

Big-Oh Rules

Prof. Dianne Foreback

Information on slides originating from *Algorithm Design & Applications* by Michael T. Goodrich and Roberto Tamassia, © 2015 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.Goodrich and Tamassia, ISBN: 978-1118335918.

Reading Material

- Algorithm Design & Applications by Michael T. Goodrich and Roberto Tamassia
 - Section 1.1.5

Big-Oh Rules

Theorem 1.7: Let d(n), e(n), f(n), and g(n) be functions mapping nonnegative integers to nonnegative reals.

- 1. If d(n) is O(f(n)), then ad(n) is O(f(n)), for any constant a > 0.
- 2. If d(n) is O(f(n)) and e(n) is O(g(n)), then d(n) + e(n) is O(f(n) + g(n)).
- 3. If d(n) is O(f(n)) and e(n) is O(g(n)), then d(n)e(n) is O(f(n)g(n)).
- 4. If d(n) is O(f(n)) and f(n) is O(g(n)), then d(n) is O(g(n)).
- 5. If f(n) is a polynomial of degree d (that is, $f(n) = a_0 + a_1 n + \cdots + a_d n^d$), then f(n) is $O(n^d)$.
- 6. n^x is $O(a^n)$ for any fixed x > 0 and a > 1.
- 7. $\log n^x$ is $O(\log n)$ for any fixed x > 0.
- 8. $\log^x n$ is $O(n^y)$ for any fixed constants x > 0 and y > 0.

It is considered poor taste to include constant factors and lower order terms in the big-Oh notation. For example, it is not fashionable to say that the function $2n^2$ is $O(4n^2 + 6n \log n)$, although this is completely correct. We should strive instead to describe the function in the big-Oh in *simplest terms*.

Stylistic Note

- Do NOT write $f(N) \leq O(g(N))$ -- the inequality is implied by the definition
- Do NOT write $f(N) \ge O(g(N))$ -- the does not make sense
- For constant time, write O(1). Do NOT put some other constant in the notation, e.g. O(6) or O(25)

Runtime Analysis – For Loops

The running time of a for loop is at most the running time of the statements inside the for loop (including tests) times the number of iterations

for
$$i \leftarrow 0$$
 to $n-1$ do $k \leftarrow k+1$

Counting Primitive Operations

initialize i to 0	1 primitive operation done once
i=i+1	2 primitive operations done n times in total
compare i < n	1 primitive operation done $n+1$ times in total

$$k \leftarrow k+1$$

2 primitive operations done n times in total

```
1 + 2n + 1(n+1) + 2n = 5n + 2 = O(n)
```

Approximation: for loop is executed about n times and there is one statement inside the for loop that runs in O(1). Thus O(n) *O(1) =O(n)

Runtime Analysis – Nested Loops

Analyze these inside out. The total running time of a statement inside a group of nested loops is the running time of the statement multiplied by the product of the sizes of all the loops.

Example that is $O(N^2)$

```
for i \leftarrow 0 to n-1
for j \leftarrow 0 to n-1
some statements that run in constant time
```

Approximation

The inner "j-loop" executes n times

The outer "i-loop" executes n times

Some constant runtime statements execute in O(1)

$$n * n * O(1) = O(n^2)$$

Runtime Analysis – Consecutive Statements

These just add (which means that the maximum is the one that counts

A rule of Big-Oh notation

```
If T_1(N) = O(f(N)) and T_2(N) = O(g(N)), then

(a) T_1(N) + T_2(N) = O(f(N) + g(N)) (intuitively and less formally it is O(\max(f(N), g(N)))),
```

```
for i \leftarrow 0 to n-1 do O(N)
k \leftarrow k+1

for i \leftarrow 0 to n-1
for j \leftarrow 0 to n-1
O(N^2)
some statements that run in constant time
```

Runtime is $O(N + N^2) = O(N^2)$

Runtime Analysis – If/Else

Running time of an if/else statement is never more than the running time of the test plus the larger of the running times of $\rm S1$ and $\rm S2$

```
if (condition) then
S1
```

else

```
Runtime Analysis — If/Else

Running time of an if/else statement is never more than the running time of the test plus the larger of the running times of S1 and S2

if ( condition ) then x=1 else

for i \leftarrow 1to n x \leftarrow x+1
```

S2

Thank You!



Questions?