

# Statistics 305: Introduction to Biostatistical Methods for Health Sciences

Chapters 8-10: Review of Statistical Inference

Jinko Graham

2018-09-01

# Goals for course

- ▶ The overarching goal is to get some idea of how to think statistically.
  - ▶ Specific techniques are less important than the general way of thinking.
  - ▶ Doing t tests, or chi-squared tests, etc. is all well and good. However there are bigger ideas to pursue.
- ▶ I'll try to bring in the bigger ideas: quantifying uncertainty and avoiding bias to make inference from data.
  - ▶ The syllabus is tilted towards quantifying uncertainty rather than bias, but I'll try to work in both throughout the course.

## Sampling Distributions (Chapter 8).

# Sampling Distributions

- ▶ A statistic is a number that can be computed from data
- ▶ Its *sampling distribution* is the distribution we obtain by repeatedly drawing random samples of data from the population, and recalculating the statistic
- ▶ A *parameter* is a population quantity, such as the population mean.
- ▶ Statistical inference: Using data from random samples to drawing conclusions about *parameters*.
- ▶ To make inference about a parameter, we need a statistic to estimate the parameter and the sampling distribution of the statistic.

# Sampling Distribution of the Sample Mean

- ▶ Say that the population mean,  $\mu$ , is the parameter that we are interested in.
- ▶ The sample mean,  $\bar{X}$ , is a statistic that estimates  $\mu$ .
- ▶ The sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is the distribution of  $\bar{x}$  values obtained by repeated sampling from the population.
- ▶ We use lower-case to denote an *observed value* and upper-case to denote the corresponding *random variable*.
  - ▶ Recall that a variable is *random* if its value is determined by chance according to a sampling distribution.

Online demo: [http://onlinestatbook.com/stat\\_sim/sampling\\_dist/index.html](http://onlinestatbook.com/stat_sim/sampling_dist/index.html)

# Properties of the Sampling Distribution of $\bar{X}$

1. Centre and spread: When a population has mean  $\mu$  and SD  $\sigma$ , the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  has mean  $\mu$  and SD  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ , where  $n$  is the size of the sample drawn from the population (e.g.  $n = 5$  in demo)
  - ▶ Since  $\bar{X}$  has mean  $\mu$  it is said to be an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$ .
  - ▶ Since the SD of  $\bar{X}$  decreases at rate  $1/\sqrt{n}$  as the sample size  $n$  increases,  $\bar{X}$  is said to follow the “square-root law”.

2. Shape (The Central Limit Theorem, CLT): If the sample size  $n$  is large, the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is approximately normal regardless of the shape of the population distribution
- ▶ Unfortunately no universal rule for what is “large”  $n$ .
  - ▶ However, the CLT is a remarkable result. It tells us the approximate shape of the distribution of  $\bar{X}$  no matter the shape of the population distribution.
  - ▶ In the demo, try drawing 100K samples of size  $N = 25$  in 3rd panel from a skewed population distribution in 1st panel.
    - ▶ Can see that the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  has the same mean as the population distribution and that its SD is approximately the SD of the population distribution divided by  $5 = \sqrt{25}$

## Confidence Intervals (Chapter 9)



# Confidence Intervals

- ▶ Estimates of a parameter without some indication of their precision are useless.
- ▶ Confidence intervals (CIs), sometimes called interval estimates, attach a measure of precision to an estimate.
- ▶ Intervals are often of the form estimate  $\pm$  margin of error.
  - ▶ These are called “2-sided” and will be the only type of CI that we consider in this course.
- ▶ The confidence level states how often the interval covers the true parameter value
  - ▶ Note: The coverage is a statement about the *random interval*, not about the value of the true parameter, which is fixed.

Online demo: <http://wise.cgu.edu/portfolio/demo-confidence-interval-creation/>

## CI for a Mean – Known SD

- ▶ If the SD  $\sigma$  is known, a level- $C$  CI for  $\mu$  is

$$\bar{x} \pm z^* \times \sigma / \sqrt{n},$$

where  $z^*$  is the upper  $(1 - C)/2$  critical value of the standard-normal distribution.

- ▶ Note: The text calls this a 2-sided CI, and also discusses 1-sided intervals. We will restrict attention to 2-sided only.
- ▶ E.G. 95% CI: If  $\bar{X}$  is normally distributed, it will be within  $z^* = 1.96$  of its SDs (i.e. within  $1.96 \times \sigma / \sqrt{n}$ ) of  $\mu$  95% of the time.
  - ▶ So  $\bar{X} \pm 1.96 \times \sigma / \sqrt{n}$  will cover  $\mu$  95% of the time.
- ▶ The margin of error gets smaller as:
  - ▶ the confidence level or coverage probability  $C$  gets smaller (e.g.  $C = 99$  decreases to  $C = 95$ ),
  - ▶ the population standard deviation  $\sigma$  gets smaller,
  - ▶ the sample size  $n$  gets bigger

## CI for a Mean – Unknown SD

- ▶ If the SD  $\sigma$  is unknown, we estimate it by the sample SD,  $s$ .
- ▶ A level- $C$  CI for  $\mu$  is then

$$\bar{x} \pm t^* \times s/\sqrt{n},$$

where  $t^*$  is the upper  $(1 - C)/2$  critical value of the appropriate  $t$  distribution

- ▶  $t$  distributions are similar in shape to a standard-normal distribution, but with slightly heavier tails.
- ▶  $t$  distributions are indexed by a parameter called the degrees of freedom (df).
  - ▶ Small df, heavy tails; large df, light tails (and approaching a normal distribution).
- ▶ Heavier tails mean extreme observations are more probable; they account for the extra uncertainty of not knowing  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ The appropriate df is  $n - 1$  when  $\sigma$  is estimated with a sample size of  $n$  data points.

# Coverage of the CI

- ▶ When  $\sigma$  is known, the coverage of the CI can be derived from the following statement (details not shown):

$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$  is within  $z^*$  of 0 approximately  $C \times 100\%$  of the time

- ▶ Similarly, when  $\sigma$  is unknown and is estimated by  $s$ , coverage of the CI can be derived from the statement:

$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}$  is within  $t^*$  of 0 approximately  $C \times 100\%$  of the time

- ▶  $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$  and  $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}$  are called *pivotal quantities*.
- ▶ Pivotal quantities have a known distribution, which makes them useful for statistical inference. We'll come back to this later in the course.

## Example

- ▶ Example from the text, page 223, summarizes data on plasma aluminum levels, in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , for  $n = 10$  infants receiving antacids that contain aluminum.
  - ▶ The sample mean of the plasma aluminum levels is  $\bar{x} = 37.20\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , and the sample SD is  $s = 7.13$
- ▶ To calculate a 95% CI we need the upper  $(1 - C)/2 = (1 - 0.95)/2 = 0.025$  critical value for the  $t$ -distribution with  $n - 1 = 9$  df.
  - ▶ Statistical software tells us that the critical value is  $t^* = 2.262$ .
- ▶ The 95% CI is therefore  $\bar{x} \pm t^* \times s/\sqrt{n}$ , or
$$(37.2 - 2.262 \times 7.13/\sqrt{10}, 37.2 + 2.262 \times 7.13/\sqrt{10}) \approx (32.1, 42.3)$$

## Hypothesis Tests (Chapter 10)

# Hypothesis Tests

- ▶ Hypothesis tests assess the evidence provided by the data against a null hypothesis,  $H_0$ , in favour of an alternative hypothesis,  $H_a$  (denoted  $H_A$  in the text).
- ▶ Will illustrate with testing a null hypothesis about the value of a population mean
- ▶ Some key points to remember:
  - ▶ Hypotheses are phrased in terms of population parameters of interest (e.g. population means, **not sample means**)
  - ▶ The alternative hypothesis can be one-sided or two-sided
  - ▶ To assess the evidence for or against a hypothesis in a sample of data, we use a test statistic with a known sampling distribution under the null hypothesis
  - ▶ Extreme values of the test statistic are taken as evidence against the null hypothesis in favour of the alternative hypothesis.

# Hypotheses for a Population Mean

- ▶ Consider a particular value  $\mu_0$  (e.g.,  $\mu_0 = 0$ ) for the population mean  $\mu$ .
- ▶ A **two-sided** alternative hypothesis is  $H_a : \mu \neq \mu_0$
- ▶ **One-sided** alternative hypotheses are  $H_a : \mu > \mu_0$  or  $H_a : \mu < \mu_0$ .



# Test Statistics

- ▶ When  $\sigma$  is known, we base the test on the “z statistic”

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}.$$

- ▶ Under  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ ,  $Z$  has a standard-normal distribution, written as  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ .
- ▶ When  $\sigma$  is unknown, we base the test on the “t statistic”

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}.$$

- ▶ Under  $H_0$ ,  $T$  has a  $t$  distribution with  $n - 1$  df, written as  $T \sim t_{n-1}$ .

# Extreme Values of the Test Statistic

- ▶ Observed values of  $Z$  or of  $T$  that are unlikely under  $H_0$ , and more compatible with  $H_a$  than with  $H_0$ , are taken as evidence against  $H_0$ .
- ▶ The  $p$ -value is the chance of a test statistic that is as extreme as or more extreme than what we have observed, when the null hypothesis is true.
- ▶ How we define “extreme” depends on  $H_a$ .
- ▶ Illustrate with the  $t$ -test.

## Extreme Values of $T$ when $H_a : \mu \neq \mu_0$

- ▶  $H_a : \mu \neq \mu_0$  vs.  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ .
- ▶ Use the statistic  $\bar{X}$  as a proxy for the parameter  $\mu$
- ▶ Observed values  $\bar{x}$  that are far from the hypothesized value  $\mu_0$  are taken as evidence in favour of  $H_a$ .
- ▶ Since the numerator of the  $t$ -statistic,  $T$ , is  $\bar{X} - \mu_0$ , this is equivalent to  $T$  having an observed value  $t$  that is far from zero.
  - ▶ i.e.  $|t|$  much greater than zero, where  $|t|$  is the absolute value of  $t$ .

## Extreme values of $T$ when $H_a : \mu > \mu_0$

- ▶  $H_a : \mu > \mu_0$  vs.  $H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0$ .
- ▶  $\bar{x}$ 's that are much greater than  $\mu_0$  are taken as evidence in favour of  $H_a$ .
  - ▶ i.e.,  $\bar{x} - \mu_0$  much greater than zero.
  - ▶ i.e.,  $t$  much greater than zero.
- ▶ Note that  $\bar{x}$ 's much *less* than  $\mu_0$  (i.e.,  $t$ 's much *less* than zero) are consistent with  $H_0$ , and so not a basis for rejecting it.

## Extreme values of $T$ when $H_a : \mu < \mu_0$

- ▶  $H_a : \mu < \mu_0$  vs.  $H_0 : \mu \geq \mu_0$ .
- ▶  $\bar{x}$ 's that are much less than  $\mu_0$  are taken as evidence in favour of  $H_a$ .
  - ▶ i.e.,  $\bar{x} - \mu_0$  much less than 0.
  - ▶ i.e.,  $t$  much less than zero.
- ▶ Note that  $\bar{x}$ 's much *greater* than  $\mu_0$  ( $t$ 's much *greater* than 0) are consistent with  $H_0$ , and so not a basis for rejecting it.

## $p$ -values

- ▶ The  $p$ -value,  $p$ , is the chance that the test statistic is *as or more extreme than* the observed value given that  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  is true.
  - ▶ For the  $t$ -test, the statistic is  $T$ , a random variable having a  $t$ -distribution on  $n - 1$  df, and the observed value is  $t$
- ▶ From our discussion of what “extreme” means under various alternative hypotheses, we can argue that:
  - ▶ For  $H_a : \mu \neq \mu_0$ ,  $p = 2P(T \geq |t|)$ , where
    - ▶  $P(A)$  is the probability of event  $A$ ,
    - ▶  $t$  is the observed  $t$ -statistic, and
    - ▶  $|t|$  is the absolute value of  $t$ .
  - ▶ For  $H_a : \mu > \mu_0$ ,  $p = P(T \geq t)$ .
  - ▶ For  $H_a : \mu < \mu_0$ ,  $p = P(T \leq t)$ .

## Example

- ▶ Go back to the example on plasma aluminum levels in infants.
- ▶ Suppose that in the population of infants **not** taking antacids, the mean plasma aluminum levels are known to be  $4.13\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ .
- ▶ Recall that in the sample of 10 infants taking antacids, the plasma aluminum levels had sample mean and sample SD of  $\bar{x} = 37.20\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$  and  $s = 7.13$ , respectively.
- ▶ Let the null hypothesis be  $H_0 : \mu = 4.13$ , that the mean plasma aluminum for infants taking antacids is the same as for the population of infants not taking antacids.
- ▶ Let the alternative hypothesis be  $H_a : \mu \neq 4.13$ , that the mean plasma levels of aluminum for infants taking antacid is different from the population of infants not taking antacids.

## Details

- ▶ The  $t$ -statistic is

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{37.20 - 4.13}{7.13/\sqrt{10}} \approx 14.67$$

- ▶ The  $p$ -value is  $2P(T \geq |14.67|)$  for  $T$  with  $10 - 1 = 9$  df, which computer software reports to be  $1.36833\text{e-}07$ .
  - ▶ What??? This is the computer representation of  $1.36833 \times 10^{-7}$ , or 0.000000136833
  - ▶ Move the decimal point 7 places to the left of its current position in 1.36833.



# Hypothesis Tests

- ▶ Small  $p$ -values are evidence against the null hypothesis, in favour of the alternative hypothesis.
- ▶ We may set a level  $\alpha$  in advance that marks the point at which evidence against the null hypothesis is considered strong enough to “reject” it.
  - ▶ If we don't reject the null hypothesis we **retain** it.
  - ▶ **Caution:** Some people are really bothered by ‘accept  $H_0$ ’. Best say ‘retain  $H_0$ ’ to avoid offending them (and losing marks).
- ▶ If the  $p$ -value is less than  $\alpha$ , we say that we “reject  $H_0$  at level  $\alpha$ ”.
- ▶ Historically, such a test result was described as “statistically significant”, but this terminology is going out of style.
  - ▶ In part because statistical significance says nothing about practical significance.
  - ▶ For example, in a large clinical trial with thousands of subjects, a drug may lower cholesterol ever-so-slightly, to the point of statistical significance (because the trial is so large), but without any clinical significance.

# Hypothesis Testing Errors and Error Rates

- ▶ Important point: Statistical hypothesis testing can make errors.
- ▶ The “confusion matrix” for the true state of nature (rows) and the action of a hypothesis test (columns) is

	Reject null	Retain null
null ( $H_0$ )	false positive	true negative
alternative ( $H_a$ )	true positive	false negative

- ▶ False positives and negatives are called type-I and II errors, respectively.

# False-Positive or Type-I Error Rate

- ▶ Mistakenly rejecting  $H_0$  (i.e., rejecting  $H_0$  when it is true) is a **type-I error**.
- ▶ The probability of making a type-I error, known as the **type-I error rate**, is written

$$P(\text{reject } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ true}),$$

where  $P(A \mid B)$  denotes probability of event  $A$  given event  $B$ .

(more on probability soon)

# False-Negative or type-II Error Rate

- ▶ We define the false-negative error rate for completeness, but will not use it in this course.
- ▶ Mistakenly retaining  $H_0$  (i.e., retaining  $H_0$  when it is false) is a type-II error.
- ▶ The probability of making a type-II error, known as the **type-II error rate**, is written

$$P(\text{retain } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ false}),$$

and is denoted by  $\beta$ .

# Statistical Power

- ▶ As with type-II error, we define **power** but do not use it in this course.
- ▶ The **power** of a test is the probability that it correctly rejects  $H_0$ ; i.e., the probability that the test rejects  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is false:

$$P(\text{reject } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ false}) \text{ or } 1 - \beta,$$

where  $\beta$  is the type-II error rate.

- ▶ For a given alternative hypothesis, one can compute the sample size required to achieve a given power (text, Section 10.6).
- ▶ Such “sample-size calculations” are frequently required by health-funding agencies in proposals for research studies.

# Summary of Statistical Inference of a Population Mean

- ▶ Statistical inference: Learning about population parameters from data of a random sample from the population that are subject to random variation.
- ▶ Key point: We know the (approximate) distribution of pivotal quantities such as

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}, \sigma \text{ known; } \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}, \sigma \text{ unknown;}$$

regardless of the shape of the population distribution.

- ▶ This result relies on the CLT, which tells us that sample averages such as  $\bar{X}$  are approximately normally distributed.
  - ▶ Many of the statistics we will study are based on averages, so inference of a population mean is a useful template.
- ▶ Knowing the distribution of the pivotal quantity allows us to construct confidence intervals, calculate  $p$ -values, test statistical hypotheses, calculate power, etc.