

REVIEW DOCUMENT			
Start Date: 6.11.2023			
Project Name: Samwise Service App		Number of Pages: 6	
Product Name: Samwise Service App		Product Definition: An application that provides marketplace for service providers and potential customers.	
Roles:	Review Leader:	ToGather	
	Reviewers:	1. Baran Özden	3. Ebru Kültür
		2. Batuhan Şenyüzlü	4. İpek Çobanoğlu
Review Materials:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vision (V) • Glossary (G) • Use Case Model (U) • System-Wide Requirements (S) • Risk List (R) • Project Plan (P) • Work Items List (W) • Next Iteration's Plan (N) 		
Total Number of Errors: 45			
Assessment Status:	ACCEPTED ____ as it is ____ with rework	REJECTED ____ review again	
ERROR TYPES			
Error Type Number	Error Type Definition	Number of Errors Found	
1	Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc)	2	
2	Inconsistency with the standards	9	
3	Uncertain	5	
4	Incorrect	8	
5	Inapplicable	1	
6	Internal Inconsistency	0	
7	External Inconsistency	1	
8	Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing	9	
9	Other	10	
	TOTAL	45	
Importance Types	Importance Type	Number of Errors Found	
	Little	14	
	Medium	14	
	Important	17	
	TOTAL	45	

No	Reviewed Material ID	Section	Page	Line/ No	Error Type	Importance	Explanation	Correction Status	Correction Explanation
1	-	General	General	General	7	Important	Iteration Plan, Project Plan and Work Items List are incompatible with each other and untraceable.	Corrected	Iteration Plan, Project Plan and Work Items List are compatible now.
2	N	1	1	Whole table	2	Important	Key milestones should contain the milestones that are specific to the related iteration, not all of the iterations throughout the project.	Corrected	Iteration Plan divided into two plan and key milestone's was changed.
3	N	3	2	10,11,12,13	8	Little	Comments should be deleted.	Corrected	Comments were removed.
4	N	5	3	Whole section	2	Important	Evaluation criteria should contain criteria that are specific to the related iteration, not all of the project.		Iteration Plan divided into two plan and evolution criteria was changed.
5	N	4	3	1	8	Little	Instruction line should be deleted.	Corrected	Instruction lines were removed.
6	N	General	General	General	4	Important	Iteration plan should contain only the related iteration, not all of the project.	Corrected	
7	N	-	-	-	8	Important	Next iteration's plan should also be produced.	Corrected	
8	R	General	General	3-12	9	Little	Format of all lines should be consistent. (Upper case-lower case letters in Headline, date formats, alignment etc.)	Corrected	Format was corrected to be consistent.
9	R	General	General	11	5	Medium	In cell E11, "Compliance" is not a defined category.	Rejected	
10	W	General	General	General	8	Important	Not all iterations are included in the Work Items List, due to Project Plan. In Project Plan, there are seven iterations defined for the project, but only four iterations are defined in the Work Items List.	Corrected	
11	W	General	General	General	9	Little	Format of all lines should be consistent. (Upper case-lower case letters, alignment etc.)	Corrected	
12	W	General	General	2,3	8	Medium	Reference materials should be added.	Corrected	
13	W	General	General	2	8	Little	After "Produce draft vision" description, also there should be a work item named like "Produce revised vision".	Corrected	
14	V	2	2	Row 3	1	Little	The sentence starts with "Secondly".	Corrected	"Secondly" was removed.

15	V	General	1-9	Headings	9	Little	There are several mistakes in heading numberings.	Corrected	Headers were corrected for consistency.
16	V	4.2	6	Table Headers	9	Little	Upper-lower case letters are not in the same format.	Corrected	Formats were corrected for consistency.
17	P	3	1	5	1	Little	There is a little grammar mistake about tenses which is “The metrics ... includes”. (“includes” must be “include”.)	Corrected	Grammar was corrected for tense.
18	P	4	1-2	All	8	Important	There is no input about risks and use cases. (Risks and use cases should be introduced in project milestones.)	Corrected	Project Plan Document was updated.
19	P	4	1-2	All	2	Important	Start and end dates are not properly introduced. Iteration schedule is not aligned with IS502 syllabus. (Iteration schedule should be formatted with respect to IS502 syllabus.)	Corrected	Project Plan Document was updated.
20	P	4	1-2	Development Phase 1	8	Important	There is no input about development of core features. Development Phase 1 starts with development of additional features. (Development of core features should be introduced first.)	Corrected	Project Plan Document was updated.
21	P	4	1-2	All	8	Medium	Schedule for first release is not clear. An indicator for the first release could be given to clarify expectations.	Corrected	Project Plan Document was updated.
22	P	5	2-3	All	9	Medium	Compatibility and Customization part seems irrelevant to deployment strategy. This part could be moved from deployment strategy to any other proper place.	Corrected	
23	P	5	3	3-4	9	Medium	User guides and notifications seem irrelevant to deployment strategy. This part could be moved from deployment to any other proper place.	Corrected	

24	P	4-5	1-2	-	9	Little	There is an alignment issue in Deployment > Compatibility and Customization header. In the Project Milestones and Objective Part some iterations named as “L3, L4, ...”. Align the header, revise the iteration names as “I3, I4, ...”	Corrected	The format of headers and iteration names were corrected to be consistent.
25	S	2-8	1-7	All	9	Little	The general view of the document should be restructured to obtain a more professional look. Some document headings look mixed. For example, styles of some statements should be normal, however, they seem as heading.	Corrected	
26	S	2-8	1-7	All	4	Medium	In several places in the document, the requirements are starting with “Users..” However, these requirements should belong to the application and its components.	Corrected	Corrected this in System-wide Functional Requirements part.
27	S	2-8	1-7	All	4	Medium	In several places in the document, more than one requirement is combined as one requirement and written as one line. While justifying the requirements, the trackability can be lost in this way.	Corrected	Corrected this in System-wide Functional Requirements part.
28	S	2	1	31	4	Little	The requirement should not start with a pronoun.	Corrected	
29	S	2	1	33	3	Medium	Scheduling system is not mentioned other parts of the documents. According to this requirement, it is uncertain that there will be a third party system or it will be a part of the system.	Corrected	It will be a part of our system.
30	S	3.1	2	46	4	Important	“New users should be able to quickly learn and understand how to use the app's features, with user manual and chatbot features” requirement is very subjective and unverifiable.	Corrected	
31	S	3.2	2	55	4	Important	“Non-critical failures should be infrequent and quickly resolved by the development team.” is not a requirement of the system.	Corrected	

32	S	3.2	2	57	3	Medium	“The system requirements provide data integrity with data backup, data consistency, and data recovery procedures” statement is unclear.	Corrected	
33	S	3.3	2	62	3	Medium	“The system should be capable of handling a large number of concurrent users and requests, with a requirement for a minimum throughput of 100 requests per second during peak usage.” Concurrent user count is not defined.	Corrected	
34	S	4.1.3	3	91-92	4	Medium	“We will ensure consistency in the screen layout and design elements throughout the application to allow users to predict the behavior of elements and controls. We will maintain consistency in terms and labels used across the application to ensure clarity and a uniform user experience.” Statements are incorrect in terms of requirements.	Corrected	Frequency of data backup is defined.
35	S	4.2.1	3	102	3	Medium	“The Samwise Service App interfaces with various external software components, including web browsers, operating systems, and third-party payment platforms.” What will be the interface with the Web browsers?	Rejected	
36	S	5	5	117-166	9	Little	Duplicate heading numbers	Corrected	Heading format was corrected to remove duplicate numbers.
37	S	6	5	177-179	4	Medium	“The system must not consume more than 80% of available server resources, including CPU and memory, to ensure efficient and stable performance, and adhering to resource limits prevents resource exhaustion and maintains system stability.” Statement is not an appropriate requirement. Limiting the resource consumption to the variable hardware resources by a fixed percentage is not convenient.	Corrected	The system concurrent users were specified.

38	S	7.3	6	213-228	3	Medium	The standards should be written more clearly.	Corrected	Data Encryption Standards were specified as SSL and HTTPS. Web Accessibility Standards were specified as HTML and WCAG. Quality and Usability Standards were specified to support clean code principles.
39	U	General	General	General	9	Little	There are several mistakes in heading format such as numbering, indentation, and levels.	Corrected	Formats were changed for consistency.
40	U	General	General	General	2	Important	Traceability between main success scenario and subflows & alternative flows is not introduced.	Corrected	
41	U	1	1	Basic Flow of Events Section	2	Important	In the main success scenario some branches are introduced as a and b which are not applicable.	Corrected	
42	U	General	General	Alternative Flow Sections	2	Important	Alternative flows are introduced as natural language texts. It should be represented as a flow.	Corrected	
43	U	2	2	UC Name	2	Important	UC name must begin with a verb. In this case it begins with Filter Options. Also this looks more like a feature or requirement rather than a Use Case.	Rejected	
44	U	6	9	Authenticate User	2	Important	The defined Use Case is not a use case. It looks like a requirement. The behavior is included to the system.	Corrected	Removed
45	U	7	9	Chat Support	2	Important	Looks like a requirement rather than a use case.	Corrected	