Cars of 2025

Project 3: Regression

The problem

For this project, I plan to train a model to predict the price of a car based on different specs of said car and then use that model on my dataset at large through use of linear regression. I also plan to experiment with this model by trying to train on different features and how well they help predict the exact prices. To start off, I will use information like horsepower and 0-100mph time. But I plan to also try out different features like torque and top speed. Just like my last two projects, I will be using the "Cars Datasets (2025)" by Abdul Malik on kaggle.

Regression and how it works

Linear regression in terms of datamining is a way to predict a continuous numerical outcome by modeling its relationship with the other variables in the dataset. So, essentially in my case, I will train my model to predict price (a column from the dataset) based on a number of other columns from the dataset and their relationships to the value of price so that my model can reliably predict the price of a car in the cars 2025 dataset, and maybe even future datasets for 2026.

Experiment 1: Data understanding

Before diving into my first experiment, I need to understand what I want and how to achieve it. I want to predict prices, simple as that. To achieve it, I will look at the relationship between price and two other columns of my dataset, horsepower, and 0-100mph time. Based on what I have seen, these things seem to correlate well with how expensive a car is. There is no statistical analysis on my part, just observations from using this dataset two other times.

Experiment 1: Pre-processing

To kick things off, like always, I set up my drive on google colab and imported my dataset into the notebook for easy access. Once that was complete, seeing as I am not using the provided houses dataset, I needed to manually split my dataset 80/20 so I have a decent sample size to work with and train my model on. After the split, the data needed to be cleaned as each column stores strings with symbols by default that make doing math more difficult.

Experiment 1: Modeling

Once the data was cleaned, everything was fairly straightforward from there. I trained my regression model and then ran some tests, looking at the RMSE as well as a small sample comparing the actual prices vs the predicted prices and the difference between them so I have an idea of how accurate the model is. Overall, I'm pretty happy with the accuracy of the model considering how few columns I used and the fairly small size of the sample used for training. You may notice that I did not use typical visualization for this experiment as I couldn't see how any sort of graph would be helpful. Instead I created tables showing actual vs predicted prices

as well as their margins of errors. This provided me with a good visualization of what was happening with the model more than just looking at the RMSE.

```
RMSE: 74,857.73
Company Names
                 Cars Names
                             actual price
                                            pred price
                                                                error
     Porsche Cayenne Turbo
                                 130000.0 131176.167793
                                                          1176.167793
     HYUNDAI
                     Sonata
                                 25000.0 33881.078227
                                                          8881.078227
 LAMBORGHINI AVENTADOR SVJ
                                 518000.0 276195.058312 -241804.941688
     Peugeot
                    5008 GT
                                  40000.0 32286.250267
                                                         -7713.749733
                                  63000.0 48516.947805 -14483.052195
     Porsche
                    Macan T
  Volkswagen
                    Crafter
                                  40000.0 17718.123800 -22281.876200
  Tata Motors Nexon EV Prime
                                  22000.0 25286.696220
                                                          3286.696220
                 Millenia S
                                  30000.0 34806.834026
                                                          4806.834026
       Mazda
                                  33400.0 38278.654438
      TOYOTA
                      VENZA
                                                          4878.654438
                                  34000.0 29064.481842
         BMW
                       118D
                                                         -4935.518158
```

Experiment 1: Evaluation

Looking back at experiment 1, everything went well. The hardest part was actually cleaning the dataset as I had to work with a lot more columns than I am used to which all had different types of strings that I had to figure out how to clean correctly.

Experiment 2

For experiment 2, I decided to add a couple more columns from the dataset to see if I could make the model more accurate at guessing price. I decided on using torque and top speed. theoretically this should make the model twice as accurate but that is actually very unlikely. I still wish to see how that changes the RMSE. After making these changes, you can see that the RMSE improved by almost 20%. So adding two more columns was a positive move.

```
Experiment 2 RMSE: 60,998.57
                 Cars Names actual price
Company Names
                                            pred price
                                                                error
     Porsche Cavenne Turbo
                                130000.0 145823.883041
                                                         15823.883041
     HYUNDAI
                                 25000.0 40882.241322
                     Sonata
                                                         15882.241322
 LAMBORGHINI AVENTADOR SVJ
                                 518000.0 377378.307489 -140621.692511
                                 40000.0 33761.429456
                                                         -6238.570544
     Peugeot
                    5008 GT
     Porsche
                    Macan T
                                 63000.0 50383.507853 -12616.492147
                                 40000.0 18211.723641 -21788.276359
  Volkswagen
                    Crafter
 Tata Motors Nexon EV Prime
                                 22000.0 17805.482374
                                                         -4194.517626
                 Millenia S
       Mazda
                                 30000.0 37734.095603
                                                          7734.095603
      TOYOTA
                      VENZA
                                 33400.0 36111.290424
                                                          2711.290424
         BMW
                       118D
                                 34000.0 31818.308809
                                                         -2181.691191
```

Experiment 3

Experiment 3 was very interesting. I was expecting to just do something simple and try a new random 80/20 split of the dataset for training and see how similar the RMSE was. But if you notice, the RMSE is significantly worse. We're talking more than 10 times worse, which is odd because the actual vs predicted price tests appear to be pretty accurate and do not match the

extremely high RMSE. I believe this issue is because of extreme outliers like excessively expensive cars are being trained on. This shows that just using a random 80/20 split to train the model will not yield consistent results and that I may need to trim the fat by manually removing extremely high and low price cars from the training set to help average out accuracy.

Experiment 3 RMSE (new split): 913,026.95				
Company Names	Cars Names	actual_price	pred_price	error
Volkswagen	Tiguan Allspace	35000.0	35081.717416	81.717416
Porsche	911 GT2 RS	293200.0	304847.946228	11647.946228
Chevrolet	Spark	14595.0	21933.379952	7338.379952
Nissan	Laurel	22000.0	18980.170919	-3019.829081
BMW	118D SPORT LINE	36000.0	31435.482278	-4564.517722
Mazda	CX-80	45000.0	41349.403283	-3650.596717
Mazda	Etude	14000.0	21605.765777	7605.765777
ASTON MARTIN	DBS SUPERLEGGERA	316000.0	313170.220967	-2829.779033
Ford	Explorer	35000.0	46769.391076	11769.391076
Nissan	X-Trail Platinum Edition	46000.0	32679.873541	-13320.126459

Impact

I believe the impacts of this project are positive because this model can be used to fairly accurately guess the price of a car based on the car's specs. This in turn could be used to compare prices with other cars and determine if you are getting a good deal on a car compared to others.

Conclusion

I learned a lot from this project. I learned how to set up, train and use a linear regression model. I learned how to modify and add to my existing model to make it more accurate. I also learned that I need to be more specific and careful to not overlook some things like how I missed something so simple as to exclude large outliers from the training set which ended up tanking my RMSE in my final experiment. Overall, I really liked this project. However, it was difficult and time consuming. I would like larger deadlines for projects of this scale and complexity. As someone who is new to python, it took me a lot of trial and error.

References

The dataset: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/abdulmalik1518/cars-datasets-2025

Seaborn API: https://seaborn.pydata.org/api.html

Scikit linear regression:

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression.html Scikit train split:

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.model selection.train test split.html
Copilot for error checking and formatting. The biggest example would be Copilot bringing huge outliers in the training set to my attention and explaining how my RMSE tanked in experiment 3.

The Code

All of the project files including the code and csv can be found here: https://github.com/GMNICKEL/Projects/tree/main/Python%20regression%20project%203