

CS100 Lecture 21

Contents

Operator overloading

- Basics
- Example: `Rational`
 - Arithmetic and relational operators
 - Increment and decrement operators (`++` , `--`)
 - IO operators (`<<` , `>>`)
- Example: `Dynarray`
 - Subscript operator (`[]`)
- Example: `SharedPtr`
 - Dereference (indirection) operator (`*`)
 - Member access through pointer (`->`)

Basics

Operator overloading: Provide the behaviors of **operators** for class types.

- At least one operand should be a class type. Modifying the behavior of operators on built-in types is not allowed.

```
int operator+(int, int); // Error.
```

- Inventing new operators is not allowed.

```
double operator**(double x, double exp); // Error.
```

- Overloading does not modify the **associativity** and **precedence**.

```
std::cout << a + b; // Equivalent to std::cout << (a + b)
```

Basics

Some operators cannot be overloaded:

`obj.mem`, `::`, `?:`, `obj.*memptr` (not covered in CS100)

Some operators can be overloaded, but are strongly not recommended:

`cond1 && cond2`, `cond1 || cond2`

- Reason: There is no way to overload `&&` and `||` while preserving the **short-circuited** evaluation property.

Basics

We have already seen some:

- The **copy assignment operator** and the **move assignment operator** are two special overloads for `operator=`.
- The `IOStream` library provides overloaded `operator<<` and `operator>>` to perform input and output.
- The `string` library provides `operator+` for concatenation of strings, and `<`, `<=`, `>`, `>=`, `==`, `!=` for comparison in lexicographical order.
- Standard library containers and `std::string` have `operator[]`.
- Smart pointers have `operator*` and `operator->`.

Basics

Overloaded operators can be defined in two forms:

- as a member function, in which the leftmost operand is bound to `this` :
 - `a[i] ⇔ a.operator[](i)`
 - `a = b ⇔ a.operator=(b)`
 - `*a ⇔ a.operator*()`
 - `f(arg1, arg2, arg3, ...)` ⇔ `f.operator()(arg1, arg2, arg3, ...)`
- as a non-member function:
 - `a == b ⇔ operator==(a, b)`
 - `a + b ⇔ operator+(a, b)`

Example: Rational

A class for rational numbers

```
class Rational {
    int m_num; // numerator
    unsigned m_denom; // denominator
    void simplify() {
        int gcd = std::gcd(m_num, m_denom); // std::gcd in <numeric> (since C++17)
        m_num /= gcd; m_denom /= gcd;
    }
public:
    Rational(int x = 0) : m_num{x}, m_denom{1} {}
    Rational(int num, unsigned denom) : m_num{num}, m_denom{denom} { simplify(); }
    double to_double() const {
        return static_cast<double>(m_num) / m_denom;
    }
};
```

We want to support arithmetic operators for `Rational`.

Rational: arithmetic operators

A good way: define `operator+=` and the unary `operator-`, and then define other operators in terms of them.

- Define the arithmetic operators to use the compound assignment operators.

```
class Rational {  
    friend Rational operator-(const Rational &);  
public:  
    Rational &operator+=(const Rational &rhs) { /* ... */ }  
    Rational &operator-=(const Rational &rhs) {  
        return *this += -rhs;  
    }  
};  
Rational operator+(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {  
    return Rational(lhs) += rhs;  
}  
Rational operator-(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {  
    return Rational(lhs) -= rhs;  
}
```

Rational: arithmetic operators

```
class Rational {
    friend Rational operator-(const Rational &);
public:
    Rational &operator+=(const Rational &rhs) {
        m_num = m_num * static_cast<int>(rhs.m_denom) // Be careful with unsigned
                + static_cast<int>(m_denom) * rhs.m_num;
        m_denom *= rhs.m_denom;
        simplify();
        return *this;
    }
};
```

Rational: arithmetic operators

```
class Rational {  
    friend Rational operator-(const Rational &);  
};  
Rational operator-(const Rational &x) { // unary operator-, for "-x"  
    return Rational(-x.m_num, x.m_denom);  
}
```

A modern way:

```
Rational operator-(const Rational &x) {  
    return {-x.m_num, x.m_denom};  
}
```

Rational: relational operators

Define `<` and `==`, and define others in terms of them. (Before C++20)

- Since C++20: Define `==` and `<=>`, and the compiler will generate others.

A possible way: Use `to_double` and compare the floating-point values.

```
bool operator<(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {  
    return lhs.to_double() < rhs.to_double();  
}
```

- This does not require `operator<` to be a `friend`.

Rational: relational operators

Another way (possibly better):

```
class Rational {
    friend bool operator<(const Rational &, const Rational &);
    friend bool operator==(const Rational &, const Rational &);
};

bool operator<(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
    return static_cast<int>(rhs.m_denom) * lhs.m_num
        < static_cast<int>(lhs.m_denom) * rhs.m_num;
}

bool operator==(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {
    return lhs.m_num == rhs.m_num && lhs.m_denom == rhs.m_denom;
}
```

If there are member functions to obtain the numerator and the denominator, these functions don't need to be `friend`.

Rational: relational operators

Define others in terms of `<` and `==`:

```
bool operator>(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {  
    return rhs < lhs;  
}  
bool operator<=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {  
    return !(lhs > rhs);  
}  
bool operator>=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {  
    return !(lhs < rhs);  
}  
bool operator!=(const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs) {  
    return !(lhs == rhs);  
}
```

Rational: arithmetic and relational operators

What if we define them (say, `operator==`) as member functions?

```
class Rational {  
public:  
    Rational(int x = 0) : m_num{x}, m_denom{1} {}  
    bool operator==(const Rational &rhs) const {  
        return m_num == rhs.m_num && m_denom == rhs.m_denom;  
    }  
};
```

Rational: arithmetic and relational operators

What if we define them (say, `operator==`) as member functions?

```
class Rational {  
public:  
    Rational(int x = 0) : m_num{x}, m_denom{1} {}  
    bool operator==(const Rational &rhs) const {  
        return m_num == rhs.m_num && m_denom == rhs.m_denom;  
    }  
};
```

```
Rational r = some_value();  
if (r == 0) { /* ... */ } // OK, r.operator==(0)  
                        // effectively r.operator==(Rational(0))  
if (0 == r) { /* ... */ } // Error!  
                        // 0.operator==(r) ???
```


Rational: arithmetic and relational operators

To allow implicit conversions on both sides, the operator should be defined as **non-member functions**.

```
Rational r = some_value();  
if (r == 0) { /* ... */ } // OK, operator==(r, 0)  
                        // effectively operator==(r, Rational(0))  
if (0 == r) { /* ... */ } // OK, operator==(0, r)  
                        // effectively operator==(Rational(0), r)
```

Relational operators

Define relational operators in a consistent way:

- `a != b` should mean `!(a == b)`
- `!(a < b)` and `!(a > b)` should imply `a == b`

Avoid abuse of relational operators:

```
struct Point2d {  
    double x, y;  
};  
bool operator<(const Point2d &lhs, const Point2d &rhs) {  
    return lhs.x < rhs.x; // Is this the unique, best behavior?  
}
```

`++` and `--`

`++` and `--` are often defined as **members**, because they modify the object.

To differentiate the postfix version `x++` and the prefix version `++x`: **The postfix version has a parameter of type `int`.**

- The compiler will translate `++x` to `x.operator++()`, `x++` to `x.operator++(0)`.

```
class Rational {
public:
    Rational &operator++() { ++m_num; return *this; }
    Rational operator++(int) { // This `int` parameter is not used.
        // The postfix version is almost always defined like this.
        auto tmp = *this;
        ++*this; // Make use of the prefix version.
        return tmp;
    }
};
```

++ and --

```
class Rational {  
public:  
    Rational &operator++() { ++m_num; return *this; }  
    Rational operator++(int) { // This `int` parameter is not used.  
        // The postfix version is almost always defined like this.  
        auto tmp = *this;  
        ++*this; // Make use of the prefix version.  
        return tmp;  
    }  
};
```

The prefix version returns reference to `*this`, while the postfix version returns a copy of `*this` before incrementation.

- Same as the built-in behaviors.

IO operators

Implement `std::cin >> r` and `std::cout << r`.

Input operator:

```
std::istream &operator>>(std::istream &, Rational &);
```

Output operator:

```
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &, const Rational &);
```

- `std::cin` is of type `std::istream`, and `std::cout` is of type `std::ostream`.
- The left-hand side operand should be returned, so that we can write

```
std::cin >> a >> b >> c; std::cout << a << b << c;
```

Rational: output operator

```
class Rational {  
    friend std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &, const Rational &);  
};  
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &os, const Rational &r) {  
    return os << r.m_num << '/' << r.m_denom;  
}
```

If there are member functions to obtain the numerator and the denominator, it don't have to be a friend .

```
std::ostream &operator<<(std::ostream &os, const Rational &r) {  
    return os << r.getNumerator() << '/' << r.getDenominator();  
}
```

Rational: input operator

Suppose the input format is `a b` for the rational number $\frac{a}{b}$, where `a` and `b` are integers.

```
std::istream &operator>>(std::istream &is, Rational &r) {  
    int x, y; is >> x >> y;  
    if (!is) { // Pay attention to input failures!  
        x = 0;  
        y = 1;  
    }  
    if (y < 0) { y = -y; x = -x; }  
    r = Rational(x, y);  
    return is;  
}
```

Example: Dynarray

operator[]

```
class Dynarray {  
public:  
    int &operator[](std::size_t n) {  
        return m_storage[n];  
    }  
    const int &operator[](std::size_t n) const {  
        return m_storage[n];  
    }  
};
```

The use of `a[i]` will be translated into `a.operator[](i)`.

(C++23 allows `a[i, j, k]` !)

Other operators

Homework: Define `operator[]` and relational operators for `Dynarray` .

Example: `SharedPtr`

SharedPtr: indirection (dereference) operator

Recall the `SharedPtr` class we defined in previous lectures.

```
struct CountedObject {  
    Object theObject;  
    int refCnt;  
};  
class SharedPtr {  
    CountedObject *m_ptr;  
public:  
    Object &operator*() const { // Why should it be const?  
        return m_ptr->theObject;  
    }  
};
```

We want `*sp` to return reference to the managed object.

SharedPtr: indirection (dereference) operator

Why should `operator*` be `const` ?

```
class SharedPtr {  
    CountedObject *m_ptr;  
public:  
    Object &operator*() const {  
        return m_ptr->theObject;  
    }  
};
```

On a `const SharedPtr`, obtaining a non-`const` reference to the managed object may still be allowed.

- The (smart) pointer is `const`, but the managed object is not. ("top-level" `const`)

SharedPtr: member access through pointer

To make `operator->` consistent with `operator*` (make `a->mem` equivalent to `(*a).mem`), `operator->` is almost always defined like this:

```
class SharedPtr {  
    public:  
        Object *operator->() const {  
            return std::addressof(this->operator*());  
        }  
};
```

Why do we use `std::addressof(x)` instead of `&x`? - In case there is an overload for `operator&` !