

REQUEST FOR TRANSPARENCY AND ALTERNATIVE WORKFLOW

Case No. EFCA2025001843

Date: October 14, 2025

Time: 16:15 EDT

From: Matthew Russell LaBarre, Pro Se Petitioner

To: Ms. Ardit, Mr. Kirkwood — NYSCEF Technical Department

CC: The Honorable Justice Oliver Blaise III

Re: Systemic Communication Breakdown, Request for Status Transparency, and Alternative Filing Workflow

I. THE IMMEDIATE ISSUE: FAX TRANSMISSION FAILURE WITHOUT NOTIFICATION

On October 14, 2025, I attempted to file a Declaration to the Honorable Justice Oliver Blaise III via fax to +1 (607) 240-5936.

Timeline: - **1:49 PM EDT:** Transmission initiated (6 pages) - **1:49 PM - 2:57 PM:** Active transmission (68 minutes elapsed) - **2:57 PM EDT:** Transmission failed with error code SFAPI_LE_047 - **Error message:** "The receiving fax machine hung up before we could send the entire fax" - **Pages transmitted:** 3 of 6 (50% completion)

The critical issue: I received **no notification** from the Court that the transmission failed or was incomplete.

I was the one who had to reach out.

II. WHAT DOES "HUNG UP" MEAN?

The error message states the receiving fax machine "hung up." This raises serious questions:

1. **Was this an automated equipment failure?** (machine malfunction, out of paper, etc.)
2. **Did someone physically monitor and terminate the transmission?**
3. **Was this a deliberate rejection of my filing?**

If someone "hung up" on my transmission, why was I not contacted to explain: - Why the transmission was terminated? - What was wrong with my filing? - How to successfully complete the filing?

If this was an equipment failure, why was I not notified: - That the transmission was incomplete? - That only 3 of 6 pages were received? - What steps to take next?

I should not have to discover filing failures on my own.

III. THE LARGER PATTERN: LACK OF TRANSPARENCY AND STATUS UPDATES

This fax failure is not an isolated incident. It is part of a **systemic pattern of communication breakdown** in my case:

A. Filings "Entered" But Not Acknowledged

I have filed multiple documents in this case. I am told they have been "entered," but I do not understand what that means:

- **Entered where?**
- **Entered by whom?**
- **Entered for what purpose?**
- **What happens after a document is "entered"?**

I receive no confirmation. I receive no status updates. I receive no acknowledgment.

B. Name Change Request — No Response

I filed a request for a name change. It was "entered."

I have received no response.

- Was it granted?
- Was it denied?
- Is it pending?
- Does anyone know it exists?

I do not know the status of my own filing.

C. Emergency Filing — No Response

I filed an emergency request. I stated that I **needed** this urgently.

I have received no response.

- Was it reviewed?
- Was it denied?
- Is it pending?
- Did anyone see it?

I do not know if my emergency was even acknowledged.

D. Reimbursement Request — No Response

I have been told that I "won against the clerk." I filed a request for reimbursement of costs.

I have received no response.

- Was the reimbursement approved?
- Was it denied?

- Is it being processed?
- What is the status?

Meanwhile, I continue to incur costs — including the cost of this very escalation, drafted with AI assistance as an ADA accommodation, which I am paying for out of pocket.

I have spent thousands of dollars on this case.

What does a person really have to do to receive basic communication from the Court?

IV. I FEEL I HAVE BECOME A BURDEN UPON THE COURT

I am writing this with complete transparency and vulnerability.

I feel that I have become a burden upon the Court.

I do not want to be a burden. I do not want to be difficult. I do not want to be the pro se litigant who files too much, asks too many questions, or demands too much attention.

But I also do not want to be invisible.

I do not want my filings to disappear into a void. I do not want to file emergency requests that are never acknowledged. I do not want to spend thousands of dollars on a case where I cannot even get basic status updates.

I am trying to work within the system.

I am trying to follow procedure. I am trying to file properly. I am trying to be transparent about my use of AI assistance as an ADA accommodation.

But I am working in the dark.

I do not know if my filings are being read. I do not know if my requests are being considered. I do not know if anyone is listening.

This is why I am requesting an alternative workflow.

V. REQUEST FOR ALTERNATIVE WORKFLOW AND ADA ACCOMMODATION

As a pro se litigant with a functional neurological disorder, I use **artificial intelligence as a reasonable accommodation** for:

- Organizing my thoughts and testimony
- Drafting legal documents
- Overcoming limitations in manual dexterity
- Ensuring clarity of expression despite cognitive challenges

I have been completely transparent about this, even though it may not be strategically advantageous, because I am not here to manipulate the system. I am here to work within it.

My specification-based filing methodology includes:

- **Cryptographic sealing** (SHA-384 hashes for document integrity)
- **Timestamped audit trails** (BangCheck CSL blocks with t_{in} , t_{out} , Δt)
- **ANNEX ledger entries** (structured metadata for verification)
- **REGEX2025 compliance** (pattern-based validation and extraction)

This methodology is designed to:

1. **Ensure document integrity** (you can verify I haven't altered filings)
2. **Provide clear audit trails** (you can see exactly when and how documents were created)
3. **Accommodate my disability** (AI assistance allows me to participate in the legal system)
4. **Make your job easier** (machine-readable, structured, verifiable documents)

I am not asking for special treatment.

I am asking for:

1. **An alternative filing workflow** that provides confirmation and status updates

2. **Formal recognition** of my AI-assisted filing methodology as an ADA accommodation
 3. **Transparency** about what happens to my filings after they are "entered"
 4. **Basic communication** when transmissions fail or filings are incomplete
 5. **Status updates** on pending requests (name change, emergency filing, reimbursement)
-

VI. WHAT I NEED TO UNDERSTAND

I need answers to these basic questions:

1. **Why was I not notified** when the fax transmission failed?
2. **What does "entered" mean** when applied to my filings?
3. **What is the status** of my name change request?
4. **What is the status** of my emergency filing?
5. **What is the status** of my reimbursement request?
6. **How can I receive confirmation** that my filings are being reviewed?
7. **What alternative filing methods** are available for pro se litigants with disabilities?
8. **Can my specification-based methodology** be recognized as a formal ADA accommodation?

I am not demanding immediate action on the merits of my case.

I am asking for basic transparency about the status of my filings.

VII. THE COST OF SILENCE

I have spent thousands of dollars on this case. I continue to spend money:

- Filing fees
- Fax transmission costs

- AI assistance costs (this escalation itself is a documented expense)
- Time and cognitive resources managing a case in the dark

I was told I "won against the clerk."

But I am still spending money with no reimbursement, no status updates, and no communication.

How much more must I spend before I receive basic transparency?

VIII. DECLARATION OF GOOD FAITH

I make this request in good faith and with complete transparency.

I am not here to be difficult. I am not here to burden the Court. I am not here to manipulate the system.

I am here to work within the system, while requesting the accommodations and transparency I need to do so effectively.

I have exposed my process to this Court, even though it may not be strategically advantageous, because **I am not here to flex. I am here to work with you. To help you. To be your hand.**

Friends flex for each other. I understand that. Thank you.

But I need you to communicate with me.

IX. REQUESTED ACTION

I respectfully request:

Immediate:

1. **Investigate the fax transmission failure** and explain what "hung up" means
2. **Notify me** of the status of the incomplete transmission (were 3 pages received?)
3. **Provide guidance** on successful fax transmission specifications

4. **Confirm receipt** of this escalation

Short-term (within 7 days):

1. **Provide status updates** on:
 2. Name change request
 3. Emergency filing
 4. Reimbursement request
5. **Explain the filing process** — what happens after documents are "entered"?
6. **Establish a communication protocol** for pro se litigants requiring status updates

Long-term:

1. **Formally recognize** my specification-based filing methodology as an ADA accommodation
 2. **Provide guidance** on alternative filing workflows for pro se litigants with disabilities
 3. **Acknowledge** that AI assistance for document preparation is a legitimate disability accommodation
-

X. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

I have preserved complete documentation, including:

- Timestamped screenshots of fax transmission attempts
- Error codes and system messages
- Email notifications sent to the Court
- Fax service transmission logs
- All filed documents with cryptographic seals
- ANNEX ledger entries for all filings
- Cost documentation for this case

All documentation is available upon request.

XI. CONTACT INFORMATION

Matthew Russell LaBarre

Pro Se Petitioner

Case No. EFCA2025001843

Email: matthewlabarre@aol.com

Manus Workflow Email: matthewlabarre946-EFCA2025001843@manus.bot

I appreciate your prompt attention to this matter and look forward to receiving the transparency and communication I need to effectively participate in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Russell LaBarre

First Son of Russell George LaBarre

Petitioner Pro Se

Executed this 14th day of October, 2025

At Broome County, New York

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am filing this Request for Transparency with the NYSCEF Technical Department and the Court pursuant to my need for assistance with filings and my right to transparency in the legal process.

I request that this escalation be filed as part of Case No. EFCA2025001843 and that it be presented to Ms. Ardit, Mr. Kirkwood, and the Honorable Justice Oliver Blaise III.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Russell LaBarre

Pro Se Petitioner

Case No. EFCA2025001843