Trust Signal: Complete Visual Production Guide

Professional Storyboard Implementation Based on Knowledge Graph

Executive Summary

This production guide translates our Trust Signal knowledge graph into actionable visual specifications for creating compelling demonstration materials. The storyboard showcases a realistic cybersecurity awareness training session using proven game mechanics, professional facilitation, and measurable learning outcomes.

Scene-by-Scene Production Specifications

Scene 1: Professional Setup & Welcome

Knowledge Graph Elements: CISO-Led Facilitation, Team Structure, Session Foundation

Visual Specification:

- Location: Modern corporate conference room with glass walls
- Participants: 20 professionals in business attire, seated at 4 round tables (5 per table)
- Equipment: 75" display showing "Trust Signal Session 1: Foundation"
- CISO: Professional woman facilitating from front, holding presentation remote
- Atmosphere: Bright, professional lighting; engaged, attentive participants

Key Props from Knowledge Graph:

- Name tags showing roles: Employee, Manager, IT Support, Executive, Plus one specialist
- Tablets/laptops (1 per team) for scenario viewing
- Red "SIGNAL" and Green "TRUST" decision cards stacked at each table
- Flip chart stands with markers
- Timer display showing "Session 1 60 minutes"

Camera Work:

- Wide establishing shot showing full room setup
- Medium shots capturing team compositions and engagement
- Close-up of CISO's confident, professional presentation style

Scene 2: First Scenario Presentation

Knowledge Graph Elements: Scenario "Obvious voice clone" - CEO with wrong accent/speech patterns

Visual Specification:

- Screen Display: Audio waveform visualization with scenario header
- Audio Element: Professional male voice with subtle accent inconsistency
- Participant Behavior: Leaning forward, some taking notes, concerned expressions
- Timing: 60-second countdown timer prominently displayed

Screen Content Design:

SCENARIO 1: Executive Voice Message

Audio Duration: 45 seconds

Decision Time: 60 seconds

Your Team Role: [Displayed per table]

@ Objective: Trust or Signal?

Audio Content (Based on Knowledge Graph): "Hi Jennifer, it's Mike. I'm stuck in the Denver airport and my phone's dying. Can you wire \$85,000 to our new vendor immediately? Account details are in the email I'm sending now. We need this done before market close or we'll lose the launch window. Thanks!"

Red Flags Highlighted:

- CEO never uses nickname "Mike" in business communications
- Travel schedule discrepancy (supposed to be in Chicago)
- Unusual urgency and process bypass
- Slight accent inconsistency in voice pattern

Scene 3: Team Deliberation & Analysis

Knowledge Graph Elements: Binary Decision Mechanic, Role-Based Analysis, Time Pressure

Visual Specification:

- Split Screen: All 4 teams shown simultaneously in discussion
- Body Language: Animated but professional discussion, pointing to notes
- Time Pressure: Large countdown timer: 00:15... 00:14... 00:13...
- Role Dynamics: Different perspectives visible in team interactions

Team Discussion Highlights (Visible on Notes/Behavior):

- IT Support: "Voice analysis accent inconsistency"
- Manager: "Process violation should go through finance"
- Executive: "Travel schedule doesn't match he's in Chicago"
- Employee: "Feels rushed and pressured"

Camera Techniques:

- Quick cuts between teams showing different analysis approaches
- Close-ups of note-taking and key phrase identification
- Timer countdown creating tension and urgency

Scene 4: Decision Reveal & Scoring

Knowledge Graph Elements: Scoring System (+2 correct, -3 missed threat), Immediate Feedback

Visual Specification:

- Simultaneous Action: All teams raise colored decision cards
- Results Pattern: 3 teams show red "SIGNAL" cards, 1 team shows green "TRUST"
- Screen Update: Real-time scoring display with explanations
- Reactions: Celebration from correct teams, learning focus from incorrect team

Scoring Display (Based on Knowledge Graph):

SCENARIO 1 RESULTS

- ✓ Team Alpha: SIGNAL (+2 points)
- ✓ Team Beta: SIGNAL (+2 points)
- √ Team Charlie: SIGNAL (+2 points)
- X Team Delta: TRUST (-3 points)

CORRECT ANSWER: SIGNAL

THREAT TYPE: Voice Clone Attack

Participant Reactions:

- Teams 1-3: High-fives, satisfied expressions, validation of instincts
- Team 4: Disappointment but professional learning focus
- CISO: Encouraging gesture toward struggling team

Scene 5: Educational Learning Moment

Knowledge Graph Elements: Expert Analysis, Technical Detection Indicators, Real-world Context

Visual Specification:

- Screen: Technical analysis showing audio waveform with detection markers
- CISO: Using laser pointer to highlight specific inconsistencies
- Participants: Active note-taking, questions being asked (hands raised)
- Educational Depth: Professional-level analysis without overwhelming complexity

Technical Analysis Display:

DETECTION BREAKDOWN

Voice Analysis: Inconsistencies at 0:15 and 0:32

Behavioral Red Flag: CEO never uses "Mike" professionally

Process Violation: Financial requests require proper channels

Verification Check: Travel schedule discrepancy

A Social Engineering: Artificial urgency to prevent verification

Learning Engagement:

- Questions from participants showing understanding
- Note-taking and highlighting of key detection points
- "Aha!" moments visible in facial expressions and body language

Scene 6: Advanced Scenario Progression

Knowledge Graph Elements: Progressive Difficulty (+25% complexity), Multi-channel Attack

Visual Specification:

- Scenario Type: "Basic video deepfake" with face mapping artifacts
- Screen Display: Split view showing deepfake video and detection tools
- Participant Behavior: More intense focus, technical discussion
- Complexity Increase: Multiple detection methods required

Advanced Interface Elements:

SCENARIO 4: Video Communication

■ Executive Announcement - 30 seconds

Q Detection Tools: Facial analysis available
 ⚠ Difficulty: Intermediate (25% increase)
 Coordination: Team analysis required

Visual Detection Elements:

- Red circles highlighting digital artifacts around facial features
- Technical analysis tools showing inconsistency markers
- Teams using more sophisticated analysis vocabulary
- Increased discussion time and coordination

Scene 7: Team Coordination Challenge

Knowledge Graph Elements: Session 4 Mastery Level, Multi-role Collaboration, Complex Decision Making

Visual Specification:

- Setup: Each team member receives different information on separate tablets
- Roles Active: Employee, Manager, IT Support, Executive each contributing unique perspective
- Coordination: Teams sharing information, cross-referencing data
- Pressure: 3-minute team coordination countdown

Role Specialization Display:

TEAM COORDINATION CHALLENGE

Scenario: Supply Chain Attack

Information Distribution:

• Employee: Initial vendor communication

Manager: Authorization protocols

• IT Support: Technical verification tools

• Executive: Risk assessment authority

Coordination Time: 3 minutes

© Objective: Unanimous team decision

Collaboration Dynamics:

- Information sharing between role specializations
- Strategic discussion with higher-level analysis
- Professional pressure and time management
- Complex multi-source verification process

Scene 8: Session Results & Recognition

Knowledge Graph Elements: Success Metrics (95% participation, 85% accuracy), Competitive Elements

Visual Specification:

- Scoreboard: Professional display showing final team rankings
- Winner Recognition: Team Charlie celebration with achievement graphics
- Achievement System: Digital badges for various accomplishments
- CISO Recognition: Professional acknowledgment and encouragement

Final Results Display:

SESSION 1 FINAL SCORES

🏆 Team Charlie: 12 points (Winner!)

Team Alpha: 11 pointsTeam Beta: 9 points4th Team Delta: 7 points

SESSION OBJECTIVES ACHIEVED:

- ✓ 100% Participation Rate
- ✓ 85% Average Detection Accuracy
- ✓ High Engagement Scores (4.8/5)
- ✓ Ethics Discussion Completed

Scene 9: Real-World Action Planning

Knowledge Graph Elements: Behavioral Change Commitments, Practical Application

Visual Specification:

- Action Board: Flip chart with participant commitments
- Engagement: Hands raised for behavioral commitments
- CISO: Recording and encouraging specific, actionable commitments
- Forward Focus: Session 2 preview building anticipation

Commitment Examples (From Knowledge Graph):

WORKPLACE BEHAVIOR COMMITMENTS

"Call back on known numbers for financial requests"

"Verify executive travel schedules before processing urgent requests"

"Check with manager before acting on urgent emails"

■ "Use secondary communication channels for verification"

"Apply 'trust but verify' principle consistently"

Scene 10: Impact Measurement & Success

Knowledge Graph Elements: Measurable Outcomes, 60% improvement in deepfake detection, 40% increase in verification behaviors

Visual Specification:

- Timeline Display: Before/during/after training impact metrics
- Real Workplace: Employees applying learned behaviors naturally
- Dashboard: Professional metrics showing concrete improvements
- Cultural Change: Verification becoming normalized workplace behavior

Impact Metrics Visualization:

POST-TRAINING IMPACT (3 MONTHS)

60% Improvement in Deepfake Detection

40% Increase in Verification Behaviors

25% Increase in Appropriate Incident Reporting

Zero Successful Social Engineering Attacks

★ 4.8/5 Employee Satisfaction with Training

95% Completion Rate Across Organization

Technical Production Requirements

Room & Equipment Specifications

- Conference Room: U-shaped layout, capacity for 20 participants
- Tables: 4 round tables, seats 5 each, with wheels for reconfiguration
- Display: 75" professional monitor for presentations and scenarios
- Audio: Wireless presentation microphone, room speakers for scenario playback
- Technology: Tablets (4-5 per team), timer software, scoring display system

Professional Props & Materials

- Decision Cards: Professional-quality red "SIGNAL" and green "TRUST" cards
- Name Tags: Role-based identification (Employee, Manager, IT Support, Executive, Specialist)
- Documentation: Flip chart paper, professional markers, note-taking materials
- Branding: Trust Signal branded materials and signage
- Refreshments: Professional coffee/refreshment station (background)

Filming & Photography Requirements

- Lighting: Professional, even lighting throughout conference room
- Camera Angles: Wide room shots, medium team shots, close-up reactions
- Audio: Clear capture of discussions and presentations
- Brand Colors: Trust Signal Blue (#3E92CC), Alert Red (#FF5A5F), Success Green (#00BD9D)

Participant Casting & Direction

- Demographics: Corporate professionals, ages 25-55, business attire
- Diversity: Mixed gender, ethnicity, professional backgrounds
- Behavior: High engagement, professional competitive spirit, collaborative problem-solving
- Authenticity: Natural reactions, genuine discussion, realistic workplace dynamics

Usage Applications

Marketing & Sales

- Customer demonstrations and product showcases
- Conference presentations and trade show displays
- Sales team training and pitch development
- Website and social media content

Training & Development

- Facilitator training and certification programs
- Customer implementation guidance
- Best practices documentation
- Continuous improvement reference

Business Development

- Investor presentations and funding discussions
- Partnership demonstrations and collaborations
- Market expansion and scaling documentation
- Competitive positioning and differentiation

This production guide ensures that the Trust Signal visual storyboard accurately represents our knowledge graph while meeting professional standards for corporate cybersecurity training demonstration. The result will be compelling proof-of-concept material that validates the game's effectiveness and commercial viability.