# CS100 Lecture 18

#### **Contents**

- Rvalue References
- Move Operations
  - Move Constructor
  - Move Assignment Operator
  - The Rule of Five
- std::move
- NRVO, Move and Copy Elision

```
std::string a = some_value(), b = some_other_value();
std::string s;
s = a;
s = a + b;
```

Consider the two assignments: s = a and s = a + b.

How is s = a + b evaluated?

```
s = a + b;
```

- 1. Evaluate a + b and store the result in a temporary object, say tmp.
- 2. Perform the assignment s = tmp.
- 3. The temporary object tmp is no longer needed. Destroy it by calling its destructor.

Can we make this faster?

```
s = a + b;
```

- 1. Evaluate a + b and store the result in a temporary object, say tmp.
- 2. Perform the assignment s = tmp.
- 3. The temporary object tmp is no longer needed. Destroy it by calling its destructor.

#### Can we make this faster?

- The assignment s = tmp is done by **copying** the contents of tmp.
- But tmp is about to die! Why can't we just steal the contents from it?

Let's look at the other assignment:

```
s = a;
```

- **Copy** is needed here, because a lives long. It is not destroyed immediately after this statement is executed.
- You cannot just "steal" the contents from a . The contents of a must be preserved.

## Distinguish between the different kinds of assignments

```
s = a; s = a + b;
```

What is the key difference between them?

- s = a is an assignment from an **Ivalue**,
- while s = a + b is an assignment from an **rvalue**.

If we only have the copy assignment operator, there is no way we can distinguish them.

\* Define two different assignment operators, one accepting an Ivalue and the other accepting an rvalue?

#### **Rvalue References**

A kind of reference that is bound to **rvalues**:

```
int &r = 42;  // Error: lvalue reference cannot be bound to rvalue
int &&rr = 42;  // Correct: `rr` is an rvalue reference
const int &cr = 42; // Also correct:
                // lvalue reference-to-const can be bound to rvalue
const int &&crr = 42; // Correct, but useless
                  // rvalue reference-to-const is seldom used.
int i = 42;
int &r2 = i * 42;  // Error: lvalue reference cannot be bound to rvalue
const int &cr2 = i * 42; // Correct
int &&rr3 = i * 42;  // Correct
```

- Lvalue references can only be bound to lvalues.
- Rvalue references can only be bound to rvalues.

#### **Overload Resolution**

```
void fun(const std::string &);
void fun(std::string &&);
```

- fun(s1 + s2) matches fun(std::string &&), because s1 + s2 is an rvalue.
- fun(s) matches fun(const std::string &), because s is an Ivalue.
- Note that if fun(std::string &&) does not exist, fun(s1 + s2) also matches fun(const std::string &).

# **Move Operations**

#### Overview

The move constructor and the move assignment operator.

```
struct Widget {
    Widget(Widget &&) noexcept;
    Widget &operator=(Widget &&) noexcept;
    // Compared to the copy constructor and the copy assignment operator:
    Widget(const Widget &);
    Widget &operator=(const Widget &);
};
```

- Parameter type is **rvalue reference**, instead of Ivalue reference-to-const.
- **noexcept** is **necessary!** (Will be covered in later lectures)

#### The Move Constructor

Take the Dynarray as an example.

```
class Dynarray {
 int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
 public:
 Dynarray(const Dynarray &other) // copy constructor
    : m_storage(new int[other.m_length]), m_length(other.m_length) {
    for (std::size_t i = 0; i != m_length; ++i)
      m_storage[i] = other.m_storage[i];
 Dynarray(Dynarray &&other) noexcept // move constructor
    : m_storage(other.m_storage), m_length(other.m_length) {
    other.m_storage = nullptr;
    other.m length = 0;
```

#### The Move Constructor

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
  public:
    Dynarray(Dynarray &&other) noexcept // move constructor
        : m_storage(other.m_storage), m_length(other.m_length) {
    }
};
```

1. Steal the resources of other, instead of making a copy.

#### The Move Constructor

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
public:
  Dynarray(Dynarray &&other) noexcept // move constructor
    : m_storage(other.m_storage), m_length(other.m_length) {
    other.m_storage = nullptr;
    other.m_length = 0;
  }
};
```

- 1. Steal the resources of other, instead of making a copy.
- 2. Make sure other is in a valid state, so that it can be safely destroyed.
- \* Take ownership of other 's resources!

Take ownership of other 's resources!

```
class Dynarray {
  public:
    Dynarray &operator=(Dynarray &&other) noexcept {

         m_storage = other.m_storage; m_length = other.m_length;

         return *this;
     }
};
```

1. Steal the resources from other.

```
class Dynarray {
  public:
    Dynarray &operator=(Dynarray &&other) noexcept {

         m_storage = other.m_storage; m_length = other.m_length;
         other.m_storage = nullptr; other.m_length = 0;

         return *this;
     }
};
```

- 1. Steal the resources from other.
- 2. Make sure other is in a valid state, so that it can be safely destroyed.

Are we done?

```
class Dynarray {
  public:
    Dynarray &operator=(Dynarray &&other) noexcept {
         delete[] m_storage;
         m_storage = other.m_storage; m_length = other.m_length;
         other.m_storage = nullptr; other.m_length = 0;
         return *this;
        }
    };
```

- 0. Avoid memory leaks!
- 1. Steal the resources from other.
- 2. Make sure other is in a valid state, so that it can be safely destroyed.

Are we done?

```
class Dynarray {
  public:
    Dynarray & operator=(Dynarray & & other) noexcept {
      if (this != & other) {
         delete[] m_storage;
      m_storage = other.m_storage; m_length = other.m_length;
         other.m_storage = nullptr; other.m_length = 0;
    }
    return *this;
}
```

- 0. Avoid memory leaks!
- 1. Steal the resources from other.
- 2. Make sure other is in a valid state, so that it can be safely destroyed.

#### \* Self-assignment safe!

### Lvalues are Copied; Rvalues are Moved

Before we move on, let's define a function for demonstration.

Suppose we have a function that concatenates two Dynarray s:

```
Dynarray concat(const Dynarray &a, const Dynarray &b) {
   Dynarray result(a.size() + b.size());
   for (std::size_t i = 0; i != a.size(); ++i)
     result.at(i) = a.at(i);
   for (std::size_t i = 0; i != b.size(); ++i)
     result.at(a.size() + i) = b.at(i);
   return result;
}
```

Which assignment operator should be called?

```
a = concat(b, c);
```

### Lvalues are Copied; Rvalues are Moved

Lvalues are copied; rvalues are moved ...

### Lvalues are Copied; Rvalues are Moved

Lvalues are copied; rvalues are moved ...

... but rvalues are copied if there is no move operation.

```
// If Dynarray has no move assignment operator, this is a copy assignment.
a = concat(b, c)
```

### **Synthesized Move Operations**

Like copy operations, we can use <code>=default</code> to require a synthesized move operation that has the default behaviors.

```
struct X {
  X(X &&) = default;
  X &operator=(X &&) = default;
};
```

- The synthesized move operations call the corresponding move operations of each member in the order in which they are declared.
- The synthesized move operations are noexcept.

#### The Rule of Five

The updated *copy control members*:

- copy constructor
- copy assignment operator
- move constructor
- move assignment operator
- destructor

If one of them has a user-provided version, the copy control of the class is thought of to have special behaviors.

#### The Rule of Five

- The move constructor or the move assignment operator will not be generated if any of the rest four members have a user-provided version.
- The copy constructor or copy assignment operator, if not provided by the user, will be implicitly delete d if the class has a user-provided move operation.
- The generation of the copy constructor or copy assignment operator is **deprecated** (since C++11) when the class has a user-proided copy operation or a destructor.
  - This is why some of you see this error:

Implicitly-declared copy assignment operator is deprecated, because the class has a user-provided copy constructor.

### The Rule of Five

The *copy control members* in modern C++:

- copy constructor
- copy assignment operator
- move constructor
- move assignment operator
- destructor

The Rule of Five: Define zero or five of them.

### How to Invoke a Move Operation?

Suppose we give our Dynarray a label:

```
class Dynarray {
  int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
  std::string m_label;
};
```

The move assignment operator should invoke the **move assignment operator** on m\_label . But how?

std::move

#### std::move

Defined in <utility>

std::move(x) performs an Ivalue to rvalue cast:

```
int ival = 42;
int &&rref = ival; // Error
int &&rref2 = std::move(ival); // Correct
```

Calling std::move(x) tells the compiler that:

- x is an Ivalue, but
- we want to treat x as an rvalue.

#### std::move

std::move(x) indicates that we want to treat x as an **rvalue**, which means that x will be *moved from*.

The call to std::move promises that we do not intend to use x again,

except to assign to it or to destroy it.

A call to std::move is usually followed by a call to some function that moves the object, after which we cannot make any assumptions about the value of the moved-from object.

```
void foo(X &&x); // moves x
void foo(const X &x); // copies x
foo(std::move(x)); // matches foo(X&&), so that x is moved.
```

"std::move does not move anything. It just makes a promise."

```
class Dynarray {
 int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
  std::string m_label;
 public:
 Dynarray(Dynarray &&other) noexcept
      : m_storage(other.m_storage), m_length(other.m_length),
        m_label(std::move(other.m_label)) {
    other.m_storage = nullptr;
    other.m_length = 0;
};
```

```
class Dynarray {
 int *m_storage;
  std::size_t m_length;
  std::string m label;
 public:
 Dynarray &operator=(Dynarray &&other) noexcept {
    if (this != &other) {
      delete[] m_storage;
      m_storage = other.m_storage; m_length = other.m_length;
      m_label = std::move(other.m_label);
      other.m_storage = nullptr; other.m_length = 0;
    return *this;
};
```

Recall the **slow** string concatenation:

```
std::string s;
for (int i = 0; i != n; ++i)
  s = s + 'a';
```

- To compute s + 'a', a copy of s is made because s is an Ivalue. (Slow)
- The result of s + 'a' is stored in a **temporary object**, say tmp.
- Then the assignment s = tmp is performed, which is a **move** since tmp is an rvalue.

Recall the **slow** string concatenation:

```
std::string s;
for (int i = 0; i != n; ++i)
  s = s + 'a';
```

- To compute s + 'a', a copy of s is made because s is an **Ivalue**.
- Since the original value of s is not used anymore (after computing s + 'a'), is it possible to avoid this copy?

Require a move instead of copy, explicitly, by calling std::move.

```
std::string s;
for (int i = 0; i != n; ++i)
  s = std::move(s) + 'a';
```

- If the left-hand side object is an rvalue, this concatenation is directly performed by appending the right-hand side object to it.
  - This is reasonable, since the value of a *moved-from* object do not need to be preserved.

# NRVO, Move and Copy Elision

### Returning a Temporary (pure rvalue)

```
std::string foo(const std::string &a, const std::string &b) {
  return a + b; // a temporary
}
std::string s = foo(a, b);
```

- First, a temporary is generated to store the result of a + b.
- How is this temporary returned?

### Returning a Temporary (pure rvalue)

```
std::string foo(const std::string &a, const std::string &b) {
  return a + b; // a temporary
}
std::string s = foo(a, b);
```

Since C++17, **no copy or move** is made here. The initialization of s is the same as

```
std::string s(a + b);
```

This is called **copy elision**.

### Returning a Named Object

```
Dynarray concat(const Dynarray &a, const Dynarray &b) {
   Dynarray result(a.size() + b.size());
   for (std::size_t i = 0; i != a.size(); ++i)
      result.at(i) = a.at(i);
   for (std::size_t i = 0; i != b.size(); ++i)
      result.at(a.size() + i) = b.at(i);
   return result;
}
a = concat(b, c);
```

- result is a local object of concat.
- Since C++11, return result performs a **move initialization** of a temporary object, say tmp.
- Then a move assignment to a is performed.

### Named Return Value Optimization, NRVO

```
Dynarray concat(const Dynarray &a, const Dynarray &b) {
   Dynarray result(a.size() + b.size());
   // ...
   return result;
}
Dynarray a = concat(b, c); // Initialization
```

#### NRVO transforms this code to

```
// Pseudo C++ code.
void concat(Dynarray &result, const Dynarray &a, const Dynarray &b) {
   // Pseudo C++ code. For demonstration only.
   result.Dynarray::Dynarray(a.size() + b.size()); // construct in-place
   // ...
}
Dynarray a@; // Uninitialized.
concat(a@, b, c);
```

so that no copy or move is needed.

### Named Return Value Optimization, NRVO

#### Note:

- NRVO was invented decades ago (even before C++98).
- NRVO is an **optimization**, but not mandatory.
- Even if NRVO is performed, the move constructor should still be available. (Because the compiler can choose not to perform NRVO.)

### Summary

In modern C++, unnecessary copies are greatly avoided by:

- move, with the return ed Ivalue treated as an rvalue, and
- NRVO, which constructs in-place the object to be initialized, and
- copy-elision, which avoids the move or copy of temporary objects.