1

24.12.2014 Srimanta

C. O. No. 2208 of 2013

Sudhir Das & Ors.
-Vs.-

Sova Das

Mr. Prantick Ghosh.

...For the Petitioners.

Mr. Samrat Chowdhury.

...For the Opposite Party.

Let the affidavit-of-service filed by the petitioners be kept on record.

This is an application for extension of interim order being CAN 8880 of 2014. Mr. Ghosh, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that in the revisional application interim order was initially granted on 13th of August, 2013 and the same was extended from time to time. By the order dated 6th of May, 2014 the interim order was extended till third week after the Summer Vacation.

Mr. Ghosh further submits that the matter could not be enlisted thereafter and for such failure, the petitioners cannot suffer as there were no laches on the part of the petitioners.

Mr. Chowdhury, learned Advocate appearing for the opposite party disputes the contention of Mr. Ghosh and submits that appropriate direction should be passed for expeditious disposal of the revisional application.

I have heard the learned Advocates appearing for the respective parties and I have considered the materials on record.

Records reveal that interim order was initially passed on 13<sup>th</sup> of August, 2013 and the same had been extended on several occasions. For the failure on the part of the learned Advocate of the petitioner to take immediate steps for enlistment, the petitioners cannot be made to suffer. Accordingly, the interim order is extended till the end of February, 2015 and the application for extension being CAN 8880 of 2014 is disposed of.

List the main revisional application as a contested application in Daily Supplementary List of this Court on  $9^{\rm th}$  of February, 2015.

[Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.]