# Semi-supervised learning methods for data augmentation

Supervisor: Dr Sean Holden

Overseers: Dr Simone Teufel, Prof Jon Crowcroft

# Semi-supervised learning

Classic Supervised Learning:

Training data - labelled examples of *all* n classes.

Positive-unlabeled(PU) learning:

Training data contains examples of a positive class **P** and a set of **unlabeled** examples **U**, of which some belong in **P** and the rest belong to negative classes.

 Key feature of *PU learning*: no labelled negative training data is available!

## PU Learning and Data Augmentation

- Many data-scarce application areas have small sets
   of positive examples and a huge body of mixed
   examples available (it might be hard/costly/time
   consuming to manually find more positives).
- The goal of the project is to apply **different** *PU learning* algorithms to such application areas and examine how they can improve (*by augmenting their training data set*) the performance of subsequent supervised learning approaches used.

# PU learning methods implemented

- Bayesian Sets [Ghahramani and Heller, 2006]
- Inspired by Google Sets' approach to set expansion.
- Treat entity set expansion as a Bayesian inference problem:
- Result: A ranking of examples in *U* by their likelihood of belonging to *P*.
- Assumes that all features are independent in order to obtain a tractable solution.
- Given binary features, reduces to a single matrix multiplication!

## Entity Set Expansion via Bayesian Sets

- MovieLens data set:
- Set to be expanded: {Empire Strikes Back, Return of the Jedi Indiana Jones and the Last Crusade}
- Ranking produced:

```
181 Return of the Jedi (1983)
181
       9.58508
                       50 Star Wars (1977)
50
       9.58508
                       172 Empire Strikes Back, The (1980)
172
       9.34084
                       271 Starship Troopers (1997)
271
       7.99097
                       498 African Queen, The (1951)
       7.14069
498
                       897 Time Tracers (1995)
897
       5.20461
                       450 Star Trek V: The Final Frontier (1989)
450
       5.20461
       5.20461
                       449 Star Trek: The Motion Picture (1979)
449
380
       5.20461
                       380 | Star Trek: Generations (1994)
373
       5.20461
                       373 Judge Dredd (1995)
                       230 Star Trek IV: The Voyage Home (1986)
230
       5.20461
       5.20461
                       229 Star Trek III: The Search for Spock (1984)
229
                       228 Star Trek: The Wrath of Khan (1982)
       5.20461
228
                       227 Star Trek VI: The Undiscovered Country (1991)
227
       5.20461
       5.20461
                       222 Star Trek: First Contact (1996)
222
                       82 Jurassic Park (1993)
82
       5.20461
       5.20461
                       62 Stargate (1994)
62
                       121 Independence Day (ID4)
121
       5.01092
```

## PU learning methods implemented

The other two methods are based on a two-step strategy:

- Identifying Reliable Negatives(RN);
- 2. Building a sequence of classifiers and selecting the optimal one.
- Spy-EM algorithm [Liu et al, 2002]
   Uses Naive Bayesian(NB) classifiers to identify RNs and the Expectation Maximization(EM) algorithm to build the classifiers.
- Roc-SVM algorithm [Li et al, 2003]
   Uses the Rocchio classifier and k-means clustering to identify RNs.
   Support Vector Machines(SVM) are used to build the classifiers.

### Results achieved

- Data augmentation on text classification data (Reuters dataset):
- SVM classification performance: [precision, recall, f-score]:
- Prior to augmentation: **0.9590 0.7919 0.8675**
- Post augmentation:

Bayesian sets: 0.6601 0.8613 0.7474

Spy-EM: 0.8717 0.9645 **0.9158** 

Roc-SVM: 0.9580 0.8468 **0.8990** 

• In traditional problems such as this one, Spy-EM and RocSVM are vastly superior to Bayesian Sets(finding appropriate **cutoff** is hard).

#### Results achieved

- Data augmentation on biomedical data (KDDCup 2001, Task 1):
- Very hard dataset: 1:20 positive to negative examples ratio.
- Computationally heavy: 140000 features, 2000 entries!
- SVM classification performance: [precision, recall, f-score]:
- Prior to augmentation: 0.1579 0.0200 0.0355

Post augmentation:

Bayesian sets: **0.1667 0.1133 0.1349** 

Spy-EM: 0.1183 0.0733 0.0905

 In our experiments with this dataset, Bayesian Sets consistently outperform the other two methods (and execute much faster!)

#### Further work

- Data augmentation on theorem prover statements.
- Utilising the disclosed information about negative examples in the training data in order to boost data augmentation further.
- Iterative (bootstrapped) Bayesian Sets: using newly extracted positives to repeatedly refine the rankings produced.
- A consideration of the proof of stability of the Bayesian sets algorithm, warranting its applicability even when its assumption of independent features is violated.