Organists of the classification from the control of								
Controlled Unclassified Information								
Agency Authorization Review Report								
Agonoy	Authorization Review Re	,port	Trello Card					
FedRAMP Review for:	FedRAMP Review for: CSP Name							
Status:	(select action)	Date:	MM/DD/YYYY					
Service Model:	(select)	Deployment Model:	(select)					
Document Versions Reviewed:	SSP (vx.x MM/DD/YY), SAP (vx.x	MM/DD/YY), SAR (vx.x MM/DD/YY) and POA&M (vx.x MM/DD/YY)					
ssessor (3PAO or Agency Selected): (enter assessor info) System Categorization:								
Section A: Executive Summary								

Section A: Executive Summary					

Key:	ey: Issue = Action Required. OK = No action required. N/A = Not applicable for this package.							
Section B: Documents Provided Check								
#	Description	Provided?		#	Description	Provided?		
1.0	Initial Authorization Package Checklist			4.0	Security Assessment Plan (SAP)*			
2.0	ATO Provided			4.1	App. A - Security Test Case Procedures			
3.0	System Security Plan (SSP)*			4.2	App. B - Penetration Testing Plan and Methodology			
3.1	Att. 1: Information Security Policies & Procedures*			4.3	App. C - 3PAO Supplied Deliverables (e.g., Penetration Test Rules of Engagement and Sampling Methodology)			
3.2	Att. 2: User Guide			5.0	Security Assessment Report (SAR) *			
3.3	Att. 3: Digital Identity Level Selection*			5.1	App. A - Risk Exposure Table			
3.4	Att. 4: Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)			5.2	App. B - Security Test Case Procedures			
3.5	Att. 5: Rules of Behavior (ROB)			5.3	App. C - Infrastructure Scan Results			
3.6	Att. 6: Information System Contingency Plan (ISCP)*			5.4	App. D - Database Scan Results			
3.7	Att. 7: Configuration Management Plan (CMP)*			5.5	App. E - Web Application Scan Results			
3.8	Att. 8: Incident Response Plan (IRP)*			5.6	App. F - Assessment Results			
3.9	Att. 9: Control Implementation Summary (CIS) Workbook			5.7	App. G - Manual Test Results			
3.1	Att. 10: Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 199 Categorization			5.8	App. H - Documentation Review Findings			
3.11	Att. 11: Separation of Duties Matrix			5.9	App. I - Auxiliary Documents			
3.12	Att. 12: Laws and Regulations			5.10	App. J - Penetration Test Report			
3.13	Att. 13: Integrated Inventory Workbook			6.0	Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M)*			
				7.0	Continuous Monitoring Plan (ConMon Plan)			
Other Co	omments:							

. 13: Integrated Inventory Workbook	 6.0	Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M)*	
	7.0	Continuous Monitoring Plan (ConMon Plan)	
ents:			
		7.0	7.0 Continuous Monitoring Plan (ConMon Plan)

Section	n C: Overall SSP Checks		
#	Description	OK/Issue	Comments
1a	Is the correct SSP Template used?		
1b	Is the correct Deployment Model chosen for the system?		
2	Do all controls have at least one implementation status checkbox selected?		
3	Are all critical controls implemented?		
4a	Are the customer responsibilities clearly identified in the CIS - CRM Tab, as well as the SSP Controls (by checkbox selected and in the implementation description)? Are the CIS-CRM and SSP controls consistent for customer responsibilities?		
	A sampling of 7 controls involving customer roles is reviewed.		
4b	Does the Initial Authorizing Agency concur with the CRM (adequacy and clarity of customer responsibilities)?	TBD	Agency to advise during review meeting.

		Albania Alban Bardara Barant	Get pkg ID fro
	Agency A	uthorization Review Report	Trello Card
5	Does the Roles Table (User Roles and Privileges) sufficiently describe the range of user roles, responsibilities, and access privileges?		
6	In the control summary tables, does the information in the Responsible Role row correctly describe the required entities responsible for fulfilling the control?		
7	Is the appropriate Digital Identity Level selected?		
8	Is the authorization boundary explicitly identified in the network diagram?		
9	Is there a data flow diagram that clearly illustrates the flow and protection of data going in and out of the service boundary and including all traffic flows for both internal and external users?		
10	Are any third-party or external cloud services lacking FedRAMP Authorization used?		
11a	If this is a SaaS or a PaaS, is it "leveraging" another laaS with a FedRAMP Authorization?		
11b	If 11a is Yes, are the "inherited" controls clearly identified in the control descriptions?		
12	Are all interconnections correctly identified and documented in the SSP?		
13	Are all required controls present?		
14	Is the inventory provided in the FedRAMP Integrated Inventory Workbook?		
15	Is the CSO compliant with DNSSEC? (Controls SC-20 and SC-21 apply)		
16	Does the CSO adequately employ DMARC (Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting & Conformance (DMARC) requirements) according to DHS BOD 18-01?		
her C	omments:		

Section	D: Moderate SSP Critical Control Checks		
Control	Control	OK/Issue	Comments
AC-2	Account Management		
AC-4	Information Flow Enforcement		
AC-17	Remote Access		
CA-1	Security Assessment and Authorization Policies and Procedures		
CM-6	Configuration Settings		
CP-7	Alternate Processing Site		
CP-9	Information System Backup		
IA-2(1)	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users) - network access to privileged accounts.		
IA-2(2)	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users) - for Network Access to Non-privileged Accounts		
IA-2(3)	Identification and Authentication - Local Access to Privileged Accounts		
IA-2(11)	Identification and Authentication - Remote Access - Separate Device Authentication		
IA-2(12)	Identification and Authentication - Acceptance of PIV Credentials		
IR-8	Incident Response Plan		
RA-5	Vulnerability Scanning		
RA-5(5)	Vulner. Scan Privileged Access Authorization		
RA-5(8)	Vulner. Scan Review Historic Audit Logs		
SA-11	Developer Security Testing and Evaluation		
SA-11(1)	Developer Security Testing and Evaluation - Static Code Analysis		
SC-4	Information in Shared Resources		
SC-7	Boundary Protection		
SC-13	Cryptographic Protection - FIPS-validated or NSA-approved		
Other Co	mments:		

Controlled	Unclassified	Information
------------	--------------	-------------

Agency Authorization Review Report

Get pkg ID from Trello Card

#	Description	OK/Issue	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAP template used, including all sections?		
2	Security Assessment Test Cases (Test Case Workbook) present?		
3a	Rules of Engagement present?		
3b	Penetration Test Plan present (may be combined with Rules of Engagement)?		
4	Is there an inventory of items to be tested?		
5	If a sampling methodology was used for technical testing, was the sampling methodology/plan described?		

Section	F: SAR Checks		
#	Description	OK/Issue	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAR template used, including all sections?		
2	Are risks documented?		
3	Was evidence provided, or was there a statement that evidence can be provided upon request?		
4a	Completed Security Assessment Test Cases present and in accordance with FedRAMP template?		
4b	If SSP controls reflect any alternative implementations, do the test cases reflect specific test procedures to address each particular alternative implementation?		
5	Security scan results present?		
6	Penetration Test Report present and consistent with the FedRAMP Pen Test Guidance?		
7	Are deviations from the SAP documented?		
8	Does the 3PAO provide an attestation statement or recommendation for authorization?		
9	Are there zero High findings identified in the SAR? If there are any high findings, provide number and comments.		
10	Are the numbers of risks/findings consistently stated within the SAR, where appropriate?		
11	Are the inventory lists within the SAR and SSP consistent?		
12	Are SAR test results consistent with FedRAMP Timeliness and Accuracy of Testing Requirements?		
Other Co	mments:		
Findings: High: Mod: Low: # risks do	wngraded (by level) due to mitigating factors		

Section	G: POA&M Checks					
#	Description	OK/Issue	Comments			
1	Is the POA&M in the FedRAMP POA&M template?					
2	POA&M consistent with SAR Risk Exposure Summary Table?					
3	Are the POA&M line items consistent with the FedRAMP POA&M Template Completion Guide?					
4a	Have any Operationally Required items (ORs) in the POA&M been validated by 3PAO? (Included in the SAR)					
4b	Have all ORs risk accepted by the Authorizing Agency?					
5	Does the POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 for Moderate, and 180 days for low vulnerabilities)?					
Other Co	Other Comments:					

Controlled Unclassified Information				
Agency Authorization Review Report	Get pkg ID from Trello Card			
	•			
	v4.3			