

Evaluation summary

Project details

Project name	13021 INSIST	Progress report in 2017 (semester 2)
Project title	Integrated service delivery for citizens' safety and comfort	
Project leader	Ozgur Devrim Orman	Bor Software inc., Turkey
Latest version	Change Request	Approved (Reviewed on 5 Feb 2016)
Project size	Costs: 2,671 k€	Effort: 42.65 PY
Start and end date	1 Jan 2016	31 Dec 2018
Consortium	Finland, Spain, Turkey	
Challenge	Safety and Security	

Review details

Steering group decision

Approved

Conclusions

Plus Many Exploitation related achievements have been listed

Minus Top 4 Business impact do not address market aspects
Market access for Valve is unclear
PCA is not yet signed.
Alarming deliverable status (4 deliverables with a delay > 2 months)
Only for 4 (i.e. Turkish) out of 6 active partners the effort and main contribution has been reported.

Improvements

Mandatory

Address the relevant market aspects (e.g. competition, market size/segments, growth,...) also in the targeted business impacts
Extend partner market access description and in particular clarify Valve's market access
Currently only one country seems to contribute (Turkey) as Finnish partners left early 2017, and the situation in Spain is unclear. This needs to be clarified.
Carefully monitor deliverable status and define a mitigation plan
List effort for both Turkish, Finnish and Spanish partners to give a global view.

Recommended

Expand study on technology changes to more than one source (now only Cisco is referenced).

Feedback to previous STG remarks

Response Feedback to previous PPR comments has been addressed properly.

Project overall status

Objectives

Top 4 overall targeted innovations OK, but the relation wrt the SotA could have been described more extensively.

Top 4 overall targeted business impacts Market aspects have not been addressed (competitors missing)

Actions Address the relevant market aspects (e.g. competition, market size/segments, growth,..) also in the targeted business impacts

KPIs & Risk analysis

Top 4 overall project result KPIs ok

Top 4 overall risks ok

Actions

Changes in technology and business

Changes in the technology and business during the reporting period Main trends identified by Cisco have been listed, which is rather limited.

Actions Expand study to more than one source.

Exploitation

Exploitation

Partners' market access As such OK for some partners, but limited for others e.g. Valve's market access is unclear.

Top 8 overall partners' ERA In particular, contacts established with many municipalities

Realised ERA 2 dissemination, exploitation. Very high quality ERA.

Actions Extend partner market access description and in particular clarify Valve's market access

Project progress during the reporting period

Overarching work progress and issues

Top 4 technical achievements ok

Top 4 next technical targets ok. Well argued next steps

Actions for technical progress

Top 4 issues Finnish partners left the consortium at the beginning of 2017
Local project agreement in Turkey was signed in November 2017, however the project started January 2016.

Project statement on progress during the reporting period	Actions for issues	Currently only one country seems to provide funding (Turkey) as Finnish partners left early 2017, and the situation in Spain is unclear. This needs to be clarified.
	Status of deliverables	No overview of deliverables given, but 4 deliverables have more than 2 months delay: D1.1.2 Use case definition and user requirements specification D1.2.1 System requirements specification D5.1.1 Data collection D6.3 Initial dissemination, exploitation and standardisation plan
	Actions	Assess carefully deliverable status and define a mitigation plan.
	Actions	

Details of technical progress per work package

Technical progress per work package ok

Actions

Per partner progress during the reporting period

Partner's main contribution and effort Only for 4 (i.e. Turkish) out of 6 active partners the effort and main contribution has been reported.

Actual vs. Planned effort overview 20 PY spent vs 31 PY planned, implies an underspending of more than 33% (because of late funding decision in Turkey and Finnish partners leaving the project)

Actions Report for all partners main contribution and effort figures.

Global	
PPR document quality	
Focus and conciseness	OK, 21 p
Readability	OK
Actions	