

# **TQS: Quality Assurance manual**

Gabriel Santos [113682], Guilherme Santos [113893], João Gaspar [114514], Shelton Agostinho [115697] v2025-05-14

#### Contents

TQ	S: Qu	ality Assurance manual	1
1	Proje	ect management	1
	1.1	Assigned roles	1
	1.2	Backlog grooming and progress monitoring	1
2	Code quality management		2
	2.1	Team policy for the use of generative AI	2
	2.2	Guidelines for contributors	2
	2.3	Code quality metrics and dashboards	2
3	Continuous delivery pipeline (CI/CD)		2
	3.1	Development workflow	2
	3.2	CI/CD pipeline and tools	2
	3.3	System observability	3
	3.4	Artifacts repository [Optional]	3
4	Software testing		3
	4.1	Overall testing strategy	3
	4.2	Functional testing and ATDD	3
	4.3	Developer facing testes (unit, integration)	3
	4.4	Exploratory testing	3
	45	Non-function and architecture attributes testing	

[This report should be written for new members coming to the project and needing to learn what are the QA practices defined. Provide concise, but informative content, allowing other software engineers to understand and quickly the practices.

Tips on the expected content (marked in colored text) along the document are meant to be removed.

You may use English or Portuguese; do not mix.]

### 1 Project management

#### 1.1 Assigned roles

Gabriel Santos - Product Owner Guilherme Santos - QA Engineer João Gaspar - DevOps master Shelton Agostinho - Team Coordinator

#### 1.2 Backlog grooming and progress monitoring

What are the practices to organize the work in JIRA?

How is the progress tracked in a regular basis? E.g.: story points, burndown charts,... Is there a proactive monitoring of requirements-level coverage? (with test management tools integrated in JIRA)

#### Organizing Work in JIRA

#### Hierarchy logic

We structure all work around **Epics**, each representing a major feature (e.g. Station Discovery, Booking). Under each Epic we create **User Stories** (as defined in our product backlog) and break those Stories into **sub-tasks** for implementation, testing or documentation. Issues that don't depend on Epics do not have to follow this specific hierarchy however, they have to follow the sub-tasks logic.

#### Backlog Refinement

At the start of each sprint we hold a **Backlog Grooming** session: the Product Owner and team review upcoming Stories, confirm acceptance criteria, and ensure each Story is "Ready" (clear description, estimate, dependencies resolved).

#### **Tracking Progress**

#### Story Point Estimation

In our Sprint Planning we assign **story points** to each Story, reflecting relative effort. This helps the team commit to a realistic amount of work.

#### Sprint Board

Our Scrum board has columns **To Do**, **In Progress**, **Done** to ensure Stories flow smoothly to completion.

#### Requirement-Level Coverage Monitoring

#### Manual Traceability via Sub-tasks

We do not yet integrate a dedicated test-management plugin in JIRA. Instead, each Story contains sub-tasks. When all sub-tasks are complete, the Story is completed

#### Linking Code & Tests

Developers link their Pull Requests and CI build results back to the corresponding JIRA issue. This provides a manual but clear traceability.

## 2 Code quality management

#### 2.1 Team policy for the use of generative Al

Clarify the team position on the use of Al-assistants, for production and test code Give practical advice for newcomers.

Be clear about "do"s and "Don't"s



We allow the use of generative Al tools to **support** code writing, testing, and documentation, as long as the output is:

- 1. Understood by the developer;
- 2. Reviewed critically before insertion;
- 3. Compliant with the team's style.

#### DO's:

- 1. Use Al to suggest **simple** code or test templates.
- 2. Use it for improving readability or formatting code into the team's style.
- 3. Use it for catching and solve **simple** errors/malpractices

#### DON'Ts:

- 1. Don't copy-paste Al-generated code without review or adaptation.
- 2. Don't use AI for **critical logic** or configurations without validation.
- 3. Don't use AI to bypass code review without personal evaluation.

#### 2.2 Guidelines for contributors

#### Coding style

[Definition of coding style adopted. You don't need to be exhaustive; rather highlight some key concepts/options and refer a more comprehensive resource for details. → e.g.: AOS project] Our coding style is based on the Google's Java Style Guide with the help of some tools for automatic formatting:

- 1. We use **camelCase** for variables and methods;
- 2. We use **PascalCase** for classes and interfaces:
- 3. All other formatting (indentation, braces, spacing, etc.) is automatically handled using **Prettier** in every commit, which runs in the CI pipeline.

#### Code reviewing

Instructions for effective code reviewing. When to do? Integrate AI tools?...

Feel free to add more section as needed

Code review must be done every time that is made a Pull Request (PR) to the dev branch

- 1. All code must be submitted via PRs:
- 2. At **least one peer** must approve the PR;
- 3. Al tools (like GithubCopilot) may assist in reviews, but human review is **mandatory**.

#### 2.3 Code quality metrics and dashboards

[Description of practices defined in the project for static code analysis and associated resources.] [Which quality gates were defined? What was the rationale?]

Backend (BE): We use SonarQube to perform automated static code analysis on all backend commits. SonarQube reports on issues such as bugs, vulnerabilities, code smells, duplication, and also aggregates code coverage data from our test suites although we have not yet defined explicit coverage thresholds (quality gates) for blocking merges.

Frontend (FE):

- 1. **Prettier** is integrated into the pipeline as an opinionated code formatter that automatically enforces consistent styling (indentation, braces, spacing) on every save. It provides a "format-on-save" safety net but offers limited configurability;
- 2. **ESLint** runs after Prettier to catch linting errors and enforce code-quality rules. By default it applies the community-recommended rule set, but it can be extended or tuned.

#### Dashboard & Quality Gates:

The SonarQube dashboard consolidates BE and FE analysis results for an overall view of code health.

In future iterations, we will define and enforce minimum coverage and issue thresholds as part of our GitHub pull-request quality gates.

### 3 Continuous delivery pipeline (CI/CD)

#### 3.1 Development workflow

#### **Coding workflow**

[Explain, for a newcomer, what is the team coding workflow: how does a developer get a story to work on? Etc...

Clarify the workflow adopted [e.g., gitflow workflow, github flow . How do they map to the user stories?1

[Description of the practices defined in the project for *code review* and associated resources.]

Work flows directly from JIRA into our GitHub repository following a GitHub-Flow variant, but with branch creation automated by JIRA. For each Issue, JIRA provides a "Create branch" button that generates a properly named feature branch (e.g. EDISON-123-search-stations) off the shared dev branch. Developers then simply pull and checkout that branch locally:

- Select a Story/Issue in JIRA in the Board;
- 2. Click "Create branch" in the JIRA UI selecting dev in the "Branch from" section
- 3. Select a Sub-task
- 4. Click "Create branch" now selecting the Issue's branch that was created in the "Branch from" section
- 5. Now just git pull and git checkout <sub-task-branch-name> and start coding
- 6. When a sub-task is done, make a PR to merge into the Task's branch in Github and assign a peer for Review. When this review is done your branch will be merged
- 7. After all the sub-tasks of a task are done, make a PR to the dev and wait for the final review

#### **Definition of done**

[What is your team "Definition of done" for a user story?]

A User Story is only marked **Done** in JIRA when **all** the following conditions are satisfied:

- Code implemented meets every acceptance criteria.
- Sub-tasks are all resolved and closed.
- Automated tests (unit and integration) execute successfully in CI.
- Static analysis (Prettier, ESLint, SonarQube) reports no new blocking issues.
- Pull Requests for sub-tasks and story branches have all approving reviews (those who have reviews).
- Documentation is updated to reflect any new APIs or configuration.
- Merged into dev without conflicts, ensuring the integration branch remains stable.



**Demo-ready**: the feature can be deployed to our staging environment for validation and sprint review.

#### 3.2 CI/CD pipeline and tools

[Description of the practices defined in the project for the continuous integration of increments and associated resources. Provide details on the tools setup and config.] [Description of practices for continuous delivery, likely to be based on *containers*]

#### 3.3 System observability

What was prepared to ensure proactive monitoring of the system operational conditions? Which events/alarms are triggered? Which data is collected for assessment?...

#### 3.4 Artifacts repository [Optional]

[Description of the practices defined in the project for local management of Maven artifacts and associated resources. E.g.: github ]

## Software testing

#### 4.1 Overall testing strategy

[what was the overall test development strategy? E.g.: did you do TDD? Did you choose to use Cucumber and BDD? Did you mix different testing tools, like REST-Assured and Cucumber?...] Ido not write here the contents of the tests, but to explain the policies/practices adopted and generate evidence that the test results are being considered in the CI process.]

### 4.2 Functional testing and ATDD

[Project policy for writing functional tests (closed box, user perspective) and associated resources. when does a developer need to develop these?

#### 4.3 Developer facing tests (unit, integration)

[Project policy for writing unit tests (open box, developer perspective) and associated resources: when does a developer need to write unit test? What are the most relevant unit tests used in the project?]

[Project policy for writing integration tests (open or closed box, developer perspective) and associated resources.] API testing

### 4.4 Exploratory testing

[strategy for non-scripted tests, if any]

### 4.5 Non-function and architecture attributes testing

[Project policy for writing performance tests and associated resources.]