

IT Collect BY 2024 ITPFM Vendor Meeting 2

June 1, 2022

Decisions Made

No formal decisions made.

Action Items

No action items.

Agenda

- Key Updates to BY 2024 Submission Schema
 - Technology Modernization Fund (TMF) Data
 - System ID Data
- Vendor Feedback on BY 2024 Implementation
 - Handling Completed Project Data
 - Pre-Populating the New Project Status Field
 - Metric Actual Validate-Only Submissions
- Delivery Timetable & Additional BY 2024 Changes

Key Points by Agenda Item

Content covered in the BY 2024 ITPFM Vendor Meeting 2 can be found at the following links: [June 1 Vendor Meeting Agenda](#), [BY 2024 Submission Overview Document](#) (search "Submission Overview"), and [BY 2024 ReDoc](#).

Technology Modernization Fund (TMF) Data

- As noted at the BY 2024 Kick-Off Meeting, a new “TMF Initiative” field has been added to the BY 2024 Submission Schema. This field is expected for all projects in BY 2024.
- The IT Collect TMF field will be a single select drop-down field that will allow users to indicate which TMF initiative finances a given project or report “N/A” for projects not funded by TMF.
 - A list of valid TMF initiatives/projects can be found on the TMF site: <https://tmf.cio.gov/projects/>, and this link is included in the BY 2024 Submission Overview document.
- See the June 1 BY 2024 Vendor Meeting Agenda more detail on the implementation of TMF data for BY 2024.
 - Field details can also be found in the BY 2024 ReDoc Submission Schema (under Projects) and BY 2024 Submission Overview Document.

System ID Data

- As noted at the BY 2024 Kick-Off Meeting, a new “System IDs” field has been added to the BY 2024 Submission Schema. For each Service (investment), agencies will report an array of Continuous Diagnostics and Mitigation (CDM) designated High Value Asset (HVA) systems financed by the Service.
- All system ID values will be validated against a list of valid CDM HVAs upon submission.
 - Following a question, GSA confirmed that they are working with the DHS CISA team to receive the authoritative list of CDM System IDs, along with the relevant CDM points of contact at each agency.
 - Once GSA has the authoritative list, they will share the data points via the API (but are currently unsure if there will be subject to further restrictions on sharing outside of the API). These conversations with CISA are currently ongoing, and GSA hopes to have more information to share soon.

- See the “System ID Data” section of the June 1 BY 2024 Vendor Meeting Agenda for more detail on this collection.
 - This is also reflected in the BY 2024 Submission Overview Document and ReDoc Submission Schema.

Handling Completed Project Data

- This feedback item pertains to the handling of completed projects that may not be updated in the BY 2024 submission cycle – and would therefore not report values for the new planned, projected, and actual cost and date fields.
- One vendor suggested setting completed projects’ existing Project Start Date, Project End Date, and Project Total Cost values as the new **Actual** project start date, end date, and total costs values.
 - This would only be executed for completed projects, based on their current statuses reported on the IT Dashboard.
 - The IT Collect Team is currently planning on moving forward with this approach for BY 2024.
- Another option would be to roll-up any cost and schedule data from the existing child activities to pre-populate the new Planned, Projected, and Actual cost and schedule fields for their respective projects.
 - However, multiple vendors expressed concerns that this would cause issues with their application and could pose risks from a data quality standpoint. This option has been ruled out by the IT Collect Team.
- See the “Feedback Point 1” section of the June 1 BY 2024 Vendor Meeting Agenda for more detail on the feedback received.

Pre-Populating the New Project Status Field

- This feedback item pertains to the pre-populating of the new BY2024 Project Status field.
- IT Collect currently generates project status values based on activity level data using the following options:
 - ‘Not Started’
 - ‘In Progress’
 - ‘Completed’
- Project Status is now a submittable field (rather than system generated) and will have two additional reporting options. The reporting options will be:
 - ‘Not Started’
 - ‘In Progress’
 - ‘Completed’
 - ‘Deferred’
 - ‘Canceled’
- One vendor suggested to NOT pre-populate the new BY 2024 Project Status field with existing BY 2023 system generated data, since the existing system generated data is not stored within vendor applications.
 - As a result, the IT Collect Team plans on creating a new stand-alone field for Project Status in BY 2024 that will not be pre-populated. Any BY 2023 data will be archived and displayed within the projects IT Dashboard data feed for projects that have not been updated in BY 2024.
- See the “Feedback Point 2” section of the June 1 BY 2024 Vendor Meeting Agenda for more detail on the feedback received.

Metric Actual Validate-Only Submissions

- This feedback item pertains to possibly removing the path parameter violations from Metric Actual validate-only submissions.
- Currently, agencies cannot process an error-free validate-only submission on an embedded document (Metric Actual) prior to the creation of the parent document (Metric).
- One vendor suggested removing API path validations from validate-only submissions, which would allow vendors to process Metric and Metric Actual documents within the same validate-only batch submission process.

- Another vendor was opposed to removing the path parameter violations from validation, as it would not be consistent with validations for validate-only = “false” submissions and could negatively affect the integrity of the data that gets submitted to IT Collect.
- During the call, GSA discussed the possibility of allowing vendors to opt in or opt out of allowing Metric and Metric Actual documents within the same validate-only batch submission process. The IT Collect Team is investigating the feasibility of this approach.
- See the “Feedback Point 3” section of the June 1 BY 2024 Vendor Meeting Agenda for more detail on the feedback received.

Delivery Timetable & Additional BY 2024 Changes

- For a timetable of BY 2024 deliverables, as well as additional BY 2024 changes, see pages 2-3 of the June 1 BY 2024 Vendor Meeting Agenda.
- Based on discussion, the next Vendor meeting will be scheduled following the release of the BY 2024 submission candidate in the IT Collect Staging environment (expected later in June).

Attendees by Vendor/Agency

Vendor/Agency	Attendees
DHS	Krishna Behera, Juan Ortega, Melody Parker
DOT	Christopher DeRose, Jay Desai, Ayan Dini, Eric Kim
FED Pass	Elizabeth Naum, Ken Nehring
Folio	Fiona Caretto, Cameron Egan, Alex Murray, April Osajima, Carson Smith, Dale StHillaire, Hank Veach
GSA OGP VP	Arvind Nadikatla, Jason Marceau, Rob McNeil, Mientao Tsai
NASA	Ron Davis, Doug Kalkowski, Anh Nguyen, Rodney Rutledge, Vijay Uppalli
OMB	Chris Gomba, Jamal Rittenberry
Oracle	Courtney Corwin
Treasury	Sriram Avasarala, Chinh Bui, Kelly Harris, Randy McGuire, Ravindra Shirbhate
VA	James Pack, Donna Quinn
CPIC PMO	Kyle Carrick, Keith Ott, Dan York
IT Collect	Ryan Dougherty, Yvanna Salas, Greg Mitchell