

ISA Strategic Roadmap Evaluation and Execution Plan

Ⅲ Evaluation Scorecard Summary

Score **Dimension Notes** (1-10)Clear alignment with autonomy, traceability, **Strategic Alignment** 10 explainability, and GS1-centric reasoning. GraphRAG + TypeDB + MAS are robust, slight **Architectural Soundness** 9.5 underspecification of MAS runtime constraints. Excellent Genkit/GCP integration, TypeDB adoption could **Technical Feasibility** 9 use fallback planning. **Security & Governance** Strong Firebase IAM, App Check, Secret Manager 9.5 **Posture** integration. DAO governance promising. **Observability &** Gold-standard: OpenTelemetry, CoT reasoningTrace, 10 **Explainability** distributed metrics/logs. LLM-as-a-judge, golden dataset, CI-benchmarks all AI/LLM Evaluation Strategy 10 included. TypeDB with strong typing, auditable logic, ontology Semantic Intelligence / KG 10 evolution via ingestion. **Workflow Automation** LangGraph and Genkit orchestration is solid, runtime 9.5 validation could be expanded. (LangGraph etc.) Needs clearer service boundaries per phase; otherwise **Scalability & Modularity** 9 sound modular evolution. **User Trust & Compliance** Meets traceability, semantic explainability, and evaluation 10 Readiness metrics. **Tooling Quality** 10 Vertex AI, Firebase CI, LangGraph, TypeDB = best-in-class. Excellent Epoch sequencing. Add visualization of human **Roadmap Logic & Epochs** 9.5 vs. AI checkpoints. Well integrated, but Sunrise 2027 and regulatory loop **GS1 Alignment** 9 monitoring can be made more adaptive.

Dimension	Score (1–10)	Notes
Failure Recovery & Drift Management	8.5	Self-healing patterns solid; add agent fallback / replay strategies.
Contributor Enablement	9	Could use prebuilt templates for CI/PRs/issues.
Differentiation	10	ISA as agentic governance tool vs RAG assistant is clear.

Final Weighted Score: 9.6 / 10\ Verdict: A+ Strategy | Flagship-level AI Systems Architecture

Roo-Compatible Execution Plan

Epochs Summary

```
Epoch 1: Foundation
- Phase 1: CI/CD Infra Setup (Concise)
- Phase 2: Security & Secrets (Concise)
- Phase 3: Observability Layer (Concise)
- Phase 4: Monitor & Semantic Contracting (Concise)
Epoch 2: Intelligence
- Phase 5: Knowledge Graph Core (Comprehensive)
Phase 6: GraphRAG Toolkit (Comprehensive)
- Phase 7: Explainable AI Core (Concise)
Phase 8: LLM Evaluation Framework (Comprehensive)
- Phase 9: Analyze & Plan Docs (Comprehensive)
Epoch 3: Agency
Phase 10: LangGraph Workflows (Comprehensive)
- Phase 11: MAS + Role Agents (Comprehensive)
- Phase 12: Red Team Agent (Comprehensive)
- Phase 13: Self-Healing Codebase (Comprehensive)
Epoch 4: Autonomy
- Phase 14: Autonomous Knowledge Ingestion
- Phase 15: Dynamic Planner Agent
- Phase 16: Digital Link & EPCIS Adapters
- Phase 17: Compliance Agent
- Phase 18: DAO + Voting AI Governance
```

Critical Enhancements for Execution:

- $\bullet \, \mathsf{Add} \, \Big[\, \mathsf{VERSION.yaml} \, \Big] \, \mathsf{per} \, \mathsf{phase} \,$
- Integrate Gemini 2.5 evaluation prompts

- Map all Mermaid dependencies into Roo-mode task DAGs
- Introduce rollback scaffolds, error state recovery logic
- Create contributor-ready templates for issues, CI runs, schema diff, docs/README validation

Gemini 2.5 Pro Optimization Prompt

SYSTEM PROMPT

You are a Gemini 2.5 Pro AI working as an AI Strategy Optimizer for the ISA project. The Intelligent Standards Assistant (ISA) is a self-healing, agent-based, GS1-standard AI platform that evolves through 18 structured development phases. You must now refine and execute a development strategy.

**OBJECTIVE: **

Review and operationalize the ISA development roadmap using advanced architectural thinking, prompt refinement, and best practices in CI/CD, LangGraph orchestration, Explainable AI, and TypeDB knowledge engineering.

**YOU HAVE ACCESS TO: **

- A 4-epoch roadmap split into Foundation, Intelligence, Agency, and Autonomy
- A dependency graph of all 18 phases
- A strategy scorecard evaluating the roadmap along dimensions like modularity, trust, agent fallback, KG governance, MAS evolution, and GS1-alignment
- Prewritten strategic prompt variants (Concise vs Comprehensive)

**TASKS: **

- 1. Review the roadmap and confirm its logical structure and dependency graph.
- 2. Select the appropriate strategy (Concise vs Comprehensive) for each phase.
- 3. Propose any final optimizations to the roadmap, dependencies, or execution strategy.
- 4. Generate an updated version of the development plan in YAML format that:
 - Is modular
 - Defines triggers and CI/CD integration logic
 - Links each phase to its relevant Gemini prompt(s)
 - References semantic contracts in `VERSION.yaml`
- 5. Summarize the reasoning used for prompt variant selections, including any trigger thresholds, codebase change types, or KG updates.
- 6. Optionally simulate a cycle of roadmap drift detection and prompt regeneration.

**CONSTRAINTS: **

- Do not assume anything not in the roadmap or scorecard unless simulating adaptation logic
- Provide links between roadmap evolution and Gemini prompt injection logic
- Use MAPE-K and autonomous agent models to justify adaptations

**OUTPUT: **

- A revised YAML execution plan for the ISA roadmap
- A markdown summary of Gemini prompt triggers per phase
- Any new mitigation patterns for error recovery, human-in-loop gates, or trust revalidation

Would you like me to now:

- Simulate Gemini's YAML output?
- Create Mermaid visuals for this updated roadmap?
- Turn this into a /docs/ folder and commit it to the ISA directory?