

# Design and Analysis of Algorithms

## L03: Analysis Framework

Dr. Ram P Rustagi  
Sem IV (2020-Even)  
Dept of CSE, KSIT  
[rprustagi@ksit.edu.in](mailto:rprustagi@ksit.edu.in)

# Resources

- Text book 1: Levitin
- <https://brainly.com/>

# Algorithms - Importance



**What is common among these ?**

# Algorithm Analysis

- Analysis
  - Detailed examination of the elements or structure of something, typically as a basis for discussion or interpretation.
  - *Mathematics*: the part of mathematics concerned with the theory of functions and the use of limits, continuity, and the operations of calculus.
- Analysis of algorithms:
  - Investigation of an algorithm's efficiency w.r.t. running time and memory space.

# Algorithm Analysis

- Issues:
  - Correctness
  - Time efficiency
  - Space efficiency
  - Optimality
- Approaches:
  - Theoretical analysis
  - Empirical analysis
- From practical point of view:
  - Efficiency concerns are primary
  - Space (memory) is no more an issue today
    - Difference: secondary, primary, cache
  - We will mostly study time efficiency

# Time v/s Space Efficiency

- Consider multiplication of any two digit numbers
  - Example: Multiply  $79 * 67$
- Time efficiency requires computation
  - Number of multiplications (single digit): 4
  - Number of additions (single digit): 5 (or 4)
  - Total mathematical operations: 9
  - Total space requirement: 14 digits
- Space (memory) efficiency
  - Store all multiplication values in an  $100 \times 100$  array.
  - Then do a lookup.
  - Time requirement: 2 lookup
  - Space requirement: 10000 memory locations

# Measuring Input Size

- Representing a polynomial:
  - $P_n(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$
  - l/p size:  $n+1$ 
    - or  $n$  (+1 is fixed and ignored, inconsequential)
- At times choice of parameter specifying the input size is important
  - Consider  $n \times n$  matrix multiplication
  - Is input size  $n$  or  $n^2$ ?
  - If considering matrix order: size is  $n$
  - If consider number of elements: size is  $n^2$
  - Latter is preferred as it works for  $n \times m$  matrix too

# Input Size and Operation Examples

Problem	Input size measure	Basic operation
Searching for key in a list of $n$ items	Number of list's items, i.e. $n$	Key comparison
Multiplication of two matrices	Matrix dimensions or total number of elements	Multiplication of two numbers
Checking primality of a given integer $n$	number of digits (in binary representation)	Division
Typical graph problem	number of vertices and/or edges	Visiting a vertex or traversing an edge



# Empirical Analysis of Time Efficiency

- Select a specific (typical) sample of inputs
- Choices:
  - Physical unit of time (e.g., milliseconds), or
  - Count actual number of basic operation's executions
- Physical unit of time varies depending upon computer being used, operations available
  - Count of basic operations is considered.
    - This is further approximated, need not be actual value
- Analyze the empirical data

# Theoretical Analysis: Time Efficiency

- Time efficiency is analyzed by determining the count of number of the basic operation as a function of input size
- Basic operation: the operation that contributes the most towards the running time of the algorithm
  - $T(n) \approx c_{op} C(n)$ 
    - $c_{op}$  is cost of operation
    - $C(n)$  represents number of operations

# Time Efficiency

- Consider sum of  $n$  numbers
  - Total sum operations  $C(n) = n-1 \approx n$
  - $T(n) = c_{op}C(n) = c_{op}n$
  - $T(2n) = c_{op}C(2n) = c_{op}(2n) = c_{op}2n$
  - $T(2n)/T(n) = 2$
  - $T(10n)/T(n) = 10$
- Summary:  $c_{op}$  does not play a role when comparing the performance on two inputs.
  - **Order of growth** is more important.
    - Lower order terms and constants multiple are not important
    - These are subsumed in **order of growth**.

# Best, Worst and Average case

- For some algorithms, efficiency depends on form of input:
- Worst case:  $C_{\text{worst}}(n)$  – max over inputs size  $n$
- Best case:  $C_{\text{best}}(n)$  – min over inputs of size  $n$
- Avg case:  $C_{\text{avg}}(n)$  – “average” over inputs of size  $n$ 
  - Number of times the basic operation will be executed on typical input
  - NOT the average of worst and best case
  - Expected number of basic operations considered as a random variable under some assumption about the probability distribution of all possible inputs.
  - So, avg = expected under uniform distribution.

# Example: Sequential Search

- **Algorithm: SequentialSearch( $A[0 \dots n-1], K$ )**  
// searches for a value  $K$  in a given array by sequential searching  
// Input: an array  $A[0 \dots n-1]$ , and key  $K$   
// Output: Index of the element that matches  $K$   
//          $-1$ , if element is not found  
   $i \leftarrow 0$   
  **while**  $i < n$  **and**  $A[i] \neq K$  **do**  
     $i \leftarrow i + 1$   
  **if**  $i < n$  **then**  
    **return**  $i$   
  **else**  
    **return**  $-1$
- **What is Worst, Best and Average case analysis?**

# Sequential Search: Avg Case Analysis

- Let  $p$  be the probability of finding key  $K$ 
  - Thus, probability of not finding  $K$  is  $(1-p)$
- Probability of finding  $K$  at position  $i$  is same i.e.  $p/n$
- The expected number of searches  $C_{avg}(n)$  is given by
$$\begin{aligned} & 1 * p/n + 2 * p/n + \dots + n * p/n + (1-p) * n \\ &= (p/n) (1+2+\dots+n) + (1-p) * n \\ &= (p/n) * n(n+1)/2 + (1-p) * n \\ &= p * (n+1)/2 + (1-p) * n \end{aligned}$$
- When  $p=1$  i.e. search is always successful
$$C_{avg}(n) = (n+1)/2 \approx n/2$$
- When  $p=0$  i.e. search is failure
$$C_{avg}(n) = n$$

# Sequential Search Analysis

- Computation of average case analysis is lot more complex than best case and worst case.

- For this specific problem

$$C_{\text{avg}}(n) = (C_{\text{worst}}(n) + C_{\text{best}}(n)) / 2$$

- This is not true in general and can't be simplified this way. Not a legitimate way.

# Order of Growth

<b>n</b>	<b><math>\log_2 n</math></b>	<b><math>\sqrt{n}</math></b>	<b>n</b>	<b><math>n \log_2 n</math></b>	<b><math>n^2</math></b>	<b><math>n^3</math></b>	<b><math>2^n</math></b>	<b><math>n!</math></b>
<b>10</b>	3.3	3.16	10	33.2	<b><math>10^2</math></b>	<b><math>10^3</math></b>	<b><math>10^3</math></b>	$3.6 \cdot 10^6$
<b><math>10^2</math></b>	6.6	10	<b><math>10^2</math></b>	664	<b><math>10^4</math></b>	<b><math>10^6</math></b>	$1.3 \cdot 10^{30}$	$9.3 \cdot 10^{157}$
<b><math>10^3</math></b>	10	31.6	<b><math>10^3</math></b>	9965	<b><math>10^6</math></b>	<b><math>10^9</math></b>		
<b><math>10^4</math></b>	13.2	100	<b><math>10^4</math></b>	$1.3 \cdot 10^5$	<b><math>10^8</math></b>	<b><math>10^{12}</math></b>		
<b><math>10^5</math></b>	16.5	316.2	<b><math>10^5</math></b>	$1.6 \cdot 10^6$	<b><math>10^{10}</math></b>	<b><math>10^{15}</math></b>		
<b><math>10^6</math></b>	20	1000	<b><math>10^6</math></b>	$2.0 \cdot 10^7$	<b><math>10^{12}</math></b>	<b><math>10^{18}</math></b>		



# Amortised Efficiency

- So far, efficiency is related to each step of a single run of algorithm.
- In some cases, single run (one step) can be very expensive, but subsequent run (steps) can be much cheaper
  - Real life example:
    - To drink water, dig a well.
      - First time very costly, subsequently minimal cost
    - Giving a lecture first time on new topic
      - Subsequent lectures on same topic much easier
- Thus, amortise the cost over  $n$  operations

# Exercises - A

- For the following algorithms (problems), identify
  - Natural input size metric
  - Basic operation
  - Count of basic operation (average case)
- P01: Computing sum of  $n$  numbers
- P02: Computing factorial( $n$ )
- P03: Finding largest element of  $n$  numbers
- P04: List all prime numbers  $< n$  using Sieve method
- P05: Multiplying 2 numbers each of  $n$  digits

# Exercises-B

- Glove selection: There are 24 gloves in a drawer: 5 pairs of red gloves, 4 pairs of yellow, and 3 pairs of green.
- You select two gloves in the dark and can check them only after a selection has been made. What is the smallest number of gloves you need to select to have (guarantee) the following:

(Hint: Gloves are left and right side)

- At least one matching pair? (worst case)
- At least one matching pair in the best case?
- At least one matching pair of each color? (worse case)
- Ans:
  - one matching pair: worst case: 13, best case: 2
  - one matching pair of each color: 22

# Exercises-C

Missing socks: Imagine that after washing 5 distinct pairs of socks, you discover that two socks are missing. Of course, you would like to have the largest number of complete pairs remaining. Thus, you are left with 4 complete pairs in the best-case scenario and with 3 complete pairs in the worst case. Assuming that the probability of disappearance for each of the 10 socks is the same, find the probability of

- Q  $C_1$ : The best-case scenario;
- Q  $C_2$ : The worst-case scenario;
- Q  $C_3$ : The number of pairs you should expect in the average case.
- Answers:
  - $C_1$ :  $5/45 = 1/9$
  - $C_2$ :  $40/45 = 8/9$
  - $C_3$ :  $4 \cdot 1/9 + 3 \cdot 8/9 = 28/9 = 3\frac{1}{9}$

# Summary :Analysis Framework

- Both time and space efficiencies are measured as functions of the algorithm's input size
- Time efficiency is measured by counting the number of times the base operation of algorithm is executed.
- Space efficiency is measured by counting the number of extra memory units consumed by algo.
- Efficiency for same algorithm may vary significantly for inputs of same size.
  - Worst case, Best case, and Average case
- Framework primary interest in order of growth
  - Running time of algorithm