1.first fit

2017年10月12日 16:53

第一个代码非常简单

a, b先各malloc 512 256字节的空间, 然后往a里存 "this is A" 然后把a free掉, 然后申请一个比a小一点的空间c malloc (500) 这样, c肯定和a的地址是一样的 然后往c里存 this is C 然后读取c指针的字符串是this is C 读取a指针的字符串是this is C 说明这俩是重叠的。

值得注意的是这里malloc的空间都比较大,如果是fastbin这种大小,且a c的空间相差比较大,可能会不重叠?试验下吧,目前我不清楚,估计是不同的chunk 测试代码为first_fit_test1.c,验证了上述结论。将a的大小改为0x70,这样一来它的实际 size=0x80,出现在fastbin中。

c的大小为0x60,实际size为0x70。然后c和a就不会重叠,c会在b的下方再开一块内存。且 free c后也可以看见,c出现在了0x70的fastbin处,a在0x80的fastbin处。

```
pwndbg> bins
fastbins
0x20: 0x0
0x30: 0x0
0x40: 0x0
0x50: 0x0
0x60: 0x0
0x70: 0x55555557575a0 ← 0x0
0x80: 0x5555555757410 ← 0x0
unsortedbin
all: 0x0
smallbins
empty
largebins
empty
```

```
x/60gx 0x555555757410
0x555555757410: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000081
0x555555757420: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000002141
0x555555757430: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757440: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757450: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757460: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757470: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757480: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757490: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x0000000000000111
0x5555557574a0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557574b0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557574c0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557574d0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557574e0: 0x0000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557574f0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757500: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757510: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757520: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757530: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757540: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0×00000000000000000
0x555555757550: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757560: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757570: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757580: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x555555757590: 0x0000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557575a0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000071
0x5555557575b0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557575c0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557575d0: 0x0000000000000000
                                          0x00000000000000000
0x5555557575e0: 0x00000000000000000
                                          0x0000000000000000
```

测试代码first fit test2.c中, a和c都是malloc(0x70), 这时依然重叠。

```
pwndbg> p a
$1 = 0x603010 ""
pwndbg> p c
$2 = 0x6<u>0</u>3010 ""
```