# Results on Varying Learning Rates

Gobind Puniani
MATH 198: Spring 2020
02/13/20

#### TLALOC Runs

- \* 3 models:
  - \* DenseNet-121
  - \* MobileNet v2
  - \* SE Net-18
- \* 5 Learning Rates (factors of 10):
  - \* 10<sup>-4</sup>
  - \* 10<sup>-3</sup>
  - \* 10<sup>-2</sup>
  - \* 10<sup>-1</sup>
  - \* 10<sup>0</sup>

- \* 5 activation functions:
  - \* ReLU
  - \* Swish
  - \* Mish
  - \* TAct
  - \* mTAct
- \* 3 runs each (averaged)
- \* Best in column: italicized
- \* Best in row: bolded

# DenseNet 121 Test Top-1 Accuracy

| LR     | Mish     | ReLU     | Swish    | TAct     | mTAct    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0001 | 0.903349 | 0.900481 | 0.904437 | 0.904635 | 0.906909 |
| 0.0010 | 0.904964 | 0.910733 | 0.908788 | 0.9077   | 0.908986 |
| 0.0100 | 0.882021 | 0.877637 | 0.882351 | 0.885153 | 0.877703 |
| 0.1000 | 0.862902 | 0.885614 | 0.867220 | 0.874571 | 0.885450 |
| 1.0000 | 0.250494 | 0.099848 | 0.31194  | 0.100046 | 0.100178 |

#### DenseNet 121 Test Top-3 Accuracy

| LR     | Mish     | ReLU     | Swish    | TAct     | mTAct    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0001 | 0.986056 | 0.984342 | 0.985265 | 0.985825 | 0.985661 |
| 0.0010 | 0.985924 | 0.987507 | 0.986452 | 0.986880 | 0.987276 |
| 0.0100 | 0.979397 | 0.977749 | 0.980749 | 0.981145 | 0.980024 |
| 0.1000 | 0.974585 | 0.980353 | 0.977024 | 0.978277 | 0.981309 |
| 1.0000 | 0.499637 | 0.299941 | 0.507384 | 0.299215 | 0.299875 |

## MobileNet v2 Test Top-1 Accuracy

| LR     | Mish     | ReLU     | Swish    | TAct     | mTAct    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0001 | 0.738133 | 0.718585 | 0.725541 | 0.770866 | 0.776174 |
| 0.0010 | 0.863199 | 0.859935 | 0.866627 | 0.867880 | 0.852420 |
| 0.0100 | 0.880505 | 0.862342 | 0.879747 | 0.866858 | 0.862540 |
| 0.1000 | 0.178995 | 0.157404 | 0.260252 | 0.122594 | 0.098233 |
| 1.0000 | 0.101925 | 0.099585 | 0.099288 | 0.099914 | 0.099255 |

#### MobileNet v2 Test Top-3 Accuracy

| LR     | Mish     | ReLU     | Swish    | TAct     | mTAct    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0001 | 0.929688 | 0.923259 | 0.923919 | 0.943730 | 0.947191 |
| 0.0010 | 0.974486 | 0.975277 | 0.975541 | 0.976200 | 0.971585 |
| 0.0100 | 0.979727 | 0.976431 | 0.978540 | 0.977255 | 0.974321 |
| 0.1000 | 0.415084 | 0.440961 | 0.476266 | 0.324862 | 0.222805 |
| 1.0000 | 0.299974 | 0.300831 | 0.298919 | 0.298820 | 0.297139 |

## SE Net-18 Test Top-1 Accuracy

| LR     | Mish     | ReLU     | Swish    | TAct     | mTAct    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0001 | 0.882747 | 0.874637 | 0.881659 | 0.876846 | 0.874604 |
| 0.0010 | 0.904964 | 0.901833 | 0.905558 | 0.889076 | 0.880142 |
| 0.0100 | 0.883801 | 0.886142 | 0.887889 | 0.879219 | 0.878165 |
| 0.1000 | 0.861650 | 0.868473 | 0.829608 | 0.832311 | 0.710311 |
| 1.0000 | 0.099585 | 0.100508 | 0.099420 | 0.098563 | 0.157470 |

## SE Net-18 Top Test-3 Accuracy

| LR     | Mish     | ReLU     | Swish    | TAct     | mTAct    |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0001 | 0.980320 | 0.977683 | 0.978573 | 0.977453 | 0.976925 |
| 0.0010 | 0.985858 | 0.984375 | 0.985727 | 0.980914 | 0.978672 |
| 0.0100 | 0.980353 | 0.981705 | 0.979694 | 0.978771 | 0.979661 |
| 0.1000 | 0.972211 | 0.973662 | 0.964662 | 0.963739 | 0.867979 |
| 1.0000 | 0.299875 | 0.301325 | 0.300666 | 0.310160 | 0.427314 |

#### Conclusion

- \* The original learning rate (0.0010) was optimal for all activation functions on DenseNet-121
  - Except Mish top-3
- \* There was a fair amount of variability among learning rates for best performances on SE Net-18 and MobileNet v2
- \* The highest learning rate (1.0000) was the worst for all activation functions