	Categorize the following as supervised learning, reinforcement learning, unsupervised learning, or not machine learning: A social network's AI uses existing tagged photos of people to identify when those people appear in new photos.	*1/1
0	Unsupervised learning	
0	Not an example of machine learning	ng
0	Reinforcement learning	
	Supervised learning	
the A true o data Al pro outpo data	Imagine a regression AI that makes the following predictions for the following 5 data points. What is the total L2 loss across all of these data points (i.e., the sum of all the individual L2 losses for each data point)? Iata point 1, the true output is 2 and AI predicted 4. For data point 2, the output is 4 and the AI predicted 5. For point 3, the true output is 4 and the edicted 3. For data point 4, the true ut is 5 and the AI predicted 2. For point 5, the true output is 6 and the edicted 5.	*1/1
	0	
\bigcirc	4	
	5	
	8	
	16	
	10	
	10	
0	19	
0	21	
0		
0	21	
	21	*1/1
	21 64 If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regularization.	f
	21 64 If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result of	f
	If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regularization. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or	f
	21 64 If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regularization. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regression. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or	f
	21 64 If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regularization. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regression. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or loss. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or	f f
	21 64 If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regularization. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or regression. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or loss. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or loss. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or loss. Hypothesis 1 might be the result or	f f
	If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result o regularization. Hypothesis 1 might be the result o regression. Hypothesis 1 might be the result o loss. Hypothesis 1 might be the result of overfitting. Hypothesis 1 might be the result of overfitting. In the ɛ-greedy approach to action selection in reinforcement learning, which of the following values of ɛ makes the approach identical to a purely greedy	f f
	If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? Hypothesis 1 might be the result o regularization. Hypothesis 1 might be the result o regression. Hypothesis 1 might be the result o loss. Hypothesis 1 might be the result o loss. Hypothesis 1 might be the result of overfitting. Hypothesis 1 might be the result o cross-validation. In the ε-greedy approach to action selection in reinforcement learning, which of the following values of ε makes the approach identical to a purely greedy approach?	f f