

CS100 Recitation 6

Dynamically Expanding Storage

GKxx

March 28, 2022

Motivation

- Store a **compile-time-determined** amount of data
- Store a **runtime-determined** amount of data
- Store an **unknown** amount of data

Motivation

- Store a **compile-time-determined** amount of data:
Built-in arrays.
- Store a **runtime-determined** amount of data:
Allocate memory on heap (`malloc`, `free`, etc.).
- Store an **unknown** amount of data?

Motivation

- Store a **compile-time-determined** amount of data:
Built-in arrays.
- Store a **runtime-determined** amount of data:
Allocate memory on heap (`malloc`, `free`, etc.).
- Store an **unknown** amount of data?
 - Suppose we want to create a **list** by appending n elements one-by-one, as in Python...

Motivation

- Store a **compile-time-determined** amount of data:
Built-in arrays.
- Store a **runtime-determined** amount of data:
Allocate memory on heap (`malloc`, `free`, etc.).
- Store an **unknown** amount of data?
 - Suppose we want to create a **list** by appending n elements one-by-one, as in Python...
 - We need some kind of storage that can **dynamically grow**.

What can we do?

- We can allocate a specific number of bytes of memory on heap.
- We **cannot** specify the **exact location** of the memory allocated. (Why?)

A Basic Idea

Suppose we have stored n elements in some **contiguous** memory $p[0], \dots, p[n-1]$. When the $(n+1)$ -th element x comes...

- We cannot force the system to allocate the space at $p[n]$.

A Basic Idea

Suppose we have stored n elements in some **contiguous** memory $p[0], \dots, p[n-1]$. When the $(n+1)$ -th element x comes...

- We cannot force the system to allocate the space at $p[n]$.
- Naive idea:
 - 1 Allocate another block of memory $q[0], \dots, q[n]$ that can contain $n+1$ elements.
 - 2 Copy the original n elements to the new place.
 - 3 Place x at $q[n]$.

A Basic Idea

Suppose we have stored n elements in some **contiguous** memory $p[0], \dots, p[n-1]$. When the $(n+1)$ -th element x comes...

- We cannot force the system to allocate the space at $p[n]$.
- Naive idea:
 - 1 Allocate another block of memory $q[0], \dots, q[n]$ that can contain $n+1$ elements.
 - 2 Copy the original n elements to the new place.
 - 3 Place x at $q[n]$.
 - 4 Are we done?

A Basic Idea

Suppose we have stored n elements in some **contiguous** memory $p[0], \dots, p[n-1]$ (**dynamically allocated**). When the $(n+1)$ -th element x comes...

- We cannot force the system to allocate the space at $p[n]$.
- Naive idea:
 - 1 Allocate another block of memory $q[0], \dots, q[n]$ that can contain $n+1$ elements.
 - 2 Copy the original n elements to the new place.
 - 3 Place x at $q[n]$.
 - 4 **free(p)!**

A Basic Idea

```
int *new_data
    = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int) * (n + 1));
for (size_t i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    new_data[i] = data[i];
new_data[n] = x;
free(data);
data = new_data;
```

A Basic Idea

```
int *new_data
    = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int) * (n + 1));
for (size_t i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    new_data[i] = data[i];
new_data[n] = x;
free(data);
data = new_data;
```

Question

How many times of copying will happen if we append n elements one-by-one?

Reduce Copying

The number of times of copying that will happen is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2},$$

which is **quadratic** in n . (Time complexity: $O(n^2)$)

Reduce Copying

The number of times of copying that will happen is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2},$$

which is **quadratic** in n . (Time complexity: $O(n^2)$)

- What if we allocate more space each time?

Reduce Copying

The number of times of copying that will happen is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2},$$

which is **quadratic** in n . (Time complexity: $O(n^2)$)

- What if we allocate more space each time?
- If we allocate space for **$2n$ elements**, we don't need to copy anything when appending the $(n + 1)$ -th, $(n + 2)$ -th, \dots , $2n$ -th elements.

Reduce Copying

The number of times of copying that will happen is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2},$$

which is **quadratic** in n . (Time complexity: $O(n^2)$)

- What if we allocate more space each time?
- If we allocate space for **$2n$ elements**, we don't need to copy anything when appending the $(n + 1)$ -th, $(n + 2)$ -th, \dots , $2n$ -th elements.
 - $2n$ and n are not so different for computers. Don't worry!

A Better Way

If we append $n = 2^m$ elements one-by-one, the number of times of copying is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 2^i = 2^m - 1 = n - 1,$$

which is **linear** in n .

- This idea is adopted in the **C++ vector** library.

A Better Way

If we append $n = 2^m$ elements one-by-one, the number of times of copying is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 2^i = 2^m - 1 = n - 1,$$

which is **linear** in n .

- This idea is adopted in the **C++ vector** library.

Question

Can we do better than linear time?