Chapter 10
Frog Inspired Algorithms

Abstract In this chapter, we present two frog inspired computational intelligence (CI) algorithms, namely, shuffled frog leaping algorithm (SFLA) and frog calling algorithm (FCA). We first provide a brief introduction in Sect. 10.1. Then, the fundamentals and performance of SFLA are introduced in Sect. 10.2. Next, Sect. 10.3 outlines some core working principles and preliminary experimental studies relative to FCA. Finally, Sect. 10.4 summarises this chapter.

10.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we will introduce two computational intelligence (CI) algorithms that are inspired by some interesting behaviours exhibited by frogs (Wang et al. 2008; Mills et al. 2010; Rock et al. 2009; Reilly and Jorgensen 2011). These two algorithms are called shuffled frog leaping algorithm (SFLA) and frog calling algorithm (FCA), respectively.

10.2 Shuffled Frog Leaping Algorithm

10.2.1 Fundamentals of Shuffled Frog Leaping Algorithm

Shuffled frog leaping algorithm (SFLA) was recently proposed in (Eusuff and Lansey 2003; Eusuff et al. 2006; Eusuff 2004) for solving problems with discrete decision variables. Inspired by natural memetics, SFLA is a population-based cooperative search metaphor combining the benefits of the genetic-based memetic algorithm (MA) and the social behaviour based particle swarm optimization (PSO). Such algorithms have been developed to arrive at near-optimum solutions to complex and large-scale optimization problems which cannot be solved by gradient-based mathematical programming techniques.

B. Xing and W.-J. Gao, *Innovative Computational Intelligence: A Rough Guide to 134 Clever Algorithms*, Intelligent Systems Reference Library 62,
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-03404-1_10, © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

In SFLA, a population of randomly generated P solutions forms an initial population, where each solution called a frog is represented by an n-dimensional vector. SFLA starts with the whole population partitioned into a number of parallel subsets referred to as memeplexes. Then each memeplex is considered as a different culture of frogs and permitted to evolve independently to search the space. Within each memeplex, the individual frogs hold their own ideas, which can be affected by the ideas of other frogs, and experience a memetic evolution. During the evolution, the frogs may change their memes by using the information from the memeplex best or the best individual of entire population. Incremental changes in memo-types correspond to a leaping step size and the new meme corresponds to the frog's new position. In each cycle, only the frog with the worst fitness in the current memeplex is improved by a process similar to PSO.

In order to implement SFLA, the following procedures need to be followed (Eusuff and Lansey 2003; Eusuff et al. 2006; Eusuff 2004):

- Step 0: Setting $im = 0$ and $iN = 0$, where the number of memeplexes will be counted by im, and the number of evolutionary steps is recorded by iN.
- Step 1: Setting $im = im + 1$.
- Step 2: Setting $iN = iN + 1$.
- Step 3: Constructing a submemeplex. The weights are allocated based on a triangular probability distribution which is defined by Eq. 10.1 (Eusuff and Lansey 2003):

$$p_j = \frac{2(n + 1 - j)}{n(n + 1)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{10.1}$$

- Step 4: Improving the worst frog's location. In SFLA, the new position can be computed through Eq. 10.2 (Eusuff and Lansey 2003):

$$D_{(iq=q)} = D_W + d. \tag{10.2}$$

If $D_{(iq=q)}$ falls within the feasible space, then computing the new performance value $f_{(iq=q)}$; otherwise going to Step 5. If the new $f_{(iq=q)}$ is better than the previous $f_{(iq=q)}$, then replacing the old $D_{(iq=q)}$ with the new one and jumping to Step 7; otherwise, going to Step 5.

- Step 5: If previous step (i.e., Step 4) could not generate a better solution, then computing the step and the new position for frog based on the present global optimal solution.
- Step 6: Censorship. If the frog's new location is either unsuitable or no good than the old one, the spread of defective meme is terminated by stochastically generating a new frog at a suitable position to replace the frog whose new position was not possible to move towards an optimum value.
- Step 7: Upgrading the memeplex.
- Step 8: If $iN < N$, returning to Step 2.

- Step 9: If $im < m$, returning to Step 1; otherwise performing shuffling operation to create new memeplex sets.

10.2.2 Performance of SFLA

To verify the efficacy of SFLA, the New York City Water Supply Tunnel System case study was employed in (Eusuff and Lansey 2003). The simulation results showed that SFLA was capable to find previous best solutions for two example networks and a near optimal solution for the third case. In comparison with other CI techniques (e.g., genetic algorithm (GA), simulated annealing (SA), etc.), the SFLA converged within fewer iteration rounds which make it a versatile tool in dealing with optimization problems.

10.3 Emerging Frog Inspired Algorithm

In addition to the aforementioned SFLA, the characteristics of this interesting animal also motivate researchers to develop another frog inspired innovative CI algorithm.

10.3.1 Frog Calling Algorithm

10.3.1.1 Fundamentals of Frog Calling Algorithm

Frog calling algorithm (FCA) was originally proposed in (Mutazono et al. 2012) for dealing with power consumption issue in the context of wireless sensor networks. Inspired by Japanese tree frog calling (or satellite) behaviour, a self-organizing scheduling scheme was presented in (Mutazono et al. 2012) to achieve a energy-efficient data transmission. To fully utilize the FCA, the following three factors have to be considered (Mutazono et al. 2012):

- Factor 1: Territory. A frog will first check that if there is any calling frog in its own territory range, and then it will confirm that if the total number of calling frogs existing in the paddy field is still within an acceptable range. Once it is done with these, it will decide to produce calls or not.
- Factor 2: Number of competing frogs. A frog will evaluate its surroundings and compare itself with other calling frogs according to some criteria. If the probability for the frog to win is high, it will begin to call anyway.
- Factor 3: Body size. Once the weak calling frog detects its current condition, it will adopt sleep strategy to avoid competition.

10.3.1.2 Performance of FCA

Mutazono et al. (2012) tested the proposed FCA on a single-hop network. The preliminary computer simulation results demonstrated that proposed FCA method extends network lifetime by a factor of 6.7 in comparison with the method without sleep control strategy for a coverage ratio of 80 %.

10.4 Conclusions

In this chapter, we presented two frog inspired CI algorithms, namely, SFLA and FCA. Although they both are newly introduced CI methods, we have witnessed the following rapid spreading of at least one of them, i.e., SFLA.

First, several enhanced versions of SFLA can be found in the literature as outlined below:

- Binary SFLA (Gómez-González and Jurado 2011).
- Chaos-based SFLA (Li et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2011).
- Clonal selection-based SFLA (Bhaduri 2009).
- Composite SFLA (Zhang et al. 2010).
- Discrete SFLA (Baghmisheh et al. 2011).
- Hybrid SFLA (Rahimi-Vahed and Mirzaei 2007; Rao and Lakshmi 2012; Niknam and Farsani 2010; Luo et al. 2009a; Farahani et al. 2010; Khorsandi et al. 2011; Niknam et al. 2012b).
- Improved SFLA (Malekpour et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2008; Zhen et al. 2009; Jahani et al. 2011c; Li et al. 2012b).
- Modified SFLA (Huynh 2008; Nejad et al. 2010a, b; Narimani 2011; Elbeltagi et al. 2007; Jahani et al. 2010, 2011a ; Niknam et al. 2011b, c; Luo et al. 2009b; Roy and Chakrabarti 2011; Pu et al. 2011; Ahandani et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2012).
- Multiobjective SFLA (Rahimi-Vahed et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2011a, 2012; Wang and Gong 2013; Li et al. 2010; Niknam et al. 2011a).
- Tribe-based SFLA (Niknam et al. 2012a).

Second, the SFLA has also been successfully applied to a variety of optimization problems as listed below:

- Bridge life cycle management (Elbehairy 2007; Elbehairy et al. 2006).
- Circuit design (Zhu and Zhi 2012).
- Controller design optimization (Huynh 2008).
- Data mining (Amiri et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2011a, 2012).
- Image processing (Bhaduri 2009; Wang et al. 2010).
- Laminate composite structures optimization (Rao and Lakshmi 2011).
- Manufacturing optimization (Rahimi-Vahed and Mirzaei 2007; Pakravesh and Shojaei 2011).

- Network virtualization (Liu et al. 2011b).
- Power system optimization (Rameshkhah et al. 2010a, b, 2011; Nejad et al. 2010a; Gómez-González and Jurado 2011; Narimani 2011; Sedighizadeh et al. 2011; Payam et al. 2011; Bijami et al. 2011; Jahani et al. 2010, Jahani et al. 2011a, b; Jalilzadeh et al. 2011; Ebrahimi et al. 2011; Yammani et al. 2012; Malekpour et al. 2012; Niknam and Farsani 2010; Nejad et al. 2010b; Niknam et al. 2011a, b, c, 2012b; Khorsandi et al. 2011; Roy and Chakrabarti 2011).
- Project management (Elbeltagi et al. 2007).
- Robot control (Pu et al. 2011).
- Scheduling optimization (Rahimi-Vahed et al. 2009; Tavakolan 2011; Rahimi-Vahed and Mirzaei 2008; Pan et al. 2011; Fang and Wang 2012; Li et al. 2012a; Wang and Fang 2011).
- Travelling salesman problem (Xue-Hui et al. 2008; Luo et al. 2009b).
- Water resource management (Eusuff and Lansey 2003; Eusuff 2004; Mora-Meliá et al. 2010; Chung 2007; Chung and Lansey 2009; Pasha and Lansey 2009; Seifollahi-Aghmiuni et al. 2011; Li et al. 2010).

Interested readers are referred to them as a starting point for a further exploration and exploitation of frog inspired algorithms.

References

Ahandani, M. A., Shirjoposh, N. P., & Banimahd, R. (2011). Three modified versions of differential evolution algorithm for continuous optimization. *Soft Computing, 15*, 803–830.

Amiri, B., Fathian, M., & Maroosi, A. (2009). Application of shuffled frog-leaping algorithm on clustering. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 45*, 199–209.

Baghmisheh, M. T. V., Madani, K., & Navarbaf, A. (2011). A discrete shuffled frog optimization algorithm. *Artificial Intelligence Review, 36*, 267–284.

Bhaduri, A. (2009). Color image segmentation using clonal selection-based shuffled frog leaping algorithm. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advances in Recent Technologies in Communication and Computing (ARTCom)*. (pp. 517–520). IEEE.

Bijami, E., Abshari, R., Askari, J., Hosseinnia, S., & Farsangi, M. M. (2011). Optimal design of damping controllers for multi-machine power systems using metaheuristic techniques. *International Review of Electrical Engineering, 6*, 1883–1894.

Chung, G. (2007). *Water supply system management design and optimization under uncertainty*. PhD Thesis, University of Arizona.

Chung, G., & Lansey, K. (2009). Application of the shuffled frog leaping algorithm for the optimization of a general large-scale water supply system. *Water Resources Management, 23*, 797–823.

Ebrahimi, J., Hosseinian, S. H., & Gharehpetian, G. B. (2011). Unit commitment problem solution using shuffled frog leaping algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 26*, 573–581.

Elbehairy, H., Elbeltagi, E., Hegazy, T., & Soudki, K. (2006). Comparison of two evolutionary algorithms for optimization of bridge deck repairs. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 21*, 561–572.

Elbehairy, H. (2007). *Ridge management system with integrated life cycle cost optimization*. PhD Thesis, University of Waterloo.

Elbeltagi, E., Hegazy, T., & Grierson, D. (2007). A modified shuffled frog-leaping optimization algorithm: Applications to project management. *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering, 3*, 53–60.

Eusuff, M. M., & Lansey, K. E. (2003). Optimization of water distribution network design using the shuffled frog leaping algorithm. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 129*, 210–225.

Eusuff, M. M. (2004). *Water resources decision making using meta-heuristic optimization methods*. PhD Thesis, University of Arizona.

Eusuff, M., Lansey, K., & Pasha, F. (2006). Shuffled frog-leaping algorithm: A memetic meta-heuristic for discrete optimization. *Engineering Optimization, 38*, 129–154.

Fang, C., & Wang, L. (2012). An effective shuffled frog-leaping algorithm for resource-constrained project scheduling problem. *Computers and Operations Research, 39*, 890–901.

Farahani, M., Movahhed, S. B. & Ghaderi, S. F. (2010, September 6–9). A hybrid meta-heuristic optimization algorithm based on SFLA. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Engineering Optimization*. (pp. 1–8). Lisbon, Portugal.

Gómez-González, M., & Jurado, F. (2011). A binary shuffled frog-leaping algorithm for the optimal placement and sizing of photovoltaics grid-connected systems. *International Review of Electrical Engineering, 6*, 452–458.

Huynh, T.-H. (2008). A modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm for optimal tuning of multivariable PID controllers. In *IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*. (pp. 1–6). doi:10.1109/ICIT.2008.4608439.

Jahani, R., Nejad, H. C., Malekshah, A. S., & Shayanfar, H. A. (2010). A new advanced heuristic method for optimal placement of unified power flow controllers in electrical power systems. *International Review of Electrical Engineering, 5*, 2786–2794.

Jahani, R., Malekshah, A. S., Nejad, H. C., & Araskalaei, A. H. (2011a). Applying a new advanced intelligent algorithm for optimal distributed generation location and sizing in radial distribution systems. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5*, 642–649.

Jahani, R., Nejad, H. C., Araskalaei, A. H., & Hajinasiri, M. (2011b). Optimal DG allocation in distribution network using a new heuristic method. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5*, 635–641.

Jahani, R., Nejad, H. C., Khayat, O., Abadi, M. M., & Zadeh, H. G. (2011c). An improved shuffled frog leaping algorithm approach for unit commitment problem. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5*, 1379–1387.

Jalilzadeh, S., Noroozian, R., Sabouri, M., & Behzadpoor, S. (2011). PSS and SVC controller design using chaos, PSO and SFL algorithms to enhancing the power system stability. *Energy and Power Engineering, 3*, 87–95.

Khorsandi, A., Alimardani, A., Vahidi, B., & Hosseinian, S. H. (2011). Hybrid shuffled frog leaping algorithm and Nelder—Mead simplex search for optimal reactive power dispatch. *IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution, 5*, 249–256.

Li, Y., Zhou, J., Yang, J., Liu, L., Qin, H. & Yang, L. (2008). The chaos-based shuffled frog leaping algorithm and its application. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Natural Computation (ICNC)*. (pp. 481–485). doi:10.1109/ICNC.2008.242.

Li, Y., Zhou, J., Zhang, Y., Qin, H., & Liu, L. (2010). Novel multiobjective shuffled frog leaping algorithm with application to reservoir flood control operation. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 136*, 217–226.

Li, J., Pan, Q., & Xie, S. (2012a). An effective shuffled frog-leaping algorithm for multi-objective flexible job shop scheduling problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation, 218*, 9353–9371.

Li, X., Luo, J., Chen, M.-R., & Wang, N. (2012b). An improved shuffled frog-leaping algorithm with extremal optimisation for continuous optimisation. *Information Sciences, 192*, 143–151.

Liu, J., Li, Z., Hu, X. & Chen, Y. (2011a). Multiobjective optimizaiton shuffled frog-leaping biclustering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine Workshop (BIBMW)*.

Liu, W., Li, S., Xiang, Y., & Tang, X. (2011b). Virtual network embedding based on shuffled frog leaping algorithm in TUNIE. *International Journal of Advancements in Computing Technology, 3*, 402–409.

Liu, J., Li, Z., Hu, X., Chen, Y., & Liu, F. (2012). Multi-objective dynamic population shuffled frog-leaping biclustering of microarray data. *BMC Genomics, 13*, 1–11.

Luo, J., Chen, M.-R. & Li, X. (2009a). A novel hybrid algorithm for global optimization based on EO and SFLA. In *Proceedings of the 4th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA)*. (pp. 1935–1939). IEEE.

Luo, X.-H., Yang, Y., & Li, X. (2009b). Modified shuffled frog-leaping algorithm to solve traveling salesman problem (in Chinese). *Journal on Communications, 30*, 130–135.

Malekpour, A. R., Tabatabaei, S., & Niknam, T. (2012). Probabilistic approach to multi-objective Volt/Var control of distribution system considering hybrid fuel cell and wind energy sources using improved shuffled frog leaping algorithm. *Renewable Energy, 39*, 228–240.

Mills, D. S., Marchant-Forde, J. N., McGreevy, P. D., Morton, D. B., Nicol, C. J., Phillips, C. J. C., et al. (Eds.). (2010). *The encyclopedia of applied animal behaviour and welfare*. UK: CAB International. ISBN 978-0-85199-724-7.

Mora-Meliá, D., Iglesias-Rey, P. L., Bosque-Chacón, G. & López-Jiménez, P. A. (2010, October 29–30) *Statistical analysis of water distribution networks design using shuffled frog leaping algorithm. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Environmental Hydraulics*, IWEH09. (pp. 327–331). Valencia, Spain.

Mutazono, A., Sugano, M., & Murata, M. (2012). Energy efficient self-organizing control for wireless sensor networks inspired by calling behavior of frogs. *Computer Communications, 35*, 661–669.

Narimani, M. R. (2011). A new modified shuffle frog leaping algorithm for non-smooth economic dispatch. *World Applied Sciences Journal, 12*, 803–814.

Nejad, H. C., Jahani, R. & Shayanfar, H. A. (2010a). Comparison of modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm and other algorithms for optimal distributed generation location and sizing. *International Review of Electrical Engineering* (*I.R.E.E.*), *5*, 2286–2292.

Nejad, H. C., Jahani, R., Shayanfar, H. A., & Olamaei, J. (2010b). Comparison of novel heuristic technique and other evolutionary methods for optimal unit commitment of power system. *International Review on Modelling and Simulations, 3*, 1476–1482.

Niknam, T., & Farsani, E. A. (2010). A hybrid self-adaptive particle swarm optimization and modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm for distribution feeder reconfiguration. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 23*, 1340–1349.

Niknam, T., Farsani, E. A., & Nayeripour, M. (2011a). An efficient multi-objective modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm for distribution feeder reconfiguration problem. *European Transactions on Electrical Power, 21*, 721–739.

Niknam, T., Firouzi, B. B., & Mojarrad, H. D. (2011b). A new evolutionary algorithm for non-linear economic dispatch. *Expert Systems with Applications, 38*, 13301–13309.

Niknam, T., Narimani, M. R., Jabbari, M., & Malekpour, A. R. (2011c). A modified shuffle frog leaping algorithm for multi-objective optimal power flow. *Energy, 36*, 6420–6432.

Niknam, T., Farsani, E. A., Nayeripour, M., & Firouzi, B. B. (2012a). A new tribe modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm for multi-objective distribution feeder reconfiguration considering distributed generator units. *European Transactions on Electrical Power, 22*, 308–333.

Niknam, T., Narimani, M. R., & Azizipanah-Abarghooee, R. (2012b). A new hybrid algorithm for optimal power flow considering prohibited zones and valve point effect. *Energy Conversion and Management, 58*, 197–206.

Pakravesh, H., & Shojaei, A. (2011). Optimization of industrial CSTR for vinyl acetate polymerization using novel shuffled frog leaping based hybrid algorithms and dynamic modeling. *Computers and Chemical Engineering, 35*, 2351–2365.

Pan, Q.-K., Wang, L., Gao, L., & Li, J. (2011). An effective shuffled frog-leaping algorithm for lot-streaming flow shop scheduling problem. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 52*, 699–713.

Pasha, M. F. K., & Lansey, K. (2009). Water quality parameter estimation for water distribution systems. *Civil Engineering and Environmental Systems, 26*, 231–248.

Payam, M. S., Bijami, E., Abdollahi, M., & Dehkordi, A. S. (2011). Optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relay for power delivery system. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5*, 1949–1957.

Pu, H., Zhen, Z., & Wang, D. (2011). Modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm for optimization of UAV flight controller. *International Journal of Intelligent Computing and Cybernetics, 4*, 25–39.

Rahimi-Vahed, A., & Mirzaei, A. H. (2007). A hybrid multi-objective shuffled frog-leaping algorithm for a mixed-model assembly line sequencing problem. *Computers and Industrial Engineering, 53*, 642–666.

Rahimi-Vahed, A., & Mirzaei, A. H. (2008). Solving a bi-criteria permutation flow-shop problem using shuffled frog-leaping algorithm. *Soft Computing, 12*, 435–452.

Rahimi-Vahed, A., Dangchi, M., Rafiei, H., & Salimi, E. (2009). A novel hybrid multi-objective shuffled frog-leaping algorithm for a bi-criteria permutation flow shop scheduling problem. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 41*, 1227–1239.

Rameshkhah, F., Abedi, M. & Hosseinian, H. (2010a). Comparison and combination of shuffled frog-leaping algorithm and k-means for clustering of VCAs in power system. *International Review of Electrical Engineering (I.R.E.E.),* 5, 194–204.

Rameshkhah, F., Abedi, M., & Hosseinian, S. H. (2010b). Clustering of voltage control areas in power system using shuffled frog-leaping algorithm. *Electrical Engineering, 92*, 269–282.

Rameshkhah, F., Abedi, M., & Hosseinian, S. H. (2011). Comparison of shuffled frog leaping algorithm and PSO in data clustering with constraint for grouping voltage control areas in power systems. *European Transactions on Electrical Power, 21*, 1763–1782.

Rao, A. R. M. & Lakshmi, K. (2012). Optimal design of stiffened laminate composite cylinder using a hybrid SFL algorithm. *Journal of Composite Materials*. doi:10.1177/0021998311435674.

Reilly, S. M., & Jorgensen, M. E. (2011). The evolution of jumping in frogs: Morphological evidence for the basal anuran locomotor condition and the radiation of locomotor systems in crown group anurans. *Journal of Morphology, 272*, 149–168.

Rock, M., Murphy, J. T., Rasiah, R., Seters, P. V., & Managi, S. (2009). A hard slog, not a leap frog: globalization and sustainability transitions in developing Asia. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 76*, 241–254.

Roy, P., & Chakrabarti, A. (2011). Modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm for solving economic load dispatch problem. *Energy and Power Engineering, 3*, 551–556.

Sedighizadeh, M., Sarvi, M., & Naderi, E. (2011). Multi objective optimal power flow with FACTS devices using shuffled frog leaping algorithm. *International Review of Electrical Engineering, 6*, 1794–1801.

Seifollahi-Aghmiuni, S., Haddad, O. B., Omid, M. H., & Mariño, M. A. (2011). Long-term efficiency of water networks with demand uncertainty. *Water Management, 164*, 147–159.

Tavakolan, M. (2011). *Development of construction projects scheduling with evolutionary algorithms.* PhD Thesis, Columbia University.

Wang, L., & Fang, C. (2011). An effective shuffled frog-leaping algorithm for multi-mode resource-constrained project scheduling problem. *Information Sciences, 181*, 4804–4822.

Wang, L. & Gong, Y. (2013). Multi-objective dynamic population shuffled frog leaping algorithm. In: Y. Tan, Y. Shi, & H. Mo (Eds.) *Advances in swarm intelligence, LNCS 7982*, (pp. 24–31). Berlin: Springer.

Wang, M., Zang, X.-Z., Fan, J.-Z., & Zhao, J. (2008). Biological jumping mechanism analysis and modeling for frog robot. *Journal of Bionic Engineering, 5*, 181–188.

Wang, N., Li, X., & Chen, X.-H. (2010). Fast three-dimensional Otsu thresholding with shuffled frog-leaping algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters, 31*, 1809–1815.

Xue-Hui, L., Yang, Y. & Li, X. (2008). Solving TSP with shuffled frog-leaping algorithm. In *Eighth international conference on intelligent systems design and applications (ISDA)*. (pp. 228–232). doi:10.1109/ISDA.2008.346.

Yammani, C., Maheswarapu, S., & Matam, S. (2012). Multiobjective optimization for optimal placement and size of DG using shuffled frog leaping algorithm. *Energy Procedia, 14*, 990–995.

Zhang, X., Hu, F., Tang, J., Zou, C. & Zhao, L. (2010). A kind of composite shuffled frog leaping algorithm. In *Sixth international conference on natural computation (ICNC)*. (vol. 5, pp. 2232–2235). doi:10.1109/ICNC.2010.5584419.

Zhang, X., Hu, F., Zou, C., & Zhao, L. (2011). The research of swarm intelligence algorithm based on chaotic frog behavior. *Energy Procedia, 13*, 1189–1196.

Zhang, X., Hu, X., Cui, G., Wang, Y., & Niu, Y. (2008, June 25–27). An improved shuffled frog leaping algorithm with cognitive behavior. In *The 7th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation (WCICA)*. Chongqing, China. (pp. 6197–6202). doi:10.1109/WCICA.2008.4592798.

Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Zhao, L., & Zou, C. (2012). Power control algorithm in cognitive radio system based on modified shuffled frog leaping algorithm. *International Journal of Electronics and Communications, 66*, 448–454.

Zhen, Z., Wang, D. & Liu, Y. (2009, May 18–21). Improved shuffled frog leaping algorithm for continuous optimization problem. IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation. (pp. 992–2995). Trondheim, Norway.

Zhu, A., & Zhi, L. (2012). Automatic test pattern generation based on shuffled frog leaping algorithm for sequential circuits. *Procedia Engineering, 29*, 856–860.