changes due to in-frame deletions/insertions in a non-repeat region or stop-

loss variants.

**VCEP** Do not use for in-frame insertions or deletions less than a single exon; Use **Specifications** for stop-loss variants, only.

#### **Moderate**

Use for stop-loss variants.

**Modification** General recommendation, Gene-specific

Type:

#### PM5

### Original ACMG Summary

Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be pathogenic has been seen before.

Example: Arg156His is pathogenic; now you observe Arg156Cys.

Caveat: Beware of changes that impact splicing rather than at the amino acid/protein level.

## Specifications:

- Based on location of the most C-terminal known pathogenic variant,
   p.Arg3047\*.
- Use as **PM5 Supporting** (not moderate)
- Do not use for start-loss variants
- Do not use for missense changes: Multiple amino acid substitutions at the same residue can be pathogenic or benign and bioinformatic tools cannot yet confidently distinguish them

### **Supporting**

- Apply to frameshifting or truncating variants with premature termination codons upstream of p.Arg3047.
- Apply to splice variants as with premature termination codons upstream of p.Arg3047 where PVS1\_VS(RNA) is applied based on high quality observed splicing impact and must be NMD prone.

**Modification** Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

### <u>PM6</u>

### Original ACMG Summary

Assumed de novo, but without confirmation of paternity and maternity.

#### Not Applicable

**Comments:** Do not use for AD or AR disease: Informative de novo occurrences have

not yet been observed and de novo AR conditions are unlikely to be

informed by phase

#### PP1

### Original ACMG Summary

Co-segregation with disease in multiple affected family members in a gene definitively known to cause the disease.

Note: May be used as stronger evidence with increasing segregation data.

#### **VCEP**

**Specifications:** 

- AR Condition: Affected relatives must have both variants identified in proband.
- AD Condition Do not use: Co-segregation analysis in lowerpenetrance genes can lead to false positive results (PMID 32773770)

### **Strong**

AR Condition: Segregation in ≥3 affected relatives

**Modification** Gene-specific

Type:

#### Moderate

AR Condition: Segregation in 2 affected relatives

**Modification** Gene-specific

Type:

### **Supporting**

AR Condition: Segregation in 1 affected relative

Modification Gene-specific

Type:

### <u>PP2</u>

### Original ACMG Summary

Missense variant in a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and where missense variants are a common mechanism of disease.

### Not Applicable

**Comments:** Do not use: ATM does not have a defined low rate of missense benign

variation.

#### PP3

### Original ACMG Summary

Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product (conservation, evolutionary, splicing impact, etc.).

Caveat: As many in silico algorithms use the same or very similar input for their predictions, each algorithm should not be counted as an independent criterion. PP3 can be used only once in any evaluation of a variant.

# VCEP Specifications:

- NOTE: Splice analysis needs to be considered for all variant types (including missense, frameshift, nonsense, etc. as any variant has the potential to impact splicing which may preclude any expected protein effects)
- NOTE: PP3 for splice predictions may not be applied in addition to PVS1 or PVS1 Variable(RNA) codes.
- Use caution in applying the wrong type of computational evidence (protein vs. RNA) towards the cumulative body of evidence for the opposite mechanism.
- The VCEP uses SpliceAl as a sole predictor due to its ability to accurately predict loss of native splice sites and creation of cryptic sites (Jaganathan et al., 2019). This VCEP recommends SpliceAl thresholds set forth by the SVI in applying PP3 and BP4 to noncanonical splice variants: Apply PP3 for SpliceAl scores ≥0.2 and apply BP4 for SpliceAl scores ≤0.1 (Walker et al., 2023).
- In the event that RNA data are available and they reflect a substantial variant-specific impact, do not use both PVS1(RNA) and PP3 or BP4. However, in the event that RNA data are available and they reflect no variant-specific impacts, PP3 or BP4 may be applied in conjunction with BP7(RNA).

### Supporting

• Missense: REVEL >.7333

• Splicing: Predicted impact via splicing (SpliceAl ≥0.2) for silent, missense/in-frame and for intronic variants outside of donor and acceptor 1,2 sites.

**Modification** Gene-specific

Type:

#### **PP4**

### Original ACMG Summary

Patient's phenotype or family history is highly specific for a disease with a single genetic etiology.

#### Not Applicable

Comments:

Autosomal Dominant: do not use as breast cancer is a disease with multiple genetic etiology (genetic heterogeneity) and there are no features that can readily distinguish hereditary from sporadic causes. Autosomal Recessive: do not use as a separate line of evidence. Such

evidence is built into the Ataxia Telangiectasia PM3|BP2 table

#### PP5

### Original ACMG Summary

Reputable source recently reports variant as pathogenic, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation.

#### Not Applicable

This criterion is not for use as recommended by the ClinGen Sequence Variant Interpretation VCEP Review Committee. PubMed: 29543229 🗹

### BA1

### Original ACMG Summary

Allele frequency is above 5% in Exome Sequencing Project, 1000 Genomes or Exome Aggregation Consortium.

**VCEP** Follow all SVI general guidance on applying population filters.

**Specifications:** 

#### **Stand Alone**

Grpmax Filtering AF >.5% in gnomAD v4 dataset

Modification Disease-specific

Type:

### **BS1**

## Original ACMG

**Summary** 

Allele frequency is greater than expected for disorder.

**VCEP** Follow all SVI general guidance on applying population filters.

**Specifications:** 

### Strong

Grpmax Filtering AF >.05% in gnomAD v4 dataset

**Modification** Disease-specific Type:

#### BS2

### **Original ACMG** Summary

Observed in a healthy adult individual for a recessive (homozygous), dominant (heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous) disorder, with full penetrance expected at an early age.

#### Not Applicable

**Comments:** Do not use: ATM has incomplete penetrance.

#### BS3

### **Original ACMG**

### Summary

Well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or splicing.

**VCEP** For protein, see detailed notes on ATM-specific assays;

**Specifications:** For RNA use code BP7\_RNA and modulate strength based on assay quality and quantity (curator discretion).

#### **Moderate**

Use when a variant rescues both an ATM specifc feature (e.g. phosphorylation of ATMspecific targets) AND radiosensitivity.

**Modification** Disease-specific, Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

### Supporting

Use when a variant rescues EITHER an ATM specifc feature OR rescues radiosensitivity.

**Modification** Disease-specific, Gene-specific, Strength

Type:

### BS4

### **Original ACMG** Summary

Lack of segregation in affected members of a family.

Caveat: The presence of phenocopies for common phenotypes (i.e. cancer, epilepsy) can mimic lack of segregation among affected individuals. Also, families may have more than one pathogenic variant contributing to an autosomal dominant disorder, further confounding an apparent lack of segregation.

#### Not Applicable

Comments:

AD Condition: Co-segregation analysis in low penetrance genes can lead to false positive results (PMID 32773770) AR Condition: Informative instances of lack of co-segregation in A-T families are too rare to be considered for weight at this time and can also be considered for BP2 if biallelic unaffected patients are observed in an A-T family.

#### BP1

### Original ACMG Summary

Missense variant in a gene for which primarily truncating variants are known to cause disease.

#### Not Applicable

**Comments:** Do not use: Missense pathogenic variants are known for ATM

#### **BP2**

### Original ACMG Summary

Observed in trans with a pathogenic variant for a fully penetrant dominant gene/disorder or observed in cis with a pathogenic variant in any inheritance pattern.

VCEP See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband, and Specificationsfinal BP2 code assignment based on the sum of BP2-related points.

 When assessing homozygous or in trans variants (with a likely pathogenic or pathogenic ATM variant) for possible downgrade in an unaffected individual, the individual should be 18 years or older with no evidence of A-T.

### **Strong**

BP2\_Strong ≤ -4 points

See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband.

**Modification** Disease-specific, General recommendation, Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

#### **Moderate**

BP2\_Moderate = -2 points

See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband.

**Modification** Disease-specific, General recommendation, Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

### Supporting

BP2 = -1 point

See ATM PM3/BP2 table for approach to assign points per proband.

**Modification** Disease-specific, General recommendation, Gene-specific, Strength **Type:** 

#### <u>BP3</u>

### Original ACMG Summary

In frame-deletions/insertions in a repetitive region without a known function.

#### Not Applicable

**Comments:** Do not use.

#### **BP4**

### Original ACMG Summary

Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product (conservation, evolutionary, splicing impact, etc)

Caveat: As many in silico algorithms use the same or very similar input for their predictions, each algorithm cannot be counted as an independent criterion. BP4 can be used only once in any evaluation of a variant.

# VCEP Specifications:

- NOTE: Splice analysis needs to be considered for all variant types (including missense, frameshift, nonsense, etc. as any variant has the potential to impact splicing which may preclude any expected protein effects)
- NOTE: BP4 for splice predictions may not be applied in conjunction with BP7\_Variable(RNA) (a lack of observed RNA defect)
- Use caution in applying the wrong type of computational evidence (protein vs. RNA) towards the cumulative body of evidence for the opposite mechanism.
- The VCEP uses SpliceAl as a sole predictor due to its ability to accurately predict loss of native splice sites and creation of cryptic sites (Jaganathan et al., 2019). This VCEP recommends SpliceAl thresholds set forth by the SVI in applying PP3 and BP4 to noncanonical splice variants: Apply PP3 for SpliceAl scores ≥0.2 and apply BP4 for SpliceAl scores ≤0.1 (Walker et al., 2023).

• In the event that RNA data are available and they reflect a substantial variant-specific impact, do not use both PVS1(RNA) and PP3 or BP4. However, in the event that RNA data are available and they reflect no variant-specific impacts, PP3 or BP4 may be applied in conjunction with BP7(RNA).

### **Supporting**

• Missense: REVEL score ≤.249

Splicing: No predicted impact via splicing (SpliceAl ≤0.1).

**Modification** General recommendation

Type:

#### BP5

### Original ACMG Summary

Variant found in a case with an alternate molecular basis for disease.

#### Not Applicable

**Comments:** 

Do not use: Cases with multiple pathogenic variants have been observed with no noticeable difference in phenotype (e.g. BRCA1 and BRCA2). In addition, ATM has low penetrance and will naturally occur with other pathogenic variants more frequently due to higher tolerance/presence in

the general population.

#### **BP6**

### Original ACMG Summary

Reputable source recently reports variant as benign, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation.

### Not Applicable

This criterion is not for use as recommended by the ClinGen Sequence Variant Interpretation VCEP Review Committee. PubMed: 29543229 [2]

#### **BP7**

### Original ACMG Summary

A synonymous variant for which splicing prediction algorithms predict no impact to the splice consensus sequence nor the creation of a new splice site AND the nucleotide is not highly conserved.

#### **VCEP**

• BP7: Synonymous and deep intronic

#### **Specifications:**

- Can be used for deep intronic variants beyond (but not including) +7 (donor) and -21 (acceptor)
- May also apply BP4 to achieve Likely Benign
- Is not considered a conflicting piece of evidence against a body of evidence supporting a pathogenic splice defect
- BP7 Variable(RNA): RNA functional studies
  - Lack of aberrant splice defect: Please see PVS1\_Variable(RNA) section (above) for guidance on baseline weights and modifications of weight based on quality for RNA assays
  - In the event that RNA data are available and they reflect a substantial variant-specific impact, do not use both PVS1(RNA) and PP3 or BP4. However, in the event that RNA data are available and they reflect no variant-specific impacts, PP3 or BP4 may be applied in conjunction with BP7(RNA).

### **Strong**

BP7\_Strong(RNA): Observed lack of aberrant RNA defect for silent substitutions and intronic variants. Variable weight applied depending on curator discretion of assay quality.

**Modification** General recommendation

Type:

#### **Moderate**

BP7\_Moderate(RNA): Observed lack of aberrant RNA defect for silent substitutions and intronic variants. Variable weight applied depending on curator discretion of assay quality.

**Modification** General recommendation **Type:** 

### **Supporting**

- BP7: Use for synonymous and deep intronic variants defined as further than (but not including) +7 and further than (but not including) -21 at donor and acceptor sites, respectively.
- BP7(RNA): Observed lack of aberrant RNA defect for silent substitutions and intronic variants. Variable weight applied depending on curator discretion of assay quality.

**Modification** General recommendation

Type:

### Rules for Combining Criteria

### **Pathogenic**

- 1 Very Strong AND  $\geq$  1 Strong
- 1 Very Strong AND  $\geq$  2 Moderate
- 1 Very Strong AND 1 Moderate AND 1 Supporting

- 1 Very Strong AND ≥ 2 Supporting

  ≥ 2 Strong

  1 Strong AND ≥ 3 Moderate

  1 Strong AND 2 Moderate AND ≥ 2 Supporting

  1 Strong AND 1 Moderate AND ≥ 4 Supporting

  Likely Pathogenic
  - 1 Very Strong AND 1 Moderate
  - 1 Strong AND 1 Moderate
  - 1 Strong AND  $\geq$  2 Supporting
  - ≥ 3 Moderate
  - 2 Moderate AND  $\geq$  2 Supporting
  - **1** Moderate AND ≥ 4 Supporting
  - 1 Very Strong (PVS1, PM3\_Very Strong) AND 1 Supporting (PVS1\_Supporting, PS1\_Supporting, PS3\_Supporting, PM2\_Supporting, PM3\_Supporting, PM5\_Supporting, PP1, PP3)

#### **Benign**

- ≥ 2 Strong
- 1 Stand Alone

### **Likely Benign**

- 1 Strong AND 1 Supporting
- ≥ 2 Supporting
- **1 Strong** (BS1, BP2 Strong, BP7 Strong)

### Files & Images

ATM supplementary Tables 1 and 2: 🕹

ClinGen HBOP ACMG Specifications ATM version 1.4: 🕹