

# A COMBINATORIAL PROOF OF EULER–FERMAT’S THEOREM ON THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PRIMES $p=8k+3$ BY THE QUADRATIC FORM $x^2+2y^2$

A. I. Generalov\*

UDC 512.5

An elementary and extremely short proof of the theorem on the representation of the primes  $p = 8k + 3$  by the quadratic form  $x^2 + 2y^2$  with integers  $x$  and  $y$ . Bibliography: 1 title.

In [1], D. Zagier gave an extremely short and elegant proof of Fermat’s theorem on the representation of primes of the form  $p = 4k + 1$  as a sum of two squares of integers: he defined actions of two involutions on a suitable finite set  $\Omega$ ; one of these involutions has a unique the fixed point, whence it follows that the cardinality  $|\Omega|$  is odd, and then fixed points of the second involution provide the desired representation of a given prime. In the present paper, we use a similar approach to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime that is congruent to 3 modulo 8. Then  $p$  is represented in the form  $p = x^2 + 2y^2$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are natural numbers.*

*Proof.* Put

$$\Omega = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid p = x^2 + 2yz\}. \quad (1)$$

We observe that the set  $\Omega$  is finite (if  $(x, y, z) \in \Omega$ , then  $x, y, z < p/2$ ) and nonempty (since the point  $\omega_0 = (1, 1, (p-1)/2)$  belongs to  $\Omega$ ). We also note that  $x, y$ , and  $z$  are odd for any  $(x, y, z) \in \Omega$ . Moreover, since  $p = x^2 + 2y^2 + 2y(z-y)$  and  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , we see that  $2y(z-y)$  is divisible by 8, and thus  $z-y$  is divisible by 4.

The set  $\Omega$  is a disjoint union of the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 &= \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \Omega \mid y - \frac{z}{2} < x < 2y \right\}, \\ \Omega_1 &= \{(x, y, z) \in \Omega \mid x > 2y\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \Omega \mid x < \frac{y}{2} - z \right\}, \\ \Omega_3 &= \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \Omega \mid \frac{y}{2} - z < x < \frac{2}{3}(y-z) \right\}, \\ \Omega_4 &= \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \Omega \mid \frac{2}{3}(y-z) < x < y - \frac{z}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if  $(x, y, z) \in \Omega$ , then  $y - \frac{z}{2} \neq x \neq \frac{y}{2} - z$  (because  $y$  and  $z$  are odd) and  $2y \neq x \neq \frac{2}{3}(y-z)$  (because  $x$  is odd). We define a map  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  by the formula

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} (2y - x, y, z + 2x - 2y) & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in \Omega_0, \\ (x - 2y, z + 2x - 2y, y) & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in \Omega_1, \\ (x + 2z, z, y - 2x - 2z) & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in \Omega_2, \\ (-3x + 2y - 2z, -2x + 2y - z, 2x - y + 2z) & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in \Omega_3, \\ (3x - 2y + 2z, 2x - y + 2z, -2x + 2y - z) & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in \Omega_4. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We can directly verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\Omega_0) &\subset \Omega_0, \Phi(\Omega_1) \subset \Omega_2, \Phi(\Omega_2) \subset \Omega_1, \\ \Phi(\Omega_3) &\subset \Omega_3, \Phi(\Omega_4) \subset \Omega_4, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and then we conclude that  $\Phi \circ \Phi = \text{id}_\Omega$ . In particular, this implies that all the inclusions in (3) can be replaced by equalities.

\*St.Petersburg State University, St.Petersburg, Russia, e-mail:general@pdmi.ras.ru.

It is easily seen that  $\omega_0$  is a unique fixed point of the involution  $\Phi$ . Consequently, the cardinality  $|\Omega|$  is odd. Now, we consider another involution on the set  $\Omega$ :

$$\Psi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, z, y).$$

This involution must have a fixed point  $(x_0, y_0, y_0) \in \Omega$ , whence we obtain  $p = x_0^2 + 2y_0^2$ . □

**Remark 2.** Assume that a prime  $p$  is congruent to 1 modulo 8. We again consider the set  $\Omega$  in (1) and the involution defined in (2). If  $(x, y, z) \in \Omega$ , it is easily seen that  $x$  is odd and  $yz$  is divisible by 4, and, as in the situation of Theorem 1, we have  $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^4 \Omega_i$ . But now, in addition to the point  $\omega_0$ , the involution  $\Phi$  has two further fixed points: one point in each of the sets  $\Omega_3$  and  $\Omega_4$  (in this case, the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1 are applied again). The uniqueness of a fixed point in the set  $\Omega_4$  (respectively, in  $\Omega_3$ ) is established by using the arithmetic of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  of integer Gaussian numbers (respectively, in the ring of integers of the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ), and so on. But, in this case, to prove the existence (and uniqueness) of the representation  $p = x^2 + 2y^2$  it is much simpler to use directly the arithmetic of the ring of integers of the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ .

Translated by A. I. Generalov.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Zagier, "A one-sentence proof that every prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a sum of two squares," *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **97**, 144 (1990).