

# Design and Analysis of Algorithms

## L18: Knapsack Problem

Dr. Ram P Rustagi  
Sem IV (2020-Even)  
Dept of CSE, KSIT  
[rprustagi@ksit.edu.in](mailto:rprustagi@ksit.edu.in)

# Resources

- Text book 2: Sec 4.1, 4.3, 4.4
- Text book 1: Sec 9.1-5.4 - Levitin
- RI: Introduction to Algorithms
  - Cormen et al.

# Example: Knapsack Problem

- A flower street vendor procures the flowers from KR Market and sells these during the day. The quantity of flowers available are limited along with respective profits are as below.
  - Roses: 10kg with a profit of Rs 250
  - Lilies: 8kg with a profit of Rs 240
  - Daisies: 6kg with a profit of Rs 210.
  - Jasmine: 6Kg with a profit of Rs 120
- The vendor has a carrying bag with a capacity of 20kg, would like to maximize the profit for the day. The vendor can buy any quantity (from 0kg to its max limit as given above) for any flower.
- Q: Which quantity of each flower vendor should buy?

# Flower Buying: Approach 1

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
  - *Roses 10Kg / Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
  - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Equal quantity of each flower:
  - Buy same quantity of each variety of flower i.e. buy  $20/4=5$  kg of Rose, Daisies and Lilies and Jasmine
- The profit earned for the day is
  - Roses:  $5*250/10 = \text{Rs } 125$
  - Lilies:  $5*240/8 = \text{Rs } 150$
  - Daisies:  $5*210/6 = \text{Rs } 175$
  - Jasmine:  $5*120/6 = \text{Rs } 100$
- Net profit:  $\text{Rs } 125+150+175+100 = \text{Rs } 550$

# Flower Buying: Approach 2

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
  - *Roses 10Kg / Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
  - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Buy in equal proportions of their availability
  - Roses:  $20 * 10/30 = 20/3 \text{Kg}$ , Lilies:  $20 * 8/30 = 16/3 \text{Kg}$
  - Daisies:  $20 * 6/30 = 4 \text{Kg}$ , Jasmine  $20 * 6/30 = 4 \text{Kgs}$
- The profit earned for the day is
  - Roses:  $(20/3) * 250/10 = \text{Rs } 500/3 = \text{Rs } 166.6$
  - Lilies:  $(16/3) * 240/8 = \text{Rs } 160$
  - Daisies:  $4 * 210/6 = \text{Rs } 140$
  - Jasmine:  $4 * 120/6 = \text{Rs } 80$
- Net profit:  $\text{Rs } 166.67 + 160 + 140 + 80 = \text{Rs } 546.67$

# Flower Buying: Approach 3

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
  - *Roses 10Kg / Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
  - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Buy as per max profit known (greedy approach 1)
  - Roses: 10Kg, Lilies: 8Kg, Daisies: 2Kg, Jasmine: 0Kg
- The profit earned for the day is
  - Roses:  $10 \times 250 / 10 = \text{Rs } 250$
  - Lilies:  $8 \times 240 / 8 = \text{Rs } 240$
  - Daisies:  $2 \times 210 / 6 = \text{Rs } 70$
  - Jasmine:  $0 \times 120 / 6 = \text{Rs } 0$
- Net profit:  $\text{Rs } 250 + 240 + 70 + 0 = \text{Rs } 560$

# Flower Buying: Approach 4

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
  - *Roses 10Kg /Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
  - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Buy as per capacity from min (greedy approach 2)
  - Jasmine: 6Kg, Daisies: 6Kg, Lilies: 8Kg, Roses: 0Kg
- The profit earned for the day is
  - Roses:  $0 \times 250 / 10 = \text{Rs } 0$
  - Lilies:  $8 \times 240 / 8 = \text{Rs } 240$
  - Daisies:  $6 \times 210 / 6 = \text{Rs } 210$
  - Jasmine:  $6 \times 120 / 6 = \text{Rs } 120$
- Net profit:  $\text{Rs } 0 + 240 + 210 + 120 = \text{Rs } 570$

# Flower Buying: Approach 5

- *Flowers: quantity/total profit*
  - *Roses 10Kg / Rs 250, Lilies: 8Kg / Rs 240*
  - *Daisies 6Kg / Rs 210, Jasmine: 6Kg / Rs 120*
- Greedy approach 3: get max profit per kg of flowers
  - Profits per Kg: R: Rs 25, L: Rs 30, D: 35, J: 20
  - Daisies: 6Kg, Lilies: 8Kg, Roses: 6Kg, Jasmine: 0Kg
- The profit earned for the day is
  - Roses:  $6 * 250 / 10 = \text{Rs } 150$
  - Lilies:  $8 * 240 / 8 = \text{Rs } 240$
  - Daisies:  $6 * 210 / 6 = \text{Rs } 210$
  - Jasmine:  $0 * 120 / 6 = \text{Rs } 0$
- Net profit:  $\text{Rs } 150 + 240 + 210 + 0 = \text{Rs } 600$



# Flower Buying

- Profit comparisons:
  - Approach 1 (equal quantity): Rs 550/-
  - Approach 2 (in equal ratios): Rs 546.67
  - Approach 3 (Max highest profit): Rs 560/-
  - Approach 4 (Smallest capacities): Rs 570/-
  - Approach 5 (Greedy): Rs 600/-
- Does the Greedy approach always works?
  - Yes (for fractional knapsack)
  - No (for 0-1 knapsack)
    - 0-1 knapsack: can not buy partial quantities
- Can there be multiple optimal solutions?
  - Consider that both Roses, Lilies have profit of Rs 25/Kg

# Example 2: Suitcase Packing

- You are travelling by air and airline has limit of 15Kg on the check in bag.
- You have a large number of stuffs to carry with you.
- How do you decide what items to pack and which ones to leave behind.

# Overview: Knapsack Problem

- Knapsack problem (fractional):
  - Given  $n$  objects, and a knapsack (bag) with a capacity  $m$ , fill the knapsack to maximize the value as follows
    - Each object  $i$  has weight  $w_i$  (+ve number)
    - Each object  $i$  has +ve profit  $p_i$  (+ve number)
    - If a fraction  $x_i$  ( $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ ) of the object  $i$  is placed in the knapsack, the profit  $p_i x_i$  is earned.
  - **Objective:** Obtain a filling of the knapsack that maximizes the total profit earned. Mathematically

$$\text{Maximize } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i x_i$$

$$\text{Subject to } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} w_i x_i \leq m \quad \text{and } 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

# Knapsack Problem

- Lemma 1:
  - In case the sum of all quantities is  $\leq m$ , then  $x_i=1, 1 \leq i \leq n$  is an optimal solution.
  - So, let us consider that sum of weights exceed  $m$ .
- Lemma 2:
  - All optimal solutions will fill the knapsack exactly.
  - Note: we can always increase the quantity of some object  $i$  by a fractional amount till the total weight becomes exactly  $m$ .
- Analysis: Does it fit the subset paradigm?
  - Yes: we are selecting a subset of objects.

# Algorithm: Knapsack Problem

```
Void GreedyKnapsack(float m, int n) {  
  //p[1:n] and w[1:n] contain the profits and weights  
  //The indices are ordered as per following criteria  
  //  $p[i]/w[i] \geq p[i+1]/w[i+1]$  ,  $1 \leq i < n$ .  
  // m is knapsack size, and x[1:n] is the solution vector  
    initialize x[i] to 0.0  
    float U=m  
    for i=1 to n  
      if w[i] > U  
        break  
      x[i]=1.0  
      U=U-w[xi]  
    if i ≤ n  
      x[i] = U/w[i]
```

# Theorem: Knapsack Problem

Theorem:

If  $p_1/w_1 \geq p_2/w_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n/w_n$ , then GreedyKnapsack generates an optimal solution to the given instance of the knapsack problem.

Methodology to be used for proof:

- Compare the greedy solution with any optimal solution.
- If the two solutions differ, then first  $x_i$  at which they differ.
- Then show that  $x_i$  in the optimal solution equal to that in the greedy solution without any loss in total value.
- Repeated use of this transformation shows that greedy solution is optimal

# Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal

- Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the solution generated by GreedyKnapsack.
- If all the  $x_i$  equal one, the solution is optimal.
- Let  $j$  be the least index such that  $x_j \neq 1$ .
- From the algorithm, we know that
$$0 \leq x_j < 1, \text{ and}$$
$$x_i = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j, \text{ and}$$
$$x_i = 0 \text{ for } j < i \leq n.$$
- Let  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be the optimal solution, Thus
$$\sum w_i y_i = m$$
- Let  $k$  be the index such that  $y_k \neq x_k$
- Since two solutions differ, such  $k$  must exist. Since all  $x_k$  prior to  $x_j$ 's are 1, clearly  $y_k < x_k$ .

# Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

$x_1$	$x_2$	...	$x_{j-1}$	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	$x_{j+1}$	...				$x_n$
1	1	1	1	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	0	0	0			0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where  $x_j$  is not 0



$y_1$	$y_2$	...	<b><math>y_k</math></b>	...	$y_j$	...				$x_n$
1	1	1	<b><math>y_k</math></b>	...	$y_j$	0	0			0

An optimal solution found some way

First index where  $y_k$  differs from  $x_k$





# Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

case 1:  $k < j$ ,  $x_k = 1$ , hence  $y_k < x_k$

$x_1$	$x_2$	...	$x_k$	...	$x_{j-1}$	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	$x_{j+1}$	...		$x_n$
1	1	1	1	1	1	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	0	0		0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where  $x_j$  is not 0

$y_1$	$y_2$	...	<b><math>y_k</math></b>	...	$y_{j-1}$	$y_j$	...			$y_n$
1	1	1	<b><math>y_k</math></b>	...	$y_{j-1}$	$y_j$	...			

An optimal solution found some way

First index where  $y_k$  differs from  $x_k$

# Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

case 2:  $k=j$

if  $y_k \neq x_k$ , then  $\sum w_i y_i > m$ , because  $\sum w_i x_i = m$

$x_1$	$x_2$	...	...	...	$x_{j-1}$	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	$x_{j+1}$	...		$x_n$
1	1	1	1	1	1	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	0	0		0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where  $x_j$  is not

$y_1$	$y_2$	...	...	...	...	<b><math>y_k</math></b>	...			$y_n$
1	1	1	...	...	1	<b><math>y_k</math></b>	...			

An optimal solution found some way

First index where  $y_k$  differs from  $x_k$

# Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

case 3 :  $k > j$ , This is not possible since  $\sum w_i y_i > m$

$x_1$	$x_2$	...	...	...	$x_{j-1}$	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	$x_{j+1}$	...		$x_n$
1	1	1	1	1	1	<b><math>x_j</math></b>	0	0		0

Solution by Greedy Approach

First index where  $x_j$  is not 0

$y_1$	$y_2$	...	...	...	...	...	...	<b><math>y_k</math></b>		$y_n$
1	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	<b><math>y_k</math></b>		

An optimal solution found some way

First index where  $y_k$  differs from  $x_k$

# Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

- To show that  $y_k < x_k$ , there exists 3 possibilities
  - i .  $k < j$ : since  $x_k = 1$ , and  $y_k \neq x_k$ , and so  $y_k < y_k$
  - ii .  $k = j$ : since  $\sum w_i x_i = m$ , and  $y_i = x_i$  for  $1 \leq i < j$ ,  
then either  $y_k < x_k$  or  $\sum w_i y_i > m$
  - iii .  $k > j$ : then  $\sum w_i y_i > m$ , which is not possible
- To show that  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is optimal solution.
  - Increase  $y_k$  to  $x_k$  and decrease as many of  $(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$  as necessary so that total capacity is still  $m$ .
  - This gives a new solution  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  such that
    - $z_i = x_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ ; and
    - $\sum_{k < i \leq n} w_i (y_i - z_i) = w_k (z_k - y_k)$

# Proof: Greedy Approach is Optimal...

- Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i z_i &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i y_i) + (z_k - y_k) w_k \frac{p_k}{w_k} - \sum_{k < i \leq n} (y_i - z_i) w_i \frac{p_i}{w_i} \\
 &\geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i y_i) + \left[ (z_k - y_k) w_k - \sum_{k < i \leq n} (y_i - z_i) w_i \right] \frac{p_k}{w_k} \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (p_i y_i) \quad \text{since } p_k / w_k \geq p_{k+1} / w_{k+1} \geq \dots \geq p_n / w_n
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, if  $\sum p_i z_i > \sum p_i y_i$ , then  $y$  could not have been optimal solution.
- If  $\sum p_i z_i = \sum p_i y_i$ , then either  $z = x$  and  $x$  is optimal, or  $z \neq x$ .
- If  $z \neq x$ , then repeat the process to show that  $y$  is not optimal or transform  $y$  to  $x$  and hence  $x$  is optimal.

# Summary

- Greedy approach (fractional) knapsack