



Error Estimates for Finite Element Methods for Second Order Hyperbolic Equations

Author(s): Garth A. Baker

Source: *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Sep., 1976, Vol. 13, No. 4 (Sep., 1976), pp. 564-576

Published by: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.com/stable/2156246>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



JSTOR

Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*

ERROR ESTIMATES FOR FINITE ELEMENT METHODS FOR SECOND ORDER HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS*

GARTH A. BAKER†

Abstract. The standard Galerkin method for a mixed initial-boundary value problem for a linear second order hyperbolic equation is analysed.

Optimal estimates for the error in $L^\infty(L^2)$ are derived using L^2 -projections of the initial data as starting values, and minimal smoothness requirements on the solution.

1. Introduction. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , a generic point of which will be denoted by $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and let $\partial\Omega$ denote the boundary of Ω which will be assumed to be an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional manifold of class C^∞ .

For fixed $0 < T < \infty$, we shall be interested in approximating the solution of the following mixed initial-boundary value problem. A function $u(x, t)$ defined on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ is sought which satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x, t) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(x, t) \right) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \end{cases}$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = q_0(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

f , u_0 and q_0 are given functions,

$$(1.4) \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2,$$

for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and all $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Dupont [2] has analyzed both the continuous-time and a fully discrete three-level Galerkin method for the problem (1.1)–(1.3). For the continuous-time method, Dupont obtains optimal $L^\infty(L^2)$ estimates for the error, $O(h^r)$ using subspaces of piecewise polynomial functions of degree $\leq r - 1$, for $r \geq 2$, assuming that the starting values are $O(h^r)$ close to the H^1 -projections of the initial data u_0 and q_0 [2, Th. 1].

In this work it is shown that the optimal $L^\infty(L^2)$ estimates for the error are obtainable using L^2 -projections of the initial data as starting values, and with less assumptions on the smoothness of the solution. This is the content of Theorem 3.1.

* Received by the editors May 7, 1975.

† Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138. This research was supported by the Fonds National Suisse pour la Recherche Scientifique.

Here, estimates $O(h^r + \tau^2)$ are derived for the error in L^2 for a fully discrete method, using the above subspaces, where τ denotes the discrete time step. The L^2 -projections of the initial data are used as starting values, which eliminates the relative computational difficulties of choosing starting values in [2]. Also, the proof of Theorem 4.2, where these estimates are derived, reveals the correct smoothness assumptions for the solution.

Throughout the paper, C will denote a general constant, not necessarily the same in any two places.

2. Notation. For $s \geq 0$, $H^s(\Omega)$ will denote the Sobolev space $W_2^s(\Omega)$ of real-valued functions on Ω ; the norm on $H^s(\Omega)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_s$. For definitions and the relevant properties of these spaces, we refer to [3].

In particular, $H^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$, the inner product and norm on which will be denoted by

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx, \quad u, v \in L^2(\Omega),$$

and

$$\|u\| = \{(u, u)\}^{1/2}, \quad u \in L^2(\Omega).$$

$C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ will denote the space of infinitely differentiable functions on Ω which have support compactly contained in Ω and $\dot{H}^1(\Omega)$ will denote the subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$ obtained by completing $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Also following [3], $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|v\|_{-1} = \sup_{\substack{\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \\ \psi \neq 0}} \frac{|(v, \psi)|}{\|\psi\|_1}, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Again, following [3], for H a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_H$, and $v : [0, T] \rightarrow H$ Lebesgue measurable, the following norms are defined:

$$\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; H)} = \left(\int_0^T \|v(\cdot, t)\|_H^2 \, dt \right)^{1/2},$$

and

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H)} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_H.$$

We adopt the notation

$$L^p(0, T; H) = \{v : [0, T] \rightarrow H : \|v\|_{L^p(0, T; H)} < \infty\}, \quad p = 2, \infty.$$

Associated with (1.1) is the bilinear form

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right\} dx, \quad u, v \in H^1(\Omega).$$

From (1.4) and (1.5) it follows that there exist constants $C_1 < \infty$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad |a(u, v)| \leq C_1 \|u\|_1 \|v\|_1 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in H^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad a(u, u) \geq C_2 \|u\|_1^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega).$$

The boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has the following weak formulation: a mapping $u \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))$ is sought with

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)),$$

such that

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(\cdot, t), v \right) + a(u(\cdot, t), v) = (f(\cdot, t), v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega), \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad (u(\cdot, 0), v) = (u_0, v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0), v \right) = (q_0, v) \quad \text{for all } v \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega).$$

Existence and uniqueness of a solution u of (2.3)–(2.5) for $f \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ and $u_0, q_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega)$ is proved, for example, in [3].

Henceforth, it will be assumed that the problem (2.3)–(2.5) has a unique solution u , and in the appropriate places to follow, additional conditions on the regularity of u which guarantee the convergence results, will be imposed.

Let $r \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. In the notation of [1], we assume the existence of families $\{S_h^r(\Omega)\}_{0 < h \leq 1}$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of $\dot{H}^1(\Omega)$ which possess the following approximation properties.

There exists a constant C such that if $v \in H^s(\Omega) \cap \dot{H}^1(\Omega)$, $1 \leq s \leq r$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \inf_{\chi \in S_h^r(\Omega)} \{ \|v - \chi\| + h \|v - \chi\|_1 \} \leq Ch^s \|v\|_s.$$

The following result is a consequence of the above properties of $\{S_h^r(\Omega)\}_{0 < h \leq 1}$, and the error estimation techniques initiated in [4].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let u be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5). Then there exists a unique mapping $\omega_h \in L^2(0, T; S_h^r(\Omega))$ which satisfies*

$$(2.7) \quad a(\omega_h(\cdot, t), v) = a(u(\cdot, t), v) \quad \text{for all } v \in S_h^r(\Omega), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, if for some integer $k \geq 0$

$$\frac{\partial^k u}{\partial t^k} \in L^p(0, T; H^s(\Omega)),$$

then

$$\frac{\partial^k \omega_h}{\partial t^k} \in L^p(0, T; S_h^r(\Omega))$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k [u - \omega_h] \right\|_{L^p(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_3 h^s \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k u \right\|_{L^p(0, T; H^s(\Omega))},$$

for some constant C_3 independent of h and u , and $1 \leq s \leq r$.

3. The continuous-time Galerkin approximation. The following theorem defines the continuous time Galerkin approximation and derives the optimal $L^\infty(L^2)$ error estimates. Together with Theorem 4.1, this is the essential result of the paper. Again, the technique of error estimation here consists of a special manipulation of an argument initiated by Wheeler [5] for parabolic equations, of comparing the Galerkin approximation with a so-called elliptic projection, already defined by (2.7).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let u be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5); then for each $h \in (0, 1]$, there exists a unique mapping*

$$U_h \in L^2(0, T; S_h^r(\Omega))$$

which satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2 U_h}{\partial t^2}(\cdot, t), v \right) + a(U_h(\cdot, t), v) = (f(\cdot, t), v)$$

for all $v \in S_h^r(\Omega)$, $t > 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad (U_h(\cdot, 0), v) = (u_0, v) \quad \text{for all } v \in S_h^r(\Omega),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\frac{\partial U_h}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0), v \right) = (q_0, v) \quad \text{for all } v \in S_h^r(\Omega).$$

Furthermore, if $u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^r(\Omega))$ and $\partial u / \partial t \in L^2(0, T; H^r(\Omega))$, then there exists a constant $C = C(T)$ such that

$$\|u - U\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq Ch^r \left\{ \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^r(\Omega))} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0, T; H^r(\Omega))} \right\}.$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the mapping U_h follows from the fact that the equations (3.1)–(3.3) are equivalent to an initial value problem for a system of linear ordinary differential equations of the second order, the unknown functions being the coefficients of U_h relative to the chosen basis for $S_h^r(\Omega)$. It is easily shown that the system possesses a unique solution.

Now let ω_h be defined by (2.7), and set

$$\eta = u - \omega_h, \quad \psi = U_h - \omega_h \quad \text{and} \quad \ell = u - U_h.$$

From (3.1), (2.7) and (2.3), for any $v \in S_h^*(\Omega)$, and $0 < t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}(\cdot, t), v \right) + a(\psi(\cdot, t), v) = (f(\cdot, t), v) - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \omega_h}{\partial t^2}(\cdot, t), v \right) - a(\omega_h(\cdot, t), v) \\
 & = (f(\cdot, t), v) - a(u(\cdot, t), v) - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \omega_h}{\partial t^2}(\cdot, t), v \right) \\
 & = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial t^2}(\cdot, t), v \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

In (3.4), the possible dependence of v on t has been suppressed for brevity. Now (3.4) may be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t) \right) - \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \right) + a(\psi(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) \\
 & = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t) \right) - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in S_h^*(\Omega)$.

Noting that $\ell = \eta - \psi$, we see that (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & - \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \right) + a(\psi(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) \\
 & = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t) \right) - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in S_h^*(\Omega)$, $t > 0$.

Now let $0 < \xi \leq T$. We now make the particular choice

$$(3.7) \quad \hat{v}(\cdot, t) = \int_0^\xi \psi(\cdot, \tau) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Then clearly $\hat{v}(\cdot, \xi) = 0$, and

$$\frac{\partial \hat{v}}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) = -\psi(\cdot, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Hence, using (3.7) in (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|^2 \} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a(\hat{v}(\cdot, t), \hat{v}(\cdot, t)) \\
 & = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \hat{v}(\cdot, t) \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot, t) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now integrating (3.8) from $t = 0$ to $t = \xi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \|\psi(\cdot, \xi)\|^2 - \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|^2 + a(\hat{v}(\cdot, 0), \hat{v}(\cdot, 0)) \\
 & = -2 \left(\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0), \hat{v}(\cdot, 0) \right) + 2 \int_0^\xi \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot, t) \right) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now from (3.3) it follows that

$$(3.10) \quad \left(\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0), v \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in S_h^r(\Omega).$$

Hence, using (3.10) and (2.2), we reduce (3.9) to

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\psi(\cdot, \xi)\|^2 &\leq \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^\xi \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot, t) \right) dt \\ &\leq \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|_0^2 + 2\sqrt{T} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + 2T \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the supremum in (3.11) over the variable $0 \leq \xi \leq T$, we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|^2 + 2T \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2,$$

or

$$(3.13) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\| + 2\sqrt{T} \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$$

From (3.13),

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\ell\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} &\leq \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + 2\sqrt{T} \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \sqrt{2} \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\| \\ &\leq \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + 2\sqrt{T} \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \sqrt{2} \|\eta(\cdot, 0)\| \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2} \|\ell(\cdot, 0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now from (3.2) and (2.6), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \|\ell(\cdot, 0)\| \leq Ch^r \|u_0\|_r \leq Ch^r \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^r(\Omega))}.$$

Hence, finally, using (3.15) and Lemma 2.1 in (3.14), we arrive at

$$\|\ell\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C(T)h^r \left\{ \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^r(\Omega))} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^r(\Omega))} \right\}.$$

The result of Theorem 3.1 now follows. \square

4. A fully discrete Galerkin scheme. Let $T = J\tau$ for some integer $J \geq 1$; for a sequence $\{V^n\}_{n=0}^J \subset L^2(\Omega)$, we define

$$\partial_\tau V^n = \tau^{-1}[V^{n+1} - V^n] \quad \text{and} \quad V^{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2}[V^{n+1} + V^n], \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, J-1.$$

Also for a continuous mapping $V : [0, T] \rightarrow H^1(\Omega)$, we define $V^n = V(\cdot, n\tau)$, $0 \leq n \leq J$.

The discrete Galerkin approximation is defined as follows. We seek a sequence $\{U^n\}_{n=0}^J \subset S_h^r(\Omega)$ such that U^n approximates u^n optimally in $L^2(\Omega)$.

The following lemma defines the Galerkin approximations $\{U^n\}_{n=0}^J$, in terms of an auxiliary sequence $\{Q^n\}_{n=0}^J \subset S_h^r(\Omega)$ and in fact gives a computational algorithm for finding $\{U^n\}_{n=0}^J$.

LEMMA 4.1. *There exists a unique sequence $\{U^n\}_{n=0}^J \subset S_h^r(\Omega)$ and a corresponding unique sequence $\{Q^n\}_{n=0}^J \subset S_h^r(\Omega)$ which simultaneously satisfy the equations*

$$(4.1) \quad (U^0, \chi) = (u_0, \chi) \quad \text{for all } \chi \in S_h^r(\Omega),$$

$$(4.2) \quad (Q^0, \chi) = (q_0, \chi) \quad \text{for all } \chi \in S_h^r(\Omega),$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad (\partial_\tau Q^n, \chi) + a(U^{n+1/2}, \chi) = (f^{n+1/2}, \chi)$$

for all $\chi \in S_h^r(\Omega)$,

$$(4.4) \quad \partial_\tau U^n = Q^{n+1/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq J-1.$$

Proof. Clearly U^0 and Q^0 exist uniquely.

From (4.3) and (4.4), for $n \geq 0$, Q^{n+1} satisfies

$$A_\tau(Q^{n+1}, \chi) = F^n \chi \quad \text{for all } \chi \in S_h^r(\Omega),$$

where $A_\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the bilinear form given by

$$A_\tau(U, V) = \frac{\tau^2}{2} a(U, V) + (U, V), \quad U, V \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega),$$

and F^n is the linear functional given by

$$F^n V = \tau[(f^{n+1/2}, V) - a(U^n, V)] + (Q^n, V) - \frac{\tau^2}{4} a(Q^n, V), \quad V \in H^1(\Omega).$$

From (2.2), $A_\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite, and so Q^{n+1} exists uniquely, and hence from (4.4), U^{n+1} exists uniquely, $n = 0, 1, \dots, J-1$. \square

Towards estimating the errors $\|u^n - U^n\|$, we define the auxiliary functions

$$(4.5) \quad \xi^n = U^n - \omega_h^n,$$

$$(4.6) \quad P^n = Q^n - \left(\frac{\partial \omega_h}{\partial t} \right)^n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq J,$$

and again $\eta = u - \omega_h$, where ω_h is defined by (2.7). We now present in Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.1 combined, a discrete analogue of the argument of Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let u be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5), and suppose that*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^r(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$$

for $k = 3, 4$; then for some constant $C_4 = C_4(T)$, independent of h and τ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq n \leq J} \|\xi^n\| &\leq \sqrt{2} \|\xi^0\| + C_4 \left\{ h^r \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^r(\Omega))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tau^2 \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \left\| \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.3) it follows that

$$(4.7) \quad \left(\partial_\tau \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^n, \chi \right) + a(u^{n+1/2}, \chi) = (f^{n+1/2} + \rho^n, \chi)$$

for all $\chi \in \dot{H}^1(\Omega)$, where

$$(4.8) \quad \rho^n = \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^n - \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right)^{n+1/2}.$$

Now from (4.3), (4.5), (4.6), (2.7) and (4.7), for any $\chi \in S_h^r(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad &(\partial_\tau P^n, \chi) + a(\xi^{n+1/2}, \chi) \\ &= (\partial_\tau Q^n, \chi) + a(U^{n+1/2}, \chi) - \left(\partial_\tau \left(\frac{\partial \omega_h}{\partial t} \right)^n, \chi \right) - a(\omega_h^{n+1/2}, \chi) \\ &= (f^{n+1/2}, \chi) - a(u^{n+1/2}, \chi) - \left(\partial_\tau \left(\frac{\partial \omega_h}{\partial t} \right)^n, \chi \right) \\ &= \left(\partial_\tau \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^n - \rho^n, \chi \right), \quad 0 \leq n \leq J-1. \end{aligned}$$

Also, from (4.4) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.10) \quad \partial_\tau \xi^n &= Q^{n+1/2} - \partial_\tau \omega_h^n = P^{n+1/2} - \left[\partial_\tau \omega_h^n - \left(\frac{\partial \omega_h}{\partial t} \right)^{n+1/2} \right] \\ &= P^{n+1/2} + \partial_\tau \eta^n - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^{n+1/2} - \sigma^n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.11) \quad \sigma^n = \partial_\tau u^n - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^{n+1/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq J-1.$$

Hence, from (4.10),

$$(4.12) \quad \partial_{\tau} \xi^0 = P^0 + \frac{\tau}{2} \partial_{\tau} P^0 + \partial_{\tau} \eta^0 - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^{1/2} - \sigma^0,$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \partial_{\tau} \xi^n = P^0 + \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \partial_{\tau} P^k + \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \partial_{\tau} P^k + \partial_{\tau} \eta^n - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^{n+1/2} - \sigma^n, \\ 1 \leq n \leq J-1.$$

Now, define a sequence $\{\varphi^n\}_{n=0}^J$ via

$$(4.14) \quad \varphi^0 = 0; \quad \varphi^n = \tau \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi^{k+1/2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq J.$$

Then

$$(4.15) \quad \varphi^{1/2} = \frac{\tau}{2} \xi^{1/2}$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \varphi^{n+1/2} = \frac{\tau}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \xi^{k+1/2} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi^{k+1/2} \right], \quad 1 \leq n \leq J-1.$$

Hence, from (4.12), (4.15) and (4.9), for any $\chi \in S_h^r(\Omega)$,

$$(4.17) \quad (\partial_{\tau} \xi^0, \chi) + a(\varphi^{1/2}, \chi) = \left(P_0 + \partial_{\tau} \eta^0 - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^{1/2} - \sigma^0, \chi \right) + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\partial_{\tau} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right) - \rho^0, \chi \right) \\ = \left(P^0 - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^0, \chi \right) + \left(\partial_{\tau} \eta^0 - \sigma^0 - \frac{\tau}{2} \rho^0, \chi \right) \\ = \left(\partial_{\tau} \eta^0 - \sigma^0 - \frac{\tau}{2} \rho^0, \chi \right),$$

where we have used the fact that from (4.6) and (4.2),

$$\left(P^0 - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^0, \chi \right) = \left(Q^0 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^0, \chi \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \in S_h^r(\Omega).$$

Similarly, from (4.13), (4.16) and (4.9) and the last equation, for any $\chi \in S_h^r(\Omega)$, and $1 \leq n \leq J-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\partial_\tau \xi^n, \chi) + a(\varphi^{n+1/2}, \chi) \\
 &= \left(P^0 + \partial_\tau \eta^n - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^{n+1/2} - \sigma^n, \chi \right) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{\tau}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^k - \rho^k \right] + \frac{\tau}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \partial_\tau \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^k - \rho^k \right], \chi \right) \\
 &= \left(P^0 + \partial_\tau \eta^n - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^{n+1/2} - \sigma^n, \chi \right) \\
 (4.18) \quad &+ \left(\left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^{n+1/2} - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^0 - \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k - \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho^k, \chi \right) \\
 &= \left(P^0 - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right)^0, \chi \right) + \left(\partial_\tau \eta^n - \sigma^n - \frac{\tau}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho^k \right], \chi \right) \\
 &= \left(\partial_\tau \eta^n - \sigma^n - \frac{\tau}{2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho^k \right], \chi \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon^0 &= \partial_\tau \eta^0 - \frac{\tau}{2} \rho^0 - \sigma^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^n = \partial_\tau \eta^n - \frac{\tau}{2} \rho^0 - \tau \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho^{k+1/2} - \sigma^n, \\
 (4.19) \quad & \quad \quad \quad 1 \leq n \leq J-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

then (4.17) and (4.18) reduce to

$$(4.20) \quad (\partial_\tau \xi^n, \chi) + a(\varphi^{n+1/2}, \chi) = (\varepsilon^n, \chi), \quad 0 \leq n \leq J-1,$$

for all $\chi \in S_h^r(\Omega)$.

In (4.20), we now make the choice

$$\hat{\chi} = \partial_\tau \varphi^n = \xi^{n+1/2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq J-1;$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.21) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\xi^{n+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\xi^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} a(\varphi^{n+1}, \varphi^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2} a(\varphi^n, \varphi^n) = \tau(\varepsilon^n, \xi^{n+1/2}), \\
 & \quad \quad \quad 0 \leq n \leq J-1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing in (4.21) from $n=0$ to $n=l-1$, for any $1 \leq l \leq J$, and using (4.14) and (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.22) \quad & \|\xi^l\|^2 \leq \|\xi^0\|^2 + 2\tau \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} (\varepsilon^n, \xi^{n+1/2}) \\
 & \leq \|\xi^0\|^2 + 4T\tau \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 + \frac{\tau}{4T} \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \|\xi^{n+1/2}\|^2 \\
 & \leq \|\xi^0\|^2 + 4T\tau \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq n \leq J} \|\xi^n\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.22) gives

$$(4.23) \quad \max_{0 \leq n \leq J} \|\xi^n\|^2 \leq 2\|\xi^0\|^2 + 8T\tau \sum_{n=0}^{J-1} \|\varepsilon^n\|^2.$$

Now, starting from (4.8), a computation which simply involves integrating by parts twice shows that

$$\rho^k = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{k\tau}^{(k+1)\tau} [(k+1)\tau - s][k\tau - s] \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4}(\cdot, s) ds,$$

and hence, by Schwarz' inequality,

$$\|\rho^k\|^1 \leq \frac{1}{5!} \tau^3 \int_{k\tau}^{(k+1)\tau} \left\| \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4}(\cdot, s) \right\|^2 ds.$$

Hence

$$(4.24) \quad \tau \sum_{k=0}^{J \leq 1} \|\rho^k\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{5!} \tau^4 \left\| \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2$$

Similarly, from (4.11),

$$\sigma^k = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{k\tau}^{(k+1)\tau} [(k+1)\tau - s][k\tau - s] \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3}(\cdot, s) ds$$

and so

$$(4.25) \quad \tau \sum_{k=0}^{J-1} \|\sigma^k\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{5!} \tau^4 \left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2$$

Also from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \eta^k &= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{k\tau}^{(k+1)\tau} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, s) ds, \\ \|\partial_\tau \eta^k\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{k\tau}^{(k+1)\tau} \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(\cdot, s) \right\|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(4.26) \quad \tau \sum_{k=0}^{J-1} \|\partial_\tau \eta^k\|^2 \leq \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq Ch^{2r} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^r(\Omega))}^2$$

Now, from (4.19), with empty sums set to zero, for $0 \leq n \leq J-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 &\leq 4 \left\{ \|\partial_\tau \eta^n\|^2 + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k \right\|^2 + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho^k \right\|^2 + \|\sigma^n\|^2 \right\} \\ (4.27) \quad &\leq 4 \left\{ \|\partial_\tau \eta^n\|^2 + \frac{\tau^2}{4} J \sum_{k=0}^{J-1} \|\rho^k\|^2 + \|\sigma^n\|^2 \right\}, \\ &= 4 \left\{ \|\partial_\tau \eta^n\|^2 + \frac{T}{2} \left(\tau \sum_{k=0}^{J-1} \|\rho^k\|^2 \right) + \|\sigma^n\|^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (4.24)–(4.27),

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tau \sum_{k=0}^{J-1} \|\varepsilon^n\|^2 \\ & \leq 4Ch^{2r} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,t;H^r(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{2T^2}{5!} \tau^4 \left\| \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{4}{5!} \tau^4 \left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, combining (4.28) and (4.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{0 \leq n \leq J} \|\xi^n\| \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \|\xi^0\| + C(T) \left\{ h^r \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^r(\Omega))} + \tau^2 \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \left\| \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The result of Lemma 4.2 now follows. \square

THEOREM 4.1. *Let u be the solution of (2.3)–(2.5), and let $\{U^n\}_{n=0}^J \subset S_h^r(\Omega)$ be the sequence defined by (4.1)–(4.4).*

Suppose that $u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^r(\Omega))$,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^r(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$$

for $k = 3, 4$. Then there exists a constant $C_5 = C_5(T)$ independent of h and τ such that

$$\max_{0 \leq n \leq J} \|u(\cdot, n\tau) - U^n\| \leq C_5 \{h^r + \tau^2\}.$$

Proof. From (4.1) and (2.6), we have

$$\|U_0 - u(\cdot, 0)\| \leq Ch^r \|u_0\|_r \leq Ch^r \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^r(\Omega))},$$

and so from Lemma 2.1,

$$(4.29) \quad \|\xi^0\| \leq \|\eta^0\| + \|U^0 - u(\cdot, 0)\| \leq Ch^r \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^r(\Omega))}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.2 with (4.29),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(\cdot, n\tau) - U^n\| \\ & \leq \|\eta^n\| + \sqrt{2} \|\xi^0\| + C \left\{ h^r \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^r(\Omega))} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \tau^2 \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \left\| \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq C(T) \left\{ h^r \left[\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^r(\Omega))} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^r(\Omega))} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \tau^2 \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \left\| \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The result of Theorem 4.1 now follows. \square

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his appreciation to Professor Todd Dupont for discussions of the material.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. BRAMBLE AND A. H. SCHATZ, *Rayleigh–Ritz–Galerkin methods for Dirichlet's problem using subspaces without boundary conditions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 23 (1970), pp. 653–675.
- [2] T. DUPONT, *L^2 -estimates for Galerkin methods for second order hyperbolic equations*, this Journal, 10 (1973), pp. 392–410.
- [3] J. L. LIONS AND E. MAGENES, *Nonhomogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [4] J. NITSCHKE, *Verfahren von Ritz und Spline-Interpolation bei Sturm–Liouville–Randwertproblemen*, Numer. Math., 13 (1969), pp. 260–265.
- [5] M. F. WHEELER, *A priori L^2 error estimates for Galerkin approximations to parabolic differential equations*, this Journal, 10 (1973), pp. 723–759.