

Chapter 2: The Guarded Command Language (Part 2)

Skip

- Execution of skip does not have any effect.

$\{P\}\text{skip}\{Q\}$ is equivalent to $[P \Rightarrow Q]$

- Example

```
[[  
  var  $x, y : \text{int};$   
   $\{x \geq 1\}$   
  skip  
   $\{x \geq 0\}$   
]]
```

- Weakest precondition: $wp.\text{skip}.Q \equiv Q$

Assignment

- Any change of state is due to the execution of an assignment statement.

$$x := E$$

replaces the value of x by the value of E .

$$\{P\}x := E\{Q\} \text{ is equivalent to } [P \Rightarrow \text{def}.E \wedge Q(x := E)]$$

Here $\text{def}.E$ is defined for which values of its variables in E is defined.

$$\text{def}.(a \bmod b) = b \neq 0$$

- Weakest precondition

$$[wp.(x := E).Q \equiv \text{def}.E \wedge Q(x := E)]$$

- Example

$$\{x \geq 3\}x := x + 1\{x \geq 0\}$$

follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{def.}(x + 1) \wedge (x \geq 0)(x := x + 1) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{def} \} \\
 & \text{true} \wedge (x \geq 0)(x := x + 1) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \wedge, \text{substitution} \} \\
 & x + 1 \geq 0 \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{arithmetic} \} \\
 & x \geq -1 \\
 \Leftarrow & \quad \{ \text{arithmetic} \} \\
 & x \geq 3
 \end{aligned}$$

Catenation

- Catenation allows us to describe sequence of actions.

$S;T$

S is executed after which T is executed.

$\{P\}S;T\{Q\}$ is equivalent to $\exists R. \{P\}S\{R\}$ and $\{R\}T\{Q\}$

- Weakest precondition

$$[wp.(S;T).Q \equiv wp.S.(wp.T.Q)]$$

i.e., semi-colon corresponds to function composition.

- Prove

$$\begin{array}{l} \llbracket \\ \mathbf{var} \ a, b : \mathbf{bool}; \\ \{(a \equiv A) \wedge (b \equiv B)\} \\ a := a \equiv b; \\ b := a \equiv b; \\ a := a \equiv b \\ \{(a \equiv B) \wedge (b \equiv A)\} \\ \rrbracket \end{array}$$

- ▶ Hint: Compute the weakest preconditions backwards.

Selection

$$\mathbf{if} \ B.0 \rightarrow S.0 \ \square \ \cdots \ \square \ B.n \rightarrow S.n \ \mathbf{fi}$$

where

- $B.i$: a boolean expression (a guard)
 - $S.i$: a statement
 - $B.i \rightarrow S.i$: a guarded command
1. All guards B_i are evaluated.
 2. If none of the guards evaluates to true then execution *aborts*, otherwise one of the guards that has the value true is chosen *non-deterministically* and the corresponding statement is executed.

An Example

Derive a statement S that satisfies

$$\begin{array}{l} \llbracket \\ \text{var } x, y, z : \text{int}; \\ \{\text{true}\} \\ S \\ \{z = x \text{ max } y\} \\ \rrbracket \end{array}$$

where **max** is defined by

$$z = x \text{ max } y \equiv (z = x \vee z = y) \wedge z \geq x \wedge z \geq y$$

We conclude that $z := x$ is a candidate for S . As a precondition we can have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((z = x \vee z = y) \wedge z \geq x \wedge z \geq y)(z := x) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{substitution} \} \\
 & (x = x \vee x = y) \wedge x \geq x \wedge x \geq y \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{calculus} \} \\
 & x \geq y
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$x \geq y \rightarrow z := x$$

Symmetrically,

$$y \geq x \rightarrow z := y$$

So, the definition of S is

$$\mathbf{if} \ x \geq y \rightarrow z := x \ \square \ y \geq x \rightarrow z := y \ \mathbf{fi}$$

Formulation of Selection Statement

$$\{P\}\mathbf{if} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{fi}\{Q\}$$

is equivalent to

1. $[P \rightarrow B_0 \vee B_1]$ and
2. $\{P \wedge B_0\}S_0\{Q\}$ and $\{P \wedge B_1\}S_1\{Q\}$

- Examples

- ▶ Prove $\{x = 0\}\mathbf{if} \ true \rightarrow x := x + 1 \ [] \ true \rightarrow x := x + 1 \ \mathbf{fi}\{x = 1\}$.
- ▶ Prove $\{x = 0\}\mathbf{if} \ true \rightarrow x := 1 \ [] \ true \rightarrow x := -1 \ \mathbf{fi}\{x = 1 \vee x = -1\}$.

Weakest Precondition for Selection

$$\begin{aligned} & [wp.(\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \text{fi}).Q \\ & \quad \equiv (B_0 \vee B_1) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad (B_0 \rightarrow wp.S_0.Q) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad (B_1 \rightarrow wp.S_1.Q) \\ &] \end{aligned}$$

Repetition

do $B.0 \rightarrow S.0$ [] \dots [] $B.n \rightarrow S.n$ **od**

1. All guards B_i are evaluated.
2. If none of the guards evaluates to true then execution *skip*, otherwise one of the guards that has the value true is chosen *non-deterministically* and the corresponding statement is executed, after which the repetition is executed again.

Formulation of Repetition Statement

$$\{P\}\mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od}\{Q\}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{l} \{P\} \\ \mathbf{if} \ (\neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{skip} \\ \quad [] \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0; \ \mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od} \\ \quad [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1; \ \mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od} \\ \mathbf{fi} \\ \{Q\} \end{array}$$

So

(i) $[P \wedge (\neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1) \Rightarrow Q]$ and

(ii) $\{P \wedge B_0\}S_0\{P\}$ and $\{P \wedge B_1\}S_1\{P\}$

implies

$$\{P\}\mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od}\{Q\}$$

provided that this repetition terminates.

Note: A predicate P that satisfies (ii) is called an **invariant** of $\mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ [] \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od}$.

An Example

Prove that

```
[[  
  var  $x, y$  : int;  
  { $x = X \wedge y = Y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0$ }  
  do  $x > y \rightarrow x := x - y$  []  $y > x \rightarrow y := y - x$  od  
  { $x = X \text{ gcd } Y$ }  
]]
```

Here, $X \text{ gcd } Y$ denotes the greatest common divisor of X and Y , and **gcd** has the following properties:

$$x \text{ gcd } x = x$$

$$x \text{ gcd } y = y \text{ gcd } x$$

$$x > y \Rightarrow x \text{ gcd } y = (x - y) \text{ gcd } y$$

$$y > x \Rightarrow x \text{ gcd } y = x \text{ gcd } (y - x)$$

The point is to define a suitable invariant P .

```
[[  
  var  $x, y$  : int;  
   $\{x = X \wedge y = Y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0\}$   
   $\{P\}$   
  do  $x > y \rightarrow x := x - y$  []  $y > x \rightarrow y := y - x$  od  
   $\{x = X \text{ gcd } Y\}$   
]]
```


Proof Sketch.

1. Define an invariant P as

$$P : x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \wedge x \text{ gcd } y = X \text{ gcd } Y$$

satisfying $x = X \wedge y = Y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \Rightarrow P$.

2. Prove:

- $P \wedge \neg(x > y) \wedge \neg(y > x) \Rightarrow x = X \text{ gcd } Y$
- $\{P \wedge (x > y)\}x := x - y\{P\}$
- $\{P \wedge (y > x)\}y := y - x\{P\}$

3. Show the termination of the repetition.

- Let $t = x + y$. $t \geq 0$ and t decreases in each step of repetition.
 - ▶ $P \wedge (x > y \vee y < x) \Rightarrow t \geq 0$
 - ▶ $\{P \wedge (x > y) \wedge t = C\} x := x - y \{t < C\}$
 - ▶ $\{P \wedge (y > x) \wedge t = C\} y := y - x \{t < C\}$

Constants

The following is not satisfactory:

```
[[  
  var  $A, B, x : int$ ;  
   $\{A > 0 \wedge B > 0\}$   
     $gcd$   
   $\{x = A \ gcd \ B\}$   
]]
```

as $A, B, x := 1, 1, 1$ is a possible solution.

Constant should not be changed.

```
[[  
  con  $A, B : int$ ;  
  var  $x : int$ ;  
   $\{A > 0 \wedge B > 0\}$   
     $gcd$   
   $\{x = A \ gcd \ B\}$   
]]
```

Inner Blocks

```
[[  
  con  $A, B : int; \{A > 0 \wedge B > 0\}$   
  var  $x : int;$   
    [[  
      var  $y : int$   
       $x, y := A, B;$   
      do  
         $x > 0 \rightarrow x := x - y$   
         $[[y > x \rightarrow y := y - x$   
      od  
       $\{x = A \text{ gcd } B \wedge y = A \text{ gcd } B\}$   
    ]]  
     $\{x = A \text{ gcd } B\}$   
  ]]
```

Used to extend the state (locally) by means of new variables.

$$\{P\} | [\mathbf{var} \ y ; S] | \{Q\}$$

is equivalent to

$$\{P\} S \{Q\}$$

provided that y does not occur in both P and Q .

Arrays

Arrays are used to represent a set of variables.

$f : \mathbf{array} [p..q) \mathbf{of} \mathit{int}$

defines a program variable f which has as value a function:

$$[p..q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}.$$

Exercises

2.1 Prove

$[[$
 var $x, y : int;$
 $\{x = A \wedge y = B\}$
 $x := x - y; \ y := x + y; \ x := y - x$
 $\{x = B \wedge y = A\}$
 $]] \cdot$

2.2 Determine the weakest P such that

```
[[  
  var  $x : int$ ;  
   $\{P\}$   
   $x := x + 1$ ;  
  if  $x > 0 \rightarrow x := x - 1$   
     $\square x < 0 \rightarrow x := x + 2$   
     $\square x = 1 \rightarrow skip$   
  fi  
   $\{x \geq 1\}$   
]].
```

2.3 Prove the correctness of the following program.

```
[[  
  var  $x, y, z : int$ ;  
  { $true$ }  
  do  $x < y \rightarrow x := x + 1$   
    [ $y < z \rightarrow y := y + 1$   
    [ $z < x \rightarrow z := z + 1$   
  od  
  { $x = y = z$ }  
]]
```

2.4 The following problem may be used to compute (non-deterministically) natural numbers x and y such that $x * y = N$. Prove:

```
[[  
  var  $p, x, y, N$  : int;  
  { $N \geq 1$ }  
   $p, x, y := N - 1, 1, 1$ ;  
  { $N = x * y + p$ }  
  do  $p \neq 0$   
    → if  $p \bmod x = 0 \rightarrow p, y := p - x, y + 1$   
       []  $p \bmod y = 0 \rightarrow x, p := x + 1, p - y$   
    fi  
  od  
  { $x * y = N$ }  
]].
```

2.5 Prove

```
[[  
  con  $N : int \{N \geq 0\}$ ;  
   $f : \text{array } [0..N) \text{ of } int$ ;  
  var  $b : bool$ ;  
  [[  
    var  $n : int$ ;  
     $b, n := false, 0$ ;  
    do  $n \neq N \rightarrow b := b \vee f.n = 0; n := n + 1$  od  
  ]]  
   $\{b \equiv (\exists i : 0 \leq i < N : f.i = 0)\}$   
]].
```