Hyperactivations for Activation Function Exploration

Gobind Puniani MATH 198: Spring 2020 02/06/20

Activation Functions

- Usually a fixed activation function used to introduce non-linearity between layers
- Often ignored as researchers stick with popular choices
 - ReLU, Tanh, etc.
- Can affect network's capacity, speed of convergence, and performance accuracy
 - Varied activations may have greater effect

Activation Functions (Cont.)

- Currently unknown as to how activation functions interact to produce resulting behavior
- Performance of activations depends on architecture and task (despite claims to the contrary for new ones)
- Idea: let the network find the best activation function during training

Previous Methods

- · Agostellini et al. proposed developing activations for each individual neuron
 - Rough function surfaces(?)
 - Overnormalized
- Use reinforcement learning to generate non-linearities from training many networks
 - Reward based on performance of activation
 - Swish invented this way
 - Limited search space due to restricted math operators
 - Extreme computational cost
 - Generalized, not task-specific

Hyperactivations

- Authors opted for learned activations per layer due for computational and parameter efficiency
- One hyperactivation takes the place of all activations
- Hyperactivation constructed from two parts:
 - Activation network (shallow forward-feed net)
 - Hypernetwork
- Activation network needs a function to bootstrap from

Important Equations

- A is the nonlinearity, W_a is the activation weight matrix, x is the vector, and e is the embedding
- With the vector and reshaping: $AN(x) = \operatorname{reshape}(A(vec(x), W_a), x_{(w_i, h_i)})$
- Incorporating a hypernetwork in activation network above:

$$AN(x) = \text{reshape}(A(vec(x), H(e, W_h)), x_{(w_i, h_i)})$$

Experiment

- MNIST
 - Small CNN trained with Adam
 - Smaller network: non-linearities more non-linear
 - Learned activations far different from popular choices
- CIFAR-10
 - ResNet-16 with 9 ReLUs replaced by hyperactivations
 - Trained with Adam for only 10 epochs

Conclusion

- First to consider a hypernetwork-based metalearning approach to learning activation functions
- Hyperactivations produced activation functions better suited for the tasks than standard activation functions
 - Faster convergence and higher test accuracy in both MNIST and CIFAR-10