

# HEURISTIC ANALYSIS

Ambadipudi, Vidya

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE NANODEGREE Udacity

## Table of Contents

Heuristics .....	1
Performance .....	2
Win Rate .....	2
Execution Time .....	3
Heuristic Recommendation .....	4

## Heuristics

### 1. Heuristic 1

```
own_moves - 2 * opp_moves
```

With this evaluation function the player plays aggressively to minimize the moves of the opponent. This is a superior heuristic which plays more aggressively since coefficient of 2 is used and hence statistically always has better chances of winning

### 2. Heuristic 2

```
for move in own_moves:
    if is_near_walls(move, walls):
        own_cum_score -= 20
    else:
        own_cum_score += 5

for move in opp_moves:
    if is_near_walls(move, walls):
        opp_cum_score -= 20
    else:
        opp_cum_score += 5

return float(own_cum_score - opp_cum_score)
```

With this evaluation function we add a positive score of 5 if the move is away from the walls and a negative 20 if the move is on the walls. We try to avoid the walls to minimize the chances of being cornered.

### 3. Heuristic 3

```
1.5 * own_moves - opp_moves
```

With this evaluation function, the player plays defensively to maximize its own moves as compared to the opponent.

#### 4. Heuristic 4

```
move_count = game.move_count
if move_count <= 8:
    return custom_score_4(game, player) + custom_score_3(game,
player)

else:
    return custom_score_2(game, player)
```

And after tweaking the point at which we switch the heuristics, I found that playing the first 8 moves defensively and rest of the game aggressively has better chances of winning since there are more chance of losing if the move is on walls.

## Performance

### Win Rate

Performance of all the heuristics can be measured by running the tournament.py

To remove any randomness in the results, I have increased the number of games played in the tournament.

```
(aind) c:\AIND\projects\AIND-Isolation>python tournament.py
```

```
This script evaluates the performance of the custom_score evaluation
function against a baseline agent using alpha-beta search and iterative
deepening (ID) called `AB_Improved`. The three `AB_Custom` agents use
ID and alpha-beta search with the custom_score functions defined in
game_agent.py.
```

```
*****
      Playing Matches
*****
```

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	19	1	18	2	17	3	20	0
2	MM_Open	14	6	14	6	14	6	9	11
3	MM_Center	18	2	18	2	19	1	20	0
4	MM_Improved	14	6	16	4	14	6	13	7
5	AB_Open	11	9	11	9	10	10	10	10
6	AB_Center	11	9	12	8	14	6	8	12
7	AB_Improved	7	13	10	10	8	12	10	10

```
-----
Win Rate:      67.1%      70.7%      68.6%      64.3%
```

```
Your agents forfeited 183.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.
```

opening (18) caused AB\_Improved to lose. The three AB\_Custom agents use ID and alpha-beta search with the custom\_score functions defined in game\_agent.py.

*****									
Playing Matches									
*****									
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	18	2	20	0	18	2	19	1
2	MM_Open	13	7	15	5	16	4	13	7
3	MM_Center	15	5	19	1	17	3	17	3
4	MM_Improved	15	5	14	6	16	4	13	7
5	AB_Open	11	9	10	10	10	10	8	12
6	AB_Center	12	8	10	10	10	10	9	11
7	AB_Improved	11	9	11	9	11	9	7	13
-----									
Win Rate:		67.9%		70.7%		70.0%		61.4%	
Your agents forfeited 183.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.									

## Execution Time

Here are the execution times for each heuristic.

*****					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved			
		Won	Lost	Time	
1	Random	19	1	30.26	
2	MM_Open	18	2	37.20	
3	MM_Center	15	5	32.63	
4	MM_Improved	14	6	36.97	
5	AB_Open	7	13	73.11	
6	AB_Center	14	6	68.19	
7	AB_Improved	12	8	76.11	
-----					
Win Rate:		70.7%		50.64	

*****					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved			
		Won	Lost	Time	
1	Random	20	0	28.46	
2	MM_Open	15	5	35.94	
3	MM_Center	19	1	32.08	
4	MM_Improved	17	3	36.33	
5	AB_Open	12	8	71.55	
6	AB_Center	11	9	69.51	
7	AB_Improved	13	7	76.24	
-----					
Win Rate:		76.4%		50.02	

*****					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Custom			
		Won	Lost	Time	
1	Random	19	1	32.42	
2	MM_Open	12	8	33.38	
3	MM_Center	18	2	29.26	
4	MM_Improved	15	5	33.39	
5	AB_Open	11	9	71.91	
6	AB_Center	11	9	65.67	
7	AB_Improved	13	7	73.35	
-----					
Win Rate:		70.7%		48.48	

*****					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Custom			
		Won	Lost	Time	
1	Random	20	0	32.91	
2	MM_Open	15	5	37.06	
3	MM_Center	20	0	32.43	
4	MM_Improved	15	5	36.07	
5	AB_Open	9	11	70.95	
6	AB_Center	11	9	66.87	
7	AB_Improved	10	10	72.29	
-----					
Win Rate:		71.4%		49.80	

Match #	Opponent	AB_Custom_2		
		Won	Lost	Time
1	Random	17	3	28.82
2	MM_Open	17	3	36.77
3	MM_Center	18	2	32.83
4	MM_Improved	11	9	36.64
5	AB_Open	9	11	72.39
6	AB_Center	9	11	73.35
7	AB_Improved	9	11	72.63
Win Rate:		64.3%	50.49	

Match #	Opponent	AB_Custom_2		
		Won	Lost	Time
1	Random	17	3	32.66
2	MM_Open	16	4	38.70
3	MM_Center	19	1	28.86
4	MM_Improved	12	8	34.40
5	AB_Open	7	13	68.55
6	AB_Center	13	7	72.16
7	AB_Improved	10	10	71.80
Win Rate:		67.1%	49.59	

Match #	Opponent	AB_Custom_3		
		Won	Lost	Time
1	Random	18	2	29.18
2	MM_Open	13	7	34.85
3	MM_Center	18	2	29.42
4	MM_Improved	14	6	35.12
5	AB_Open	5	15	67.11
6	AB_Center	11	9	64.71
7	AB_Improved	8	12	68.67
Win Rate:		62.1%	47.01	

Match #	Opponent	AB_Custom_3		
		Won	Lost	Time
1	Random	19	1	27.98
2	MM_Open	14	6	35.45
3	MM_Center	18	2	30.49
4	MM_Improved	13	7	33.22
5	AB_Open	10	10	70.59
6	AB_Center	12	8	69.39
7	AB_Improved	11	9	71.43
Win Rate:		69.3%	48.36	

## Heuristic Recommendation

I would recommend **Heuristic 4** because,

1. **Best Score** : With this heuristic the student player always outperforms AB\_Improved.
2. **Better execution time** : From the performance and execution time it's clear that **Heuristic 4** has better execution time. It takes slightly more time to execute in some cases than Heuristic 1 and Heuristic 2, but compared to the win rate it is still doing better.
3. **Combination of best heuristics**: It is a combined value of all other heuristics and performs better than the other individual heuristics almost always.
4. **Strategic switching** : This heuristic is based on my study that in the beginning when the board is more empty than full, we play more defensively with the **intention of not losing**. But towards the end we play more aggressively with the **intention of winning**.