Essentially non-oscillatory and weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes

Chi-Wang Shu

Division of Applied Mathematics

Brown University

Providence, RI 02912, USA

chi-wang_shu@brown.edu

Essentially non-oscillatory (ENO) and weighted ENO (WENO) schemes were designed for solving hyperbolic and convection-diffusion equations with possibly discontinuous solutions or solutions with sharp gradient regions. The main idea of ENO and WENO schemes is actually an approximation procedure, aimed at achieving arbitrarily high order accuracy in smooth regions and resolving shocks or other discontinuities sharply and in an essentially non-oscillatory fashion. Both finite volume and finite difference schemes have been designed using the ENO or WENO procedure, and these schemes are very popular in applications, most noticeably in computational fluid dynamics, but also in other areas of computational physics and engineering. Since the main idea of the ENO and WENO schemes is an approximation procedure not directly related to partial differential equations (PDEs), ENO and WENO schemes also have non-PDE applications. In this paper we will survey the basic ideas behind ENO and WENO schemes, discuss their properties, and present examples of their applications to different types of PDEs as well as to non-PDE problems.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	2
2	The ENO interpolation and reconstruction proce-	
	dures	4
3	The WENO interpolation and reconstruction pro-	
	cedures	14
4	ENO and WENO schemes for conservation laws	23
5	ENO and WENO schemes for Hamilton-Jacobi	
	equations	31
6	ENO and WENO schemes for convection-diffusion	
	equations	32
7	Time discretizations	33
8	Boundary conditions	34
9	Bound-preserving WENO schemes	34
10	Applications	35
11	Concluding remarks	45
Re	References	

1. Introduction

When numerically solving hyperbolic conservation laws

$$u_t + f(u)_x = 0, (1.1)$$

Hamilton-Jacobi equations

$$\varphi_t + f(\varphi_x) = 0, (1.2)$$

or convection-diffusions equations

$$u_t + f(u)_x = (a(u)u_x)_x$$
 (1.3)

where $a(u) \geq 0$ is small and possibly degenerate (i.e. a(u) = 0 for some values of u), or their multi-dimensional counterparts, a major difficulty is the possible lack of regularity of the exact solution, namely, the exact solution may contain discontinuities or sharp gradient regions, or discontinuous derivatives, regardless of the smoothness of the initial or boundary conditions (Smoller 1983, LeVeque 1990).

If we are satisfied with first order accurate schemes, then the class of monotone schemes (Crandall and Majda 1980, Crandall and Lions 1984) has excellent properties. Monotone schemes for scalar conservation laws can be proved to be nonlinearly stable, measured by an L^1 contraction property, and to satisfy entropy conditions, leading to convergence with error estimates for bounded variation entropy solutions in multi-dimensions. Likewise, for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, monotone schemes can be proved to be

 L^{∞} stable and convergent towards viscosity solutions with error estimates. However, first order schemes converge very slowly, hence to achieve the desired error level, one often would need to use a very refined mesh, which might be very inefficient or even impossible for multi-dimensional problems. On the other hand, traditional high order accurate finite difference, finite volume, finite element or spectral schemes typically have spurious oscillations near discontinuities (the Gibbs phenomenon), which may pollute to smooth regions and may even lead to nonlinear instability, causing blowups of the schemes. In fact, by the famous Godunov theorem, a linear scheme, which is defined to be linear for solving the linear conservation law (1.1) with f(u) = au for a constant a, must be either oscillatory or only first order accurate. Thus to obtain non-oscillatory schemes with higher than first order accuracy, one must consider nonlinear schemes, namely those schemes which are nonlinear for (1.1) even with f(u) = au.

In computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and a few other areas of computational physics and engineering, the class of high resolution schemes, mainly represented by the total variation diminishing (TVD) schemes (Harten 1983, Osher and Chakravarthy 1984), have been quite popular. The TVD schemes are nonlinear schemes satisfying

$$TV(u^{n+1}) \le TV(u^n),$$

where u^n refers to the numerical solution at the time level t^n , with the total variation semi-norm of a grid function defined by

$$TV(u) = \sum_{j} |u_{j+1} - u_j|,$$

where u_i refers to the numerical solution at the spatial grid point x_i . Usually, the TVD property is achieved through the application of various nonlinear limiters such as the minmod limiter (Harten 1983, LeVeque 1990). TVD schemes can yield sharp and monotone discontinuity transitions and can achieve arbitrarily high order accuracy in smooth and monotone regions (e.g. (Osher and Chakravarthy 1996)), however all TVD schemes have degeneracy of accuracy to first order near smooth extrema (Osher and Chakravarthy 1984). It is clear that, even if the accuracy degenerates to first order only at one grid point, the global L^1 error can be at most second order, hence TVD or general high resolution schemes are often referred to as second order schemes, even though they can achieve arbitrarily high order accuracy in smooth monotone regions (e.g. the very high order TVD schemes in (Osher and Chakravarthy 1996)). There are attempts in the literature to overcome this accuracy degeneracy problem, for example, the total variation bounded (TVB) schemes (Shu 1987) by relaxing the minmod limiter, but the TVB schemes involve a TVB parameter M, which must be estimated or tuned in order to achieve satisfactory performance (Shu 1987, Cockburn and Shu 1989), which restricts their wide applications.

The essentially non-oscillatory (ENO) schemes, first designed in (Harten, Engquist, Osher and Chakravarthy 1987), were a fundamental breakthrough in achieving both arbitrarily high order accuracy in smooth regions and sharp and essentially non-oscillatory discontinuity transitions. The key idea of ENO schemes is an approximation procedure, which we will survey in section 2. Properties of the ENO procedure will also be discussed in section 2. The ENO schemes in (Harten et al. 1987) are in the finite volume framework, to be surveyed in section 4.1. Soon after, efficient implementation of ENO schemes in the finite difference framework, to be surveyed in section 4.2, with the so-called TVD Runge-Kutta time discretizations, to be surveyed in section 7, was developed in (Shu and Osher 1988, Shu and Osher 1989). ENO schemes have been under continuous development and improvement since their introduction, and have been popular in applications. This is demonstrated by the citation data. According to googlescholar, the original ENO paper (Harten et al. 1987), which was republished in 1997 by Journal of Computational Physics as a classic paper (Shu 1997, Harten, Engquist, Osher and Chakravarthy 1997), has been cited 3,614 times. The two finite difference ENO papers (Shu and Osher 1988, Shu and Osher 1989) have been cited 4,598 and 2,695 times, respectively.

The weighted ENO (WENO) schemes, first designed in (Liu, Osher and Chan 1994) and improved and extended in (Jiang and Shu 1996), were improved version of ENO schemes, overcoming some of the shortcomings of ENO schemes while maintaining their main advantages. The key idea of WENO schemes is again an approximation procedure, which we will surveyed in section 3. Properties and different variants of the WENO procedure will also be discussed in section 3. Finite volume WENO schemes (initialized in (Liu et al. 1994)) and finite difference WENO schemes (initialized in (Jiang and Shu 1996)) will be surveyed in sections 4.1 and 4.2 respectively. WENO schemes have attracted even more attention than ENO schemes, both in algorithm development and in applications. According to googlescholar, the original WENO paper (Liu et al. 1994) has been cited 2,875 times. The WENO paper (Jiang and Shu 1996), which contains the classical fifth order WENO scheme most popular in applications, has been cited 4,964 times.

2. The ENO interpolation and reconstruction procedures

The essentially non-oscillatory (ENO) scheme (Harten et al. 1987) is based on an ENO approximation procedure. In this section we will describe this procedure, emphasizing the details in the one-dimensional (1D) case and only sketching the procedure in the two-dimensional (2D) case.

2.1. The ENO interpolation procedure in 1D

Let us consider a piecewise smooth function u(x), either with compact support or with periodic boundary condition, hence we do not need to consider boundary. Assume that we have a mesh

$$\dots < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots$$

with $\Delta x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = x_{i+1} - x_i$, and $\Delta x = \max_i \Delta x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$. We assume that we are given the point value $u_i = u(x_i)$ of the function u(x), and we would like to obtain a high order polynomial approximation $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$, defined on $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = (x_i, x_{i+1})$, which approximates the function u(x) to high order accuracy when u(x) is smooth. This is a classical interpolation problem. For example, if we are given a 4-point central stencil

$$S = \{x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}\}$$
(2.1)

then there is a unique interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$, of degree at most 3, that interpolates u(x) in the stencil S:

$$p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x_j) = u_j, \qquad j = i-1, i, i+1, i+2.$$

We can write down this interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ in several different forms, for example in the Lagrange form or in the Newton form.

If the function u(x) is smooth in the stencil S, then we have high order accuracy

$$|u(x) - p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)| \le C\Delta x^4, \qquad x \in I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (2.2)

Here and below C would be used as a generic constant independent of the mesh parameters (here the mesh size Δx), and it could take different values in each occurrence. We also have similar high order accuracy for approximating the derivatives (with one order lower for each order of the derivative) and the integrals.

The problem, for piecewise smooth u(x), is accuracy near the discontinuity (or discontinuity of the derivative). If the stencil S contains a discontinuity of the function u(x), then the high order accuracy (2.2) is no longer valid. Worse still, if the discontinuity is in S but not in $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$, the interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ is oscillatory in $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$, in the sense that it has either an overshoot or an undershoot in $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$, with the overshoot/undershoot magnitude being a fixed percentage of the discontinuity size which does not decrease when the mesh size Δx goes to zero (this is called the Gibbs phenomenon in the literature, especially in spectral methods). We remark that, miraculously, if the discontinuity is in the target cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ itself, the interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ is monotone in $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ and does not show the Gibbs

phenomenon type overshoot or undershoot there, see (Harten, Osher, Engquist and Chakravarthy 1986) for a proof of this (somewhat unexpected) fact.

The ENO idea in (Harten et al. 1987) is to choose the stencil S adaptively and automatically, based on the local smoothness of the function u(x). As we have seen from the discussion above, if the discontinuity is not in the target cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ itself, we should avoid having the stencil S of interpolation containing this discontinuity. This is of course not possible if the choice for the pattern of the stencil is fixed for every cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$, for example the choice of the central stencil in (2.1). If we have the information of the exact location of the discontinuity, the choice of stencil is apparent. Given that the two end points of the target cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ must be contained in the stencil, and if we must choose 4 points in the stencil to obtain a fourth order cubic interpolation polynomial, then we could make the following choices:

- If there is no discontinuity inside the central stencil S defined in (2.1), or if the discontinuity is in the target cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ itself, then we would use the central stencil S defined in (2.1);
- Otherwise, if the discontinuity is in the cell $I_{i+\frac{3}{2}}$, then we should choose the left-biased stencil

$$S^{(-2)} = \{x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}\};$$
(2.3)

• Otherwise, if the discontinuity is in the cell $I_{i-\frac{1}{2}},$ then we should choose the right-biased stencil

$$S^{(0)} = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}\}.$$
(2.4)

We remark that the strategy above assumes that discontinuities of the function u(x) are isolated, hence when the mesh size Δx is small enough, we have at most one discontinuity in the stencil S determined by (2.1). The ENO and WENO procedures are both designed based on this assumption. However, they work well even when two discontinuities of the function collide, that is, when this assumption is violated, in extensive numerical experiments in the literature.

The problem with the strategy above is that we would need to know the existence and location of the discontinuity, based only on the point values u_i of the function. This is difficult, especially when the mesh is coarse, namely when Δx is not small enough. The novelty of the ENO procedure in (Harten et al. 1987) is that, based on extensive numerical experiments, the authors identified a strategy to automatically choose the stencil S which works well both in the asymptotic regime (when Δx is small) and in the pre-asymptotic regime. The strategy to choose the local stencil $S_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$, associated with the cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ to construct the interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$, is as follows:

• Compute the Newton divided differences of u(x). For all $j = \cdots 1, 2, 3, \cdots$,

$$u[j,0] = u_j,$$

then for $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and all j,

$$u[j, k+1] = \frac{u[j+1, k] - u[j, k]}{x_{j+1+k} - x_j}.$$

• Choose the initial stencil $S^{(0)}$ to only contain the two end points of the target cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$

$$S^{(0)} = \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}.$$

Then, each time only one point is added to the stencil, the left point or the right point, depending upon which one gives a "smoother" stencil. For k = 0, 1, ..., suppose the left-most point in the stencil $S^{(k)}$ is x_{i_k} , then the next stencil $S^{(k+1)}$ is determined as follows.

- If
$$|u[i_k-1,k]| < |u[i_k,k]|$$
, then $S^{(k+1)} = S^{(k)} \cup \{x_{i_k-1}\}$;
- Otherwise, $S^{(k+1)} = S^{(k)} \cup \{x_{i_k+k+2}\}$.

The final stencil is taken as $S_{i+\frac{1}{2}}=S^{(p)}$ where p+1 is the degree of the interpolation polynomial that we would like to use. Once the stencil $S_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ is determined, we can obtain the interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ either by the Newton form or by the Lagrangian form. If we are only interested in obtaining specific information about the interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$, for example its value at the mid-point $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}(x_i+x_{i+1})$ or the derivative at the left point x_i or the right point x_{i+1} , we could also obtain such information directly from a linear combination of the point values u_j in the stencil $S_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ without explicitly constructing the polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$. For explicit formulas and tables associated with this process, we refer to, e.g. (Shu 1998).

We remark that, in the choice of the ENO stencil, we start with $S^{(0)}$ which consists of the two end points of the target interval $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$. This is actually needed for the conservation property when ENO is used to form a scheme for solving the hyperbolic conservation laws (1.1). If the ENO procedure is used for other purposes, the starting stencil could also contain just one point (e.g. x_i), or more than two points, to suit the particular need of the applications.

The ENO procedure outlined above may end up with a very biased stencil, especially when the order of accuracy is high, for certain functions whose derivatives (and hence divided differences) are monotone. For example, for the function $u(x) = e^x$, it has its derivatives of all orders being strictly monotonically increasing, hence the divided differences as a function of the

first point in the stencil are also monotonically increasing, resulting in an ENO stencil which is biased to the left. This may cause some stability and accuracy issues when used to solve time-dependent hyperbolic equations (Rogerson and Meiburg 1990). To overcome this difficulty, a modified ENO procedure with the choice biased to a target final stencil was defined in (Shu 1990). The only modification to the classical ENO procedure as outlined above is: we have a preferred target final stencil S^c , with the left-most point x_{i_c} , for example the central stencil S determined in (2.1) for which $x_{i_c} = x_{i-1}$. Before the left-most point of the current stencil $S^{(k)}$ reaches x_{i_c} , we would use a factor to encourage the next stencil $S^{(k+1)}$ to shift to the left; and when the left-most point of the current stencil $S^{(k)}$ already reaches or has passed x_{i_c} , then we would use the factor to discourage this shift. To be more specific, we choose a biasing factor b > 1 (b = 2 is suggested in (Shu 1990)). Suppose the left-most point in the stencil $S^{(k)}$ is $x_{i_k} > x_{i_c}$, then the next stencil $S^{(k+1)}$ is determined as follows.

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \text{If} \ |u[i_k-1,k]| < b|u[i_k,k]|, \ \text{then} \ S^{(k+1)} = S^{(k)} \cup \{x_{i_k-1}\}; \\ \bullet \ \ \text{Otherwise}, \ S^{(k+1)} = S^{(k)} \cup \{x_{i_k+k+2}\}. \end{array}$

On the other hand, if the left-most point in the stencil $S^{(k)}$ is $x_{i_k} \leq x_{i_c}$, then the next stencil $S^{(k+1)}$ is determined as follows.

- If $b|u[i_k-1,k]| < |u[i_k,k]|$, then $S^{(k+1)} = S^{(k)} \cup \{x_{i_k-1}\}$; Otherwise, $S^{(k+1)} = S^{(k)} \cup \{x_{i_k+k+2}\}$.

The final stencil from the modified ENO procedure has better chance to be the target preferred stencil in the smooth regions of u(x).

2.2. The ENO reconstruction procedure in 1D

While the ENO interpolation procedure as described in section 2.1 is the most basic one, a slightly different ENO reconstruction procedure is more relevant for the construction of conservative schemes for solving hyperbolic conservation laws (1.1). We will describe this reconstruction procedure and point out its relationship to the ENO interpolation procedure that we have described in the previous section.

Let us use a slightly different notation, which is more standard for finite volume schemes. We denote discretization of the line R into cells I_i $(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}},x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$, where $\cdots x_{\frac{1}{2}} < x_{\frac{3}{2}} < x_{\frac{5}{2}} < \cdots$, $\Delta x_i = x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the mesh size of cell I_i , and $\Delta x = \max_i \Delta x_i$. We assume that we are given the cell averages of a piecewise smooth function u(x):

$$\bar{u}_i = \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \int_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} u(x) dx, \qquad i = \dots, 2, 3, \dots$$

and we would like to obtain a high order polynomial approximation $p_i(x)$,

defined on I_i , which approximates the function u(x) to high order accuracy when u(x) is smooth. Similar to an interpolation problem, the reconstruction problem is based on a stencil, e.g. a central stencil $S = \{I_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}\}$, and we would like to find a quadratic polynomial $p_i(x)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} p_i(x) dx = \bar{u}_j, \qquad j = i - 1, i, i + 1.$$

We could of course use ideas similar to interpolation to establish the existence and uniqueness of such reconstruction polynomials $p_i(x)$ and to obtain them explicitly. However, it is more convenient to use the so-called "reconstruction via primitive functions" procedure to convert the reconstruction problem to the interpolation problem described in the previous section, and then we can simply use the ENO procedure which has been spelled out there.

Define

$$U(x) = \int_{a}^{x} u(\xi)d\xi \tag{2.5}$$

where the lower limit a is not important and could be chosen as $a=x_{i_0-\frac{1}{2}}$ where I_{i_0} is the first cell of interest to us, for convenience. We then have $U(x_{i_0-\frac{1}{2}})=0$ and, for any $i\geq i_0$,

$$U(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) = \int_{x_{i_0-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} u(\xi)d\xi = \sum_{j=i_0}^{i} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} u(\xi)d\xi = \sum_{j=i_0}^{i} \bar{u}_j \Delta x_j.$$

That is, if we know the cell averages \bar{u}_j of u(x), we also know the point values $U(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ of the primitive function U(x) as defined in (2.5). We can then invoke the interpolation procedure described in section 2.1 for U(x). For example, if we use a central stencil $\tilde{S} = \{x_{i-\frac{3}{2}}, x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, x_{i+\frac{3}{2}}\}$, obtaining a cubic interpolation polynomial $P_i(x)$ satisfying

$$P_i(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = U(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}), \qquad j = i-2, i-1, i, i+1$$

then $p_i(x) = \frac{d}{dx}P_i(x)$ would be the corresponding quadratic reconstruction

polynomial for u(x) on the central stencil $S = \{I_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}\}$, since

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\Delta x_{j}} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} p_{i}(\xi) d\xi &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_{j}} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{d}{dx} P_{i}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_{j}} \left(P_{i}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) - P_{i}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_{j}} \left(U(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) - U(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta x_{j}} \left(\sum_{\ell=i_{0}}^{j} \bar{u}_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell} \right) - \left(\sum_{\ell=i_{0}}^{j-1} \bar{u}_{\ell} \Delta x_{\ell} \right) \\ &= \bar{u}_{j}, \qquad j = i-1, i, i+1 \end{split}$$

where in the third equality we have used the fact that j=i-1, i or i+1, hence both $x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ are in the interpolation stencil \tilde{S} for the interpolation polynomial $P_i(x)$.

Because of this relationship between reconstruction and interpolation, we do not need to consider a separate ENO reconstruction procedure, we can simply use the ENO interpolation procedure described in the previous section for obtaining $P_i(x)$, then take its derivative to obtain the reconstruction polynomial $p_i(x)$. We also note that the first order divided difference of U(x) is

$$U\left[i - \frac{1}{2}, 1\right] = \frac{U(x_{i + \frac{1}{2}}) - U(x_{i - \frac{1}{2}})}{x_{i + \frac{1}{2}} - x_{i - \frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\int_{a}^{x_{i + \frac{1}{2}}} u(\xi) d\xi - \int_{a}^{x_{i - \frac{1}{2}}} u(\xi) d\xi}{\Delta x_{i}} = \frac{\int_{x_{i - \frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i + \frac{1}{2}}} u(\xi) d\xi}{\Delta x_{i}} = \bar{u}_{i},$$

hence the first and higher order divided differences of U(x) can be written as the divided differences of \bar{u} , that is, there is no need to explicitly compute the divided differences of U(x) at all (since the zeroth order divided difference of U(x) is not needed when computing $p_i(x)$, which is the derivative of the interpolating polynomial $P_i(x)$).

We again refer to, e.g. (Shu 1998) for explicit formulas and tables associated with the ENO reconstruction process.

2.3. Properties of ENO interpolation and reconstruction procedures

Besides the standard approximation properties of the ENO interpolation and reconstruction polynomials when the function is smooth in the stencil, we also have several properties of the ENO procedure for piecewise smooth functions in relation to its (essentially) non-oscillatory performance. 2.3.1. Total variation bounded property for piecewise smooth functions In (Harten et al. 1986), the following total variation bounded (TVB) property of the ENO interpolation and ENO reconstruction procedures, as described in sections 2.1 and 2.2, has been proved.

For a piecewise smooth function u(x) with isolated discontinuities, both the ENO interpolation polynomial $p_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ as described in section 2.1, and the ENO reconstruction polynomial $p_i(x)$ as described in section 2.2, have the following TVB property: These exist a function q(x), satisfying

$$q(x) = p_r(x) + O(\Delta x^{k+1}), \qquad x \in I_r$$

(where $r=i+\frac{1}{2}$ for the ENO interpolation and r=i for the ENO reconstruction), such that

$$TV(q) \le TV(u) + O(\Delta x^k).$$

Here, TV denotes the bounded variation semi-norm of the function. This property completely rules out the possibility of Gibbs oscillations near discontinuities, and is responsible for the (essentially) non-oscillatory performance of ENO approximations.

A crucial fact used in the proof of the TVB property above is that, if the discontinuity is inside the target cell I_r , then the interpolation or reconstruction polynomial $p_r(x)$ is monotone in I_r . The proof of this fact is also provided in (Harten et al. 1986). Away from the discontinuities, the ENO interpolation or reconstruction polynomial has a stencil which does not contain any discontinuity, hence the classical approximation result applies, giving an error estimate at the truncation error $O(\Delta x^{k+1})$.

2.3.2. The sign property

The TVB property described in the previous subsection is an approximation result for piecewise smooth functions. That is, it holds only if the point values (for the ENO interpolation) or cell averages (for the ENO reconstruction) are exact from the piecewise smooth function u(x). If the ENO procedure is used to solve a time-dependent partial differential equation (PDE), such as the conservation law equation (1.1), at later time the point values or cell averages of the numerical solution are already polluted by accumulated numerical errors, hence the TVB property can no longer be rigorously proved.

It would be desirable to get a stability result for the ENO procedure which does not depend on the smoothness of the function u(x). The following "sign property", which was proved in (Fjordholm, Mishra and Tadmor 2013b), establishes such a stability result.

Sign Property for the ENO reconstruction: For an ENO reconstruction procedure described in section 2.2, the jump at the cell interface from the ENO reconstruction in two adjacent cells, namely $u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+ = p_{j+1}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$ and $u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- = p_j(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$, has the same sign as the jump in the given cell averages:

$$(\bar{u}_{i+1} - \bar{u}_i)(u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+ - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) \ge 0 \quad \forall i.$$

Moreover, their ratio is uniformly bounded:

$$0 \le \frac{u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+ - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-}{\bar{u}_{i+1} - \bar{u}_i} \le C$$

where C is a universal constant depending only on the polynomial degree k used in the ENO interpolation.

Similar result is also valid for the ENO interpolation procedure in terms of its jumps of the derivatives, as described in section 2.1.

The sign property is somewhat surprising. The ENO reconstruction values $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$ are obtained from several neighboring cell averages in the ENO reconstruction, but the sign of the jump $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} - u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}$ is solely determined by the immediate neighboring cell averages \bar{u}_i and \bar{u}_{i+1} , the other cell averages further away but still in the ENO reconstruction stencil seem to play no role in the sign property. This is a very strong stability result. It excludes the saw-tooth or zigzag pattern in the reconstruction (e.g. the given cell averages are increasing from cell i to cell i+1, but the reconstructed values at the cell interface $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ is decreasing). It also guarantees that the jump at any interface can be at most a fixed factor larger than the difference of the neighboring cell averages.

Notice that the sign property cannot be proved for the biased ENO procedure described in section 2.1. It also can only be proved for a very special class of the WENO procedure (Fjordholm and Ray 2016).

This sign property could be used to obtain arbitrarily high-order accurate entropy stable ENO schemes for systems of conservation laws (Fjordholm, Mishra and Tadmor 2013a).

2.4. The ENO procedure based on non-polynomial functions

Similar ENO interpolation and reconstruction procedures can of course also be designed for non-polynomial approximation functions. In (Christofi 1996), such ENO procedure was designed for trigonometric polynomial basis functions. A key ingredient in such design is to define suitable divided differences, which could be linked to derivatives of the function u(x), and hence can be used to choose the ENO stencil appropriately. Such ENO procedures could be useful for certain classes of functions, for example high frequency wave functions, for which trigonometric polynomials could be expected to provide better approximations than algebraic polynomials. Other types of

basis functions (such as exponential type functions to resolve better boundary or internal layers with sharp gradients) could of course also be used.

2.5. Multi-dimensions and unstructured meshes

For two and higher dimensions, there is a difference between tensor product type meshes and unstructured meshes.

For tensor product type meshes, if a finite difference ENO interpolation is desired, it is usually sufficient just to use one dimensional interpolations, keeping all the other spatial variables fixed. This would be the case for, e.g. the finite difference ENO scheme in multi-dimensions to be described in section 4.2. If a finite volume ENO reconstruction is desired, it is usually a procedure to obtain point values of a function from the given multi-dimensional cell averages (we use two dimensions as examples):

$$\tilde{u}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\Delta x_i \Delta y_j} \int_{y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} u(x,y) dx dy$$

where $I_{i,j}=(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}},x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})\times(y_{j-\frac{1}{2}},y_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$ is a two dimensional tensor product cell, with mesh sizes $\Delta x_i=x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}-x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\Delta y_j=y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$. Here, we use bar to denote the cell averaging operator in x, and tilde to denote the cell averaging operator in y. If we apply an one-dimensional ENO reconstruction procedure in x, with the y index j fixed, we would be able to "de-cell average" in the x variable to obtain the "point values" (relative to the x variable), which are in fact still a cell average in y. For example, we could get

$$\tilde{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{-} = \frac{1}{\Delta y_{j}} \int_{y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} (x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}, y) dy$$

Then, we could fix the x index $(i+\frac{1}{2})^-$, and apply another one-dimensional ENO reconstruction procedure in y, to "de-cell average" in the y variable to obtain the point values of the function u(x) at, e.g. $u(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-, y_{j+\beta})$, where $y_{j+\beta}$ could be a Gauss quadrature point along the cell boundary $(y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$. This would be the case for, e.g. the finite volume ENO scheme in multi-dimensional tensor product meshes to be described in section 4.1.3.

For unstructured meshes, for example triangular meshes in two dimensions, we cannot use one-dimensional ENO procedures directly. However, the basic ENO philosophy is still valid, namely we obtain interpolation or reconstruction based on several fixed stencils containing enough triangles to build polynomial approximations either by exact interpolation or reconstruction, or by a least square procedure, then we choose a final "smoothest" stencil to obtain the ENO approximation polynomial. A key ingredient in such design is still to define suitable "divided differences", which could be linked to derivatives of the function u(x, y), and hence can be used to choose the

ENO stencil appropriately. Such an ENO scheme on unstructured meshes was first constructed in (Abgrall 1994).

For more details about the two (and higher) dimensional ENO reconstruction procedures, we refer to the references listed above and to the lecture notes (Shu 1998).

3. The WENO interpolation and reconstruction procedures

While the ENO interpolation and reconstruction procedures described in section 2 are very popular in applications, they do have a few shortcomings. The first is that several candidate stencils have been considered in the ENO stencil choice process, however only one of them is finally adopted and the remaining ones have been abandoned. While this certainly makes sense near discontinuities as we do not want interpolation or reconstruction across discontinuities, in smooth regions (which form the majority part in the computational domain for piecewise smooth functions) it might be more beneficial to use information from all the candidate stencils, as none of them contains any discontinuity. Also, the "digital" way of choosing the stencil and hence the ENO approximation makes the procedure less smooth: the interpolated or reconstructed value is at most Lipschitz continuous with respect to the given data, but not smoother. This might cause problems in steady state convergence. Finally, the ENO stencil choice process might choose "downwind-biased" stencils systematically, hence affecting the stability and achieved order of accuracy, as showed by the numerical experiments in (Rogerson and Meiburg 1990). Even though the biased ENO procedure (Shu 1990) reviewed in section 2.1 addresses this issue, it would be better to have a more systematic way of ensuring stable stencils for solving hyperbolic conservation laws.

The weighted ENO (WENO) procedure, which is an extension of the ENO procedure, could overcome the shortcomings mentioned above, while maintaining the good properties of ENO approximations. The idea is to use a convex combination of interpolations or reconstructions from different candidate stencils, rather than using only one of them as is the case for ENO. Of course, the crucial ingredient is then the choice of the combination coefficients, also called nonlinear weights, which should be chosen to fulfill the following two properties: (1) When the solution is smooth in all candidate stencils, the nonlinear weights should be chosen to be very close to the so-called "linear weights", which could provide the highest possible order of accuracy from the combined stencil; (2) When a particular candidate stencil contains a discontinuity, while there is at least one other candidate stencil which does not contain any discontinuity, then the candidate stencil containing a discontinuity should be assigned a very small weight, hence its

influence towards the approximation is negligible. This would avoid spurious oscillations in the same way as ENO.

The first WENO procedure was introduced in (Liu et al. 1994), in which a third order WENO reconstruction procedure was developed and used to form a finite volume scheme for solving hyperbolic conservation laws. The procedure in (Liu et al. 1994) can produce a (k+1)-th order WENO scheme from the same stencils from a k-th order ENO scheme. In (Jiang and Shu 1996), a general framework in forming a (2k+1)-th order WENO approximation from a k-th order ENO stencil was established, and a finite difference WENO scheme, which is more efficient for solving multi-dimensional conservation laws than finite volume schemes, was constructed based on the fifth order WENO approximation procedure. The fifth order WENO scheme constructed in (Jiang and Shu 1996) has been the most commonly used WENO scheme in applications. Later on, there have been numerous papers on extending, adapting, and applying WENO schemes in the literature. In this section we will describe briefly the basic ideas and various versions of WENO approximation procedures.

3.1. The classical WENO procedures

The classical WENO approximation procedure, initialized in (Liu et al. 1994) and generalized in (Jiang and Shu 1996), will be summarized in this subsection. A k-th order ENO scheme can be "upgraded" to a (2k + 1)-th order WENO approximation as follows. To make the presentation simple, we concentrate on the k = 3 case, that is, the fifth order WENO procedure in (Jiang and Shu 1996).

Let us look at the third order ENO reconstruction procedure in section 2.2. The third order ENO approximation $p_i(x)$ in cell I_i , which is a quadratic polynomial, could be one the following three polynomials:

- $p^{(1)}(x)$, corresponding to the quadratic reconstruction polynomial over the left-biased stencil $S^{(1)} = \{I_{i-2}, I_{i-1}, I_i\}$;
- or $p^{(2)}(x)$, corresponding to the quadratic reconstruction polynomial over the central stencil $S^{(2)} = \{I_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}\}$;
- or $p^{(3)}(x)$, corresponding to the quadratic reconstruction polynomial over the right-biased stencil $S^{(1)} = \{I_i, I_{i+1}, I_{i+2}\}$.

The idea of WENO is to form a convex combination of the three ENO candidate polynomials $p^{(1)}(x)$, $p^{(2)}(x)$ and $p^{(3)}(x)$. Typically, we are only interested in a particular value of the reconstruction, e.g. the approximation at the right boundary of cell I_i , hence we would like to form a convex combination

$$u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} = w_1 p^{(1)}(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + w_2 p^{(2)}(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + w_3 p^{(3)}(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$$
(3.1)

where the nonlinear weights satisfy

$$w_{\ell} \ge 0, \qquad \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} w_{\ell} = 1.$$
 (3.2)

Clearly, for any such choices of the nonlinear weights, we have at least third order accuracy

$$u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} = u(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + O(\Delta x^{3})$$

if the function u(x) is smooth in the combined big stencil $S = \{I_{i-2}, I_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}, I_{i+2}\}$, since each $p^{(\ell)}(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ is third order accurate and $\sum_{\ell=1}^3 w_\ell = 1$. However, it would be desirable if we could get higher than third order accuracy by putting some constraints on the nonlinear weights w_ℓ besides $\sum_{\ell=1}^3 w_\ell = 1$. In (Liu et al. 1994), a strategy to upgrade the order by one was developed. In (Jiang and Shu 1996), a general framework to upgrade the order from k to 2k+1 was introduced. For the current k=3 case, one can find positive constants γ_ℓ , called linear weights, such that

$$p(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \gamma_{\ell} p^{(\ell)}(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$$

where p(x) is the fourth degree reconstruction polynomial over the big stencil $S = \{I_{i-2}, I_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}, I_{i+2}\}$, and $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{10}$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{3}{5}$ and $\gamma_3 = \frac{3}{10}$. So if we take the nonlinear weights to be equal to the linear weights $w_\ell = \gamma_\ell$, we would achieve fifth order accuracy, however the reconstruction would then be oscillatory near discontinuities. The idea is then to design the nonlinear weights reflecting the smoothness of the function u(x) in each of the small stencils $S^{(\ell)}$, so that in the smooth case w_ℓ is chosen close to the linear weight γ_ℓ to secure upgraded accuracy, and near discontinuities w_ℓ is chosen close to zero to minimize its effect towards the WENO reconstruction. This is achieved by the so-called smoothness indicators (sometimes also called, perhaps more accurately, as roughness indicators), taken as

$$\beta_{\ell} = \sum_{r=1}^{2} \Delta x_{i}^{2r-1} \int_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{d^{r}}{dx^{r}} p^{(\ell)}(x) \right)^{2} dx \tag{3.3}$$

The smoothness indicator β_{ℓ} defined in (3.3) is a scaled square sum of the L^2 norms of derivatives of the reconstruction polynomial $p^{(\ell)}(x)$ over the target
interval I_i , starting from the first derivative and ending by the highest nonzero derivative of the k-th degree polynomial (here k=2). The scaling factor Δx_i^{2r-1} is introduced to make the final smoothness indicator β_{ℓ} independent
of the mesh size Δx on uniform meshes (on nonuniform meshes, β_{ℓ} depends
only on the relative ratios of mesh sizes in the stencil but is still independent
of the largest mesh size Δx). On uniform meshes, one can work out β_{ℓ} from

(3.3) to get the explicit formulas:

$$\beta_{1} = \frac{13}{12} (\bar{u}_{i-2} - 2\bar{u}_{i-1} + \bar{u}_{i})^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\bar{u}_{i-2} - 4\bar{u}_{i-1} + 3\bar{u}_{i})^{2}$$

$$\beta_{2} = \frac{13}{12} (\bar{u}_{i-1} - 2\bar{u}_{i} + \bar{u}_{i+1})^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\bar{u}_{i-1} - \bar{u}_{i+1})^{2}$$

$$\beta_{3} = \frac{13}{12} (\bar{u}_{i} - 2\bar{u}_{i+1} + \bar{u}_{i+2})^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (3\bar{u}_{i} - 4\bar{u}_{i+1} + \bar{u}_{i+2})^{2}$$
(3.4)

Clearly, β_{ℓ} are quadratic functions of the cell averages of u(x) in the relevant stencils, which is natural from the definition (3.3). With these smoothness indicators, we define the nonlinear weights as

$$w_{\ell} = \frac{\tilde{w}_{\ell}}{\sum_{r=1}^{3} \tilde{w}_{r}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{w}_{r} = \frac{\gamma_{r}}{(\varepsilon + \beta_{r})^{2}}.$$
 (3.5)

Here ε is a small positive number to avoid the denominator to become zero and is typically chosen as $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ in actual calculations. Notice that, when $\beta_r = 0$, the denominator of \tilde{w}_r becomes $\varepsilon^2 = 10^{-12}$, which is close to the machine zero in double precision. With these choices of nonlinear weights, (2k+1)-th order accuracy (here, k=2, corresponding to fifth order accuracy) can be achieved in smooth regions. Near discontinuities, that is, if one of the small stencils $S^{(\ell)}$ contains a discontinuity, but at least one other small stencil does not contain any discontinuity, then the corresponding nonlinear weight $w_\ell = O(\Delta x^4)$ is very small, hence the resulting WENO reconstruction is essentially non-oscillatory. Note that, if all three small stencils contain a discontinuity, then all three reconstructions $p^{(\ell)}(x)$ are monotone in the target cell I_i which contains this discontinuity, hence the WENO reconstruction, being a convex combination of these three reconstructions, is also essentially non-oscillatory, regardless of the values of the nonlinear weights.

Higher order ((2k+1)-th order, with k=3,4,5,...) WENO reconstruction procedures were developed in (Balsara and Shu 2000). It appears that the fifth, ninth and thirteenth order WENO schemes perform better than the third, seventh and eleventh order ones (that is, the improvement from third order WENO to fifth order WENO is more apparent than the improvement from fifth order WENO to seventh order WENO, etc.), according to extensive numerical experiments.

A similar WENO interpolation procedure extending the ENO interpolation in section 2.1 can be defined along the same lines. We skip the details and refer the readers to (Shu 1998, Shu 2009).

3.2. Variants of the WENO procedures

Since the publication of (Liu et al. 1994) and (Jiang and Shu 1996), there have been numerous papers making modifications and improvements of the

WENO procedure. However, it appears that the benefits of these modifications and improvements are not universal in all applications. We would advise a new user of WENO for solving a practical problem to use the classic fifth order one in (Jiang and Shu 1996), at least at the beginning, as it is relatively simple to code and would generate stable and accurate results in most cases.

The variants of the WENO modifications and improvements will be briefly surveyed in this section. This survey is not exhaustive.

3.2.1. Improving accuracy in smooth regions

One aspect of the classical WENO schemes in (Jiang and Shu 1996) which could be improved is the achievable order of accuracy in smooth regions. In (Jiang and Shu 1996), it was proved and numerically tested that the scheme can achieve the designed fifth order accuracy in smooth regions, including at smooth extrema. However, it was pointed out in (Henrick, Aslam and Powers 2005) that the order of accuracy could degenerate for functions with certain types of extrema. A mapped WENO procedure, which keeps the smoothness indicator (3.3) unchanged but modifies the formula for the nonlinear weights in (3.5) through a mapped function, was introduced in (Henrick et al. 2005) to enhance the accuracy in such cases. However, for most test cases the degeneracy of the order of accuracy could become apparent only when ε is taken as an extremely small number, e.g. $\varepsilon = 10^{-10}$ or even smaller, which is not necessary in practical computation. Another attempt to enhance the accuracy of the WENO procedure was developed in (Borges, Carmona, Costa and Don 2008, Castro, Costa and Don 2011), which was termed WENO-Z. It was based on nonlinear weights which take into account of the smoothness indicators of all sub-stencils in the reconstruction. Other attempts exist along similar directions and will not be discussed here.

3.2.2. Treatment of negative weights

One difficulty with the classical WENO procedure in (Jiang and Shu 1996) is that the linear weights are uniquely determined by the accuracy requirement and can be negative, or even fail to exist. This is especially the case for WENO reconstructions, as for WENO interpolations, it is proved in (Carlini, Ferretti and Russo 2005) that the linear weights always exist and are always positive for the procedure in section 2.1 regardless of where in the target cell $I_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ we are evaluating this interpolation.

If the standard WENO procedure is used when some of the linear weights are negative, for solving hyperbolic conservation laws, oscillations and instability may appear (Shi, Hu and Shu 2002). A procedure to systematically modify the WENO procedure so that it is still stable and non-oscillatory in the presence of negative weights is developed in (Shi et al. 2002) and has

been used in many later works, for example in (Qiu and Shu 2002) where this technique is used to construct high order central WENO schemes, in (Puppo and Russo 2006) where it is used to construct high order staggered finite difference WENO schemes, in (Carstro, Gaallardo and Parés 2006) where it is used to construct high order WENO schemes for solving non-conservative hyperbolic systems, and in (Noelle, Pankratz, Puppo and Natvig 2006, Xing and Shu 2006b, Xing and Shu 2006c) where it is used to construct well-balanced high order WENO schemes for a class of balance laws including the shallow water equations.

Another way to avoid this difficulty is to have only positive linear weights. For example, if we would be satisfied with the WENO approximation (3.1) having the same third order accuracy as any one of the ENO approximation $p^{(\ell)}(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$, then any positive linear weights γ_{ℓ} , resulting in the nonlinear weights w_{ℓ} (3.5) satisfying (3.2), would be fine. This approach is particularly attractive for WENO approximations on moving meshes for which the linear weights would change from different time levels, or for constructing finite volume WENO schemes on unstructured meshes, we will comment on this later in section 3.3.

3.2.3. WENO procedures with unequal-sized sub-stencils

The classical WENO schemes in (Liu et al. 1994, Jiang and Shu 1996, Balsara and Shu 2000), as described in section 3.2.1, use several small stencils of the same size to make up the big stencil. Another class of WENO approximations uses one large stencil and several smaller stencils. For example, one could use a big stencil

$$S^{(1)} = \{I_{i-2}, I_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}, I_{i+2}\},\$$

and two smaller stencils

$$S^{(2)} = \{I_{i-1}, I_i\}, \qquad S^{(3)} = \{I_i, I_{i+1}\},$$

and form three reconstruction polynomials: a fourth order polynomial $p^{(1)}(x)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} p^{(1)}(x) dx = \bar{u}_j, \qquad j = i - 2, i - 1, i, i + 1, i + 2,$$

and two linear polynomials $p^{(2)}(x)$ and $p^{(3)}(x)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} p^{(2)}(x) dx = \bar{u}_j, \qquad j = i - 1, i,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x_j} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} p^{(3)}(x) dx = \bar{u}_j, \qquad j = i, i+1.$$

We then choose three arbitrary positive linear weights γ_1 , γ_2 and γ_3 , and use the identity

$$p^{(1)}(x) = \gamma_1 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_1} p^{(1)}(x) - \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} p^{(2)}(x) - \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_1} p^{(3)}(x) \right) + \gamma_2 p^{(2)}(x) + \gamma_3 p^{(3)}(x)$$

to form our WENO approximation. If we denote

$$q^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} p^{(1)}(x) - \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} p^{(2)}(x) - \frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_1} p^{(3)}(x)$$

which is also a fourth degree polynomial, then we can change the original high order reconstruction on the large stencil $S^{(1)}$, which is

$$p^{(1)}(x) = \gamma_1 q^{(1)}(x) + \gamma_2 p^{(2)}(x) + \gamma_3 p^{(3)}(x)$$

into a WENO reconstruction

$$p(x) = w_1 q^{(1)}(x) + w_2 p^{(2)}(x) + w_3 p^{(3)}(x)$$
(3.6)

with the nonlinear weights w_{ℓ} computed by the same recipe (through the smoothness indicators of $p^{(\ell)}(x)$) as in the regular WENO procedure detailed in section 3.1. Because the two lower order polynomials $p^{(2)}(x)$ and $p^{(3)}(x)$ are only second order approximations to u(x), the requirement on the closeness of w_{ℓ} to the linear weights γ_{ℓ} in smooth regions is more stringent than that for the classical WENO schemes. Hence in practice, a procedure with enhanced accuracy such as the mapped WENO (Henrick et al. 2005) or the WENO-Z (Borges et al. 2008, Castro et al. 2011) would be preferable.

Since the linear weights can be chosen as arbitrary positive numbers, they are usually chosen in a way that the large stencil has a relatively large linear weight like 0.8 or 0.9, and the smaller stencils share equally the remaining to make the total sum of the linear weights to be one. Another advantage of the WENO procedure above is that the final WENO reconstruction (3.6) is a polynomial (of the same degree as the polynomial $p^{(1)}(x)$ over the large stencil) throughout the target interval I_i , while on the other hand, the classical WENO reconstruction can only be obtained for specific points inside I_i or for specific functionals such as the integration over the cell I_i or the derivative at a certain point in I_i .

The idea of this type of WENO reconstructions described above first appeared in the context of central WENO schemes, in (Levy, Puppo and Russo 1999, Levy, Puppo and Russo 2000, Capdeville 2008). Later, Zhu and Qiu constructed finite difference and finite volume WENO schemes based on this type of WENO reconstructions in (Zhu and Qiu 2016, Zhu and Qiu 2017). More recently, Zhu and Shu developed a class of multiresolution WENO schemes based on this idea (Zhu and Shu 2018, Zhu and Shu 2019a, Zhu and Shu 2019b), in which all the stencils are central, and (in 1D) if the large stencil has 7 cells, then the following smaller stencils have

5, 3 and 1 cell(s), respectively. The multi-resolution WENO schemes are particularly attractive for unstructured meshes (Zhu and Shu 2019a, Zhu and Shu 2019b), because of their simplicity both in the choice of the stencil and in the freedom of arbitrary positive linear weights.

3.2.4. Hermite type WENO procedure

If we would like to have a narrower stencil but require each cell or point to contain more than one piece of information (e.g. each cell contains the information of both its cell average and its first order moment, or each point contains the information of both its point value and the value of the first derivative), then a high order Hermite type ENO or WENO polynomial can be reconstructed or interpolated in this narrower stencil. This procedure has the advantage particularly as a limiter for discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods, to be reviewed in section 4.3, to fit the more compact DG data structure. It can also be used as stand-along solver for PDEs. We refer to, e.g., (Qiu and Shu 2003b, Qiu and Shu 2005b, Qiu and Shu 2005c) for more details.

3.3. Multi-dimensions and unstructured meshes

For tensor product type meshes, the WENO procedure is similar to the ENO procedure as described in section 2.5, namely either using the one-dimensional WENO approximation in a dimension by dimension fashion (for finite difference schemes), or using the one-dimensional WENO reconstruction first in one direction to "de-cell average" in that direction, followed by the one-dimensional WENO reconstruction in the other direction to "de-cell average" in the other direction.

For unstructured meshes, for example triangular meshes in two dimensions and tetrahedral meshes in three dimensions, the classical WENO procedure is quite complicated (Hu and Shu 1999, Zhang and Shu 2009), aiming at using several small stencils to form a higher order approximation from the combined large stencil at particular points (usually quadrature points along cell boundaries for evaluating numerical fluxes, see section 4 below for more details) through linear weights, smoothness indicators, and nonlinear weights similar to the one-dimensional procedure. The main complication is that the linear weights are different for different quadrature points and different mesh structure, and they could easily become negative, resulting in large computational cost and the necessity to use the special treatment for negative weights (Shi et al. 2002).

One possible remedy to reduce the computational cost of multi-dimensional WENO schemes on unstructured meshes is to relax on the achievable accuracy from the convex combination of approximations on small stencils. If we would only require the same order of accuracy in the large combined

stencil as that from each of the small stencils, then the choice of linear weights could be arbitrary, with the only restriction that they sum to one. This approach is particularly attractive for WENO approximations on moving meshes for which the linear weights would change from different time levels, for example in Lagrangian type methods (Cheng and Shu 2008b); and / or for constructing finite volume WENO schemes on unstructured meshes for which linear weights are very difficult to determine, for example in (Friedrichs 1998, Dumbser and Käser 2007, Dumbser, Käser, Titarev and Toro 2007). It is also used in (Levy et al. 1999) to construct high order central WENO schemes, for which the linear weights may fail to exist for some cases if we would insist on the maximum attainable order of accuracy. In (Liu and Zhang 2013), this approach is combined with the classical WENO procedure in (Zhang and Shu 2009) to obtain more robust and less expensive WENO schemes on three-dimensional tetrahedrons.

The procedure of using stencils with different sizes to form WENO approximations, which allows the choice of linear weights to be arbitrary with the only restriction that they sum to one, as described in section 3.2.3, is a better choice for constructing WENO approximations on unstructured meshes and / or on moving meshes. These WENO approximations are not only easy to construct, they also provide a polynomial in the target cell, rather than just individual approximations at different quadrature points from the classical WENO procedure. We would advocate in particular the multi-resolution WENO schemes developed in (Zhu and Shu 2019a, Zhu and Shu 2019b), which have provided a simple and effective WENO approximation procedure for both two and three dimensional unstructured meshes.

For more details about the two (and higher) dimensional WENO reconstruction procedures, we again refer to the references listed above and to the lecture notes (Shu 1998).

3.4. Other WENO procedures

The WENO interpolation and reconstruction procedures described in previous sections are the most commonly used WENO procedures in applications. However, other WENO procedures can be similarly defined.

3.4.1. WENO approximations to derivatives

We could use WENO interpolation to approximate the first, second or higher order derivatives of the piecewise smooth function u(x), given its point values $u_i = u(x_i)$. By the classical WENO procedure, this would involve different linear weights than those for interpolation, which could often become negative (Liu, Shu and Zhang 2009b, Liu, Shu and Zhang 2011b), hence requiring the special treatment for negative weights (Shi et al. 2002). Another point requiring particular attention is that in the definition of the smoothness indicator (3.3), the summation should start from r = m+1 for approximating

m-th order derivative, since one should not include *m*-th order derivative itself in the measurement of smoothness indicator if one is approximating the *m*-th order derivative. This is a common mistake which may cause poor performance both in accuracy loss and in spurious oscillations.

The procedure of using stencils with different sizes to form WENO approximations, which allows the choice of linear weights to be arbitrary with the only restriction that they sum to one, as described in section 3.2.3, is a good choice for constructing WENO approximations to derivatives of different orders. Since the resulting WENO approximation is a polynomial in the target cell, the approximation to the m-th derivatives can be readily obtained just by taking the m-th derivative of the WENO polynomial, paying attention only in the computation of the smoothness indicator (3.3), whose summation must start from r = m + 1. We would again advocate in particular the multi-resolution WENO procedure developed in (Zhu and Shu 2018, Zhu and Shu 2019a, Zhu and Shu 2019b) for this purpose.

3.4.2. WENO approximations to integration

We could use WENO interpolation to approximate the integration of the piecewise smooth function u(x) over the target cell, given its point values $u_i = u(x_i)$. By the classical WENO procedure, this would again involve different linear weights than those for interpolation, which could often become negative (Shu 2009, Chou and Shu 2006, Chou and Shu 2007), hence requiring the special treatment for negative weights (Shi et al. 2002). Once more, the procedure of using stencils with different sizes to form WENO approximations, which allows the choice of linear weights to be arbitrary with the only restriction that they sum to one, as described in section 3.2.3, is a good choice for constructing WENO integration. Since the resulting WENO approximation is a polynomial in the target cell, the approximation to the integration over the target cell can be readily obtained just by taking the integration of the WENO polynomial. We would once more advocate in particular the multi-resolution WENO procedure developed in (Zhu and Shu 2018, Zhu and Shu 2019a, Zhu and Shu 2019b) for this purpose.

4. ENO and WENO schemes for conservation laws

The most important application of the ENO and WENO approximation procedure outlined in sections 2 and 3 is to perform spatial discretizations for solving the hyperbolic conservation laws (1.1), Hamilton-Jacobi equations (1.2) or convection-diffusions equations (1.3). In this section we describe the procedure for solving hyperbolic conservation laws.

4.1. Finite volume schemes for conservation laws

One of the most important classes of numerical schemes for solving hyperbolic conservation laws is the class of finite volume schemes. We will describe ENO and WENO finite volume schemes in this subsection.

4.1.1. One dimensional scalar equations

If we integrate the conservation law (1.1) over the cell $I_i = (x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$, we obtain its integral form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bar{u}_i + \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \left(f(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) - f(u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

where $\bar{u}_i = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} u(x,t) dx$ is the spatial cell average of the solution u(x,t) in the cell I_i as defined in section 2.2. To convert the equality (4.1) into a finite volume scheme, we would take our computational variables as the cell averages $\{\bar{u}_i\}$ and use the ENO or WENO reconstruction procedures described in sections 2.2 or 3.1 respectively, to obtain an approximation to the point values $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$. For the purpose of stability, we actually compute two reconstructed values $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$, corresponding to the ENO or WENO approximations (which are piecewise polynomials) in the cell I_i and in the cell I_{i+1} respectively. We can then feed them into a numerical flux

$$\hat{f}\left(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-},u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{+}\right)$$

to obtain the semi-discrete finite volume scheme

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bar{u}_i = -\frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \left[\hat{f} \left(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-, u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \right) - \hat{f} \left(u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^-, u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \right) \right]. \tag{4.2}$$

The choice of the numerical flux $\hat{f}(u^-, u^+)$ is based on exact or approximate Riemann solvers. In the scalar case they are chosen from the class of monotone fluxes. Examples of monotone fluxes include the Godunov flux, the Engquist-Osher flux, and the Lax-Friedrichs flux. We refer to, e.g. (LeVeque 1990) and references therein for a detailed discussion of monotone fluxes.

The ENO reconstruction procedure to obtain $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-$ starts with the cell I_i in the stencil, and then adding one cell at a time with the ENO process until the desired number of cells is reached, as outlined in section 2.2. The ENO reconstruction procedure to obtain $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+$ is mirror symmetric (with respect to the location $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$), namely starting with the cell I_{i+1} in the stencil, and then adding one cell at a time with the ENO process until the desired number of cells is reached.

Likewise, the WENO reconstruction procedure to obtain $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-$ uses a left-biased stencil, e.g. $\{I_{i-2},I_{i-1},I_i,I_{i+1},I_{i+2}\}$ in the fifth order case, and the WENO procedure as outlined in section 3.1. The WENO reconstruction procedure to obtain $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+$ is mirror symmetric (with respect to the location $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$), namely using a right-biased stencil, e.g. $\{I_{i-1},I_i,I_{i+1},I_{i+2},I_{i+3}\}$ in the fifth order case, and the WENO procedure.

Notice that the mesh for the finite volume scheme can be non-uniform, and also the numerical flux $\hat{f}(u^-, u^+)$ needs only to be a Lipschitz continuous function with respect to u^- and u^+ , thus many less smooth fluxes such as the Godunov flux or the Roe flux could be used. We again refer to (LeVeque 1990) and references therein for a detailed discussion of these fluxes.

4.1.2. One dimensional systems

For an one-dimensional conservation law system (1.1), u is a vector and f(u) is a vector function. There is a requirement that the Jacobian matrix f'(u) is diagonalizable, with only real eigenvalues and a complete set of eigenvectors. Let us assume that R(u) consists of the right eigenvectors of f'(u) as its columns, hence $L(u) = R^{-1}(u)$ exists (which actually consists of the left eigenvectors of f'(u) as its rows). We also denote the diagonal matrix $\Lambda(u)$ which contains the eigenvalues of f'(u) in its diagonal line, then we have $f'(u)R(u) = R(u)\Lambda(u)$, or $L(u)f'(u)R(u) = \Lambda(u)$.

The ENO or WENO finite volume scheme is still (4.2). The numerical flux $\hat{f}(u^-, u^+)$ could be based on exact or approximate Riemann solvers. Typical choices include the Godunov flux, the Lax-Friedrichs flux, the Roe flux, and the HLLC flux. We refer to (LeVeque 1990, Toro 1997) for more details of Riemann solvers and numerical fluxes for the system case.

As to the reconstruction to obtain $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$ from the cell averages \bar{u}_j , we could perform the ENO or WENO reconstruction procedure on each component of the vector \bar{u}_j , then it would just be using the scalar reconstruction algorithm m times for each of the m components of the vector \bar{u}_j . This would produce satisfactory results for many test cases, with smooth solutions or with solutions of weak shocks. However, for problems with strong shocks, especially for problems which has multiple discontinuities (such as a shock and a contact discontinuity) resulting from a single discontinuity initially (this would be the case of many Riemann problems), the component-wise ENO or WENO reconstruction could lead to spurious oscillations. A more robust, albeit more expensive, way to perform the reconstruction is to perform it in the local characteristic directions, which is summarized below. To obtain the approximation $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$, we have the following steps:

1 Determine an approximate middle-point value of u at $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$, denoted

by $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$. Do not confuse this with the final reconstruction values $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$. This approximate middle-point value $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ is used only as a reference to perform local characteristic decompositions, hence it does not need to be a high order approximation of u at $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$. Most often, we will take the so-called Roe average between \bar{u}_i and \bar{u}_{i+1} (Roe 1978) as $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$. When the Roe average is not available, we could simply choose the arithmetic mean $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{u}_i + \bar{u}_{i+1})$. We denote the right eigenvector matrix $R(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ and the left eigenvector matrix $L(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ of the Jacobian matrix $f'(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ as R and L below. This will not cause confusion since all the steps here are used to compute $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$ for fixed i.

2 Project all the cell averages needed in the ENO or WENO procedure to compute $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$ into the characteristic fields determined by R and L. For example, if we perform a fifth order WENO reconstruction to get $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$, we would need to do

$$\bar{v}_j = L\bar{u}_j, \qquad j = i - 2, i - 1, i, i + 1, i + 2, i + 3.$$

- 3 Perform the scalar ENO or WENO reconstruction procedure on each component of \bar{v}_j , to obtain $v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$.
- 4 Project $v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$ back to the original vector space

$$u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm} = Rv_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}.$$

4.1.3. Multi-dimensional problems

For a multi-dimensional conservation law

$$u_t + \nabla \cdot f(u) = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

a finite volume scheme approximates its integrated version

$$\bar{u}_i + \frac{1}{|\Delta_i|} \int_{\partial \Delta_i} f(u) \cdot n ds = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

where Δ_i is a cell (e.g. a rectangle or triangle in 2D), $\bar{u}_i = \frac{1}{|\Delta_i|} \int_{\Delta_i} u(x) dx$ is the cell average of u in the cell Δ_i , $|\Delta_i|$ is the size of the cell Δ_i (area in 2D and volume in 3D), $\partial \Delta_i$ is the boundary of the cell Δ_i (edges in 2D and surfaces in 3D), and n is the outward unit normal along $\partial \Delta_i$. The integrated version (4.4) can be obtained from the PDE (4.3) by integrating over the cell Δ_i and using the divergence theorem. To convert the integrated version (4.4), which is satisfied by the exact solution of the PDE (4.3), into a finite volume scheme, we would take our computational variables as the cell averages $\{\bar{u}_i\}$. The integrals along the boundary of the cell $\partial \Delta_i$ in (4.4) are replaced by their quadrature numerical integrations (typically,

Gaussian quadrature rules are used if possible), and the physical flux $f(u) \cdot n$ is replaced by an one-dimensional numerical flux $\hat{g}(u^{int(D_i)}, u^{ext(D_i)})$, where $u^{int(D_i)}$ and $u^{ext(D_i)}$ refer to numerical approximations of the point value u at the quadrature point inside the cell D_i and outside the cell D_i (hence inside the neighboring cell), respectively. The one-dimensional numerical flux $\hat{g}(u^-, u^+)$ is the same as those discussed in the one-dimensional case in sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 respectively. Finally, we obtain the point values $u^{int(D_i)}$ and $u^{ext(D_i)}$ from the cell averages \bar{u}_j by a multi-dimensional ENO or WENO reconstruction procedure, the two-dimensional versions having been described in sections 3.3. For the system case, we can carry out a similar reconstruction in the local characteristic fields, determined by the Jacobian matrix of the one-dimensional physical flux $f(u) \cdot n$.

4.2. Finite difference schemes for conservation laws

Different from finite volume schemes which use cell averages as the computational variables, finite difference schemes use point values as the computational variables. We will describe conservative ENO and WENO finite difference schemes below.

4.2.1. One dimensional scalar equations

We use x_i to denote a uniform mesh point and u_i to denote the numerical approximation of $u(x_i,t)$. Unlike finite volume schemes which can be applied to any non-uniform meshes, the conservative finite difference scheme of order at least 3 can only be designed for uniform of smooth meshes. We will assume the mesh is uniform for simplicity, hence $\Delta x = x_{i+1} - x_i$ is a constant. The conservative finite difference scheme approximating (1.1) is of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_i + \frac{1}{\Delta x}\left(\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{f}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

where $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ is a numerical flux which depends on several neighboring point values, for example, for a fifth order WENO scheme, it depends on u_{i-2} , u_{i-1} , u_i , u_{i+1} , u_{i+2} and u_{i+3} . Superficially, the finite difference scheme (4.5) seems to be totally different from the finite volume scheme (4.2). However, the following simple Lemma by Shu and Osher in (Shu and Osher 1989) sets up a direct link between these two types of schemes.

Lemma 3.1: If $h(x) = h_{\Delta x}(x)$ is implicitly defined as

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x-\frac{\Delta x}{2}}^{x+\frac{\Delta x}{2}} h(\xi) d\xi = f(u(x))$$
(4.6)

then

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x} \left(h(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) - h(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) = f(u)_x|_{x=x_i}.$$

The proof is straightforward: just take an x derivative on both sides of (4.6) and evaluate the results at $x = x_i$.

This simple lemma allows us to take the numerical flux in the finite difference scheme (4.5) as

$$\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{\alpha}} = h(x_{i+\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \tag{4.7}$$

to ensure r-th order accuracy, if the function h(x) in the Lemma can be computed to r-th order accuracy.

Of course, the issue seems to remain as how to compute a good approximation to $h(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$. Notice that the definition (4.6) of h(x) implies that

$$\bar{h}_i \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} h(\xi) d\xi = f(u_i),$$

which is known for a finite difference scheme, since the point values u_i are the computational variables. Therefore, we are given the cell averages $\bar{h}_i = f(u_i)$ of the function h(x) and we would need to approximate its point values $h(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ to high order accuracy to obtain the numerical flux $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ in (4.7). This is exactly the reconstruction problem that we discussed in section 2.2. Hence we can use the same ENO or WENO reconstruction procedure discussed in section 2.2 or section 3.1, which has been used for finite volume schemes in the previous sections. This implies that a finite difference ENO or WENO code for the scalar one dimensional conservation law (1.1) shares the main reconstruction subroutine with a finite volume ENO or WENO code. The only difference is the input-output pair: for a finite volume scheme, the input is the set of cell averages $\{\bar{u}_i\}$ and the output is the reconstructed values of the solution at the cell interfaces $\{u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\}$; for a finite difference scheme, the input is the set of the point values of the physical flux $\{f(u_i)\}$ and the output is the numerical fluxes at the cell interfaces $\{\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\}$.

Another issue for finite difference schemes is the way to ensure upwinding and stability. For this purpose, the finite difference procedure described above is applied to $f^+(u)$ and $f^-(u)$ separately, where $f^{\pm}(u)$ correspond to a flux splitting

$$f(u) = f^{+}(u) + f^{-}(u)$$
(4.8)

with

$$\frac{d}{du}f^{+}(u) \ge 0, \qquad \frac{d}{du}f^{-}(u) \le 0. \tag{4.9}$$

The reconstruction for $f^+(u)$ uses a biased stencil with one more point to the left, and that for $f^-(u)$ uses a biased stencil with one more point to the right, to obey correct upwinding. For ENO schemes, the stencil choice

to evaluate $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+$ starts with a single point $f^+(u_i)$ in the stencil, and then adding one point at a time by the ENO process until the desired number of points is reached; while the stencil choice to evaluate $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-$ starts with a single point $f^-(u_{i+1})$ in the stencil, and then adding one point at a time by the ENO process until the desired number of points is reached. For the fifth order WENO schemes, the big stencil for reconstructing $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+$ contains $\{f^+(u_{i-2}), f^+(u_{i-1}), f^+(u_i), f^+(u_{i+1}), f^+(u_{i+2})\}$, and the big stencil for reconstructing $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-$ contains $\{f^-(u_{i-1}), f^-(u_i), f^-(u_{i+1}), f^-(u_{i+2}), f^-(u_{i+3})\}$. We would also require that $f^\pm(u)$ are smooth functions of u. The most commonly used flux splitting is the Lax-Friedrichs splitting

$$f^{\pm}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f(u) \pm \alpha u \right)$$

with

$$\alpha = \max_{u} |f'(u)|.$$

We remark that for any flux splitting (4.8) satisfying (4.9), $\hat{f}(u^-, u^+) = f^+(u^-) + f^-(u^+)$ is a monotone flux in the scalar case.

An alternative formulation of the finite difference flux $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ is based on ENO or WENO interpolation, not reconstruction, but with more complicated formulas involving not only the point values from the interpolation, but also all the even order derivatives of the flux function (Shu and Osher 1988, Jiang, Shu and Zhang 2013). One advantage of this alternative formulation is that it allows the usage of all monotone fluxes, regardless of their smoothness. Other advantages will be commented upon in later sections.

4.2.2. One dimensional systems

Similar to finite volume schemes, the conservative finite difference ENO or WENO scheme for one-dimensional systems is almost the same as that for the scalar case. The only exception is the reconstruction on local characteristic field. If this is needed, it is performed in the same way as that for finite volume schemes as described in section 4.1.2.

4.2.3. Multi-dimensional problems

A major advantage of finite difference schemes over finite volume schemes is the efficiency in multi-dimensions. Conservative finite difference schemes of order higher than 2 can only be defined on uniform tensor product or smooth curvilinear meshes. We use two dimensional tensor product uniform meshes as an example. We have a mesh (x_i, y_j) where $\Delta x = x_{i+1} - x_i$ and $\Delta y = y_{j+1} - y_j$ are both constants. A finite difference scheme approximates

the PDE form (4.3) directly and can proceed dimension by dimension. The scheme is

$$\frac{du_{ij}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\Delta x}(\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j} - \hat{f}_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}) - \frac{1}{\Delta y}(\hat{g}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{g}_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}})$$

where the numerical flux $\hat{f}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}$ can be computed from $\{u_{ij}\}$ with fixed j in exactly the same way as in the one dimensional case described in section 4.2.1. Likewise for $\hat{g}_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, the computational cost is exactly the same as in the one-dimensional case per point per direction. This is much more efficient than finite volume schemes. We refer to (Casper, Shu and Atkins 1994) for a comparison of finite difference and finite volume ENO schemes.

4.2.4. Weighted compact schemes

To get better wave resolution capability with a (nominally) narrower stencil, we could use the so-called compact schemes (Lele 1992). However, for solutions with strong shocks, the compact schemes could also generate spurious oscillations. To overcome this difficulty, hybrid compact-ENO or compact-WENO schemes and weighted compact schemes were designed, e.g. in (Adams and Shariff 1996, Mahesh, Lele and Moin 1997, Deng and Maekawa 1997, Deng and Zhang 2000, Jiang, Shan and Liu 2001, Zhang, Jiang and Shu 2008).

4.3. WENO reconstruction as a limiter for discontinuous Galerkin methods

Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods, especially the Runge-Kutta DG (RKDG) methods (Cockburn and Shu 1989, Cockburn and Shu 1998, Cockburn and Shu 2001), are also a popular class of methods for solving hyperbolic conservation laws and general convection dominated convectiondiffusion equations, especially for unstructured meshes in multi-dimensions. The algorithm formulation of the RKDG methods is very similar to that of finite volume methods, except that the RKDG methods do not have a reconstruction step to reconstruct the piecewise polynomial solution from the cell averages, rather they evolve the whole polynomial solution in time. As such, the RKDG methods may suffer from spurious oscillations or even nonlinear instability when the solution contains strong shocks. One possible way to remedy this is to apply a nonlinear limiter, that is, to modify the DG solution in the so-called "troubled cells" to another polynomial of the same degree, which is less oscillatory, and is hopefully also as high order accurate as the original DG polynomial in case the "troubled cell" turns out to be a smooth cell of the solution (although there are numerous papers on the choice of troubled cell indicators (Qiu and Shu 2005a), none of them is bullet-proof in selecting all and only shocked cells, especially in coarser meshes, hence we must assume that the troubled cell indicator could mistakenly identify a good, smooth cell as a troubled cell).

The WENO reconstruction would serve as a good limiter for RKDG schemes in troubled cells, as it could maintain the original high order accuracy if mistakenly used in smooth cells, and it can keep the cell average unchanged, hence ensuring conservation. Earlier WENO limiters for RKDG schemes (Qiu and Shu 2005d, Qiu and Shu 2003b, Qiu and Shu 2005b) used wider stencils than the original communication structure of DG methods (the DG methods only communicate with immediate neighboring cells via numerical fluxes), hence they have problems in the original DG code structure, especially for parallel implementations. Later, more compact WENO limiters, which use only the information from immediate neighboring cells, were designed (Zhong and Shu 2013, Zhu, Zhong, Shu and Qiu 2017). We mention in particular the recently developed compact WENO limiters (Zhu, Qiu and Shu 2019a) based on the multi-resolution WENO procedure in (Zhu and Shu 2018, Zhu and Shu 2019a), which appear to be quite robust for RKDG methods of various orders.

5. ENO and WENO schemes for Hamilton-Jacobi equations

In the one-dimensional case, the conservation law equation (1.1) and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.2) are equivalent, if we identify $u = \varphi_x$. The entropy solution u of (1.1) corresponds to the viscosity solution φ of (1.2) with $u = \varphi_x$. Therefore, successful ENO and WENO algorithms for solving conservation laws discussed in the previous sections can be easily adapted to solve Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Notice that there is no advantage in using finite volume schemes (those evolving the cell averages of φ) for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, as finite difference schemes (those evolving the point values of φ) can handle non-uniform meshes and unstructured meshes well and are simpler to design than finite volume schemes.

In one space dimension, the finite difference ENO or WENO schemes for solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.2) take the following form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_i + \hat{f}(u_i^-, u_i^+) = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

where φ_i is the approximation to the point value $\varphi(x_i, t)$, u^{\pm} are the approximations to the derivative $\varphi_x(x_i, t)$ with stencils biased to the right and to the left, respectively, and $\hat{f}(u^-, u^+)$ is a monotone Hamiltonian, which is the same as a monotone flux for the conservation law case. To obtain u_i^{\pm} in (5.1), we use the ENO or WENO approximations to the first derivative of φ at the point x_i , as described in section 3.4.1. For ENO, the approximation of u_i^- starts with a stencil containing the two points $\{\varphi_{i-1}, \varphi_i\}$ and then with one point added to the stencil at each step in the ENO fashion, until the desired number of points is reached; while the approximation of

 u_i^+ starts with a stencil containing the two points $\{\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}\}$ and then with one point added to the stencil at each step in the ENO fashion, until the desired number of points is reached. For the fifth order WENO, the stencil for the approximation of u_i^- is $\{\varphi_{i-3}, \varphi_{i-2}, \varphi_{i-1}, \varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}, \varphi_{i+2}\}$, while the stencil for the approximation of u_i^+ is $\{\varphi_{i-2}, \varphi_{i-1}, \varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}, \varphi_{i+2}, \varphi_{i+3}\}$.

For multi-dimensional Hamilton-Jacobi equations, e.g. the two-dimensional version

$$\varphi_t + f(\varphi_x, \varphi_y) = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

the ENO and WENO schemes still follow similar framework. For tensor product meshes, which are not necessarily uniform, we can obtain the finite difference scheme in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_{i,j} + \hat{f}(u_{i,j}^-, u_{i,j}^+; v_{i,j}^-, v_{i,j}^+) = 0$$
(5.3)

where $\varphi_{i,j}$ is the approximation to the point value $\varphi(x_i, y_j, t)$, u^{\pm} are the approximations to the derivative $\varphi_x(x_i, y_j, t)$ with stencils biased to the right and to the left, respectively, v^{\pm} are the approximations to the derivative $\varphi_{\nu}(x_i, y_i, t)$ with stencils biased to the top and to the bottom, respectively, and $\hat{f}(u^-, u^+; v^-, v^+)$ is a monotone Hamiltonian. We refer to (Crandall and Lions 1984, Osher and Sethian 1988, Osher and Shu 1991) for examples of two-dimensional monotone Hamiltonians. The ENO and WENO approximations to obtain $u_{i,j}^{\pm}$ are identical to the one-dimensional case in the xvariable, with the index j fixed. Likewise for $v_{i,j}^{\pm}$. For unstructured meshes, we refer to (Abgrall 1996) for the construction of monotone Hamiltonians, and to (Zhang and Shu 2003) for high order WENO schemes. We also have Hermite type WENO schemes designed for solving Hamilton-Jacobi equations in, e.g. (Qiu and Shu 2005c, Zheng, Shu and Qiu 2017, Zheng, Shu and Qiu 2019). We refer to (Osher and Sethian 1988, Osher and Shu 1991, Jiang and Peng 2000, Shu 2007) for more details on ENO and WENO schemes for solving Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

6. ENO and WENO schemes for convection-diffusion equations

For the convection-diffusion equation (1.3), the simplest method is to use ENO or WENO approximations described in previous sections to discretize the convection term $f(u)_x$, and then use central difference approximations of suitable order of accuracy to discretize the diffusion term $(a(u)u_x)_x$. This procedure works fine for most cases, except for the case with degenerate diffusion terms, that is, a(u) = 0 at certain values of u. Degenerate diffusion terms could lead to hyperbolic-like features in the solutions, such as discontinuous derivatives or even discontinuities in the solutions themselves.

In such cases, it would be prudent to also use ENO or WENO approximations to treat the diffusion term $(a(u)u_x)_x$. The procedure is similar to that for the convection term, with some difference in the design of smoothness indicators etc. We refer to (Liu et al. 2011b) for more detailed discussions.

7. Time discretizations

Up to now, we have only discussed spatial discretizations using ENO or WENO procedures. In practice, we would certainly also need to discretize the time variable. The simplest and perhaps most widely used approach is to adopt the explicit total variation diminishing (TVD) (Shu and Osher 1988, Shu 1988), also called strong stability preserving (SSP) (Gottlieb, Shu and Tadmor 2001) Runge-Kutta or multi-step time discretizations, which would preserve stability in any norm, semi-norm or convex functional enjoyed by the first order Euler forward time discretization. The most popular one in this class is the third order TVD or SSP time discretization (Shu and Osher 1988):

$$\bar{u}^{(1)} = \bar{u}^n + \Delta t L(\bar{u}^n)
\bar{u}^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4}\bar{u}^n + \frac{1}{4}\bar{u}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta t L(\bar{u}^{(1)})
\bar{u}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}\bar{u}^n + \frac{2}{3}\bar{u}^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}\Delta t L(\bar{u}^{(2)})$$
(7.1)

where L(u) is the spatial discretization operator. We refer to (Gottlieb et al. 2001, Gottlieb, Ketcheson and Shu 2009, Gottlieb, Ketcheson and Shu 2011) for more details of the TVD or SSP time discretizations.

An alternative method of time discretization is via the Lax-Wendroff procedure, namely performing a Taylor expansion in time and converting all time derivatives to spatial derivatives by repeatedly using the PDE, and finally discretizing all the spatial derivatives to the correct order of accuracy. The ADER schemes and GRP schemes also belong to this class. See, e.g. (Harten et al. 1987, Titarev and Toro 2005, Ben-Artzi, Li and Warnecke 2006, Qiu and Shu 2003a). This procedure can be combined with the Runge-Kutta framework to obtain hybridized multi-stage Lax-Wendroff time discretizations (also called multiderivative time integrators), see, e.g. (Seal, Guclu and Christlieb 2014, Li and Du 2016).

For some applications, e.g. those with significantly varying mesh sizes, an implicit time discretization might be advantageous. We refer to, e.g. (Gadiou and Tenaud 2004, Gottlieb, Mullen and Ruuth 2006) for discussions of implicit time discretization for WENO schemes.

8. Boundary conditions

So far, we have only discussed periodic or compactly supported boundary conditions for simplicity. In many application problems, there are physical boundaries where suitable numerical boundary conditions must be used. The numerical boundary treatment should ensure both stability and accuracy, and should also maintain important physical properties such as conservation. For high order ENO or WENO approximations, which involve a wide stencil, suitable ghost point values outside the computational domain usually need to be prescribed. For some of the physical boundaries, such as a solid wall (reflecting boundary condition) or a symmetry line, the ghost point values can be prescribed by symmetry or anti-symmetry, using values of the corresponding points inside the computational domain. For outflow boundaries, it can be shown that the standard extrapolation of suitable orders of accuracy will give a stable scheme (Goldberg 1977). However, at the inflow, it is a challenge to obtain a stable scheme with high order extrapolation. This is especially the case when the domain boundary does not coincide with the grid points, and when the first grid point is very close to the domain boundary (the so-called "cut-cell" problem (Berger, Helzel and LeVeque 2003)). In (Huang, Shu and Zhang 2008, Tan and Shu 2010), a general procedure is developed to treat the inflow boundary conditions. Termed the inverse Lax-Wendroff (ILW) procedure, this boundary treatment relies on converting spatial derivatives at the boundary to time derivatives using the PDE, and then using these spatial derivatives in a Taylor expansion in space to obtain the ghost point values. It reverses the roles of space and time from the standard Lax-Wendroff procedure for time discretization, explaining the name "inverse Lax-Wendroff procedure". We refer to (Goldberg and Tadmor 1978, Goldberg and Tadmor 1981) for earlier discussions on this procedure. This procedure has been generalized to moving boundaries in (Tan and Shu 2011), and to convection-diffusion equations in (Lu, Fang, Tan, Shu and Zhang 2016). A simplified version, for which the (more expensive) ILW procedure is only applied to several lower order derivatives and standard extrapolation is applied to the remaining ones, has been introduced in (Tan, Wang, Shu and Ning 2012) and analyzed for stability in (Li, Shu and Zhang 2016, Li, Shu and Zhang 2017). We refer to these references for more details.

9. Bound-preserving WENO schemes

The ENO and WENO schemes for solving hyperbolic conservation laws are in general very stable and robust. They can be used to compute problems with very strong shocks and complicated interactions of solution structures, essentially without oscillations. Usually, no additional limiter, such as the total variation bounded limiter (Shu 1987), is needed.

However, there are certain problems, especially high speed problems such as the astrophysical jet flows with Mach numbers as high as 2000 (Ha, Gardner, Gelb and Shu 2005), which may lead to the appearance of negative pressure and eventually this may lead to nonlinear instability and blowup of the code. The problem is that, for Euler equations, the total energy is one of the conserved computational variables, and internal energy, hence pressure, is obtained from the difference of total energy and the kinetic energy. For high speed flows, the total energy and the kinetic energy are almost equal, as the internal energy is only a tiny fraction of the total energy. Hence, as the difference of two large and close numbers, the internal energy is subject to severe numerical error and may easily become negative in the computation. This is more likely to happen if we use a higher order ENO or WENO scheme, since the numerical dissipation would be smaller. In (Ha et al. 2005), a third order WENO scheme was used successfully in the simulation, but a fifth order WENO scheme would fail for the same test case.

Recently, a framework has been developed (Zhang and Shu 2010a, Zhang and Shu 2010b, Zhang, Xia and Shu 2012, Zhang and Shu 2011) that guarantees positivity of pressure and density for the computation of Euler equations (or boundedness of other physical quantities in different applications), via a very simple and local scaling limiter, which can be proved to maintain the high order accuracy of the original scheme in smooth regions including at smooth extrema. The technique applies most conveniently to finite volume schemes (Zhang and Shu 2010a, Zhang and Shu 2010b, Zhang et al. 2012, Zhang and Shu 2011) (they are discussed in these papers mostly in the framework of discontinuous Galerkin methods, but the papers also point out that the technique can be applied to finite volume methods without change), but they can also be adapted to finite difference schemes under stronger assumptions (Zhang and Shu 2012). We refer to these references for more details.

10. Applications

The ENO and WENO schemes have been widely used in diverse application fields, including computational fluid dynamics, magnetohydrodynamics, computational cosmology, semiconductor device simulation, traffic flow models, and computational biology, just to name a few. The ENO and WENO schemes are particularly attractive for solving problems containing both strong shocks or other discontinuities and complicated solution structures, such as compressible turbulent flows. A study on the resolution of high order WENO schemes for complicated flow structures can be found in (Shi, Zhang and Shu 2003). A more detailed, quantitative study was performed in (Zhang, Shi, Shu and Zhou 2003b).

As an indication of the wide popularity of ENO and WENO schemes in applications, we have looked at a small sample of journal papers from the Web of Science database, among the more than 250 papers published in the less than one year period since 2019 which have cited the classical WENO paper (Jiang and Shu 1996). Most of them have involved further improvements and applications of ENO and WENO algorithms in the simulations of diverse physical and engineering problems. Among them we could highlight the following:

- Simulations of turbulent flows. Turbulent duct flow with polymers was simulated in (Shahmardi, Zade, Ardekani, Poole, Lundell, Rosti and Brandt 2019); turbulent nonpremixed "cool" flames with flamelet models were simulated in (Novoselov, Law and Mueller 2019a); the coupling of vibrational nonequilibrium and turbulent mixing was numerically investigated in (Fievet, Raman, Voelkel and Varghese 2019); Reynolds stresses in high Mach number turbulent boundary layers was predicted in (Wang, Huang, Duan and Xiao 2019b); non-equilibrium models in large eddy simulation of turbulent mixing and combustion were discussed in (Jain and Kim 2019); a spatially developing highly compressible mixing layer was simulated in (Zhang, Tan and Yao 2019a); free surface turbulence damping in RANS simulations was investigated in (Kamath, Fleit and Bihs 2019); topological evolution near the turbulent / non-turbulent interface in turbulent mixing layer was studied in (Yu and Lu 2019); surface-averaged quantities in turbulent reacting flows and relevant evolution equations were explored in (Yu and Lipatnikov 2019); turbulent flow topology in supersonic boundary layer with wall heat transfer was investigated in (Sharma, Shadloo and Hadjadj 2019); and decomposition of the mean skin-friction drag in compressible turbulent channel flows was studied in (Li, Fang, Modesti and Cheng 2019b).
- Shock waves, explosive flows, chemically reactive flows and multi-species flows. Shock-cylinder interaction was studied in (Ou and Zhai 2019); alternative setups of the double Mach reflection problem were discussed in (Vevek, Zang and New 2019); partial characteristic decomposition for multi-species Euler equations was used in (Wang, Pan, Hu and Adams 2019a); vortex surfaces in non-ideal flows were tracked in (Hao, Xiong and Yang 2019); liquid-water-drop explosion was simulated in (Paula, Adami and Adams 2019); chemically reacting flow in a shock tube was numerically studied in (Chen, Sun, Klioutchnikov and Olivier 2019a); shock-disturbances interaction in high-speed compressible inviscid flow over a blunt nose was simulated in (Hejranfar and Rahmani 2019); numerical investigation of planar shock wave impinging on spherical gas bubble with different densities was studied in

(Zhu, Yang, Luo, Pan and Pan 2019c); thin reaction zones in constantdensity turbulent flows at low Damkohler numbers were investigated in (Sabelnikov, Yu and Lipatnikov 2019); numerical simulation of the interaction of two shear layers in double backward-facing steps was performed in (Deng, Han, Liu, Ding, Weng and Jiang 2019); a multispecies, multifluid model for laser-induced counterstreaming plasma simulations was developed in (Ghosh, Chapman, Berger, Dimits and Banks 2019); Rayleigh-Taylor instability-induced flows were simulated in (Rahman and San 2019); a mesoscale study on explosively dispersed granular material was performed in (Mo, Lien, Zhang and Cronin 2019); flame evolution in shock-accelerated flow under different reactive gas mixture gradients was simulated in (Zhu, Gao, Luo, Pan, Pan and Zhang 2019b); interaction of two-dimensional free-stream disturbances with an oblique shock wave was studied in (Huang and Wang 2019); and a multi-species modeling framework for describing supersonic jetinduced cratering in a granular bed was developed in (Balakrishnan and Bellan 2019).

- Supersonic and hypersonic flow simulations. Transverse jet in supersonic crossflow was numerically investigated in (Yang, Wang, Sun, Wang and Wang 2019b; turbulence Models in a hypersonic cold-wall turbulent boundary layer were investigated in (Huang, Bretzke and Duan 2019); effect of a rough element on the hypersonic boundary layer receptivity was studied in (Shi, Xu, Wang and Lv 2019); flame flashback phenomenon in a supersonic crossflow with ethylene injection upstream of cavity flameholder was investigated in (Zhao, Sun, Wu, Cui and Wang 2019); effects of dimensional wall temperature on velocity-temperature correlations in supersonic turbulent channel flow of thermally perfect gas were studied in (Chen, Li and Zhu 2019c); a comparative study of Reynolds stress budgets of thermally and calorically perfect gases for high-temperature supersonic turbulent channel flow was carried out in (Chen, Dou, Liu, Zhu and Zhang 2019b); distribution characteristics and mixing mechanism of a liquid jet injected into a cavity-based supersonic combustor were studied in (Li, Li, Wang, Sun, Liu, Wang and Huang 2019a); numerical simulation of hypersonic flow past a flat plate in near-continuum regime was performed in (Ou and Chen 2019); and turbulent premixed flame kernels in supersonic flows were studied in (Ochs, Ranjan, Ranjan and Menon 2019).
- Multi-phase and multi-material flows. A conservative Allen-Cahn equation for multiphase flows is simulated in (Aihara, Takaki and Takada 2019); compressible multiphase approach for viscoelastic fluids and solids with relaxation and elasticity was pursued in (Rodriguez and Johnsen 2019); the collapse of a cloud with gas bubbles in a liquid was computed in (Rasthofer, Wermelinger, Karnakov, Sukys and Koumoutsakos

- 2019); and a multiscale formulation of two-phase flow at the pore scale was investigated in (Mehmani and Tchelepi 2019).
- Aerodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics. The problem of flow past projected bodies for determining their aerodynamic coefficients was numerically studied in (Lipanov, Rusyak, Korolev and Karskanov 2019); validation of higher-order interactional aerodynamics simulations on full helicopter configurations was performed in (Petermann, Jung, Baeder and Rauleder 2019); and an adaptive finite volume method for magnetohydrodynamics was developed in (Freret, Ivan, Sterck and Groth 2019).
- Astrophysical flows. Astrophysical MHD problems were simulated in (Verma, Teissier, Henze and Muller 2019); Euler equations with gravity was computed by high order well-balanced schemes in (Klingenberg, Puppo and Semplice 2019); density waves and the viscous overstability in Saturn's rings were studied in (Lehmann, Schmidt and Salo 2019); a Simflowny-based high-performance 3D code for the generalized induction equation was developed in (Vigano, Martinez-Gomez, Pons, Palenzuela, Carrasco, Minano, Arbona, Bona and Masso 2019); a scalable fifth-order constrained-transport magnetohydrodynamics code for astrophysical applications was developed in (Donnert, Jang, Mendygral, Brunetti, Ryu and Jones 2019); solar p-mode damping rates were investigated in (Belkacem, Kupka, Samadi and Grimm-Strele 2019); numerical simulations of neutron star-black hole binaries in the nearequal-mass regime were performed in (Foucarta, Duez, Kidder, Nissanke, Pfeiffer and Scheel 2019); merger of compact stars in the twofamilies scenario was studied in (Pietri, Drago, Feo, Pagliara, Pasquali, Traversi and Wiktorowicz 2019); and turbulence dynamo in the stratified medium of Galaxy clusters was simulated in (Roh, Ryu, Kang, Hai and Jung 2019).
- Atmospherical and climate sciences. Nonhydrostatic atmospheres on planets were simulated in (Li and Chen 2019); and possible climate transitions from breakup of stratocumulus decks under greenhouse warming were investigated in (Schneider, Kaul and Pressel 2019).
- Water waves. Berm breakwater optimization was investigated in (Sasikumar, Kamath, Musch, Bihs and Arntsen 2019); the Degasperis-Procesi equation was simulated in (Guo, Yang, Zhang, Wang and Song 2019); well balanced schemes for shallow water equations were developed in (Carstro and Semplice 2019); research on dam-break flow induced front wave impacting a vertical wall was performed in (Li and Yu 2019); dispersive high frequency acoustic waves in water-filled pipes were simulated in (Louati and Ghidaoui 2019); extreme wave generation, breaking, and impact were simulated in (Bihs, Kamath, Chella and Arntsen 2019b); efficient wave modeling using nonhydrostatic pressure distribu-

tion and free surface tracking was investigated in (Bihs, Kamath, Aggarwal and Pakozdi 2019a); fully coupled free-surface flow and sediment transport modeling of flash floods in a desert stream were studied in (Khosronejad, Kang and Flora 2019); numerical modeling of breaking wave kinematics and wave impact pressures on a vertical slender cylinder were investigated in (Chella, Bihs, Myrhaug and Arntsen 2019b); and well-balanced schemes for shallow water flows along channels with irregular geometry were investigated in (Wang, Li, Qian, Li and Wang 2019c).

- Detonation, combustion and flames. Interaction between the premixed flame front and the three-dimensional Taylor-Green vortex was simulated in (Zhou, You, Xiong, Yang, Thevenin and Chen 2019); paretoefficient combustion modeling for improved CO-emission prediction in LES of a piloted turbulent dimethyl ether jet flame was simulated in (Wu, Ma, Jaravel and Ihme 2019a); characteristic patterns of thermodiffusively unstable premixed lean hydrogen flames were obtained in (Berger, Kleinheinz, Attili and Pitsch 2019); pulsation in one-dimensional $H_2 - O_2$ detonation was simulated in (Han, Wang and Law 2019b); combustion noise analysis of a turbulent spray flame was performed in (Pillai and Kurose 2019); the role of transversal concentration gradient in detonation propagation was studied in (Han, Wang and Law 2019c); detonation simulations were performed in (Dong, Fu, Zhang, Liu and Liu 2019); a parallel chemistry acceleration algorithm applied to gaseous detonation was developed in (Wu, Dong and Li 2019b); a flamelet model for a three-feed non-premixed combustion system was studied in (Yu, Watanabe, Zhang, Kurose and Kitagawa 2019a); oblique detonation waves attached to cone were simulated in (Han, Wang and Law 2019d); numerical study of combustion effects on the development of supersonic turbulent mixing layer flows was performed in (Liu, Gao, Jiang and Lee 2019); evolution of averaged local premixed flame thickness in a turbulent flow was studied in (Yu, Nillson, Bai and Lipatnikov 2019b); convergence properties of detonation simulations were explored in (Qian, Wang, Liu, Brandenburg, Haugen and Liberman 2019); bifurcation of pulsation instability in onedimensional $H_2 - O_2$ detonation with detailed reaction mechanism was studied in (Han, Ma, Qian, Wen and Wang 2019a); experimental measurements, direct numerical simulation, and manifold-based combustion modeling were performed for turbulent nonpremixed cool flames in (Novoselov, Reuter, Yehia, Won, Fu, Kokmanian, Hultmark, Ju and Mueller 2019b); and simulations of gaseous detonation were carried out in (Wu, Dong and Li 2019c).
- Radiative transfer and kinetic equations. Neutron transport equation was computed in (Wang and Byambaakhuu 2019); and high dimen-

- sional Vlasov equations were simulated in (Banks, Odu, Berger, Chapman, Arrighi and Brunner 2019).
- Fluid and structure interactions and elasticity. Shock and elastic obstacles interactions were simulated in (Mouronval, Tie, Hadjadj and Moebs 2019); full Eulerian deformable solid-fluid interaction was simulated for large-scale parallel computing in (Nishiguchi, Bale, Okazawa and Tsubokura 2019); wave impact pressure and kinematics due to breaking wave impingement on a monopile were studied in (Chella, Bihs and Myrhaug 2019a); hyperelastic and hypoelastic formulations for Eulerian non-linear elastoplasticity were investigated in (Peshkova, Boscheri, Loubere, Romenski and Dumbser 2019); non-Newtonian fluids and plastic solids were simulated in (Jackson and Nikiforakis 2019); droplet dynamics on a solid surface with insoluble surfactants was numerically studied in (Zhang, Liu and Ba 2019b); the bipolar charge transport model was simulated in (Ren, Li, Li, Liu and Wang 2019); space charge accumulation and decay in dielectric materials with dual discrete traps were investigated in (Xing, Zhang, Cui, Hai, Wu and Min 2019); and incompressible flow with elastic boundaries was simulated in (Cheng, Liu, Zhang and Wang 2019).
- Neuron networks, traffic flows, and process engineering. Population density model based on quadratic integrate-and-fire neuron was simulated in (Singh, Kumar and Koksal 2019); population density neuron model was studied in (Kumar and Singh 2019); modeling and simulation of urban air pollution from the dispersion of vehicle exhaust were investigated in (Yang, Li, Wong, Shu and Zhang 2019a); and powder electrification during pneumatic transport was simulated in (Ceresiat, Grosshans and Papalexandris 2019).
- Computational biology. A spatio-temporal predator-prey model with infected prey was simulated in (Burger, Chowell, Gavilan, Mulet and Villada 2019); tumor growth and calcification were studied in (Chen and Lowengrub 2019); and blood flow in elastic vessels and their application to collapsed states were investigated in (Murillo, Navas-Montilla and Garcia-Navarro 2019).

Apart from the samples we have taken from the most recent (i.e. in 2019) publications, we would also want to highlight the following selected influential examples of applications of ENO and WENO schemes in applications:

• The ghost fluid method, which is a non-oscillatory Eulerian approach to interfaces in multimaterial flows, uses WENO for its discretization (Fedkiw, Aslam, Merriman and Osher 1999). An Eulerian formulation for solving partial differential equations along a moving interface was developed in (Xu and Zhao 2003), which used WENO in its spatial discretization. A level-set method for interfacial flows with surfactant was

developed in (Xu, Li, Lowengrub and Zhao 2006), which used WENO in its spatial discretization. Application of a level set method for simulation of droplet collisions was studied in (Tanguy and Berlemont 2005), which used WENO in its spatial discretization. A level set method for vaporizing two-phase flows, using WENO in its discretization, was discussed in (Tanguy, Menard and Berlemont 2007). A level set approach for the numerical simulation of dendritic growth using WENO approximation was explored in (Gibou, Fedkiw, Caflisch and Osher 2003). A conservative modification to the ghost fluid method for compressible multiphase flows was made in (Liu, Yuan and Shu 2011a). A WENO scheme with subcell resolution was designed to compute nonconservative Euler equations with applications to one-dimensional compressible two-medium flows in (Xiong, Shu and Zhang 2012).

- WENO schemes for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, which have wide applications in level set methods, image processing, control theory and differential games, were designed in (Jiang and Peng 2000). A high-order WENO finite difference scheme for the equations of ideal magnetohydrodynamics was developed in (Jiang and Wu 1999), which has stimulated extensive following research. ENO schemes were designed to solve equations of viscoelasticity with fading memory in (Shu and Zeng 1997).
- Pluto (Mignone, Bodo, Massaglia, Matsakos, Tesileanu, Zanni and Ferrari 2007), which is a numerical code widely used in computational astrophysics, has both ENO and WENO modules in the reconstruction portion of the solution solver. See also (Mignone, Zanni, Tzeferacos, van Straalen, Colella and Bodo 2012) for the implementation of adaptivity. An Eulerian conservative high-order framework for general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics and magnetodynamics, named ECHO, was developed in (Zanna, Zanotti, Bucciantini and Londrillo 2007), in which WENO was implemented as one of the options for spatial discretization. The magnetic connection between the convection zone and corona in the quiet sun was studied in (Abbett 2007), in which WENO was used in the discretization. Off-axis gamma-ray burst afterflow modeling based on a two-dimensional axisymmetric hydrodynamics simulation using WENO was discussed in (van Eerten, Zhang and MacFadyen 2010). A hybrid cosmological hydrodynamic/N-body code based on a weighted essentially nonoscillatory scheme was developed in (Feng, Shu and Zhang 2004). Numerical simulation of high Mach number astrophysical jets with radiative cooling was performed in (Ha et al. 2005). A WENO algorithm for the radiative transfer and ionized sphere at reionization was developed in (Qiu. Shu, Feng and Fang 2006). A WENO algorithm of the temperature and ionization profiles around a point source was developed in (Qiu, Feng, Shu and

Fang 2007). A WENO algorithm for the growth of ionized regions at the reionization epoch was studied in (Qiu, Shu, Liu and Fang 2008). A WENO algorithm for radiative transfer with resonant scattering and the Wouthuysen-field coupling was developed in (Roy, Qiu, Shu and Fang 2009a, Roy, Xu, Qiu, Shu and Fang 2009b). Resonant scattering and Ly α radiation emergent from neutral hydrogen halos were studied in (Roy, Shu and Fang 2010), Effect of dust on Ly α photon transfer in optically thick halo was investigated in (Yang, Roy, Shu and Fang 2011). Angular distribution of Ly α resonant photons emerging from optically thick medium was studied in (Yang, Roy, Shu and Fang 2013). Turbulence in the intergalactic medium was investigated in (Zhu, Feng, Xia, Shu, Gu and Fang 2013), addressing the solenoidal and dilatational motions and the impact of numerical viscosity.

- A unified model for the prediction of laminar flame transfer functions, for a comparison between conical and V-flame dynamics, was developed in (Schuller, Durox and Candel 2003b). WENO approximation was used for the convection terms in the model. Modeling tools for the prediction of premixed flame transfer functions were discussed in (Schuller, Ducruix, Durox and Candel 2003a), advocating the usage of WENO approximations. High resolution WENO simulation of 3D detonation waves was performed in (Wang, Shu, Han and Ning 2013).
- A three-dimensional multispecies nonlinear tumor growth model was designed in (Wise, Lowengrub, Frieboes and Cristini 2008). WENO approximation was used for the convection terms in the model. See also (Macklin and Lowengrub 2007) for a nonlinear simulation of the effect of microenvironment on tumor growth. An immersed boundary method for complex incompressible flows was developed in (Choi, Oberoi, Edwards and Rosati 2007), in which WENO is used in its spatial discretization.
- Conservative hybrid compact-WENO schemes for shock-turbulence interaction were designed in (Pirozzoli 2002). A bandwidth-optimized WENO scheme for the effective direct numerical simulation of compressible turbulence was developed in (Martin, Taylor, Wu and Weirs 2006). A direct numerical simulation and analysis of a spatially evolving supersonic turbulent boundary layer by a WENO scheme was carried out in (Pirozzoli, Grasso and Gatski 2004). Hybrid tuned center-difference-WENO method for large eddy simulations in the presence of strong shocks was developed in (Hill and Pullin 2004).
- BOUT++, which is a framework for parallel plasma fluid simulations, was developed in (Dudson, Umansky, Xu, Snyder and Wilson 2009).
 It uses WENO in its spatial discretization. WENO schemes in compressible multicomponent flow problems were implemented and applied in (Johnsen and Colonius 2006). Shock mitigation and drag

- reduction by pulsed energy lines to save energy have been simulated in (Kremeyer, Sebastian and Shu 2006) by the multi-domain WENO schemes in (Sebastian and Shu 2003).
- Well-balanced finite volume schemes of arbitrary order of accuracy for shallow water flows were designed in (Noelle et al. 2006), in which WENO was used for spatial discretization. A high-order well-balanced finite volume WENO schemes for shallow water equation with moving water was developed in (Noelle, Xing and Shu 2007). High order wellbalanced finite volume WENO schemes for a class of hyperbolic systems with source terms were developed in (Xing and Shu 2006b). High order finite volume schemes based on reconstruction of states for solving hyperbolic systems with nonconservative products, with applications to shallow-water systems, were designed in (Carstro et al. 2006), which used WENO in its spatial discretization. High order finite difference WENO schemes with the exact conservation property for the shallow water equations were designed in (Xing and Shu 2005), which involved a clever decomposition of the source term to facilitate the design of wellbalanced property without involving derivatives or jumps of the numerical solution (which, if used, might affect the Lax-Wendroff condition for convergence to weak solutions). See also (Xing and Shu 2006a) for an extension to a general class of balance laws. High-order finite volume WENO schemes for the shallow water equations with dry states were designed in (Xing and Shu 2011). High-order well-balanced schemes were designed and applied to non-equilibrium flow in (Wang, Shu, Yee and Sjögreen 2009, Wang, Shu, Yee, Kotov and Sjögreen 2015). High order well-balanced WENO scheme for the gas dynamics equations under gravitational fields was designed in (Xing and Shu 2013).
- Electrification of a small thunderstorm with two-moment bulk microphysics was simulated in (Mansell, Ziegler and Bruning 2010), in which WENO is used in the approximation of the wind components. Aceansea ice data assimilation system for the North Atlantic and Arctic, named TOPAZ4, was described in (Sakov, Counillon, Bertino, Lisaeter, Oke and Korablev 2012), which contains WENO approximation in the algorithms.
- A dynamic continuum model for pedestrian flow was investigated in (Huang, Wong, Zhang, Shu and Lam 2009), in which WENO was used in its spatial discretization. A multi-class Lighthill-Whitham-Richards traffic flow model was simulated by a high order WENO scheme (Zhang, Shu, Wong and Wong 2003a), which revealed multiple small step features otherwise missed by first order solvers if not in extremely refined meshes. A weighted essentially non-oscillatory numerical scheme for a multi-class traffic flow model on an inhomogeneous highway was designed in (Zhang, Wong and Shu 2006a). High-order computational

- scheme for a dynamic continuum model for bi-directional pedestrian flow was designed in (Xiong, Zhang, Shu, Wong and Zhang 2011).
- A WENO-solver for the transients of Boltzmann-Poisson system for semiconductor devices was developed in (Carrillo, Gamba, Majorana and Shu 2003, Carrillo, Gamba, Majorana and Shu 2006). ENO and WENO approximations were used in other semi-conductor device simulations in (Fatemi, Jerome and Osher 1991, Jerome and Shu 1995, Chen, Jerome and Shu 1998, Cercignani, Gamba, Jerome and Shu 1998, Banoo, Rhew, Lundstrom, Shu and Jerome 2001). Related work on the simulation of hydrodynamic model of temperature change in open ionic channels was carried out in (Chen, Eisenberg, Jerome and Shu 1995).
- ENO and WENO schemes were used to simulate the interactions of shocks with vortices, which involve both strong discontinuities and complicated smooth structures, especially suitable for using high order non-oscillatory schemes. See (Erlebacher, Hussaini and Shu 1997, Zhang, Zhang and Shu 2005, Zhang, Zhang and Shu 2006b, Zhang, Jiang, Zhang and Shu 2009a, Zhang, Zhang and Shu 2009b, Zhang, Li, Liu, Zhang and Shu 2013). Effects of shock waves on Rayleigh-Taylor instability was studied in (Zhang, Shu and Zhou 2006c).
- WENO approximation of hyperbolic models for chemosensitive movement was developed in (Filbet and Shu 2005). A high order WENO scheme for a hierarchical size-structured population model was designed in (Shen, Shu and Zhang 2007). High order finite difference WENO schemes with positivity-preserving limiter for correlated random walk with density-dependent turning rates were developed in (Jiang, Shu and Zhang 2015).
- Lagrangian ENO and WENO schemes and related remapping problems were developed in (Cheng and Shu 2007, Cheng and Shu 2008a, Cheng and Shu 2008b, Liu, Cheng and Shu 2009a, Cheng, Shu and Zeng 2012), which could resolve well the material interface for multi-material flows. Positivity-preserving Lagrangian scheme for multi-material compressible flow was developed in (Cheng and Shu 2014). Conservative high order semi-Lagrangian finite difference WENO methods for advection in incompressible flow were developed in (Qiu and Shu 2011a). Conservative semi-Lagrangian finite difference WENO formulations with applications to the Vlasov equation were investigated in (Qiu and Shu 2011b).
- Geometric shock-capturing ENO schemes were designed for subpixel interpolation, computation and curve evolution in image processing in (Siddiqi, Kimia and Shu 1997).

11. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have attempted to give a survey of high order ENO and WENO schemes, from algorithm design, analysis, implementation to applications, for solving convection dominated PDEs and other problems. The extensive list of sample applications, many of them in the past year, mentioned in this paper or contained in the references and their references therein, would hopefully convince the readers the wide applicability of ENO and WENO schemes. More research on algorithm improvements and applications of ENO and WENO schemes can be expected in the coming years.

REFERENCES

- W. P. Abbett (2007), 'The magnetic connection between the convection zone and corona in the quiet sun', Astrophysical Journal 665, 1469–1488.
- R. Abgrall (1994), 'On essentially non-oscillatory schemes on unstructured meshes: analysis and implementation', *Journal of Computational Physics* **114**, 45–58.
- R. Abgrall (1996), 'Numerical discretization of the first-order Hamilton-Jacobi equation on triangular meshes', Communication on Pure and Applied Mathematics 49, 1339–1373.
- N. A. Adams and K. Shariff (1996), 'A high-resolution hybrid compact-ENO scheme for shock-turbulence interaction problems', *Journal of Computational Physics* 127, 27–51.
- S. Aihara, T. Takaki and N. Takada (2019), 'Multi-phase-field modeling using a conservative Allen-Cahn equation for multiphase flow', *Computers & Fluids* 178, 141–151.
- K. Balakrishnan and J. Bellan (2019), 'A multi-species modeling framework for describing supersonic jet-induced cratering in a granular bed: Cratering on Titan case study', *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 118, 205–241.
- D. S. Balsara and C.-W. Shu (2000), 'Monotonicity preserving weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes with increasingly high order of accuracy', *Journal of Computational Physics* 160, 405–452.
- J. W. Banks, A. G. Odu, R. Berger, T. Chapman, W. Arrighi and S. Brunner (2019), 'High-order accurate conservative finite difference methods for Vlasov equations in 2D+2V', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 41, B953–B982.
- K. Banoo, J.-H. Rhew, M. Lundstrom, C.-W. Shu and J. W. Jerome (2001), 'Simulating quasi-ballistic transport in Si nanotransistors', *VLSI Design* **13**, 5–13.
- K. Belkacem, F. Kupka, R. Samadi and H. Grimm-Strele (2019), 'Solar p-mode damping rates: Insight from a 3D hydrodynamical simulation', Astronomy & Astrophysics. A20.
- M. Ben-Artzi, J. Li and G. Warnecke (2006), 'A direct Eulerian GRP scheme for compressible fluid flows', Journal of Computational Physics 218, 19–43.
- L. Berger, K. Kleinheinz, A. Attili and H. Pitsch (2019), 'Characteristic patterns of thermodiffusively unstable premixed lean hydrogen flames', *Proceedings of* the Combustion Institute 37, 1879–1886.
- M. J. Berger, C. Helzel and R. J. LeVeque (2003), 'h-box methods for the approximation of hyperbolic conservation laws on irregular grids', SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 41, 893–918.

- H. Bihs, A. Kamath, A. Aggarwal and C. Pakozdi (2019a), 'Efficient wave modeling using nonhydrostatic pressure distribution and free surface tracking on fixed grids', Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering – Transaction of the ASME. 041805.
- H. Bihs, A. Kamath, M. A. Chella and O. A. Arntsen (2019b), 'Extreme wave generation, breaking, and impact simulations using wave packets in REEF3D', Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering Transaction of the ASME, 041802.
- R. Borges, M. Carmona, B. Costa and W. S. Don (2008), 'An improved weighted essentially non-oscillatory scheme for hyperbolic conservation laws', *Journal* of Computational Physics 227, 3191–3211.
- R. Burger, G. Chowell, E. Gavilan, P. Mulet and L. M. Villada (2019), 'Numerical solution of a spatio-temporal predator-prey model with infected prey', Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering 16, 438–473.
- G. Capdeville (2008), 'A central WENO scheme for solving hyperbolic conservation laws on non-uniform meshes', Journal of Computational Physics 227, 2977– 3014.
- E. Carlini, R. Ferretti and G. Russo (2005), 'A weighted essentially nonoscillatory, large time-step scheme for Hamilton-Jacobi equations', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 27, 1071–1091.
- J. A. Carrillo, I. M. Gamba, A. Majorana and C.-W. Shu (2003), 'A WENO-solver for the transients of Boltzmann-Poisson system for semiconductor devices: performance and comparisons with Monte Carlo methods', *Journal of Com*putational Physics 184, 498–525.
- J. A. Carrillo, I. M. Gamba, A. Majorana and C.-W. Shu (2006), '2D semiconductor device simulations by Weno-Boltzmann schemes: efficiency, boundary conditions and comparison to Monte Carlo methods', *Journal of Computational Physics* 214, 55–80.
- M. Carstro, J. M. Gaallardo and C. Parés (2006), 'High order finite volume schemes based on reconstruction of states for solving hyperbolic systems with nonconservative products. Application to shallow-water systems', *Mathematics of Computation* **75**, 1103–1134.
- M. J. Carstro and M. Semplice (2019), 'Third- and fourth-order well-balanced schemes for the shallow water equations based on the CWENO reconstruction', International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids 89, 304–325.
- J. Casper, C.-W. Shu and H. Atkins (1994), 'Comparison of two formulations for high-order accurate essentially nonoscillatory schemes', AIAA Journal 32, 1970–1977.
- M. Castro, B. Costa and W. S. Don (2011), 'High order weighted essentially non-oscillatory WENO-Z schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws', *Journal of Computational Physics* 230, 1766–1792.
- C. Cercignani, I. M. Gamba, J. W. Jerome and C.-W. Shu (1998), 'Applicability of the high field model: a preliminary numerical study', *VLSI Design* 8, 275–282.
- L. Ceresiat, H. Grosshans and M. V. Papalexandris (2019), 'Powder electrification during pneumatic transport: The role of the particle properties and flow rates', Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries 58, 60—69.

- M. A. Chella, H. Bihs and D. Myrhaug (2019a), 'Wave impact pressure and kinematics due to breaking wave impingement on a monopile', Journal of Fluids and Structures 86, 94–123.
- M. A. Chella, H. Bihs, D. Myrhaug and O. A. Arntsen (2019b), 'Numerical modeling of breaking wave kinematics and wave impact pressures on a vertical slender cylinder', Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering Transactions of the ASME. 051802.
- D. P. Chen, R. S. Eisenberg, J. W. Jerome and C.-W. Shu (1995), 'Hydrodynamic model of temperature change in open ionic channels', *Biophysical Journal* 69, 2304–2322.
- G.-Q. Chen, J. W. Jerome and C.-W. Shu (1998), 'Analysis and simulation of extended hydrodynamic models: the multi-valley Gunn oscillator and MESFET symmetries', VLSI Design 6, 277–282.
- S. Chen, Q. Sun, I. Klioutchnikov and H. Olivier (2019a), 'Numerical study of chemically reacting flow in a shock tube using a high-order point-implicit scheme', Computers & Fluids 184, 107–118.
- X. Chen, H.-S. Dou, Q. Liu, Z. Zhu and W. Zhang (2019b), 'Comparative study of Reynolds stress budgets of thermally and calorically perfect gases for high-temperature supersonic turbulent channel flow', Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part G Journal of Aerospace Engineering 233, 4222–4234.
- X. Chen, X. Li and Z. Zhu (2019c), 'Effects of dimensional wall temperature on velocity-temperature correlations in supersonic turbulent channel flow of thermally perfect gas', Science China Physics Mechanics & Astronomy. 064711.
- Y. Chen and J. S. Lowengrub (2019), 'Tumor growth and calcification in evolving microenvironmental geometries', *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **463**, 138–154.
- J. Cheng and C.-W. Shu (2007), 'A high order ENO conservative lagrangian type scheme for the compressible euler equations', *Journal of Computational Physics* 227, 1567–1596.
- J. Cheng and C.-W. Shu (2008a), 'A high order accurate conservative remapping method on staggered meshes', *Applied Numerical Mathematics* **58**, 1042–1060.
- J. Cheng and C.-W. Shu (2008b), 'A third order conservative Lagrangian type scheme on curvilinear meshes for the compressible euler equations', Communications in Computational Physics 4, 1008–1024.
- J. Cheng and C.-W. Shu (2014), 'Positivity-preserving Lagrangian scheme for multimaterial compressible flow', Journal of Computational Physics 257, 143–168.
- J. Cheng, C.-W. Shu and Q. Zeng (2012), 'A conservative lagrangian scheme for solving compressible fluid flows with multiple internal energy equations', *Jour*nal of Computational Physics 12, 1307–1328.
- Z. Cheng, Y. Liu, M. Zhang and J. Wang (2019), 'IB-WENO method for incompressible flow with elastic boundaries', Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 362, 498–509.
- J.-I. Choi, R. C. Oberoi, J. R. Edwards and J. A. Rosati (2007), 'An immersed boundary method for complex incompressible flows', *Journal of Computa*tional Physics 224, 757–784.
- C.-S. Chou and C.-W. Shu (2006), 'High order residual distribution conserva-

- tive finite difference weno schemes for steady state problems on non-smooth meshes', *Journal of Computational Physics* **214**, 698–724.
- C.-S. Chou and C.-W. Shu (2007), 'High order residual distribution conservative finite difference weno schemes for convection-diffusion steady state problems on non-smooth meshes', *Journal of Computational Physics* **224**, 992–1020.
- S. N. Christofi (1996), The study of building blocks for essentially non-oscillatory (ENO) schemes, PhD thesis, Brown University.
- B. Cockburn and C.-W. Shu (1989), 'TVB Runge-Kutta local projection discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for conservation laws II: general framework', Mathematics of Computation 52, 411–435.
- B. Cockburn and C.-W. Shu (1998), 'The Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method for conservation laws V: multidimensional systems', *Journal of Com*putational Physics 141, 199–224.
- B. Cockburn and C.-W. Shu (2001), 'Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin methods for convection-dominated problems', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **16**, 173–261.
- M. G. Crandall and P. L. Lions (1984), 'Two approximations of solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations', *Mathematics of Computation* **43**, 1–19.
- M. G. Crandall and A. Majda (1980), 'Monotone difference approximations for scalar conservation laws', *Mathematics of Computation* **34**, 1–21.
- F. Deng, G. Han, M. Liu, J. Ding, P. Weng and Z. Jiang (2019), 'Numerical simulation of the interaction of two shear layers in double backward-facing steps', Physics of Fluids. 056106.
- X. Deng and H. Maekawa (1997), 'Compact high-order accurate nonlinear schemes', Journal of Computational Physics 130, 77–91.
- X. Deng and H. Zhang (2000), 'Developing high-order weighted compact nonlinear schemes', *Journal of Computational Physics* **165**, 22–44.
- H. Dong, L. Fu, F. Zhang, Y. Liu and J. Liu (2019), 'Detonation simulations with a fifth-order TENO scheme', *Communications in Computational Physics* **25**, 1357–1393.
- J. M. F. Donnert, H. Jang, P. Mendygral, G. Brunetti, D. Ryu and T. W. Jones (2019), 'WENO-WOMBAT: Scalable fifth-order constrained-transport magnetohydrodynamics for astrophysical applications', Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series. 23.
- B. D. Dudson, M. V. Umansky, X. Q. Xu, P. B. Snyder and H. R. Wilson (2009), 'BOUT++: A framework for parallel plasma fluid simulations', Computer Physics Communications 180, 1467–1480.
- M. Dumbser and M. Käser (2007), 'Arbitrary high order non-oscillatory finite volume schemes on unstructured meshes for linear hyperbolic systems', *Journal of Computational Physics* 221, 693–723.
- M. Dumbser, M. Käser, V. A. Titarev and E. F. Toro (2007), 'Quadrature-free non-oscillatory finite volume schemes on unstructured meshes for nonlinear hyperbolic systems', *Journal of Computational Physics* 226, 204–243.
- G. Erlebacher, M. Y. Hussaini and C.-W. Shu (1997), 'Interaction of a shock with a longitudinal vortex', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **337**, 129–153.
- E. Fatemi, J. W. Jerome and S. Osher (1991), 'Solution of the hydrodynamic device model using high-order nonoscillatory shock capturing algorithms', *IEEE*

- Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems 10, 232–244.
- R. Fedkiw, T. Aslam, B. Merriman and S. Osher (1999), 'A non-oscillatory Eulerian approach to interfaces in multimaterial flows (the ghost fluid method)', Journal of Computational Physics 152, 457–492.
- L.-L. Feng, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2004), 'A hybrid cosmological hydrodynamic / N-body code based on a weighted essentially nonoscillatory scheme', Astrophysical Journal 612, 1–13.
- R. Fievet, V. Raman, S. Voelkel and P. L. Varghese (2019), 'Numerical investigation of the coupling of vibrational nonequilibrium and turbulent mixing using state-specific description', *Physical Review Fluids*. 013401.
- F. Filbet and C.-W. Shu (2005), 'Approximation of hyperbolic models for chemosensitive movement', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 27, 850–872.
- U. S. Fjordholm and D. Ray (2016), 'A sign preserving WENO reconstruction method', Journal of Scientific Computing 68, 1111–1140.
- U. S. Fjordholm, S. Mishra and E. Tadmor (2013a), 'Arbitrarily high-order accurate entropy stable essentially nonoscillatory schemes for systems of conservation laws', SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 50, 544–573.
- U. S. Fjordholm, S. Mishra and E. Tadmor (2013b), 'ENO reconstruction and ENO interpolation are stable', Foundations of Computational Mathematics 13, 139–159.
- F. Foucarta, M. D. Duez, L. E. Kidder, S. M. Nissanke, H. P. Pfeiffer and M. A. Scheel (2019), 'Numerical simulations of neutron star-black hole binaries in the near-equal-mass regime', *Physical Review D. UNSP* 103025.
- L. Freret, L. Ivan, H. D. Sterck and C. P. T. Groth (2019), 'High-order finite-volume method with block-based AMR for magnetohydrodynamics flows', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 79, 176–208.
- O. Friedrichs (1998), 'Weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes for the interpolation of mean values on unstructured grids', *Journal of Computational Physics* **144**, 194–212.
- A. Gadiou and C. Tenaud (2004), 'Implicit WENO shock capturing scheme for unsteady flows. application to one-dimensional Euler equations', *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 45, 197–229.
- D. Ghosh, T. D. Chapman, R. L. Berger, A. Dimits and J. W. Banks (2019), 'A multispecies, multifluid model for laser-induced counterstreaming plasma simulations', *Computers & Fluids* **186**, 38–57.
- F. Gibou, R. Fedkiw, R. Caflisch and S. Osher (2003), 'A level set approach for the numerical simulation of dendritic growth', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 19, 183–199.
- M. Goldberg (1977), 'On a boundary extrapolation theorem by Kreiss', Mathematics of Computation 31, 469–477.
- M. Goldberg and E. Tadmor (1978), 'Scheme-independent stability criteria for difference approximations of hyperbolic initial-boundary value problems. I', Mathematics of Computation 32, 1097–1107.
- M. Goldberg and E. Tadmor (1981), 'Scheme-independent stability criteria for difference approximations of hyperbolic initial-boundary value problems. II', Mathematics of Computation 36, 603–626.

- S. Gottlieb, D. Ketcheson and C.-W. Shu (2009), 'High order strong stability preserving time discretizations', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **38**, 251–289.
- S. Gottlieb, D. Ketcheson and C.-W. Shu (2011), Strong Stability Preserving Runge-Kutta and Multistep Time Discretizations, World Scientific, Singapore.
- S. Gottlieb, J. S. Mullen and S. J. Ruuth (2006), 'A fifth order flux implicit WENO method', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **27**, 271–287.
- S. Gottlieb, C.-W. Shu and E. Tadmor (2001), 'Strong stability preserving high order time discretization methods', SIAM Review 43, 89–112.
- Y. Guo, W. Yang, H. Zhang, J. Wang and S. Song (2019), 'A splitting method for the Degasperis-Procesi equation using an optimized WENO scheme and the Fourier pseudospectral method', Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 11, 53–71.
- Y. Ha, C. L. Gardner, A. Gelb and C.-W. Shu (2005), 'Numerical simulation of high Mach number astrophysical jets with radiative cooling', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 24, 597–612.
- W. Han, W. Ma, C. Qian, J. Wen and C. Wang (2019a), 'Bifurcation of pulsation instability in one-dimensional $h_2 o_2$ detonation with detailed reaction mechanism', *Physical Review Fluids*. 103202.
- W. Han, C. Wang and C. K. Law (2019b), 'Pulsation in one-dimensional H-2-O-2 detonation with detailed reaction mechanism', Combustion and Flame 200, 242–261.
- W. Han, C. Wang and C. K. Law (2019c), 'Role of transversal concentration gradient in detonation propagation', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **865**, 602–649.
- W. Han, C. Wang and C. K. Law (2019d), 'Three-dimensional simulation of oblique detonation waves attached to cone', *Physical Review Fluids*. 053201.
- J. Hao, S. Xiong and Y. Yang (2019), 'Tracking vortex surfaces frozen in the virtual velocity in non-ideal flows', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 863, 513–544.
- A. Harten (1983), 'High resolution schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws', Journal of Computational Physics 49, 357–393.
- A. Harten, B. Engquist, S. Osher and S. Chakravarthy (1987), 'Uniformly high order essentially non-oscillatory schemes, III', Journal of Computational Physics 71, 231–303.
- A. Harten, B. Engquist, S. Osher and S. Chakravarthy (1997), 'Uniformly high order essentially non-oscillatory schemes, III', Journal of Computational Physics 131, 3–47.
- A. Harten, S. Osher, B. Engquist and S. Chakravarthy (1986), 'Some results on uniformly high order accurate essentially non-oscillatory schemes', Applied Numerical Mathematics 2, 347–377.
- K. Hejranfar and S. Rahmani (2019), 'Numerical simulation of shock-disturbances interaction in high-speed compressible inviscid flow over a blunt nose using weighted essentially non-oscillatory scheme', Wave Motion 88, 167–195.
- A. K. Henrick, T. D. Aslam and J. M. Powers (2005), 'Mapped weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes: Achieving optimal order near critical points', *Journal* of Computational Physics 207, 542–567.
- D. J. Hill and D. I. Pullin (2004), 'Hybrid tuned center-difference-WENO method for large eddy simulations in the presence of strong shocks', *Journal of Com*putational Physics 194, 435–450.

- C. Hu and C.-W. Shu (1999), 'Weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes on triangular meshes', *Journal of Computational Physics* **150**, 97–127.
- J. Huang, J.-V. Bretzke and L. Duan (2019), 'Assessment of turbulence models in a hypersonic cold-wall turbulent boundary layer', *Fluids*. 37.
- L. Huang, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2008), 'Numerical boundary conditions for the fast sweeping high order WENO methods for solving the Eikonal equation', *Journal of Computational Mathematics* 26, 336–346.
- L. Huang, S. C. Wong, M. Zhang, C.-W. Shu and W. H. K. Lam (2009), 'Revisiting Hughes' dynamic continuum model for pedestrian flow and the development of an efficient solution algorithm', *Transportation Research Part B* 43, 127–141.
- Z. Huang and H. Wang (2019), 'Linear interaction of two-dimensional freestream disturbances with an oblique shock wave', Journal of Fluid Mechanics 873, 1179–1205.
- H. Jackson and N. Nikiforakis (2019), 'A numerical scheme for non-Newtonian fluids and plastic solids under the GPR model', *Journal of Computational Physics* 387, 410–429.
- A. Jain and S. H. Kim (2019), 'On the non-equilibrium models for subfilter scalar variance in large eddy simulation of turbulent mixing and combustion', *Physics of Fluids*. 025112.
- J. W. Jerome and C.-W. Shu (1995), 'Transport effects and characteristic modes in the modeling and simulation of submicron devices', *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems* 14, 917–923.
- G. Jiang and D.-P. Peng (2000), 'Weighted ENO schemes for Hamilton-Jacobi equations', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 21, 2126–2143.
- G. Jiang and C.-W. Shu (1996), 'Efficient implementation of weighted ENO schemes', *Journal of Computational Physics* **126**, 202–228.
- G. Jiang and C. C. Wu (1999), 'A high-order WENO finite difference scheme for the equations of ideal magnetohydrodynamics', *Journal of Computational Physics* **150**, 561–594.
- L. Jiang, H. Shan and C. Q. Liu (2001), 'Weighted compact scheme', *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics* **15**, 147–155.
- Y. Jiang, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2013), 'An alternative formulation of finite difference weighted ENO schemes with Lax-Wendroff time discretization for conservation laws', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 35, A1137—A1160.
- Y. Jiang, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2015), 'High order finite difference WENO schemes with positivity-preserving limiter for correlated random walk with density-dependent turning rates', Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences 25, 1553–1588.
- E. Johnsen and T. Colonius (2006), 'Implementation of WENO schemes in compressible multicomponent flow problems', *Journal of Computational Physics* **219**, 715–732.
- A. Kamath, G. Fleit and H. Bihs (2019), 'Investigation of free surface turbulence damping in RANS simulations for complex free surface flows', *Water*. 456.
- A. Khosronejad, S. Kang and K. Flora (2019), 'Fully coupled free-surface flow and sediment transport modelling of flash floods in a desert stream in the Mojave Desert, California', *Hydrological Processes* **33**, 2772–2791.

- C. Klingenberg, G. Puppo and M. Semplice (2019), 'Arbitrary order finite volume well-balanced schemes for the Euler equations with gravity', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 41, A695–A721.
- K. Kremeyer, K. Sebastian and C.-W. Shu (2006), 'Computational study of shock mitigation and drag reduction by pulsed energy lines', AIAA Journal 44, 1720–1731.
- S. Kumar and P. Singh (2019), 'High order WENO finite volume approximation for population density neuron model', *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **356**, 173–189.
- M. Lehmann, J. Schmidt and H. Salo (2019), 'Density waves and the viscous overstability in Saturn's rings', Astronomy & Astrophysics. A121.
- S. K. Lele (1992), 'Compact finite difference schemes with spectral-like resolution', Journal of Computational Physics 103, 16–42.
- R. J. LeVeque (1990), Numerical Methods for Conservation Laws, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- D. Levy, G. Puppo and G. Russo (1999), 'Central WENO schemes for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws', *Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis* 33, 547–571.
- D. Levy, G. Puppo and G. Russo (2000), 'Compact central WENO schemes for multidimensional conservation laws', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 22, 656–672.
- C. Li and X. Chen (2019), 'Simulating nonhydrostatic atmospheres on planets (SNAP): Formulation, validation, and application to the Jovian atmosphere', Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series. 37.
- J. Li and Z. Du (2016), 'A two-stage fourth order time-accurate discretization for Lax-Wendroff type flow solvers I. Hyperbolic conservation laws', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 38, A3046–A3069.
- P. Li, C. Li, H. Wang, M. Sun, C. Liu, Z. Wang and Y. Huang (2019a), 'Distribution characteristics and mixing mechanism of a liquid jet injected into a cavity-based supersonic combustor', Aerospace Science and Technology. UNSP 105401.
- T. Li, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2016), 'Stability analysis of the inverse Lax-Wendroff boundary treatment for high order upwind-biased finite difference schemes', Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 299, 140–158.
- T. Li, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2017), 'Stability analysis of the inverse Lax-Wendroff boundary treatment for high order central difference schemes for diffusion equations', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 70, 576–607.
- W. Li, Y. Fang, D. Modesti and C. Cheng (2019b), 'Decomposition of the mean skin-friction drag in compressible turbulent channel flows', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 875, 101–123.
- Y. L. Li and C. H. Yu (2019), 'Research on dam-break flow induced front wave impacting a vertical wall based on the CLSVOF and level set methods', *Ocean Engineering* 178, 442–462.
- A. M. Lipanov, I. G. Rusyak, S. A. Korolev and S. A. Karskanov (2019), 'Numerical solution of the problem of flow past projected bodies for determining their aerodynamic coefficients', *Journal of Engineering Physics and Thermophysics* 92, 477–485.

- H. Liu, Z. Gao, C. Jiang and C. Lee (2019), 'Numerical study of combustion effects on the development of supersonic turbulent mixing layer flows with WENO schemes', *Computers & Fluids* 189, 82–93.
- W. Liu, J. Cheng and C.-W. Shu (2009a), 'High order conservative Lagrangian schemes with Lax-Wendroff type time discretization for the compressible Euler equations', Journal of Computational Physics 228, 8872–8891.
- W. Liu, L. Yuan and C.-W. Shu (2011a), 'A conservative modification to the ghost fluid method for compressible multiphase flows', Communications in Computational Physics 10, 785–806.
- X.-D. Liu, S. Osher and T. Chan (1994), 'Weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes', Journal of Computational Physics 115, 200–212.
- Y. Liu and Y.-T. Zhang (2013), 'A robust reconstruction for unstructured weno schemes', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **54**, 603–621.
- Y.-Y. Liu, C.-W. Shu and M.-P. Zhang (2009b), 'On the positivity of linear weights in WENO approximations', *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series* **25**, 503–538.
- Y.-Y. Liu, C.-W. Shu and M.-P. Zhang (2011b), 'High order finite difference WENO schemes for nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 33, 939–965.
- M. Louati and M. S. Ghidaoui (2019), 'The need for high order numerical schemes to model dispersive high frequency acoustic waves in water-filled pipes', *Journal* of Hydraulic Research 57, 405–425.
- J. Lu, J. Fang, S. Tan, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2016), 'Inverse Lax-Wendroff procedure for numerical boundary conditions of convection-diffusion equations', Journal of Computational Physics 317, 276–300.
- P. Macklin and J. Lowengrub (2007), 'Nonlinear simulation of the effect of microenvironment on tumor growth', *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **245**, 677–704.
- K. Mahesh, S. K. Lele and P. Moin (1997), 'The influence of entropy fluctuations on the interaction of turbulence with a shock wave', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **334**, 353–379.
- E. R. Mansell, C. L. Ziegler and E. C. Bruning (2010), 'Simulated electrification of a small thunderstorm with two-moment bulk microphysics', *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences* 67, 171–194.
- M. P. Martin, E. M. Taylor, M. Wu and V. G. Weirs (2006), 'A bandwidth-optimized WENO scheme for the effective direct numerical simulation of compressible turbulence', *Journal of Computational Physics* 220, 270–289.
- Y. Mehmani and H. A. Tchelepi (2019), 'Multiscale formulation of two-phase flow at the pore scale', *Journal of Computational Physics* **389**, 164–188.
- A. Mignone, G. Bodo, S. Massaglia, T. Matsakos, O. Tesileanu, C. Zanni and A. Ferrari (2007), 'Pluto: A numerical code for computational astrophysics', Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series 170, 228–242.
- A. Mignone, C. Zanni, P. Tzeferacos, B. van Straalen, P. Colella and G. Bodo (2012), 'The Pluto code for adaptive mesh computations in astrophysical fluid dynamics', *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*. 7.
- H. Mo, F.-S. Lien, F. Zhang and D. S. Cronin (2019), 'A mesoscale study on explosively dispersed granular material using direct simulation', *Journal of Applied Physics*. 214302.

- A.-S. Mouronval, B. Tie, A. Hadjadj and G. Moebs (2019), 'Investigation of shock / elastic obstacles interactions by means of a coupling technique', *Journal of Fluids and Structures* 84, 345–367.
- J. Murillo, A. Navas-Montilla and P. Garcia-Navarro (2019), 'Formulation of exactly balanced solvers for blood flow in elastic vessels and their application to collapsed states', Computers & Fluids 186, 74–98.
- K. Nishiguchi, R. Bale, S. Okazawa and M. Tsubokura (2019), 'Full Eulerian deformable solid-fluid interaction scheme based on building-cube method for large-scale parallel computing', *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 117, 221–248.
- S. Noelle, N. Pankratz, G. Puppo and J. R. Natvig (2006), 'Well-balanced finite volume schemes of arbitrary order of accuracy for shallow water flows', *Journal* of Computational Physics 213, 474–499.
- S. Noelle, Y. Xing and C.-W. Shu (2007), 'High-order well-balanced finite volume WENO schemes for shallow water equation with moving water', *Journal of Computational Physics* 226, 29–58.
- A. G. Novoselov, C. K. Law and M. E. Mueller (2019a), 'Direct numerical simulation of turbulent nonpremixed "cool" flames: Applicability of flamelet models', Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 37, 2143–2150.
- A. G. Novoselov, C. B. Reuter, O. R. Yehia, S. H. Won, M. K. Fu, K. Kokmanian, M. Hultmark, Y. Ju and M. E. Mueller (2019b), 'Turbulent nonpremixed cool flames: Experimental measurements, direct numerical simulation, and manifold-based combustion modeling', Combustion and Flame 209, 144–154.
- B. A. Ochs, R. Ranjan, D. Ranjan and S. Menon (2019), 'turbulent premixed flame kernels in supersonic flows: Topology and flame speeds of turbulent premixed flame kernels in supersonic flows', Combustion and Flame 210, 83–99.
- S. Osher and S. Chakravarthy (1984), 'High resolution schemes and the entropy condition', SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 21, 955–984.
- S. Osher and S. Chakravarthy (1996), Very high order accurate TVD schemes, in IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, 2, Springer-Verlag, pp. 229–274.
- S. Osher and J. Sethian (1988), 'Fronts propagating with curvature dependent speed: algorithms based on Hamilton-Jacobi formulations', *Journal of Com*putational Physics 79, 12–49.
- S. Osher and C.-W. Shu (1991), 'High-order essentially nonoscillatory schemes for Hamilton-Jacobi equations', SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 28, 907–922.
- J. Ou and J. Chen (2019), 'DSMC data-improved numerical simulation of hypersonic flow past a flat plate in near-continuum regime', Computers & Fluids. UNSP 104308.
- J. Ou and Z. Zhai (2019), 'Effects of aspect ratio on shock-cylinder interaction', Acta Mechanica Sinica 35, 61–69.
- T. Paula, S. Adami and N. A. Adams (2019), 'Analysis of the early stages of liquid-water-drop explosion by numerical simulation', *Physical Review Fluids*. 044003.
- I. Peshkova, W. Boscheri, R. Loubere, E. Romenski and M. Dumbser (2019), 'Theoretical and numerical comparison of hyperelastic and hypoelastic formulations

- for Eulerian non-linear elastoplasticity', Journal of Computational Physics 387, 481–521.
- J. Petermann, Y. S. Jung, J. Baeder and J. Rauleder (2019), 'Validation of higher-order interactional aerodynamics simulations on full helicopter configurations', Journal of the American Helicopter Society. 042002.
- R. D. Pietri, A. Drago, A. Feo, G. Pagliara, M. Pasquali, S. Traversi and G. Wiktorowicz (2019), 'Merger of compact stars in the two-families scenario', Astrophysical Journal. 122.
- A. L. Pillai and R. Kurose (2019), 'Combustion noise analysis of a turbulent spray flame using a hybrid DNS/APE-RF approach', *Combustion and Flame* **200**, 168–191.
- S. Pirozzoli (2002), 'Conservative hybrid compact-WENO schemes for shock-turbulence interaction', *Journal of Computational Physics* **178**, 81–117.
- S. Pirozzoli, F. Grasso and T. B. Gatski (2004), 'Direct numerical simulation and analysis of a spatially evolving supersonic turbulent boundary layer at M=2.25', *Physics of Fluids* **16**, 530–545.
- G. Puppo and G. Russo (2006), 'Staggered finite difference schemes for conservation laws', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **27**, 403–418.
- C. Qian, C. Wang, J. Liu, A. Brandenburg, N. E. L. Haugen and M. A. Liberman (2019), 'Convergence properties of detonation simulations', Geophysical and Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics. Early Access, DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2019.1668382.
- J.-M. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2011a), 'Conservative high order semi-Lagrangian finite difference WENO methods for advection in incompressible flow', *Journal of Computational Physics* 230, 863–889.
- J.-M. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2011b), 'Conservative semi-Lagrangian finite difference WENO formulations with applications to the Vlasov equation', *Communications in Computational Physics* **10**, 979–1000.
- J.-M. Qiu, L.-L. Feng, C.-W. Shu and L.-Z. Fang (2007), 'A WENO algorithm of the temperature and ionization profiles around a point source', *New Astronomy* 12, 398–409.
- J.-M. Qiu, C.-W. Shu, L.-L. Feng and L.-Z. Fang (2006), 'A WENO algorithm for the radiative transfer and ionized sphere at reionization', *New Astronomy* 12, 1–10.
- J.-M. Qiu, C.-W. Shu, J.-R. Liu and L.-Z. Fang (2008), 'A WENO algorithm for the growth of ionized regions at the reionization epoch', *New Astronomy* 13, 1–11.
- J.-X. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2002), 'On the construction, comparison, and local characteristic decomposition for high order central WENO schemes', Journal of Computational Physics 183, 187–209.
- J.-X. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2003a), 'Finite difference WENO schemes with Lax-Wendroff type time discretization', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 24, 2185–2198.
- J.-X. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2003b), 'Hermite WENO schemes and their application as limiters for Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method: one-dimensional case', *Journal of Computational Physics* **193**, 115–135.
- J.-X. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2005a), 'A comparison of troubled-cell indicators

- for Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin methods using weighted essentially nonoscillatory limiters', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 27, 995–1013.
- J.-X. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2005b), 'Hermite WENO schemes and their application as limiters for Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method II: two dimensional case', Computers & Fluids 34, 642–663.
- J.-X. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2005c), 'Hermite WENO schemes for Hamilton-Jacobi equations', *Journal of Computational Physics* **204**, 82–99.
- J.-X. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2005d), 'Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method using WENO limiters', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 26, 907–929.
- S. M. Rahman and O. San (2019), 'A relaxation filtering approach for two-dimensional Rayleigh-Taylor instability-induced flows', *Fluids*. 78.
- U. Rasthofer, F. Wermelinger, P. Karnakov, J. Sukys and P. Koumoutsakos (2019), 'Computational study of the collapse of a cloud with 12,500 gas bubbles in a liquid', *Physical Review Fluids*. 063602.
- H. Ren, Q. Li, C. Li, T. Liu and Z. Wang (2019), 'Applicability analysis of the prevailing algorithms for reliable solution of the bipolar charge transport model', *Physica Scripta*. 075802.
- M. Rodriguez and E. Johnsen (2019), 'A high-order accurate five-equations compressible multiphase approach for viscoelastic fluids and solids with relaxation and elasticity', *Journal of Computational Physics* 379, 70–90.
- P. Roe (1978), 'Approximate Riemann solvers, parameter vectors and difference schemes', Journal of Computational Physics 27, 1–31.
- A. Rogerson and E. Meiburg (1990), 'A numerical study of the convergence properties of ENO schemes', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 5, 151–167.
- S. Roh, D. Ryu, H. Kang, S. Hai and H. Jung (2019), 'Turbulence dynamo in the stratified medium of Galaxy clusters', *Astrophysical Journal*. 138.
- I. Roy, J.-M. Qiu, C.-W. Shu and L.-Z. Fang (2009a), 'A WENO algorithm for radiative transfer with resonant scattering and the Wouthuysen-field coupling', New Astronomy 14, 513–520.
- I. Roy, C.-W. Shu and L.-Z. Fang (2010), 'Resonant scattering and Ly α radiation emergent from neutral hydrogen halos', *Astrophysical Journal* **716**, 604–614.
- I. Roy, W. Xu, J.-M. Qiu, C.-W. Shu and L.-Z. Fang (2009b), 'Time evolution of Wouthuysen-field coupling', Astrophysical Journal 694, 1121–1130.
- V. A. Sabelnikov, R. Yu and A. N. Lipatnikov (2019), 'Thin reaction zones in constant-density turbulent flows at low Damkohler numbers: Theory and simulations', *Physics of Fluids*. 055104.
- P. Sakov, F. Counillon, L. Bertino, K. A. Lisaeter, P. R. Oke and A. Korablev (2012), 'TOPAZ4: an ocean-sea ice data assimilation system for the North Atlantic and Arctic', Ocean Science 8, 633–656.
- A. Sasikumar, A. Kamath, O. Musch, H. Bihs and O. A. Arntsen (2019), 'Numerical modeling of berm breakwater optimization with varying berm geometry using REEF3D', Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering Transactions of the ASME 141, 011801.
- T. Schneider, C. M. Kaul and K. G. Pressel (2019), 'Possible climate transitions from breakup of stratocumulus decks under greenhouse warming', *Nature Geoscience* 12, 163-+.

- T. Schuller, S. Ducruix, D. Durox and S. Candel (2003a), 'Modeling tools for the prediction of premixed flame transfer functions', *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute* **29**, 107–113.
- T. Schuller, D. Durox and S. Candel (2003b), 'A unified model for the prediction of laminar flame transfer functions: comparisons between conical and v-flame dynamics', *Combustion and Flame* **134**, 21–34.
- D. C. Seal, Y. Guclu and A. J. Christlieb (2014), 'High-order multiderivative time integrators for hyperbolic conservation laws', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 60, 101–140.
- K. Sebastian and C.-W. Shu (2003), 'Multidomain WENO finite difference method with interpolation at subdomain interfaces', Journal of Scientific Computing 19, 405–438.
- A. Shahmardi, S. Zade, M. N. Ardekani, R. J. Poole, F. Lundell, M. E. Rosti and L. Brandt (2019), 'Turbulent duct flow with polymers', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 859, 1057–1083.
- S. Sharma, M. S. Shadloo and A. Hadjadj (2019), 'Turbulent flow topology in supersonic boundary layer with wall heat transfer', *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*. 108430.
- J. Shen, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2007), 'A high order WENO scheme for a hierarchical size-structured population model', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 33, 279–291.
- J. Shi, C. Hu and C.-W. Shu (2002), 'A technique of treating negative weights in WENO schemes', *Journal of Computational Physics* **175**, 108–127.
- J. Shi, Y.-T. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2003), 'Resolution of high order WENO schemes for complicated flow structures', Journal of Computational Physics 186, 690–696.
- M. Shi, L. Xu, Z. Wang and H. Lv (2019), 'Effect of a roughness element on the hypersonic boundary layer receptivity due to different types of free-stream disturbance with a single frequency', *Entropy.* 255.
- C.-W. Shu (1987), 'TVB uniformly high-order schemes for conservation laws', Mathematics of Computation 49, 105–121.
- C.-W. Shu (1988), 'Total-variation-diminishing time discretizations', SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing 9, 1073–1084.
- C.-W. Shu (1990), 'Numerical experiments on the accuracy of ENO and modified ENO schemes', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **5**, 127–149.
- C.-W. Shu (1997), 'Preface to the republication of "Uniform high order essentially non-oscillatory schemes, III"', Journal of Computational Physics 131, 1–2.
- C.-W. Shu (1998), Essentially non-oscillatory and weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws, in Advanced Numerical Approximation of Nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations, B. Cockburn, C. Johnson, C.-W. Shu and E. Tadmor, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, volume 1697 (A. Quarteroni, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 325–432.
- C.-W. Shu (2007), High order numerical methods for time dependent Hamilton-Jacobi equations, in Mathematics and Computation in Imaging Science and Information Processing, Lecture Notes Series, Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National University of Singapore, volume 11 (S. Goh, A. Ron and Z. Shen, eds), World Scientific Press, Singapore, pp. 47–91.

- C.-W. Shu (2009), 'High order weighted essentially nonoscillatory schemes for convection dominated problems', SIAM Review 51, 82–126.
- C.-W. Shu and S. Osher (1988), 'Efficient implementation of essentially non-oscillatory shock-capturing schemes', Journal of Computational Physics 77, 439–471.
- C.-W. Shu and S. Osher (1989), 'Efficient implementation of essentially non-oscillatory shock-capturing schemes, II', *Journal of Computational Physics* 83, 32–78.
- C.-W. Shu and Y. Zeng (1997), 'High-order essentially non-oscillatory scheme for viscoelasticity with fading memory', *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics* **55**, 459–484.
- K. Siddiqi, B. B. Kimia and C.-W. Shu (1997), 'Geometric shock-capturing ENO schemes for subpixel interpolation, computation and curve evolution', Graphical Models and Image Processing (CVGIP:GMIP) 59, 278–301.
- P. Singh, S. Kumar and M. E. Koksal (2019), 'High-order finite volume approximation for population density model based on quadratic integrate-and-fire neuron', *Engineering Computations* 36, 84–102.
- J. Smoller (1983), Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- S. Tan and C.-W. Shu (2010), 'Inverse Lax-Wendroff procedure for numerical boundary conditions of conservation laws', *Journal of Computational Physics* **229**, 8144–8166.
- S. Tan and C.-W. Shu (2011), 'A high order moving boundary treatment for compressible inviscid flows', *Journal of Computational Physics* **230**, 6023–6036.
- S. Tan, C. Wang, C.-W. Shu and J. Ning (2012), 'Efficient implementation of high order inverse Lax-Wendroff boundary treatment for conservation laws', *Journal of Computational Physics* 231, 2510–2527.
- S. Tanguy and A. Berlemont (2005), 'Application of a level set method for simulation of droplet collisions', *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* **31**, 1015–1035.
- S. Tanguy, T. Menard and A. Berlemont (2007), 'A level set method for vaporizing two-phase flows', *Journal of Computational Physics* **221**, 837–853.
- V. A. Titarev and E. F. Toro (2005), 'ADER schemes for three-dimensional nonlinear hyperbolic systems', Journal of Computational Physics 204, 715–736.
- E. Toro (1997), Riemann Solvers and Numerical Methods for Fluid Dynamics, a Practical Introduction, Springer, Berlin.
- H. van Eerten, W. Zhang and A. MacFadyen (2010), 'Off-axis gamma-ray burst afterflow modeling based on a two-dimensional axisymmetric hydrodynamics simulation', Astrophysical Journal 722, 235–247.
- P. S. Verma, J.-M. Teissier, O. Henze and W.-C. Muller (2019), 'Fourth-order accurate finite-volume CWENO scheme for astrophysical MHD problems', Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 482, 416–437.
- U. S. Vevek, B. Zang and T. H. New (2019), 'On alternative setups of the double Mach reflection problem', Journal of Scientific Computing 78, 1291–1303.
- D. Vigano, D. Martinez-Gomez, J. A. Pons, C. Palenzuela, F. Carrasco, B. Minano, A. Arbona, C. Bona and J. Masso (2019), 'A Simflowny-based high-

- performance 3D code for the generalized induction equation', Computer Physics Communications 237, 168–183.
- C. Wang, C.-W. Shu, W. Han and J. Ning (2013), 'High resolution WENO simulation of 3d detonation waves', Combustion and Flame 160, 447–462.
- D. Wang and T. Byambaakhuu (2019), 'High-order Lax-Friedrichs WENO fast sweeping methods for the S(N) neutron transport equation', *Nuclear Science* and Engineering 193, 982–990.
- J.-H. Wang, S. Pan, X. Y. Hu and N. A. Adams (2019a), 'Partial characteristic decomposition for multi-species Euler equations', Computers & Fluids 181, 364– 382.
- J.-X. Wang, J. Huang, L. Duan and H. Xiao (2019b), 'Prediction of Reynolds stresses in high-Mach-number turbulent boundary layers using physicsinformed machine learning', *Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics* 33, 1–19.
- W. Wang, C.-W. Shu, H. C. Yee and B. Sjögreen (2009), 'High-order well-balanced schemes and applications to non-equilibrium flow', *Journal of Computational Physics* 228, 6682–6702.
- W. Wang, C.-W. Shu, H. C. Yee, D. V. Kotov and B. Sjögreen (2015), 'High order finite difference methods with subcell resolution for stiff multispecies detonation capturing', Communications in Computational Physics 17, 317– 336
- X. Wang, G. Li, S. Qian, J. Li and Z. Wang (2019c), 'High order well-balanced finite difference WENO schemes for shallow water flows along channels with irregular geometry', Applied Mathematics and Computation. UNSP 124587.
- S. M. Wise, J. S. Lowengrub, H. B. Frieboes and V. Cristini (2008), 'Three-dimensional multispecies nonlinear tumor growth I Model and numerical method', Journal of Theoretical Biology 253, 524–543.
- H. Wu, P. C. Ma, T. Jaravel and M. Ihme (2019a), 'Pareto-efficient combustion modeling for improved CO-emission prediction in LES of a piloted turbulent dimethyl ether jet flame', Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 37, 2267– 2276.
- J. Wu, G. Dong and Y. Li (2019b), 'Parallel chemistry acceleration algorithm with ISAT table-size control in the application of gaseous detonation', *Shock Waves* **29**, 523–535.
- J. Wu, G. Dong and Y. Li (2019c), 'Performance of parallel chemistry acceleration algorithm in simulations of gaseous detonation: Effects of fuel type and numerical scheme resolution', Combustion Science and Technology 191, 2185–2207.
- Y. Xing and C.-W. Shu (2005), 'High order finite difference WENO schemes with the exact conservation property for the shallow water equations', *Journal of Computational Physics* 208, 206–227.
- Y. Xing and C.-W. Shu (2006a), 'High-order well-balanced finite difference WENO schemes for a class of hyperbolic systems with source terms', *Journal of Sci*entific Computing 27, 477–494.
- Y. Xing and C.-W. Shu (2006b), 'High order well-balanced finite volume WENO schemes and discontinuous galerkin methods for a class of hyperbolic systems with source terms', *Journal of Computational Physics* **214**, 567–598.

- Y. Xing and C.-W. Shu (2006c), 'A new approach of high order well-balanced finite volume WENO schemes and discontinuous galerkin methods for a class of hyperbolic systems with source terms', Communications in Computational Physics 1, 101–135.
- Y. Xing and C.-W. Shu (2011), 'High-order finite volume WENO schemes for the shallow water equations with dry states', Advances in Water Resources 34, 1026–1038.
- Y. Xing and C.-W. Shu (2013), 'High order well-balanced WENO scheme for the gas dynamics equations under gravitational fields', *Journal of Scientific Com*puting 54, 645–662.
- Z. Xing, C. Zhang, H. Cui, Y. Hai, Q. Wu and D. Min (2019), 'Space charge accumulation and decay in dielectric materials with dual discrete traps', Applied Sciences Basel.
- T. Xiong, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2012), 'WENO scheme with subcell resolution for computing nonconservative Euler equations with applications to one-dimensional compressible two-medium flows', *Journal of Scientific Computing* 53, 222–247.
- T. Xiong, M. Zhang, C.-W. Shu, S. C. Wong and P. Zhang (2011), 'High-order computational scheme for a dynamic continuum model for bi-directional pedestrian flow', Computer Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering 26, 298–310.
- J. Xu and H. Zhao (2003), 'An eulerian formulation for solving partial differential equations along a moving interface', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **19**, 573–594.
- J. Xu, Z. Li, J. Lowengrub and H. Zhao (2006), 'A level-set method for interfacial flows with surfactant', *Journal of Computational Physics* **212**, 590–616.
- L. Yang, T. Li, S. C. Wong, C.-W. Shu and M. Zhang (2019a), 'Modeling and simulation of urban air pollution from the dispersion of vehicle exhaust: a continuum modeling approach', *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation* 13, 722–740.
- Y. Yang, I. Roy, C.-W. Shu and L.-Z. Fang (2011), 'Effect of dust on $ly\alpha$ photon transfer in optically thick halo', *Astrophysical Journal*. 91.
- Y. Yang, I. Roy, C.-W. Shu and L.-Z. Fang (2013), 'Angular distribution of Ly α resonant photons emerging from optically thick medium', *Astrophysical Journal*. 3.
- Y. Yang, H. Wang, M. Sun, Z. Wang and Y. Wang (2019b), 'Numerical investigation of transverse jet in supersonic crossflow using a high-order nonlinear filter scheme', Acta Astronautica 154, 74–81.
- J.-L. Yu and X.-Y. Lu (2019), 'Topological evolution near the turbulent / non-turbulent interface in turbulent mixing layer', *Journal of Turbulence* 20, 300–321.
- P. Yu, H. Watanabe, W. Zhang, R. Kurose and T. Kitagawa (2019a), 'Flamelet model for a three-feed non-premixed combustion system with a diluent stream: Analysis and validation of quasi-two-dimensional flamelet (Q2DF) models', Energy & Fuels 33, 4640–4650.
- R. Yu and A. N. Lipatnikov (2019), 'Surface-averaged quantities in turbulent reacting flows and relevant evolution equations', *Physical Review E.* 013107.

- R. Yu, T. Nillson, X.-S. Bai and A. N. Lipatnikov (2019b), 'Evolution of averaged local premixed flame thickness in a turbulent flow', *Combustion and Flame* **207**, 232–249.
- L. D. Zanna, O. Zanotti, N. Bucciantini and P. Londrillo (2007), 'ECHO: a Eulerian conservative high-order scheme for general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics and magnetodynamics', Astronomy & Astrophysics 473, 11–30.
- D. Zhang, J. Tan and X. Yao (2019a), 'Direct numerical simulation of spatially developing highly compressible mixing layer: Structural evolution and turbulent statistics', *Physics of Fluids*. 036102.
- J. Zhang, H. Liu and Y. Ba (2019b), 'Numerical study of droplet dynamics on a solid surface with insoluble surfactants', Langmuir 24, 7858–7870.
- M. Zhang, C.-W. Shu, G. C. K. Wong and S. C. Wong (2003a), 'A weighted essentially non-oscillatory numerical scheme for a multi-class Lighthill-Whitham-Richards traffic flow model', Journal of Computational Physics 191, 639–659.
- P. Zhang, S. C. Wong and C.-W. Shu (2006a), 'A weighted essentially non-oscillatory numerical scheme for a multi-class traffic flow model on an inhomogeneous highway', *Journal of Computational Physics* 212, 739–756.
- S. Zhang, S. Jiang and C.-W. Shu (2008), 'Development of nonlinear weighted compact schemes with increasingly higher order accuracy', *Journal of Com*putational Physics 227, 7294–7321.
- S. Zhang, S. Jiang, Y.-T. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2009a), 'The mechanism of sound generation in the interaction between a shock wave and two counter-rotating vortices', *Physics of Fluids*. 076101.
- S. Zhang, H. Li, X. Liu, H. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2013), 'Classification and sound generation of two-dimensional interaction of two Taylor vortices', *Physics of Fluids*. 056103.
- S. Zhang, H. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2009b), 'Topological structure of shock induced vortex breakdown', *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **639**, 343–372.
- S. Zhang, Y.-T. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2005), 'Multistage interaction of a shock wave and a strong vortex', *Physics of Fluids*. 116101.
- S. Zhang, Y.-T. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2006b), 'Interaction of an oblique shock wave with a pair of parallel vortices: shock dynamics and mechanism of sound generation', *Physics of Fluids.* 126101.
- X. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2010a), 'On maximum-principle-satisfying high order schemes for scalar conservation laws', Journal of Computational Physics 229, 3091–3120.
- X. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2010b), 'On positivity-preserving high order discontinuous Galerkin schemes for compressible Euler equations on rectangular meshes', Journal of Computational Physics 229, 8918–8934.
- X. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2011), 'Maximum-principle-satisfying and positivity-preserving high-order schemes for conservation laws: survey and new developments', Proceedings of the Royal Society A 467, 2752–2776.
- X. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2012), 'Positivity-preserving high order finite difference WENO schemes for compressible Euler equations', *Journal of Computational Physics* **231**, 2245–2258.
- X. Zhang, Y. Xia and C.-W. Shu (2012), 'Maximum-principle-satisfying and

- positivity-preserving high order discontinuous Galerkin schemes for conservation laws on triangular meshes', Journal of Scientific Computing 50, 29–62.
- Y.-T. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2003), 'High order WENO schemes for Hamilton-Jacobi equations on triangular meshes', SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 24, 1005–1030.
- Y.-T. Zhang and C.-W. Shu (2009), 'Third order WENO scheme on three dimensional tetrahedral meshes', Communications in Computational Physics 5, 836–848.
- Y.-T. Zhang, J. Shi, C.-W. Shu and Y. Zhou (2003b), 'Numerical viscosity and resolution of high-order weighted essentially nonoscillatory schemes for compressible flows with high Reynolds numbers', *Physical Review E.* 046709.
- Y.-T. Zhang, C.-W. Shu and Y. Zhou (2006c), 'Effects of shock waves on Rayleigh-Taylor instability', *Physics of Plasmas*. 062705.
- G. Zhao, M. Sun, J. Wu, X. Cui and H. Wang (2019), 'Investigation of flame flash-back phenomenon in a supersonic crossflow with ethylene injection upstream of cavity flameholder', *Aerospace Science and Technology* 87, 190–206.
- F. Zheng, C.-W. Shu and J. Qiu (2017), 'Finite difference Hermite WENO schemes for the Hamilton-Jacobi equations', Journal of Computational Physics 337, 27–41.
- F. Zheng, C.-W. Shu and J. Qiu (2019), 'High order finite difference Hermite WENO schemes for the Hamilton-Jacobi equations on unstructured meshes', *Computers & Fluids* **183**, 53–65.
- X. Zhong and C.-W. Shu (2013), 'A simple weighted essentially nonoscillatory limiter for Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin methods', *Journal of Computational Physics* 232, 397–415.
- H. Zhou, J. You, S. Xiong, Y. Yang, D. Thevenin and S. Chen (2019), 'Interactions between the premixed flame front and the three-dimensional Taylor-Green vortex', Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 37, 2461–2468.
- J. Zhu and J. Qiu (2016), 'A new fifth order finite difference WENO scheme for solving hyperbolic conservation laws', *Journal of Computational Physics* 318, 110–121.
- J. Zhu and J. Qiu (2017), 'A new type of finite volume WENO schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws', *Journal of Scientific Computing* **73**, 1338–1359.
- J. Zhu and C.-W. Shu (2018), 'A new type of multi-resolution WENO schemes with increasingly higher order of accuracy', *Journal of Computational Physics* 375, 659–683.
- J. Zhu and C.-W. Shu (2019a), 'A new type of multi-resolution WENO schemes with increasingly higher order of accuracy on triangular meshes', Journal of Computational Physics 392, 19–33.
- J. Zhu and C.-W. Shu (2019b), 'A new type of third-order finite volume multiresolution WENO schemes on tetrahedral meshes', Journal of Computational Physics. Submitted.
- J. Zhu, J. Qiu and C.-W. Shu (2019a), 'High-order Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin methods with a new type of multi-resolution WENO limiters', Journal of Computational Physics. To appear.
- J. Zhu, X. Zhong, C.-W. Shu and J. Qiu (2017), 'Runge-Kutta discontinuous

- Galerkin method with a simple and compact Hermite WENO limiter on unstructured meshes', Communications in Computational Physics 21, 623–649.
- W. Zhu, L.-L. Feng, Y. Xia, C.-W. Shu, Q. Gu and L.-Z. Fang (2013), 'Turbulence in the intergalactic medium: solenoidal and dilatational motions and the impact of numerical viscosity', *Astrophysical Journal*. 48.
- Y. Zhu, L. Gao, K. H. Luo, J. Pan, Z. Pan and P. Zhang (2019b), 'Flame evolution in shock-accelerated flow under different reactive gas mixture gradients', *Physical Review E.* 013111.
- Y. Zhu, Z. Yang, K. H. Luo, J. Pan and Z. Pan (2019c), 'Numerical investigation of planar shock wave impinging on spherical gas bubble with different densities', *Physics of Fluids*. 056101.