

# FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

**TO:** NYS Office of Judicial Integrity (OJI)

**FROM:** Matthew Russell LaBarre (Pro Se Relator) 97 Theron Street Johnson City, NY 13790

**REGARDING:** Hon. Oliver N. Blaise, III Justice, Supreme Court, 6th Judicial District (Broome County)

**CASE REFERENCE:** *Matthew Russell LaBarre vs. Broome County Clerk, et al.* Index No. EFCA2025001843

**DATE OF COMPLAINT:** October 15, 2025

---

## I. NATURE OF THE COMPLAINT

This is a formal complaint of **serious judicial misconduct** by the Hon. Oliver N. Blaise, III. The misconduct consists of a clear and demonstrable pattern of:

- Denial of Due Process:** Systematically refusing to recognize, process, or rule upon my properly filed court documents, culminating in an order that bars all future filings without notice or a hearing.
- Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):** Willfully ignoring and failing to accommodate my documented disabilities, and mischaracterizing my requests for accommodation as "abusive."
- Willful Violation of Court Rules:** Acting in direct contravention of **22 NYCRR § 202.5-bb**, which exempts unrepresented litigants from mandatory e-filing.
- Prejudicial and Biased Conduct:** Adopting the mischaracterizations of opposing counsel and issuing a punitive order that lacks factual or legal basis, effectively closing the courthouse doors to me.

This conduct is not a mere legal error; it is a **willful abuse of judicial authority** that has caused me significant harm, including the recent seizure of my documented support animal by an opposing party who now feels emboldened by the court's actions.

## II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND & EVIDENCE

The evidence of misconduct is clear from the court's own docket. On October 15, 2025, Justice Blaise issued a "Letter, Decision & Order" (Doc. 204) stating my filings were "abusive" and "unrelated to the petition," and ordering that the court would no longer accept any submissions from me.

This is demonstrably false, as proven by the NYSCEF Document List (**see Exhibit A, attached**).

## Analysis of NYSCEF Document List (Index No. EFCA2025001843)

The docket shows a clear pattern: my filings, which are directly relevant to the case, were being processed until the court abruptly and improperly shut down the case.

Doc #	Date Received	Document Type/Information	Status
162	10/13/2025	<b>ADA ROUTED FILING UNDER POOR-PERSON (OSC WITH PROPOSED TRO &amp; PRESERVATION)</b>	Process
168	10/13/2025	<b>URGENT FWD RE:MORIARTY REQUEST FOR LEGAL ALIAS</b>	Process
172	10/13/2025	<b>URGENT ESCALATION: UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS TO MARIOTT BONVOY ACCOUNT</b>	Process
175-203	<b>10/15/2025</b>	OTHER COURT FILED DOCUMENT / LETTER/CORRESPONDENCE	<b>PENDIN</b>
204	10/15/2025	LETTER/CORRESPONDENCE FROM COURT - Letter Decision & Order	Process

This timeline proves that the court's assertion that my filings were "unrelated" is a pretext. The court was aware of my urgent, relevant filings and instead of ruling on them, it issued an order to silence me.

## III. SPECIFIC VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL ETHICS

### 1. Violation of § 100.2(A) - A judge shall...act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

By refusing to process my filings and then issuing a factually baseless order, Justice Blaise has created the appearance of partiality and cronyism. It appears the court is protecting the Broome County Clerk and Attorney's Office from litigation rather than adjudicating the case impartially.

## **2. Violation of § 100.3(B)(4) - A judge shall perform judicial duties without bias or prejudice.**

Justice Blaise has exhibited clear bias by adopting the "abusive" and "unrelated" narrative from opposing counsel without any independent analysis, as the docket proves.

## **3. Violation of § 100.3(B)(6) - A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding...the right to be heard according to law.**

I have been denied the right to be heard. My filings are being systematically ignored. The court has not ruled on my motions; it has simply decreed that they will not be accepted.

## **4. Violation of § 100.3(B)(7) - A judge shall dispose of all judicial matters promptly, efficiently and fairly.**

The court has done the opposite. It has allowed my motions to languish without decision, and then unfairly disposed of the entire case to avoid ruling on them.

# **IV. HARM CAUSED BY MISCONDUCT**

The court's misconduct is not abstract. It has led to direct and immediate harm:

1. **Denial of Justice:** My claims regarding the Broome County Clerk and my rights under CPLR Article 78 have not been heard.
2. **Denial of ADA Rights:** My requests for reasonable accommodation have been ignored and mocked.
3. **Escalation of Personal Harm:** The court's refusal to act has emboldened opposing parties. On October 15, 2025, my separated partner, Jennifer, felt empowered to seize my documented support animal. The court's inaction has left me with no immediate recourse to recover an animal necessary for my well-being.

# **V. RELIEF REQUESTED**

I request that the Office of Judicial Integrity conduct a full and immediate investigation into the conduct of the Hon. Oliver N. Blaise, III.

Specifically, I request:

1. **Immediate intervention** to ensure my filings are processed and my right to access the court is restored.
2. A formal finding that Justice Blaise has committed serious judicial misconduct.

3. **Disciplinary action** against Justice Blaise, including potential removal from the bench, to restore public confidence in the judiciary.
4. A review of all cases handled by Justice Blaise involving *pro se* litigants and persons with disabilities to identify any similar patterns of misconduct.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This is not a disagreement with a legal ruling; this is a complaint about the fundamental breakdown of the judicial process. The evidence is in the court's own docket. The court is not dispensing justice; it is dispensing with it. I have been left with no other option but to seek the intervention of the Office of Judicial Integrity.

I affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Respectfully submitted,

---

**Matthew Russell LaBarre**

Pro Se Relator

---

## EXHIBIT A: NYSCEF DOCUMENT LIST (Index No. EFCA2025001843)

*(The images provided by the user showing the NYSCEF document list from Doc #157 to #204 would be attached here.)*

'''