The thing with the Golgi apparatus

Gert-Jan Both

Supervised by:

P. Sens

C. Storm

Technical university of Eindhoven January-November 2018

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nam et turpis gravida, lacinia ante sit amet, sollicitudin erat. Aliquam efficitur vehicula leo sed condimentum. Phasellus lobortis eros vitae rutrum egestas. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec at urna imperdiet, vulputate orci eu, sollicitudin leo. Donec nec dui sagittis, malesuada erat eget, vulputate tellus. Nam ullamcorper efficitur iaculis. Mauris eu vehicula nibh. In lectus turpis, tempor at felis a, egestas fermentum massa.

Contents

Aı	BSTRACT	i
1	Data processing pipeline	
	1.1 Segmentation	
	1.2 Denoising	
	1.3 Derivatives	
2	Introduction	
3	Introduction	
4	Introduction	
5	Conclusion	
Aı	PPENDIX 1: Some extra stuff	
6	References	

Data processing pipeline

- 1.1 SEGMENTATION
- 1.1.1 VORONOI DIAGRAM
- 1.1.2 Intensity
- 1.2 Denoising
- 1.2.1 Savitzky- Golay
- 1.2.2 WAVPOD

1.3 Derivatives

Taking spatial and temporal derivatives of these images is not an entirely trivial operation due to the discreteness of the system. More specifically, taking numerical derivatives of data is extremely hard to do properly and becomes even harder in the presence of noise. Next to basic finite difference methods, one can for example use a linear-least-squares fitted polynomial, smoothing spline or a so-called tikhonov-regularizer **ref needed**. Each method comes with its strengths and weaknesses, but one particularly nasty thing for our context is that they don't scale well to higher dimensions and quickly become computationally expensive.

Another issue related to discretization is the size of the grid w.r.t. the size of the features. To see this, we plot a 2D-gaussian with $\sigma = 1$ in

figure **ref**.

As expected, the derivative is normal to the isolines of the object. Now consider the discretized version of the object. Taking the naive spatial derivate w.r.t. to each direction means only considering a single row or column of and taking the derivative in that direction. Figure **ref** shows the result of this operation. An artifact is clearly visible: instead of a nice uniform derivative, we see a 'cross'. This effect is a cause of the discretization grid being too large for some smaller, often bright, objects.

To remedy this, one can for example artificially upscale the grid, interpolate the values inbetween, and take the derivates from this grid. This is not ideal however, since the upscaling requires a large amount of memory and is computationally expensive. Another solution which is common in image processing is applying a *kernel operator*. The advantage of a kernel operator is that it is extremely computationally cheap, as it involves convolving the original picture with a differentiation kernel. The differentiation kernel is an approximate version of a finite difference scheme. We use and show here the Sobel filter, which is the most commonly used one.

In a simple finite central difference scheme, we set

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \approx \frac{x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}}{2h}$$

where h is the distance between two points. In terms of a kernel operator, this would look like (the h drops out as the distance in terms of pixels is 1):

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And applying it by convoluting it to a matrix gives the x-derivative:

$$\partial_x A \approx A * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and analogous for the y-direction. However, as we've seen, looking at just a single row introduces cross-like artifacts. To remedy this, we wish to include diagonal pixels as well. However, the distance between the diagonal pixels and the center pixel is not 1 but $\sqrt{2}$ and furthermore we need to decompose is it into \hat{x} and \hat{y} , introducing another factor $\sqrt{2}$. Thus, one obtains the classis 3×3 Sobel filter **ref**:

$$\mathbf{G}_{x} = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{y} = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Although not extremely accurate, the Sobel filter seems to do the tricks for us. Several other versions such as Scharr or Prewitt exist, offering several benefits such as rotational symmetry, but we have not pursued these. They just change the coefficients. Although we have shown a 3×3 filter here, the filter can take into account higher order schemes such as a 5×5 or 7×7 . The major benefit of the spatial derivatives as a convolution operator is its computational efficiency: convolutional operations are performed parallel and are extremely fast.

For the time derivative, we apply a second order accurate central derivative scheme, while for the spatial derivatives (both first and second order) we apply the 5×5 Sobel filter.

2 Introduction

3 Introduction

4 Introduction

5 Conclusion

Appendix 1: Some extra stuff

Add appendix 1 here. Vivamus hendrerit rhoncus interdum. Sed ullamcorper et augue at porta. Suspendisse facilisis imperdiet urna, eu pellentesque purus suscipit in. Integer dignissim mattis ex aliquam blandit. Curabitur lobortis quam varius turpis ultrices egestas.

6 References