

Last time, - Spectral Thm for Hilbert spaces
- Fourier transforms

What does the Fourier transform look like for \mathbb{R} ?

$G = \mathbb{R}$ Haar measure = Lebesgue measure

Recall that the Fourier transform is
a unitary map

$$U: L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(\hat{G})$$

where

$$\hat{G} = \left\{ \phi: G \rightarrow U(1) \mid \phi \text{ is continuous} \right\}$$

group hom

What is $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$?

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \{ \chi_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{U}(1) \}$$

$$\text{where } \chi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^x.$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{R}} = \{ \chi_p \mid p \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

$$\text{where } \chi_p(x) = \exp\left(\frac{ipx}{\hbar}\right)$$

$$\text{where } \hbar = 6.05 \times 10^{-34} \text{ kg } \frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{s}}$$

So we usually identify $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ with \mathbb{R}

$$\text{via } p \mapsto \chi_p$$

So if $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the

Fourier transform $U\psi = \tilde{\psi} \in L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}})$.

If it happens that $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

then the Fourier transform is given by

$$\tilde{\psi}(\chi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\chi(x)} \psi(x) dx$$

If we identify $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ with \mathbb{R} , this gives

$$\tilde{\psi}(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{ipx}{\hbar}\right) \psi(x) dx.$$

The position operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$
is denoted \hat{X} and takes

$$\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{to} \quad \hat{X}\psi(x) = x\psi(x)$$

so \hat{X} is diagonal, and $\hat{X} = L_x$.

Issue: if $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$

$$\text{then } \hat{X}\psi = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$$

$$\text{But } \int |\hat{X}\psi|^2 dx = \int \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} dx = \infty.$$

So \hat{X} might send L^2 functions out of L^2 .

We fix this by redefining the word operator.

..

Now, an operator^{on V} is a map
From a subspace of V , called
its domain, to V .

We denote the domain of an operator L to
be $\text{Dom}(L)$.

Such operators are also called **unbounded operators**.

We can take

$$\text{Dom}(\hat{X}) \text{ to be } \{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid x\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

It turns out that $\overline{\text{Dom}(\hat{X})} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$

We usually require that the domain of an unbounded
operator is a dense subspace of our Hilbert space.

Similarly, the momentum operator is given on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}})$ by

$$\hat{P} \tilde{\psi} = p \tilde{\psi}$$

$$= p \mapsto p \tilde{\psi}(p)$$

To transfer \hat{P} to the position picture,

we view it as $U^{-1} \hat{P} U$, where

U is the Fourier transform $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}})$.

We can determine explicitly what \hat{P} looks like in the position picture, using the fact that our translation operators are diagonal in $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}})$.

Given $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1} \hat{P} U \psi &= U^{-1} \hat{P} \tilde{\psi} \\ &= U^{-1} p \tilde{\psi} \end{aligned}$$

$$= U^{-1} \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dt} \exp\left(\frac{iPt}{\hbar}\right) \tilde{\psi} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= U^{-1} \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dt} U T_t U^{-1} \tilde{\psi} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dt} T_t \psi \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(x+ct) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dx} \psi$$

~ the function really
 $x \in \mathbb{R}$ to $\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d\psi(x)}{dx}$

So in the position picture

$$\hat{P} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$$

with $\text{Dom}(\hat{P}) = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \psi \text{ is differentiable} \right.$
 $\left. \text{and } \frac{d}{dx} \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$.

Just like in finite dimensions, the Hamiltonian
 is given by

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{X}) = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$$

↑
mass, just some positive real number

where $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some potential function

we still want to solve

$$i \frac{d}{dt} U(t) = \hat{H} U(t).$$

to determine what time evolution does to the

"particle on \mathbb{R} with mass m

and potential function V " quantum system.

We can still solve this using

$$U(t) = \exp(-it \hat{H})$$

But this expression might not make sense for

an unbounded operator. However if \hat{H}

is normal (which it is; in fact \hat{H} is self-adjoint),

then we can make sense of the exponential

using the spectral theorem for unbounded operators.

This theorem tells us we can diagonalize even

unbounded normal operators.

An unbounded diagonal operator on $L^2(X)$

is one of the form L_f for some measurable

function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (so we no longer restrict

ourselves to $f \in L^\infty(X)$ like we did in the

bounded case.)

We can easily exponentiate the unbounded diagonal

operator L_f ; we just define

$$\exp(-it L_f) = L_{\exp(-itf)}.$$