

Design and Analysis of Algorithms

L18: Knapsack Problem

Dr. Ram P Rustagi
Sem IV (2020-Even)
Dept of CSE, KSIT
rprustagi@ksit.edu.in

Resources

- Text book 2: Sec: 4.3
- RI: Introduction to Algorithms
 - Cormen et al.

Example: Knapsack Problem

- A flower street vendor procures the flowers from KR Market and sells these during the day. The quantity of flowers available are limited as below.



Lilies: 8Kg
Profit: ₹240



Roses: 10Kg
Profit: ₹250



Jasmine: 6Kg
Profit: ₹120



Daisies: 6Kg
Profit: ₹210

Example: Knapsack Problem

- A flower street vendor procures the flowers from KR Market and sells these during the day. The quantity of flowers available are limited along with respective profits are as below.
 - Roses: 10kg with a total profit of ₹ 250
 - Lilies: 8kg with a total profit of ₹ 240
 - Daisies: 6kg with a total profit of ₹ 210.
 - Jasmine: 6Kg with a total profit of ₹ 120
- The vendor has a carrying bag with a capacity of 20kg, would like to maximize the profit for the day. The vendor can buy any quantity (from 0kg to its max limit as given above) for any flower.
- Q: Which quantity of each flower vendor should buy?

Flower Buying: Approach 1

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
 - *Roses 10Kg /Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
 - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Equal quantity of each flower:
 - Buy same quantity of each variety of flower i.e. buy $20/4=5$ kg of Rose, Daisies and Lilies and Jasmine
- The profit earned for the day is
 - Roses: $5*250/10 = ₹ 125$
 - Lilies: $5*240/8 = ₹ 150$
 - Daisies: $5*210/6 = ₹ 175$
 - Jasmine: $5*120/6 = ₹ 100$
- Net profit: $₹ 125+150+175+100 = ₹ 550$

Flower Buying: Approach 2

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
 - *Roses 10Kg /Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
 - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Buy in equal proportions of their availability
 - Roses: $20 \times 10 / 30 = 20/3 \text{Kg}$, Lilies: $20 \times 8 / 30 = 16/3 \text{Kg}$
 - Daisies: $20 \times 6 / 30 = 4 \text{Kg}$, Jasmine $20 \times 6 / 30 = 4 \text{Kgs}$
- The profit earned for the day is
 - Roses: $(20/3) \times 250 / 10 = ₹ 500/3 = ₹ 166.6$
 - Lilies: $(16/3) \times 240 / 8 = ₹ 160$
 - Daisies: $4 \times 210 / 6 = ₹ 140$
 - Jasmine: $4 \times 120 / 6 = ₹ 80$
- Net profit: $₹ 166.67 + 160 + 140 + 80 = ₹ 546.67$

Flower Buying: Approach 3

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
 - *Roses 10Kg /Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
 - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Buy as per max profit known (greedy approach 1)
 - Roses: 10Kg, Lilies: 8Kg, Daisies: 2Kg, Jasmine: 0Kg
- The profit earned for the day is
 - Roses: $10 \times 250 / 10 = ₹ 250$
 - Lilies: $8 \times 240 / 8 = ₹ 240$
 - Daisies: $2 \times 210 / 6 = ₹ 70$
 - Jasmine: $0 \times 120 / 6 = ₹ 0$
- Net profit: $₹ 250 + 240 + 70 + 0 = ₹ 560$

Flower Buying: Approach 4

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
 - *Roses 10Kg /Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
 - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Buy as per capacity from min (greedy approach 2)
 - Jasmine: 6Kg, Daisies: 6Kg, Lilies: 8Kg, Roses: 0Kg
- The profit earned for the day is
 - Roses: $0 \times 250 / 10 = ₹ 0$
 - Lilies: $8 \times 240 / 8 = ₹ 240$
 - Daisies: $6 \times 210 / 6 = ₹ 210$
 - Jasmine: $6 \times 120 / 6 = ₹ 120$
- Net profit: $₹ 0 + 240 + 210 + 120 = ₹ 570$

Flower Buying: Approach 5

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
 - *Roses 10Kg /Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
 - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Greedy approach 3: get max profit per kg of flowers
 - Profits per Kg: R: Rs 25, L: Rs 30, D: 35, J: 20
 - Daisies: 6Kg, Lilies: 8Kg, Roses: 6Kg, Jasmine: 0Kg
- The profit earned for the day is
 - Roses: $6 \times 250 / 10 = ₹ 150$
 - Lilies: $8 \times 240 / 8 = ₹ 240$
 - Daisies: $6 \times 210 / 6 = ₹ 210$
 - Jasmine: $0 \times 120 / 6 = ₹ 0$
- Net profit: $₹ 150 + 240 + 210 + 0 = ₹ 600$

Flower Buying

- Profit comparisons:
 - Approach 1 (equal quantity): Rs 550/-
 - Approach 2 (in equal ratios): Rs 546.67
 - Approach 3 (Max highest profit): Rs 560/-
 - Approach 4 (Smallest capacities): Rs 570/-
 - Approach 5 (Greedy): Rs 600/-
- Does the Greedy approach always works?
 - Yes (for fractional knapsack)
 - No (for 0-1 knapsack)
 - 0-1 knapsack: can not buy partial quantities
- Can there be multiple optimal solutions?
 - Consider that both Roses, Lilies have profit of Rs 25/Kg

Example 2: Suitcase Packing

- You are travelling by air and airline has limit of 15Kg on the check in bag.
- You have a large number of stuffs to carry with you.
- How do you decide which items to pack and which ones to leave behind.

Overview: Knapsack Problem

- Knapsack problem (fractional):
 - Given n objects, and a knapsack (bag) with a capacity m , fill the knapsack to maximize the value as follows
 - Each object i has weight w_i (+ve number)
 - Each object i has +ve profit p_i (+ve number)
 - If a fraction x_i ($0 \leq x_i \leq 1$) of the object i is placed in the knapsack, the profit $p_i x_i$ is earned.
 - **Objective:** Obtain a filling of the knapsack that maximizes the total profit earned. Mathematically

$$\text{Maximize } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i x_i$$

$$\text{Subject to } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} w_i x_i \leq m \quad \text{and } 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Knapsack Problem

- Lemma 1:
 - In case the sum of all quantities is $\leq m$, then
$$x_i = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$
is an optimal solution.
 - So, let us consider that sum of weights exceed m .
- Lemma 2:
 - All optimal solutions will fill the knapsack exactly.
 - Note: we can always increase the quantity of some object i by a fractional amount till the total weight becomes exactly m .
- Analysis: Does it fit the subset paradigm?
 - Yes: we are selecting a subset of objects.

Algorithm: Knapsack Problem

```
Void GreedyKnapsack(float m, int n) {  
  //p[1:n] and w[1:n] contain the profits and weights  
  //The indices are ordered as per following criteria  
  //  $p[i]/w[i] \geq p[i+1]/w[i+1]$  ,  $1 \leq i < n$ .  
  // m is knapsack size, and x[1:n] is the solution vector  
    initialize x[i] to 0.0  
    float U=m  
    for i=1 to n  
      if w[i] > U  
        break  
      x[i]=1.0  
      U=U-w[xi]  
    if i≤n  
      x[i] = U/w[i]
```

Theorem: Knapsack Problem

Theorem:

If $p_1/w_1 \geq p_2/w_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n/w_n$, then GreedyKnapsack generates an optimal solution to the given instance of the knapsack problem.

Methodology to be used for proof:

- Compare the greedy solution with any optimal solution.
- If the two solutions differ, then first x_i at which they differ.
- Then show that x_i in the optimal solution equal to that in the greedy solution without any loss in total value.
- Repeated use of this transformation shows that greedy solution is optimal

Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal

- Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the solution generated by GreedyKnapsack.
- If all the x_i equal one, the solution is optimal.
- Let j be the least index such that $x_j \neq 1$.
- From the algorithm, we know that
$$0 \leq x_j < 1, \text{ and}$$
$$x_i = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j, \text{ and}$$
$$x_i = 0 \text{ for } j < i \leq n.$$
- Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be the optimal solution, Thus
$$\sum w_i y_i = m$$
- Let k be the index such that $y_k \neq x_k$
- Since two solutions differ, such k must exist. Since all x_k prior to x_j 's are 1, clearly $y_k < x_k$.

Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

x_1	x_2	...	x_{j-1}	x_j	x_{j+1}	...				x_n
1	1	1	1	x_j	0	0	0			0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where x_j is not 0

y_1	y_2	...	y_k	...	y_j	...				x_n
1	1	1	y_k	...	y_j	0	0			0

An optimal solution found some way

First index where y_k differs from x_k

Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

case 1: $k < j$, $x_k = 1$, hence $y_k < x_k$

x_1	x_2	...	x_k	...	x_{j-1}	x_j	x_{j+1}	...		x_n
1	1	1	1	1	1	x_j	0	0		0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where x_j is not 0

y_1	y_2	...	y_k	...	y_{j-1}	y_j	...			y_n
1	1	1	y_k	...	y_{j-1}	y_j	...			

An optimal solution found some way

First index where y_k differs from x_k

Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

case 2: $k=j$

if $y_k \neq x_k$, then $\sum w_i y_i > m$, because $\sum w_i x_i = m$

x_1	x_2	x_{j-1}	x_j	x_{j+1}	...		x_n
1	1	1	1	1	1	x_j	0	0		0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where x_j is not

y_1	y_2	y_k	...			y_n
1	1	1	1	y_k	...			

An optimal solution found some way

First index where y_k differs from x_k

Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

case 3 : $k > j$, This is not possible since $\sum w_i y_i > m$

x_1	x_2	x_{j-1}	x_j	x_{j+1}	...		x_n
1	1	1	1	1	1	x_j	0	0		0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where x_j is not 0

y_1	y_2	y_k		y_n
1	1	1	1	1	...	y_k		

An optimal solution found some way

First index where y_k differs from x_k

Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

- To show that $y_k < x_k$, there exists 3 possibilities
 - i . $k < j$: since $x_k = 1$, and $y_k \neq x_k$, and so $y_k < y_k$
 - ii . $k = j$: since $\sum w_i x_i = m$, and $y_i = x_i$ for $1 \leq i < j$,
then either $y_k < x_k$ or $\sum w_i y_i > m$
 - iii . $k > j$: then $\sum w_i y_i > m$, which is not possible
- To show that $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is optimal solution.
 - Increase y_k to x_k and decrease as many of (y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) as necessary so that total capacity is still m .
 - This gives a new solution $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ such that
 - $z_i = x_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$; and
 - $\sum_{k < i \leq n} w_i (y_i - z_i) = w_k (z_k - y_k)$

Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

- Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i z_i &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i y_i) + (z_k - y_k) w_k \frac{p_k}{w_k} - \sum_{k < i \leq n} (y_i - z_i) w_i \frac{p_i}{w_i} \\
 &\geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i y_i) + \left[(z_k - y_k) w_k - \sum_{k < i \leq n} (y_i - z_i) w_i \right] \frac{p_k}{w_k} \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i y_i) \quad \text{since } p_k / w_k \geq p_{k+1} / w_{k+1} \geq \dots \geq p_n / w_n
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, if $\sum p_i z_i > \sum p_i y_i$, then y could not have been optimal solution.
- If $\sum p_i z_i = \sum p_i y_i$, then either $z = x$ and x is optimal, or $z \neq x$.
- If $z \neq x$, then repeat the process to show that y is not optimal or transform y to x and hence x is optimal.

Summary

- Greedy approach (fractional) knapsack