Anasazi software for the numerical solution of large-scale eigenvalue problems

C. G. Baker and U. L. Hetmaniuk and R. B. Lehoucq and H. K. Thornquist Sandia National Laboratories

Anasazi is a package within the Trilinos software project. Anasazi uses modern software paradigms to implement algorithms for the numerical solution of large-scale eigenvalue problems in templated ANSI C++. The purpose of our paper is to describe the design and development of Anasazi. Timings comparing the cost of Anasazi with the popular FORTRAN 77 code ARPACK are given.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: G.1.3 [Numerical Analysis]: Numerical Linear Algebra; G.4 [Mathematical Software]: ; D.2.13 [Software Engineering]: Reusable Software

General Terms: Algorithms, Design, Performance; Reliability, Theory

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Eigenvalue problems, Numerical Algorithms, Generic programming

Anasazi is a package within the Trilinos Project [Heroux et al. 2005]. Anasazi uses modern software paradigms to implement algorithms for the numerical solution of large-scale eigenvalue problems in templated ANSI C++. We define a large-scale eigenvalue problem to be one where a small number (relative to the dimension of the problem) of eigenvalues and the associated eigenspace are computed, and only knowledge of the underlying matrix via application on a vector (or group of vectors) is assumed.

An inspiration for Anasazi is the ARPACK [Lehoucq et al. 1998] FORTRAN 77 software library. ARPACK implements one algorithm, namely an implicitly restarted Arnoldi method [Sorensen 1992]. In contrast, Anasazi provides a software framework, including the necessary infrastructure, to implement a variety of algorithms. Anasazi is an extensible framework because the necessary linear algebra infrastructure is made independent of the algorithms used for the numerical solution of large-scale eigenvalue problems. We justify our claims by implementing block variants of three popular algorithms: a Davidson [Davidson 1975] method, a Krylov-Schur [Stewart 2001a] method, and an implementation of LOBPCG [Knyazev 2001].

Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. Authors' addresses: C. G. Baker, U. L. Hetmaniuk, R. B. Lehoucq, Sandia National Laboratories, Computational Mathematics & Algorithms, MS 1320, P.O.Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87185-1320; email {cgbaker,ulhetma,rblehou}@sandia.gov. H. K. Thornquist, Sandia National Laboratories, Electrical and Microsystem Modeling, MS 0316, Albuquerque, NM 87185-0316; email hkthorn@sandia.gov.

Permission to make digital/hard copy of all or part of this material without fee for personal or classroom use provided that the copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage, the ACM copyright/server notice, the title of the publication, and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of the ACM, Inc. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. © 20YY ACM 0098-3500/20YY/1200-0001 \$5.00

ARPACK has proved to be a popular and successful FORTRAN 77 library for the numerical solution of large-scale eigenvalue problems. A crucial reason for the popularity of ARPACK is the use of a reverse communication [Lehoucq et al. 1998, p. 3] interface for applying the necessary matrix-vector products. This allows ARPACK to provide a callback for the needed matrix-vector products in a simple fashion within FORTRAN 77. Unfortunately, the reverse communication interface is cumbersome, challenging to maintain, and does not allow data encapsulation. Moreover, because ARPACK uses a procedural programming paradigm where the matrix-vector operations rely upon the physical representation of the data manipulated, ARPACK is susceptible to design changes. Hence code reuse is limited, and software complexity and maintenance are more cumbersome.

Procedural programming is often at odds with modern software paradigms that include object-based, object-oriented, and generic programming methods. The C programming language only allows an object-based programming paradigm. In contrast, C++ supports object-oriented techniques and generic programming (typically via templates). One of the features of Anasazi is the use of generic programming via static and dynamic polymorphism [Vandevoorde and Josuttis 2002, Chapter 14]. Static polymorphism, via templating of the linear algebra objects, allows algorithms in Anasazi to be written generically (i.e., independent of the data). Dynamic polymorphism, via virtual functions and object inheritance, allows eigensolvers to be decoupled from functions such as orthogonalization and stopping conditions; this functionality can then be decided at runtime. The upshot of this decoupling is the facilitation of code reuse and algorithmic modification.

The result of these design choices is to make Anasazi an extensible and interoperable software. Extensibility is apparent in the infrastructure's support for a significant class of large-scale eigenvalue algorithms. Extensions can be made through the addition of new algorithms or through modification of existing algorithms. This is encouraged by promoting code modularization and multiple levels of access to solvers and their data. Interoperability is enabled via the treatment of both matrices and vectors as opaque objects—only knowledge of the matrix and vectors via elementary operations is necessary. This permits algorithms to be implemented in a generic manner, requiring no knowledge of the underlying linear algebra types or their specific implementations.

We emphasize that our interest is not solely in modern software paradigms. Rather, our paper demonstrates that a rich collection of block eigensolvers is easily implemented using modern programming techniques. Our approach is algorithm-oriented [Musser and Stepanov 1994] because algorithms are front and center, followed by the software abstractions. Moreover, our implementations are required to be efficient and portable. We believe that Anasazi is the natural successor to ARPACK, inheriting, and extending, the quality practices employed by ARPACK.

Related software efforts that implement several algorithms for large-scale eigenvalue (the reader is referred to [Hernández et al. 2005] for a software survey) problems are:

—The SLEPc [Hernández et al. 2006] library written in C for the solution of large scale sparse eigenvalue problems on parallel computers. SLEPc is an extension of PETSc [Balay et al. 2001] and can be used for either Hermitian or non-Hermitian,

standard or generalized, eigenproblems;

—PRIMME [Stathopoulos and McCombs 2006] is a C library to find a number of eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors of a real symmetric, or complex Hermitian matrix.

Both of these efforts use an object-based programming paradigm and so do not employ generic or object-oriented techniques. We are not aware of any other software implementing block algorithms for large-scale eigenvalue problems using object-oriented or generic programming techniques.

Our paper is organized as follows. Section 1 describes a class of algorithms that can be implemented within Anasazi. Section 2 reviews our software framework. Section 3 provides timings comparing ARPACK and Anasazi.

1. ALGORITHM OVERVIEW

Anasazi software provides algorithms for computing a partial eigen-decomposition for the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\lambda, \qquad \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$
 (1)

The matrices **A** and **B** are large, possibly sparse, and we assume that only their application to a block of vectors is required. The reader is referred to [Saad 1992; Sorensen 2002; Stewart 2001b; van der Vorst 2002] for background information and references on the large-scale eigenvalue problem.

Algorithm 1.1 is a simple extension of the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure given in [Stewart 2001b, p.281]. This algorithm lists the salient steps found in the majority of large-scale eigensolvers, namely subspace projection methods.

Algorithm 1.1: Rayleigh-Ritz Algorithm

- (1) Let the matrices M, U and V be given
- (2) Form the Rayleigh quotients $\mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{M} \Phi(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{M} \Psi(\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{U}$ where $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$ are matrix functions
- (3) Compute an eigen-decomposition for the matrix pencil (V^HMΦ(A)U, V^HMΨ(B)U)
- (4) Return the eigen-decomposition as an approximation for the pencil (A, B)

The matrices \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are bases for the trial and test subspaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} , respectively. When these two subspaces are distinct, then the Rayleigh-Ritz method is called oblique. Otherwise, when $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{U}$ the orthogonal Rayleigh-Ritz method results. The functions $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$ are often used to improve convergence to the eigenvalues and eigenspace of interest. For example, a standard approach is to reformulate (1) as

$$\Phi(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} \equiv (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\sigma)^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\lambda - \sigma)^{-1} \equiv \Psi(\mathbf{B})\mathbf{x}\nu,$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ is near the eigenvalues of interest. This is an example of the shift-invert spectral transformation. The matrix **M** is often used to denote an inner product;

for instance M can be set equal to A or B when either matrix is Hermitian positive semi-definite. A second example is to apply an equivalence transformation to (1) resulting in

$$\Phi(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{N}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{N}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\lambda \equiv \Phi(\mathbf{B})\mathbf{x}\lambda$$

where $\Psi = \Phi$ and set $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{N}$.

Several linear algebra operations are required to implement large-scale eigenvalue computations. These include

- —Matrix-matrix applications: **AU**.
- —Block inner products: $V^H(AU)$.
- —Solution of typically much smaller eigenproblems (step 3).

Other linear algebra operations include methods for creating and performing vectors and vector arithmetic. We list our primitives in Section 2.

Algorithm 1.1 needs to be augmented with several steps in order to result in an *eigen-iteration*. Algorithm 1.2 lists these additional steps, so defining an eigeniteration.

Algorithm 1.2: Eigen-iteration

- (1) Update the matrices \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V}
- (2) Determine whether any portion of the eigen-decomposition is of acceptable accuracy
- (3) Deflate the accurate portions of the eigen-decomposition
- (4) Terminate the Eigen-iteration

A distinguishing characteristic of the Rayleigh-Ritz algorithm is the number of columns m of \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} . The size of the bases \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} is either constant or increasing. An example of the former is the gradient-based method LOBPCG [Knyazev 2001]. Examples of the latter are the Davidson algorithm [Davidson 1975] and Krylov-based methods such as the Arnoldi [Arnoldi 1951] and Lanczos [Lanczos 1950] methods. Ultimately, the success of an algorithm depends crucially upon these subspaces and the choice of bases representation, an issue that is beyond the scope of this paper.

The algorithms that are currently available in Anasazi are:

- (1) a block extension of a Krylov-Schur method [Stewart 2001a],
- (2) a block Davidson method as described in [Arbenz et al. 2005],
- (3) an implementation of LOBPCG as described in [Hetmaniuk and Lehoucq 2006].

Three remarks are in order. First, all three algorithms are instances of the orthogonal Rayleigh-Ritz method. Therefore the eigen-decomposition computed in step (3) of Algorithm 1.1 is equivalent to a Schur form when (1) is a regular pencil.

Our second remark is that only the Krylov-Schur method can be used for non-Hermitian generalized eigenvalue problems. In contrast, all three algorithms can be used for symmetric positive semi-definite generalized eigenvalue problems.

Our third remark is that block methods are defined to be those that apply **A** (or **B**) to a collection of vectors. This improves the ratio of floating-point operations to memory reference and so better exploits the memory hierarchy.

This discussion illustrates that many distinct parts make up a large-scale eigensolver code: orthogonalization, sorting tools, dense linear algebra, convergence testing, multivector arithmetic, etc. Anasazi presents a framework of algorithmic components, decoupling operations where possible in order to simplify component verification, encourage code reuse, and maximize flexibility in implementation.

2. ANASAZI SOFTWARE FRAMEWORK

This section outlines the Anasazi software framework and motivates the design decisions made in the development of Anasazi. Three subsections describe the Anasazi operator/vector interface, the eigensolver framework, and a review of the various classes in Anasazi. The reader is referred to [Baker et al.; Sala et al. 2004] for software documentation and a tutorial.

2.1 The Anasazi Operator/Vector Interface

Anasazi utilizes abstract interfaces for matrix operators and multivectors. This allows generic programming techniques to be used when developing the numerical algorithms in Anasazi. In C++, generic programming is traditionally implemented using virtual functions or templates. The abstract numerical interfaces used in Anasazi are supported via templates. Most classes in Anasazi accept three template parameters:

- —a scalar type, describing the field over which the vectors and operators are defined;
- —a multivector type, that depends upon the scalar type, providing a data structure that denotes a collection of vectors; and
- —an operator type, that depends upon the multivector and scalar types, providing linear operators used to define eigenproblems and preconditioners.

Templating an eigensolver on multivector and scalar types enables software reuse. For example, ARPACK implements the four subroutines—SNAUPD, DNAUPD, CNAUPD, and ZNAUPD—for solving non-Hermitian eigenproblems. Four separate subroutines are provided for these four FORTRAN 77 floating point types (single and double precision real, and single and double precision complex). Moreover, four additional subroutines are needed for a distributed memory implementation (say using MPI). In contrast, templating on multivector and scalar types allows Anasazi to maintain only one C++ code. The multivector templating allows us to separate the eigenvalue algorithm from the linear algebra data structures. The operator type templating is analogous to the reverse communication interface used by ARPACK for providing matrix-vector products.

Because the underlying data types are unknown to the Anasazi developer, algorithms are developed abstractly. Access to the functionality of the underlying objects is provided via the classes MultiVecTraits and OperatorTraits. These classes implement the traits mechanism [Meyers 1995] and specify the operations that the multivector and operator classes must support in order to be used within Anasazi. This mechanism hides the low-level details of the underlying data struc-

MvPrint

Table I. Methods provided by the OperatorTraits and MultiVecTraits interfaces.					
OperatorTraits					
Method name	Description				
Apply	Applies an operator to a MultiVector, placing the result in				
	another MultiVector.				
MultiVecTraits					
Method name	Description				
Clone	Creates a new multivector containing a specified number of				
	columns.				
CloneCopy	Creates a new multivector with a copy of the contents of an				
	existing multivector (deep copy).				
CloneView	Creates a new multivector that shares the selected contents of				
	an existing multivector (shallow copy).				
GetVecLength	Returns the vector length of a multivector.				
GetNumberVecs	Returns the number of vectors in a multivector.				
MvTimesMatAddMv	Applies a serial, dense matrix M to multivector A and accu-				
	mulates into another multivector B :				
	$B \leftarrow \alpha AM + \beta B$.				
MvAddMv	Performs multivector AXPBY: $B \leftarrow \alpha A + \beta B$.				
MvTransMv	Computes the matrix $C \leftarrow \alpha A^H B$.				
MvDot	Computes the vector b where the components are the indi-				
	vidual dot-products of the <i>i</i> -th columns of A and B, i.e.,				
	$b[i] = A[i]^H B[i].$				
MvScale	Scales the columns of a multivector.				
MvNorm	Computes the 2-norm of each vector of A .				
SetBlock	Copies the vectors in A to a subset of vectors in B .				
MvRandom	Replaces the vectors in A with random vectors.				
MvInit	Replaces each element of the vectors in A with α .				

tures, allowing the same algorithmic implementation to be compatible with varying underlying linear algebra objects (e.g., serial and parallel).

Prints the Multivector to an output stream.

The methods defined by these traits classes are listed in Table I. Most of the methods listed are self-explanatory. The first three MultiVecTraits methods are C++ virtual constructors [Meyers 1996, pp. 123–129] that create multivectors from a multivector provided by the user. Deep and shallow copy denotes whether the object contains the storage for the multivector entries or not. A shallow copy is useful when only a subset of the columns of a multivector is required for computation.

The use of MultiVecTraits and OperatorTraits requires that specializations of these traits classes have been implemented for given template arguments. Anasazi provides the following specializations of these traits classes:

- -Epetra_MultiVector and Epetra_Operator (with scalar type double) allow Anasazi to be used with the Epetra [Heroux et al.] linear algebra library provided with Trilinos.
- -Thyra::MultiVectorBase and Thyra::LinearOpBase (with arbitrary scalar type) allow Anasazi to be used with any classes that implement the abstract interfaces provided by the Thyra [Bartlett et al.] package of Trilinos.
- -MultiVec and Operator (with arbitrary scalar type) allow Anasazi to be used ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. V, No. N, Month 20YY.

with any classes that implement the Anasazi abstract base classes MultiVec and Operator.

For scalar, multivectors and operators types not covered by these, specializations of MultiVecTraits and OperatorTraits must be created. The benefit of the traits mechanism is that it does not require that the chosen types are C++ classes. Furthermore, it does not require rewriting the user's data types, as the traits class specialization occurs external to the chosen types.

2.2 The Anasazi Framework

We explain how the Rayleigh-Ritz method of Algorithm 1.1 and the additional steps listed in Algorithm 1.2 are implemented within the Anasazi framework.

In Anasazi, eigensolvers (encapsulating an iteration and its associated state) are derived classes of the abstract base class Eigensolver. An inheritance relationship was chosen for the following reasons:

- —the abstract base class defines an interface used for checking the status of a solver by a status test;
- —a concrete derived class will perform the iteration associated with a specific eigensolver algorithm; and
- —a concrete derived class will act as a container for the state associated with its particular iteration.

The class StatusTest is used to specify stopping conditions for an eigen-iteration. Eigensolver queries the StatusTest during its class method iterate() to determine whether or not to continue iterating. Concrete subclasses of StatusTest provide particular stopping criteria. A typical interaction between these two classes is illustrated in Figure 1.

```
SomeEigensolver::iterate() {
  while ( somestatustest.checkStatus(this) != Passed ) {
    //
    // perform eigensolver iterations
    //
  }
  return; // return back to caller
}
```

Fig. 1. Example of communication between status test and eigensolver

Each StatusTest provides a virtual method, checkStatus(), which queries the methods provided by Eigensolver and determines whether the solver meets the criteria defined by a particular status test. After a solver returns from iterate(), the caller has the ability to access the solver's state and the option re-initializing the solver with a new state and continue iterating.

While this approach to interfacing with the solver is powerful, it can be overwhelming. It requires the user to construct a number of support classes and to

manage calls to Eigensolver::iterate(). The SolverManager class was developed to encapsulate an instantiation of Eigensolver, providing additional functionality and handling low-level interaction with the eigensolver that a user may not want to specify. Solver managers are intended to be easy to use, while still providing the features and flexibility needed to solve large-scale eigenvalue problems.

For example, the constructor of BlockDavidsonSolMgr accepts only two arguments: an Eigenproblem specifying the eigenvalue problem to be solved and a ParameterList of options specific to this solver manager. This solver manager instantiates a BlockDavidson subclass of Eigensolver, along with the status tests and other support classes needed by the eigensolver. To solve the eigenvalue problem, the user simply calls the solve() method of BlockDavidsonSolMgr. The solver manager calls iterate(), performs restarts and locking, and places the final solution into the Eigenproblem.

Under this framework, users have a number of options for performing eigenvalue computations with Anasazi:

- —use an existing solver manager. In this case, the user is limited to the functionality provided by the existing solver managers.
- —Develop a new solver manager for an existing eigensolver. The user can extend the functionality provided by the eigensolver, specifying custom configurations for status tests, orthogonalization, restarting, locking, etc.
- —Implement a new eigensolver (and so extend Anasazi). The user can write an eigensolver for an iteration that is not represented in Anasazi. The user still has the benefit of the support classes provided by Anasazi, and the knowledge that this effort can be easily employed by anyone already familiar with Anasazi.

2.3 Anasazi Classes

Anasazi is designed with extensibility in mind, so that users can augment the package with any special functionality that may be needed. However, the released version of Anasazi provides all functionality necessary for solving a wide variety of problems. This section lists and briefly describes the classes used in Anasazi.

We remark that Anasazi is largely independent of Trilinos. Anasazi only relies on the Trilinos Teuchos package [Heroux et al.] that provides a common suite of tools, such as: RefCountPtr, a reference-counting smart pointer [Detlefs 1992]; ParameterList, a list for algorithmic parameters of varying data types; and the BLAS [Lawson et al. 1979; Blackford et al. 2002] and LAPACK [Anderson et al. 1999].

The abstract base class Eigenproblem is a container for the components and solution of an eigenvalue problem. By requiring eigenproblems to derive from Eigenproblem, Anasazi defines a minimum interface that can be expected of all eigenvalue problems by the classes that will work with the problems (e.g., eigensolvers and status testers). Anasazi provides users with a concrete implementation of Eigenproblem, called BasicEigenproblem. This basic implementation provides all the functionality necessary to describe both generalized and standard, Hermitian and non-Hermitian linear eigenvalue problems.

The methods for storing and retrieving the results of the eigenvalue computation in an Eigenproblem are:

```
const Eigensolution & Eigenproblem::getSolution();
void Eigenproblem::setSolution(const Eigensolution & sol);
```

The Eigensolution class was developed in order to facilitate setting and retrieving of solution data. This structure contains the following information:

- -RefCountPtr< MV > Evecs
 - The computed eigenvectors.
- -RefCountPtr< MV > Espace

An orthonormal basis for the computed eigenspace.

- —std::vector< Value< ScalarType > > Evals
 - The computed eigenvalue approximations.
- —std::vector< int > index

An index into Evecs to enable compressed storage of eigenvectors for real, non-Hermitian problems.

—int numVecs

The number of computed eigenpair approximations.

Anasazi solver managers are expected to place the results of their computation in the Eigenproblem class using an Eigensolution. However, a user working directly with an eigensolver (i.e., not with a solver manager) will need to recover the solution directly from the eigensolver state.

The Eigensolver abstract base class defines the basic interface that must be met by any eigensolver class in Anasazi. Specific eigensolvers are implemented as derived classes of Eigensolver.

Eigensolver defines two significant types of methods: status methods and solver-specific methods. The status methods are defined by the Eigensolver abstract base class and represent the information that any status test can request from any eigensolver. A list of these methods is given in Table II.

Table II. A list of generic status methods provided by Eigensolver.

Method	Description				
getNumIters	current number of iterations.				
getRitzValues	most recent Ritz values.				
getRitzVectors	most recent Ritz vectors.				
getRitzIndex	Ritz index needed for indexing compressed				
	Ritz vectors.				
getResNorms	residual norms, with respect to the				
	OrthoManager.				
getRes2Norms	residual Euclidean norms.				
getRitzRes2Norms	Ritz residual Euclidean norms.				
getCurSubspaceDim	current subspace dimension.				
getMaxSubspaceDim	maximum subspace dimension.				
getBlockSize	block size.				

One of the tenets of object-oriented programming is data encapsulation. This seems to contradict the need to be as efficient as possible in scientific computing. To this end, each eigensolver provides low-level methods for accessing and setting the state of the solver:

- —getState() returns a solver-specific structure with read-only pointers to the current state of the solver.
- —initialize(...) accepts a solver-specific structure enabling the user to initialize the solver with a particular state.

The combination of these two methods, along with the flexibility provided by status tests, provides the user with a large degree of control over eigensolver iterations.

SolverManager defines only two methods: a constructor accepting an Eigenproblem and a parameter list of options specific to the solver manager; and a solve() method, taking no arguments and returning either Converged or Unconverged (Figure 2).

```
// create an eigenproblem
RefCountPtr< Anasazi::Eigenproblem<ScalarType,MV,OP> > problem = ...;
// create a parameter list
ParameterList params;
params.set(...);
// create a solver manager
Anasazi::BlockDavidsonSolMgr<ScalarType,MV,OP> solman(problem,params);
// solve the eigenvalue problem
Anasazi::ReturnType ret = solman.solve();
// get the solution from the problem
Anasazi::Eigensolution<ScalarType,MV> sol = problem->getSolution();
```

Fig. 2. Example: Solving an eigenvalue problem using a SolverManager

The goal of the solver manager is to instantiate a subclass of Eigensolver, along with the necessary support objects. Another purpose of many solver managers is to manage and initiate the repeated calls to the underlying solver's iterate() method. For solvers that increase the dimension of trial and test subspaces (e.g., Davidson and Krylov subspace methods), the solver manager may also assume the task of restarting (so that storage costs may be fixed). This decoupling of restarting from the eigensolver is beneficial due to the numerous restarting techniques in use.

Performing an eigen-iteration requires a number of support classes. These are passed through the objects constructor, defined by Eigensolver to take the form listed in Figure 3.

```
Eigensolver(
    const RefCountPtr< Eigenproblem<ST,MV,OP> > &problem,
    const RefCountPtr< SortManager<ST,MV,OP> > &sorter,
    const RefCountPtr< OutputManager<ST> > &printer,
    const RefCountPtr< StatusTest<ST,MV,OP> > &tester,
    const RefCountPtr< OrthoManager<ST,OP> > &ortho,
    ParameterList &params
);
```

Fig. 3. Constructor for eigensolver

These support classes are employed for the following purposes:

- —problem the eigenproblem to be solved; problem operators are defined.
- —sorter the sort manager selects the eigenvalues of interest.
- —printer the output manager dictates the verbosity level in addition to processing output streams.
- —tester the status tester dictates when the solver terminates iterate().
- —ortho the orthogonalization manager defines the inner product in addition to performing orthogonalization for the solver.
- —params the parameter list specifies eigensolver-specific options.

The purpose of the StatusTest is to give the user or solver manager flexibility in terminating the eigensolver iterations in order to interact directly with the solver. For instance, typical reasons for terminating the iteration are:

- —some convergence criterion has been satisfied;
- —some portion of the subspace has reached sufficient accuracy to be deflated from the iterate or locked;
- —the solver has performed a sufficient number of iterations.

The variation that exists for monitoring these and other conditions requires an abstract mechanism controlling the iteration.

The following is a list of Anasazi-provided status tests:

- —StatusTestMaxIters monitors the number of iterations performed by the solver; it can be used to halt the solver at some maximum number of iterations or even to require some minimum number of iterations.
- —StatusTestResNorm monitors the residual norms of the current iterate.
- —StatusTestOrderedResNorm monitors the residual norms of the current iterate, but only considers the residuals associated with the most significant eigenvalues.
- —StatusTestCombo a boolean combination of other status tests, creating near unlimited potential for complex status tests.
- —StatusTestOutput a wrapper around another status test, allowing for printing of status information on a call to checkStatus().

The purpose of a sort manager is to separate the eigensolver classes from the sorting functionality required by those classes. This satisfies the flexibility principle sought by Anasazi, by giving users the opportunity to perform the sorting in whatever manner is deemed to be most appropriate. Anasazi defines an abstract class SortManager with two methods, one for sorting real values and one for sorting complex values. Anasazi provides a concrete implementation called BasicSort. This class provides basic functionality for selecting significant eigenvalues: by largest or smallest real part, by largest or smallest imaginary part, or by largest or smallest magnitude.

Orthogonalization and orthonormalization are commonly performed computations in iterative eigensolvers. As explained in Section 1, all our current implementations are orthogonal Rayleigh-Ritz methods where an orthonormal basis representation is computed. The abstract base class OrthoManager defines a small number of orthogonalization-related operations, including choice of an inner product (e.g.,

Table III. Comparing the overhead	of Anasazi with	ARPACK. —	denotes a measurement	below
the clock resolution				

	Computing 50 Arnoldi vectors					
	Matrix-vector		Total			
Matrix size	ARPACK	Anasazi	ARPACK	Anasazi		
10000	_	0.01	0.14	0.15		
62500	0.04	0.09	1.20	1.17		
250000	0.15	0.32	4.98	4.79		
1000000	0.66	1.23	19.2	18.8		
	Computing 100 Arnoldi vectors					
	Matrix-	vector	Total			
Matrix size	ARPACK	Anasazi	ARPACK	Anasazi		
10000	0.03	0.02	0.53	0.55		
62500	0.03	0.17	4.37	4.29		
250000	0.34	0.64	17.8	17.5		
1000000	1.27	2.40	68.4 67.1			
	Computing 150 Arnoldi vectors					
	Matrix-vector		Total			
Matrix size	ARPACK	Anasazi	ARPACK	Anasazi		
10000	0.03	0.04	1.15	1.22		
62500	0.14	0.26	9.53	9.39		
250000	0.50	0.96	38.1	38.0		
1000000	1.97	3.56	149	146		

Euclidean, induced by a symmetric positive semi-definite **B**). Combined with the plethora of available methods for performing these computations, Anasazi has left as much leeway to the users as possible. To this end, Anasazi provides two concrete orthogonalization managers:

- —BasicOrthoManager performs orthogonalization using multiple steps of classical Gram-Schmidt [Daniel et al. 1976].
- —SVQBOrthoManager performs orthogonalization using the SVQB orthogonalization technique described by Stathapoulos and Wu [Stathapoulos and Wu 2002].

In order to perform the Rayleigh-Ritz analysis used by the algorithms illustrating this section, Anasazi utilizes the classes Teuchos::BLAS and Teuchos::LAPACK. The purpose of these classes is to provide templated interfaces to the dense linear algebra routines provided by the BLAS and LAPACK libraries. Therefore, even such operations as dense matrix-matrix multiplication are made independent of the scalar field defining the eigenvalue problem. Users are therefore currently limited to algorithms provided by LAPACK.

3. BENCHMARKING

We now discuss the important issue of comparing Anasazi and ARPACK on a model problem. Our interest is in accessing any overhead of Anasazi and ARPACK, C++ and FORTRAN 77 software.

We benchmarked Anasazi's BlockKrylovSchurSolMgr (with a block size of one) and ARPACK's dnaupd that compute approximations to the eigenspace of a non-symmetric matrix. Our goal was to benchmark the cost of computing 50, 100, 150

Arnoldi vectors for a finite difference approximation to a two dimensional convection diffusion problem. Both codes use the DGKS [Daniel et al. 1976] method for maintaining the numerical orthogonality of the Arnoldi basis vectors. The Intel 9.1 C++ and FORTRAN compilers were used with compiler switches "-O2 -xP" on an Intel Pentium D, 3GHz, 1MB L2 cache, 2GB main, Linux/FC5 PC.

The operator application in Anasazi records approximately twice as much time as the ARPACK implementation. This is because the Anasazi code used an Epetra sparse matrix representation, while the ARPACK implementation applies the block tridiagonal matrix via a stencil. Note that the operator application comprised only a small portion of the clock time in these tests. The performance of the Anasazi library in computing the Arnoldi vectors is similar to that of ARPACK. Our conclusion is that a well-designed library in C++ is as efficient as a FORTRAN 77 library.

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Roscoe Bartlett, Mike Heroux, Roger Pawlowski, Eric Phipps, and Andy Salinger for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, E., Bai, Z., Bischof, C., Blackford, S., Demmel, J., Dongarra, J., Croz, J. D., Greenbaum, A., Hammarling, S., McKenney, A., Ostrouchov, S., and Sorensen, D. 1999. *LAPACK Users' Guide*, third ed. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- Arbenz, P., Hetmaniuk, U., Lehoucq, R., and Tuminaro, R. 2005. A comparison of eigensolvers for large-scale 3D modal analysis using AMG-preconditioned iterative methods. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng.* 64, 204–236.
- Arnoldi, W. E. 1951. The principle of minimized iterations in the solution of the matrix eigenvalue problem. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics 9*, 17–29.
- Baker, C. G., Hetmaniuk, U., Lehoucq, R. B., and Thornquist, H. K. Anasazi: Block eigensolver package. See http://software.sandia.gov/trilinos/packages/anasazi/index.html.
- Balay, S., Buschelman, K., Gropp, W. D., Kaushik, D., Knepley, M. G., McInnes, L. C., Smith, B. F., and Zhang, H. 2001. PETSc Web page. http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc.
- Bartlett, R., Boggs, P., Coffey, T., Heroux, M., Hoekstra, R., Howle, V., Long, K., Pawlowski, R., Phipps, E., Spotz, B., Thornquist, H., and Williams, A. Thyra: Interfaces for abstract numerical algorithms. See http://software.sandia.gov/trilinos/packages/thyra/.
- Blackford, L. S., Demmel, J., Dongarra, J., Duff, I., Hammarling, S., Henry, G., Heroux, M., Kaufman, L., Lumsdaine, A., Petitet, A., Pozo, R., Remington, K., and Whaley, R. C. 2002. An updated set of Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (BLAS). *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 28*, 2 (June), 135–151.
- Daniel, J., Gragg, W. B., Kaufman, L., and Stewart, G. W. 1976. Reorthogonalization and stable algorithms for updating the Gram-Schmidt QR factorization. *Mathematics of Computation* 30, 772–795.
- DAVIDSON, E. R. 1975. The iterative calculation of a few of the lowest eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of large real-symmetric matrices. *Journal of Computational Physics* 17, 87–94.
- Detlefs, D. 1992. Garbage collection and run-time typing as a C++ library. In C++ Conference. 37-56.
- Hernández, V., Román, J., Tomás, A., and Vidal, V. 2005. A survey of software for sparse eigenvalue problems. Tech. Rep. SLEPc Technical Report STR-6, Universidad Politecnica de Valencia. See http://www.grycap.upv.es/slepc.

- Hernández, V., Román, J., Tomás, A., and Vidal, V. 2006. SLEPc users manual: Scalable library for eigenvalue problem computations. Tech. Rep. DISC-II/24/02, Universidad Politecnica de Valencia. See http://www.grycap.upv.es/slepc.
- Heroux, M., Hoekstra, R., Sexton, P., Spotz, B., Willenbring, J., and Williams, A. Epetra: Linear algebra services package. See http://software.sandia.gov/trilinos/packages/epetra/.
- Heroux, M. A., Baker, C. G., Bartlett, R. A., Kampschoff, K., Long, K. R., Sexton, P. M., and Thornquist, H. K. Teuchos: The trilinos tools library. See http://software.sandia.gov/trilinos/packages/teuchos/.
- Heroux, M. A., Bartlett, R. A., Howle, V. E., Hoekstra, R. J., Hu, J. J., Kolda, T. G., Lehoucq, R. B., Long, K. R., Pawlowski, R. P., Phipps, E. T., Salinger, A. G., Thornquist, H. K., Tuminaro, R. S., Willenbring, J. M., Williams, A., and Stanley, K. S. 2005. An overview of the Trilinos project. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 31*, 3 (Sept.), 397–423.
- Hetmaniuk, U. and Lehoucq, R. 2006. Basis selection in LOBPCG. J. Comput. Phys. 218, 324–332.
- KNYAZEV, A. V. 2001. Toward the optimal preconditioned eigensolver: Locally optimal block preconditioned conjugate gradient method. SIAM J. Scientific Computing 23, 517–541.
- LANCZOS, C. 1950. An iteration method for the solution of the eigenvalue problem of linear differential and integral operators. J. Research of the National Bureau of Standards 45, 4 (October), 255–282. Research Paper 2133.
- LAWSON, C. L., HANSON, R. J., KINCAID, D. R., AND KROGH, F. T. 1979. Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms for Fortran usage. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 5, 3 (Sept.), 308–323.
- LEHOUCQ, R. B., SORENSEN, D. C., AND YANG, C. 1998. ARPACK Users' Guide: Solution of Large-Scale Eigenvalue Problems with Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi Methods. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA.
- MEYERS, N. C. 1995. Traits: A new and useful template technique. C++ Report 7, 32-35.
- MEYERS, S. 1996. More Effective C++. Addison-Wesley Professional Computing Series. Addison-Wesley.
- Musser, D. R. and Stepanov, A. A. 1994. Algorithm-oriented generic libraries. Software Practice and Experience 24, 7 (July), 623–642.
- SAAD, Y. 1992. Numerical Methods for Large Eigenvalue Problems. Halsted Press.
- Sala, M., Heroux, M. A., and Day, D. M. 2004. Trilinos Tutorial. Tech. Rep. SAND2004-2189, Sandia National Laboratories.
- SORENSEN, D. 2002. Numerical Methods for large eigenvalue problems. Vol. 2002. Cambridge University Press, 519–584.
- Sorensen, D. C. 1992. Implicit application of polynomial filters in a k-step Arnoldi method. SIAM J. Matrix Analysis and Applications 13, 357–385.
- Stathopoulos, A. and McCombs, J. R. 2006. PRIMME home page. See http://www.cs.wm.edu/~andreas/software/.
- Stathopoulos, A. and Wu, K. 2002. A block orthogonalization procedure with constant synchronization requirements. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 23, 2165–2182.
- Stewart, G. W. 2001a. A Krylov-Schur algorithm for large eigenproblems. SIAM J. Matrix Analysis and Applications 23, 601–614.
- Stewart, G. W. 2001b. Matrix Systems: Eigensystems. Vol. II. SIAM.
- VAN DER VORST, H. A. 2002. Computational methods for large eigenvalue problems. P. Ciarlet and J. Lions, Eds. Handbook of Numerical Analysis, vol. VIII. North-Holland (Elsevier), Amsterdam, 3—179.
- Vandevoorde, D. and Josuttis, N. M. 2002. C++ Templates. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc.