```
08/05 - Condicionamento
       ||A||_{1} = \max_{j=1,...,n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{i,j}|
||A||_{F} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}^{2}\right)^{1/2}
       ||A|| = max [] |aij | Frobenius
||A|| = i=1,-m j=1 | Nav é induzida
       1/All2 = J1 maior valor singular
Exercícios: Mostre due as normas, de fato,
são essas, i.e., suas expressões usando o sup,
viram as expressões acima.
Ex: | A||2 = sup | | Ax||2
                        x = 0 ||x||
  ou seja

NAILa > NAILa / HYER, Y + D.
 Considere à dec. SVD de A: A=UIVT.
 Loge, Avi= Jivi. Dai,
       \|A\|_{2} \ge \frac{\|Av_{1}\|_{2}}{\|v_{1}\|_{2}} = \frac{\|\sigma_{1}v_{1}\|_{2}}{1} = \sigma_{1}
Tome XER, X to dualquer. Como du, ..., vn) é
  base de R', 3 2, , , , , , R ER t.q. Av; = v; v;
A \times = \lambda_1 V_1 + \dots + \lambda_n V_n
A \times = \lambda_1 V_1 V_2 + \lambda_2 V_2 V_2 + \dots + \lambda_p V_p V_p + 0

\begin{array}{l}
\left\| \alpha_{1} \nu_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{p} \nu_{p} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\
= \left( \alpha_{1} \nu_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{p} \nu_{p} \right)^{2} \left( \alpha_{1} \nu_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{p} \nu_{p} \right)
\end{array}

              \|A \times \|_{2}^{2} = (\lambda_{1} \sigma_{1})^{2} + (\lambda_{2} \sigma_{2})^{2} + ... + (\lambda_{p} \sigma_{p})^{2}
                                                                                                 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j U_i U_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 ||U_i||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2.
                        ((), o,) + (), o,) + ... + ()po,)
                        = J (2, + ... + Zp)
             \|x\|_{2}^{2} = \|\lambda_{1}v_{1}+\lambda_{n}v_{n}\|_{2}
                       = \lambda_1^2 + \ldots + \lambda_p^2 + \lambda_{p+1}^2 + \ldots + \lambda_n
\geq \lambda_1^2 + \ldots + \lambda_p^2 + 0
         \|A\|_{a} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_{a}}{\|x\|_{a}} \le \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\int_{1}^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{1}^{2} + ... + \lambda_{p}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}^{2} + ... + \lambda_{p}^{2}}}
                 = 500 J = J1
       Ex.: Vide Golub. Provar com otimização.
   det (xA) = x det (A)
  Condicionamento ou nûmero de condição:
            K(A) = ||A||. ||A'||
  Se A não tem inversa, definimos K(A) = 00.
  Se for una norma específica, poe o indice no
  K, e.g., K_0(A) = ||A||_0||Ā'||_0.
 Teo: K2(A) = T1 , se A E R tem inversa.
 Demi. Basta mostras que l'A'lla - 1.
  Propriedade: K(I)=||I||·||I'||=||I||2
   P/ norma induzida; ||I|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ix||}{||x||} = 1
     Logo, K(I)=1 consistência
   1 = \| \mathbf{I} \| = \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}}' \| \leq \| \mathbf{A} \| \cdot \| \vec{\mathbf{A}}' \| = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{A})
           K(A) > 1 p/ normas induzidas.
E \times emplos: (i) K(\alpha I) = ||\alpha I|| \cdot ||(\alpha I)'|| = ||\alpha I|| \cdot ||\alpha I|| = 1
(ii) K(P) = 1, P matriz de permutação
(iii) K(Q) = J, matriz ortogonal (\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}^T)
Exemplos: A: \[ 1.01 2 \]
   ||A|| = max { |1.04|+ |1|, |2|+ |2|} = max {2.01, 4} = 4
    ||A||<sub>∞</sub>= max 2 |1.01/+|2|, |1/+|2|} = 3.01
     A = \frac{1}{2.02 - 2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 1.01 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{0.02} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 1.01 \end{bmatrix}
   \|A'\|_{1} = \frac{1}{0.02} \text{ max} \left\{ 3, 3.01 \right\} = \frac{3.01}{0.02} = 150.5
   \|\tilde{A}\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{0.02} \max \{4, 2.01\} = \frac{4}{0.02} = 200
   K_1(A) = 4 \times 150.5 = 602
   K_{\infty}(A) = 3.01 \times 200 = 602
 Ex. Verifique se K(A) =>0°
 (i) A = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}, K(A) = K(\varepsilon I) = 1.
Exerc. k_1, k_2, K_{\infty} de diagonal.
     \|A\| = \max\{1, E\}, \quad \overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{E}^1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \|\overline{A}^1\| = \max\{1, \overline{E}^1\}
     K(A) = \max\{1, \tilde{\epsilon}\} \max\{1, \tilde{\epsilon}'\} = \max\{\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{\epsilon}'\}
 se E-10t, eventualmente, E<1, dai K(A)=E-) ao
(iii) A = \begin{bmatrix} 1+\varepsilon & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \|A\|_1 = 2+\varepsilon, \overline{A}' = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1+\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}, \|\overline{A}'\|_1 = \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}
   K_{1}(A) = (2+\epsilon)\frac{2+\epsilon}{\epsilon} = \frac{(2+\epsilon)^{2}}{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon - 10^{+}}{\epsilon} + \infty
  Resolvção de sistemas (K(A) < 00)
            - A X = b x* sol. exata
                                                             \times^* + \Delta \times, b + \Delta b
    achou x: Ax= b
                A(x^*-\bar{x})=b-\bar{b} \sim x^*-\bar{x}=\bar{A}(b-\bar{b})
                                                               DX = A'Db
     11 A 11 - 11 A X 11 S 11 A 11 - 11 A 1 1 - 11 A 1
                                                           \|\Delta x\| = \|A'\Delta b\|
                                                    |\Delta x| \leq |\bar{A}| \cdot |\Delta b|
                       = K(A). | Ab|
         | b| = | Ax* | < | A | | | | | | |
            ||\Delta \times || \cdot ||b|| \le ||A|| \cdot ||A^{\dagger}|| \cdot ||X^{*}|| \cdot ||Ab||
                   \frac{\|\Delta \times \|}{\|x^*\|} \leq K(\Delta) \cdot \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}
 Exerc.: A = \begin{cases} 1 + \varepsilon & 1 - \varepsilon \\ 1 & 1 \end{cases}, K(A)
\underbrace{Exi}_{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A\overline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 2+2E \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \overline{b}
          \Delta b = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & \varepsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \chi^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
```