LAIS, Lecture #17 "Recursive Least Squares Adaptive Filtering" Reference: "Adaptive Filters", by Ali Sayed u(o), u(1), u(2), ..., u(i) reference signal d(0),d(1),d(2),...d(i) descret signal god estimate d(i) from u(i) using a linear filter

J(j)= W(j) u(j)+...+ w(n) u(j-n+1) min = (14)-UiW)2 (\*) Uj = [u(j) u(j-1) ... u(j-n+1)](E)(xn)  $y_i = \begin{bmatrix} J(0) \\ \vdots \\ J(i) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{i+1}, \ H_i = \begin{bmatrix} U_0 \\ \vdots \\ U_i \end{bmatrix}$ \* min | ye- Hiw | 2 solution of minimal le-norm Wi= Hige when Hi has full-column rank Wi= (Hithi) Higi is the unique solution to (\*)

ways of dealing with insufficiently exciting signals (so that the does not have full column rank) Tikonov / regularization mein I [ gi] - [ Uti ] w | z Wi= (HithiteIn) Hite Complexety O(n3+n2i)

citaptation: we need to "forget" past values of the signel OCACI: forgetting factor man = 2 (14)-4w)2+ Allw6 14 WEIR" j=0 min 1 1/2 (yi-Hiw) 1/2 | 1 E 7 2 W/2 Ai = diag (7, 70, ,..., 7,1) mein Wellen | [1/2 4] - [1/2 Uli ] WIZ

Wi = (Hi Ai Hi + Eqit In) Hi Aiyi

Complexity: (9(n3+n2i) " a new sample comes in..." Witi= (With Ain Hint Enitz In) Hin Ain yen (\*\*) As time goes by yi and Hi grow indefinetely so that we have a memory problem The filter given by (xx) is impractical recursively in ((n2). From Wi, U(i+1), d(i+1) LAIS, Lecture #17

Lem [ The matrix inversion Rama? A, B, C, D matrices s.t. A+BCD exists. Suppose A, G, G+DA'B are invertible. Then A+BOD is invertible and (A+BCD) = Ā-ĀB(Ē+DĀB)DĀ'. P. = H. A. H. + EJIT Pi= Pi, Wi= Pidlidiye

gitt = [ Hitt] }, Hitt= [ Uitt ] Witt= Piti Hitt Lity yiti Pitt = Hit Air Hitt En In = [Hi Vin] [ " | Win (+E) In = Hi [ ?] Hit With Hith t & Titz In = 7 Pi + Withliti Pitt = (7 Pit With Win) G'+DA'B=1+Tilian Paris

the Lemma Pari = 7 Pa - 7 Pa With With 7 Pa Complexity: O(n=)

With = Pith Utin Ain yeth = [7'Pi-7'Pi Utinkin T'Pi

1+7'Win Pi Utin [7'Pi

AUTi Utin ]

AUTi Utin ]

AUTi Utin ]

AUTi Utin ] = We - T'Pertin We + T'Pertin den) - T'Pertin den T'Pertin den letter le = Wet T'Pellin - Win Wet d(in) (1+7 Win Pellin) - 7 Win Pellin d(in)

SWitt=Wit TRUIT (dit)-UitiWi)

1+ Tuin Piulin

error 1 VICHILLIAN (\*\*)

From the lemma Pari = 7 Pa - 7 Pa Win Win 7 Pa 1+ 7 Win Little complexity: O(n2) Win = Pen Him Ain yin = [7'Pe-7'Pillinkin TPi] [Hi uin] [7Ai] [Yi]

= Wi - 7'Pillinkin Wi

- 10

(AHi Aiyet dan) uin) = Wi - T'Pillin Wie + T'Pillin Kien) - T'Pillin Min T'Pillin Min T'Pillin Min T'Pillin Min T'Pillin Min T'Pillin Min T'Pillin Dillin T'Pillin T'P = Wit T'Liutin - Wir With + d(it) (1+7 Wir Pillin) - 7 Wir Pillin d(in)

Wi = (Hi A. Hi + E Pi"In) "Hi Aiye

complexely: (9(n3+n2i))

"a new sample comes in..."

With = (Hin Ain Hint & Pinz In) Hin Ain yen

> With=Wi + T'ReUtin (d(in)-Unive)

SWitt = Wit The Living dith of City-United error or the territory Kalman gain RLS: superción convergence rate and steady-state error compared to O(n) adaptive filter like IMS

From the lemma RIS filter Pari = 7 Pi - 7 Pi Win Win 7 Pi robust numerical 1+7 Win Li Win With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi] [With Uith] [Jali] [Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi] [With Uith] [Jali] [Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pith Him Ain yen = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = Pithinkin J'Pi

[Ji]

With = Pithinkin J'Pi

[Ji]

With = [7'Pi-7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = [7'Pithinkin J'Pi]

[Ji]

With = [7'Pithinkin J'Pithinkin J'Pithi complexity: O(n2) = Wi-J'Pillin Rilling + J'Pillin den) - J'Pillin Uin J'Pillin den)

1+ J'llin Pillin Pillin |

1+ J'llin Pillin Pillin | = We + Flection - With We + d(it) (1+7 With Pellin) - 7 With Rewish d(in)

7

+z

Čt2