

# FedRAMP OSCAL Early Adopters Workgroup Knowledge Capture

---

**August 30, 2023**

## Meeting Details

**Purpose:** Weekly working session for the participants of FedRAMP OSCAL Automation Early Adopters work group (by invitation only).

### Outcomes

- Shared understanding of Charter and Mission of the Workgroup
- Shared understanding of SAR Schematron Rules and rule levels.

### [Slide Deck](#)

## Meeting Notes

- Tom Sr. reviewed purpose and outcomes for the workgroup, guiding principles, workgroup's charter/mission, provided some OEAW updates, current issues on the early adopters github
- Aaron Nolan presented a demonstration of the API system
- Tom Sr. informed the group that they would be moving next to Part 2
- Tom Sr. informed the group that they would be moving to biweekly meetings, next meeting September 13th

## Participant Questions/Conversation:

- MC: Is there a test package we could have to put into our instance?
  - TV: You should be getting that tomorrow.
- TV: We've had a lot of questions about why we use IP based filtering - the main reason is there's a hosting component for the API's we're developing. FedRAMP doesn't want to host its own software, it will go through an ATO of all that stuff when we're ready. We just have whitelisting for the group that we have, we might open up the group a bit more for folks that are interested in participating.
- SL: In the documentation it asks for a log in type, I was trying all the different ones, is there a specific way to do that?

- TV: I'm going to show you how to do that, we'll go through that today.
  - AN: The log in type is always going to be a 2
  - SL: Is that in the swagger right now?
  - AN: It doesn't have the exact values, we're working on that. But always use 2
  - TV: Our guys are working on that right now
- SL: Is the log in type a string or an integer?
  - TV: An integer
- SL: The header has to be plain text? I can't do application JSON?
  - BK: Yes for now
- SL: Could you put the path for the download for those materials in the chat?
  - <https://github.com/vitg-gsa-automation/earlyadopters/tree/main/presentations/materials>
- SL: (During file upload step of validation process) So are you pointing to the artifact that was already uploaded?
  - TV: This is a specific upload to the validation services. We wanted to give you guys a way to just push a file to validate without really uploading it
  - SL: So this won't also create an artifact in the repository?
  - TV: That's correct.
- SL: Does every rule come in both JSON and XML?
  - TV: Yes
- SL: It said on the document that it accepts the ZIP, does the validation also accept ZIP files?
  - TV: We can't break it up yet. You guys are helping us drive that with the sample structure you've proposed
- BK: For the people that are here, do you guys think you're going to use Curl (?), do you think you're going to use Swagger? Just to get an idea
  - SL: I'm using Python so I've been doing it in code
  - RI: I find Swagger incredibly useful, you can test things out and see the big picture. There are other interfaces that read the underlying spec that Swagger uses. I think the documentation is really helpful and I love testing things in Swagger.
  - RF (in chat): Swagger

## Chat copy:

*Rachel Kim*

12:35 PM

<https://github.com/vitg-gsa-automation/earlyadopters/tree/main/presentations/materials>

*RegScale Integrations*

12:56 PM

Great presentation!

Robert Ficcaglia

1:00 PM

Swagger

Actions

| Owner |                          | Action Item |  |
|-------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
|       | <input type="checkbox"/> |             |  |
|       | <input type="checkbox"/> |             |  |
|       | <input type="checkbox"/> |             |  |
|       | <input type="checkbox"/> |             |  |