

REVIEW DOCUMENT			
Start Date: 27.11.23			
Project Name: Samwise		Number of Pages: 56	
Product Name: Iteration 2		Product Definition: Samwise Service App	
Roles:	Review Leader:	Aslı Başak Civek	
	Reviewers:	1. Abdullah Talat Ahmed Al-Zarigi	3. Merve Mavi
		2. Aslı Başak Civek	4. Tarık Demirtaş
Review Materials:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vision (V) • Glossary (G) • Use Case Model (U) • System-Wide Requirements (S) • Risk List (R) • Project Plan (P) • Work Items List (W) • Next Iteration's Plan (N) • Architecture Notebook (A) • Test Cases (T) • Executables (E) • Implementation (I) 		
Total Number of Errors: 42			
Assessment Status:		ACCEPTED ___ as it is ___ with rework	REJECTED ___ review again
ERROR TYPES			
Error Type Number	Error Type Definition	Number of Errors Found	
1	Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc)	-	
2	Inconsistency with the standards	13	
3	Uncertain	3	
4	Incorrect	7	
5	Inapplicable	-	
6	Internal Inconsistency	1	
7	External Inconsistency	-	
8	Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing	18	
9	Other	-	
	TOTAL	42	
Importance Types	Importance Type	Number of Errors Found	
-	Little	-	
2,3,4,6,8	Medium	34	
3, 4, 8	Important	8	
	TOTAL	42	

No	Reviewed Material ID	Section	Page	Line / No	Error Type	Importance	Explanation	Correction Status	Correction Explanation
1	P	4.1, 4.2	3	14	4	Important	There should be a transition phase at the end of the project, not at the end of each release.	Rejected	
2	P	5	4		3	Important	Please, specify which cloud technologies and microservice tools you will use to deploy the project.	Corrected	Project Plan was updated.
3	P	6	4		8	Medium	Please, update the lessons learned. It mentioned that you will update the lessons learned while considering iteration outcomes at the end of this iteration.	Corrected	Project Plan was updated.
4	P	Header	1		8	Medium	The revision table is missing	Corrected	Revision table was added.
5	I	3	1		8	Medium	Please update empty fields. If they are subtasks of technical research, please show that with indentation.	Corrected	Iteration Plan was updated.
6	I	4	3		8	Medium	Please, update the issues mentioned. if the issues still persist, please write a description.	Corrected	Iteration Plan was updated.
7	I	Header	1		8	Medium	The revision table is missing for the Iteration Plan 2	Corrected	Revision table was added.
8	N	Header	1		8	Medium	The revision table is missing for the Iteration Plan 3	Corrected	Revision table was added.
9	R	SamwiseRiskList_v1.2	1	7	6	Medium	The legal team is not defined in the stakeholders section of the vision document.	Corrected	Owners were assigned such that the legal team is irrelevant now. Do not need to define it in Glossary.
10	I,E,T				8	Important	No Executable was provided, Readme do not provide a way to run the app, and no backend code was found.	Corrected	Backend Code was at codebase.zip and ReadMe file added.
11	U				8	Important	The use case diagram is missing in the Iteration2 folder.	Rejected	
12	U	Header	1		8	Important	The revision table is missing	Corrected	Revision table was added.
13	U	General			8	Medium	There are 12 use cases, but only 4 of them were written in a fully dressed style. You should at least write half of it in this iteration.	Corrected	
14	U	General			8	Medium	The brief descriptions of use cases 6-12 should be broadened to include happy path scenarios.	Corrected	Brief use case descriptions are updated.
15	U	1	1	1.4	4	Medium	The basic flow should not include additional steps (for example 6.1)	Corrected	Use case basic flow was corrected and simplified to remove additional steps.
16	U	1	1	1.4.4	2	Medium	You could write use cases in UI-free/essential style, instead of describing the user interface. For example "see schedule button" can be removed.	Corrected	UI language was removed.
17	U	1	1	1.4	2	Medium	You should keep the scenarios between 3-9 steps.	Corrected	Use case was simplified to include only basic steps.

18	U	1	1	1.5	8	Medium	You may add an “at any time” alternative flow. For example, a user should be able to cancel their operation at any step.	Corrected	“At any time” alternative was added.
19	U	1	2	1.5/7a	4	Medium	Steps 1-5 may be given in the glossary instead of here.	Corrected	Section was removed for clarity.
20	U	1	2	1.5/7b	2	Medium	You could write use cases in UI free/essential style, instead of describing the user interface.	Corrected	UI language was removed.
21	U	1	2	1.7.3	2	Medium	It may be given in the glossary instead.	Corrected	Details were moved to Glossary.
22	U	2	3	2.3.3	4	Medium	This could be what starts the use case, not a precondition.	Corrected	Use Case and Preconditions were edited.
23	U	2	3	2.4.2	2	Medium	“search” option describes the UI, you may want to keep it more general.	Rejected	Search was used more generally and not as an UI.
24	U	2	3	2.4.3	2	Medium	Some information can be given in the glossary instead.	Corrected	Details for Filtering Options were moved to Glossary
25	U	2	3	2.5	8	Medium	You could add an “at any time” alternative flow. For example, a user should be able to cancel their operation at any step.	Corrected	“At any time” alternative flow was added.
26	U	2	3	2.4	2	Medium	UC2: You could write use cases in UI free/essential style, instead of describing the user interface.	Corrected	Details about filtering were removed.
27	U	2	3	2.4	2	Medium	There is a problem with the numbering.	Corrected	Numbering was fixed.
28	U	3	5	3.2	8	Medium	There could be more actors. For example, a payment authority.	Corrected	Payment authority is added.
29	U	3	5	3.4.1	2	Medium	“payment section” describes the UI, which you should avoid in the use cases.	Corrected	Corrected
30	U	3	5	3.4.3	2	Medium	You could provide this information in the glossary, and keep the use case simple.	Corrected	Added in the glossary
31	U	3	5	3.4.4	2	Medium	You could provide this information in the glossary, and keep the use case simple.	Corrected	Added in the glossary
32	U	3	5	3.4	2	Medium	There is a problem with the numbering.	Corrected	Numbering was fixed.
33	U	3	5	3.5/4a	8	Medium	You could add this as an “at any time” alternative flow. For example, a user should be able to cancel their operation at any step.	Corrected	“At any time” alternative flow was added.
34	U	4	7	4.4	2	Medium	These steps are describing the UI, which you should avoid in the use cases.	Corrected	UI terminologies were removed.
35	U	4	7	4.5	8	Medium	You could add an “at any time” alternative flow. For example, the service provider should be able to cancel their operation at any step.	Corrected	Alternative flow was added.
36	U	4	8	4.9.1	4	Medium	“intuitive and user-friendly” are not testable statements.	Corrected	The statement was corrected.

37	W		1	12-3 4	4	Important	"State" of the work items for the second iteration is still at the open state and "hours worked" is 0 for all of them.	Corrected	Work Items List was updated.
38	W		1	35-4 8	4	Medium	"State" of the work items for the third iteration is still at the open state, they might be in Open state.	Corrected	Work Items List was updated.
39	A	2	1	7-20	3	Medium	There is no indication of a followed pattern for low level (SOLID Principles) or high levels design (MVC, Layered Architecture etc.)	Corrected	The patterns were added.
40	A	4	2	18-2 3, 25	3	Medium	Business Rules and System Constraints are not clearly Architecturally Significant Requirements	Corrected	The part was corrected.
41	A	4	2-3	2.18- 3.4	8	Important	Most of the Architecturally Significant Requirements and how they are addressed through the architectural design are missing.	Corrected	The design document was added.
42	A	7	5	9th from Bott om	8	Important	There is no definition of Review within the Glossary Document.	Corrected	Review was added to Glossary.