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### AFMC PGI 5315.406-3-90 Use of Abstract of Offers as Documentation of Price Reasonableness

If adequate price competition exists as defined in FAR 15.403-1(c)(1)(i); the contract type is FFP; and price/price and technical acceptability/price, technical acceptability and the acceptability of past performanceare the only factors being evaluated other than the RFP terms and conditions, and tradeoffs are not permitted between price and non-price factors (i.e., when an LPTA approach is used), an [SF1409](https://www.gsa.gov/forms-library/abstract-offers-0)***/*** [1410](https://www.gsa.gov/forms-library/abstract-offers-continuation), Abstract of Offers and Continuation sheet may be used as the pricing evaluation documentation. The SF1409/1410 should be marked to include the following information:

(a) The accepted price should be prominently displayed, for example circled in colored ink, to facilitate quick identification/flagging of the information. If the quantity accepted is different from that called for on the solicitation, the accepted quantity (variance) should also be flagged.

(b) If a low offer is rejected and one at a higher price is accepted, identify the reason for the rejected offer(s); however, refrain from annotating any information concerning contractor responsibility, or other sensitive information not releasable to the public, on the SF1409/1410. (This information should be documented separately in the file).

(c) The following award information should be included at the bottom of the listing of offerors and prices: (i) Contract number; (ii) List of CLINs awarded; (iii) Total amount of award; and (iv) Statement that price(s) are considered fair and reasonable based on adequate price competition.

EXAMPLE: CONTRACT NR: F09603-00-C-9876

CLINS AWARDED: 0001 – 0003

TOTAL AWARD AMOUNT: $4,098,123

Price is considered fair and reasonable based on adequate price competition.

(d) The contracting officer signs the SF1409/1410.