

GLOW 2025 Firmware & Automated Acceptance Test Realization

Teensy 4.1 Serial Router

Artur Kraskov
Semester 7
ICT & OL, Delta
Fontys 2025-2026

Contents

Contents.....	1
Introduction.....	1
Background.....	2
Objectives.....	2
Scope.....	2
Environment.....	2
Acceptance Objectives (virtual).....	2
Test Strategy.....	3
Procedures.....	3
Pass/Fail Criteria.....	4
CommandLibrary.....	4
Distributed System Realization.....	4
System Elements.....	4
LED strips in Virtual Environment.....	4
Status Feedback.....	4
Timing.....	5
Resource Use (concise).....	5
Performance.....	5
Conclusion.....	6
Distributed Correctness.....	6
Future Physical Extension.....	6
References.....	6

Introduction

Within the scope of GLOW 2025 Serial Router firmware was analysed, designed and realized. This document covers automated acceptance test.

Background

Previous work includes:

- GLOW 2025 Project Analysis & Advice [1]
- GLOW 2025 Firmware Design [2]
- Github with realized firmware [3]

Objectives

- Define a virtual (host-only) acceptance procedure that exercises the Teensy router firmware's protocol handling and measures timing, resource use, and performance without target hardware.
- Keep protocol details out of scope; defer to the Serial Communication Agreement document.

Scope

- **Firmware under test (UUT):**
``actual_code/final_serial_router_protocol_bridge/teensy_router.ino``.
- **Test harness:** use ``host_sim/`` C++ harness extended to reuse the shared CommandLibrary (``CmdLib.h``) for protocol framing/parsing, ensuring parity with firmware expectations.
- **Metrics:** end-to-end latency, throughput, buffer occupancy/overruns, error handling behavior, and long-run stability.

Environment

- C++17 toolchain (clang++) available.
- CommandLibrary available at <https://github.com/GLOW-Delta-2025/Utils/tree/main/CommandLibrary>
- No microcontroller required; virtual serial endpoints via in-process mocks.
- **Realized test:**
https://github.com/GLOW-Delta-2025/serial-router/tree/feature/teensy41_esp32_tx_rx/host_sim

Acceptance Objectives (virtual)

- 1) Framing and parsing robustness
 - Given a byte stream with valid frames, the router extracts exactly those frames and no extras.
 - Given noise, partial frames, and escape sequences, router drops/recovers appropriately.
- 2) Routing correctness
 - ESP→Mac path: after forwarding to Mac, router issues CONFIRM (ACK) to ESP as per Agreement; Mac→ESP path has no ACK.

- 3) Error handling
 - On malformed frames or bad device/command, router emits COMM_ERROR toward ESP when source is ESP; logs diagnostic for Mac-originated errors.
- 4) Timing/Performance
 - Latency: simulated T1–T5 timestamps show median ≤ 1 ms and 99th percentile ≤ 5 ms under configured workload (host-sim timing model).
 - Throughput: sustain ≥ 200 messages/s of small frames without parser backlog.
- 5) Resource behavior
 - No buffer overflows; backlog depth bounded; no memory growth over 10-minute soak.

Test Strategy

- Use `host_sim/` to emulate two half-duplex streams (ESP-side and Mac-side) feeding/consuming framed messages.
- Build and parse messages via CommandLibrary (`CmdLib.h`) so acceptance scenarios use the exact same message semantics as firmware.
- Add counters and timestamp hooks in the harness to collect:
 - per-frame enqueue/dequeue times (T1–T5)
 - buffer sizes at poll points
 - counts of errors, drops, acks
- Deterministic workloads: fixed-size frames, burst patterns, and randomized intervals.

Procedures

1. Build harness
 - From `serial-router/host_sim/`, build with the shared command library include path:
`g++ -std=c++17 -Wall -Wextra -pedantic -I../CommandLibrary/CommandLibrary main.cpp base_connector.cpp -o host_sim_demo`

Expose functions to inject frames on ESP-side and Mac-side, and to read outputs from the opposite side.

2. **Smoke:** Valid frame loopback
 - Inject N=100 valid ESP→Mac frames; expect N seen on Mac side, N ACKs on ESP side, 0 errors.
3. **Noise and partials**
 - Interleave noise bytes and split frames across injections; expect recovery with all valid frames delivered, 0 ACKs missing.
4. **Error cases**
 - Malformed headers, bad checksum/length, unknown device; expect COMM_ERROR to ESP when source is ESP; no ACKs.
5. **Timing load**
 - Run 60 seconds at 200 msgs/s. Capture latency histogram and backlog max. Acceptance: median ≤ 1 ms; p99 ≤ 5 ms; backlog max ≤ 5 frames; 0 drops.

6. Soak

- Run 10 minutes at a mixed bursty pattern; ensure no memory growth and no cumulative drops.

Pass/Fail Criteria

All objectives satisfied across procedures with thresholds met or exceeded.

CommandLibrary

Using `CmdLib.h` ensures a single source of truth for the message format in both firmware and simulator, minimizing drift in framing and parsing rules and making virtual tests representative of target behavior.

Distributed System Realization

System Elements

- **Nodes:** Mac host (control/orchestration), Teensy 4.1 router (protocol bridge), ESP32 endpoint (device executor).
- **Communication Channels:** USB CDC (Mac↔Teensy) and UART (Teensy↔ESP) virtually represented by `MockSerial` links.
- **Logical Devices / Addresses:** e.g. `MASTER`, `ARM1`, `CENTER` reflecting distinct actuator groupings.

LED strips in Virtual Environment

Instead of physical actuators LED arrays for STAR operations are abstracted. Each command (`MAKE_STAR`, `SEND_STAR`, `CANCEL_STAR`, `ADD_STAR`, `STAR_ARRIVED`) represents an actuation intent or status update. Acceptance tests validate that intents traverse the distributed path correctly, ensuring future physical actuator drivers will receive coherent, timely commands.

Status Feedback

Status frames and confirmations serve instead of sensors with feedback (on device signal processing):

- `CONFIRM:<CMD>` frames model acknowledgment sensors (successful actuation start/completion).
- `REQUEST:STAR_ARRIVED` models an event sensor signaling completion/arrival state.

Error conditions (``COMM_ERROR``) function as fault sensors. Virtual tests inject malformed messages to ensure fault detection propagation is reliable.

Timing

Defined latency points (T1–T5 conceptual) covered by: per-frame enqueue (ingress), parser extraction, routing decision, forward emission, and reception on the opposite endpoint. Procedures 5 (Timing load) and 6 (Soak) capture distribution (median, p99) and backlog behavior.

Resource Use (concise)

What we track

- **Ingress backlog:** bytes waiting in the router's receive buffers (``mac_buffer``, ``device_buffer``).
- **Egress backlog:** bytes queued on the next hop after the router forwards (``mac_port.available()``, ``esp_port.available()``).
- **Mapping size:** pending correlation entries (``origin_by_command.size()``).

How it works

- The router polls, accumulates bytes, extracts frames, and forwards them. After each short ``pump(...)`` iteration we can sample the three signals above and write them to CSV (not included).

Pass criteria (resource use)

- During the 10-minute soak all three signals remain bounded (no monotonic growth). Mapping size drops when responses are routed; ingress/egress backlogs fluctuate within a small band, indicating no leaks or queue saturation.

Performance

Performance rationale (why these numbers)

- **Link budget:** at 115200 baud UART (~11.52 kB/s), a typical frame of ~50–60 bytes yields ~190–230 msgs/s theoretical one-way capacity. Targeting ≥ 200 msgs/s exercises the full UART without running it to the ragged edge, leaving firmware/host overhead headroom.
- **Latency:** median ≤ 1 ms, p99 ≤ 5 ms keeps routing overhead well below the 10 ms end-to-end goal cited in the design doc. On Teensy 4.1 (600 MHz), parser/routing work is CPU-light; the UART is the bottleneck, so single-ms targets are practical and keep choreography snappy.
- **Backlog ≤ 5 frames:** at 200 msgs/s this equates to ~25 ms buffered at worst, confirming we drain faster than we receive. A small, bounded queue minimizes memory use and jitter.
- **Expected traffic:** with 4–5 endpoints at 10–20 Hz command rates, worst case is ~50–100 requests/s from Mac, with roughly matching confirmations/status from ESP. The 200 msgs/s target covers this with margin.

- **Headroom path:** if future loads demand more, raising UART to 230400/460800 baud increases capacity proportionally while keeping the same acceptance thresholds meaningful.

Conclusion

Distributed Correctness

Routing correctness and selective ACK behavior confirm distributed coordination rules (one-way confirmations only after ESP-originated status or command execution). Noise/error recovery scenarios validate robustness under degraded inputs typical of multi-node links.

Future Physical Extension

Mapping in this virtual phase provides a template for attaching real actuators (e.g., LED arrays, servos) and sensors (position, brightness feedback) by replacing ESP mock handlers with hardware drivers while retaining CommandLib framing, preserving timing/resource instrumentation hooks.

References

1. Kraskov A., (2025), GLOW 2025 Analysis and Advice, [Online] Available:
Canvas:
https://fhict.instructure.com/courses/13084/assignments/271126?module_item_id=1372588
PDF:
https://github.com/GLOW-Delta-2025/serial-router/blob/feature/teensy41_esp32_tx_rx/docs/GLOW%202025%20Project%20Analysis%20%26%20Advice.pdf
2. Kraskov A., (2025), GLOW 2025 Firmware Design, [Online] Available:
Canvas:
https://fhict.instructure.com/courses/13084/assignments/271125?module_item_id=1372587
PDF:
https://github.com/GLOW-Delta-2025/serial-router/blob/feature/teensy41_esp32_tx_rx/docs/GLOW%202025_%20Serial%20Router%20Firmware%20Design.pdf
3. Serial Router Firmware GitHub:
https://github.com/GLOW-Delta-2025/serial-router/tree/feature/teensy41_esp32_tx_rx