HSR HOCHSCHULE FÜR TECHNIK RAPPERSWIL

FHO Fachhochschule Ostschweiz

[Graphs-Visualization-Service]

Studienarbeit

Eingereicht zur Teilerfüllung der Voraussetzungen für den Bachelor of Science in Informatik an der HSR

Autoren

[Michael Wieland]

mwieland@hsr.ch

[Murièle Trentini]

mtrentin@hsr.ch

Betreuer

[Thomas Letsch]

Dozent für Informatik an der Hochschule für Technik Rapperswil

Auditor

[Name]

Zeitraum: 18.09.2017 - 22.12.2017

Abstract

Management Summary

Motivation

Ziele

Ergebnisse

Ausblick

Danksagungen

Wir danken folgenden Personen für Ihre Unterstützung während der Studienarbeit:

- Thomas Letsch für die Betreuung unserer Studienarbeit.
- Jessica Martin für die technische Unterstützung beim Logo Design.

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Abbildungsverzeichnis

Tabellenverzeichnis

Kapitel 1

[Anforderungsanalyse]

1.1 [Ausgangslage]

1.2 [Mehrwert]

1.3 [Aufgabenstellung]

1.4 [User Stories]

1.5 [Use Cases]

1.5.1 Brief

1.5.2 Fully Dressed

1.6 [Domainanalyse]

Kapitel 2

[Realisierung]

2.1 [Architektur]

2.2 [UI Design]

2.2.1 Logo

Das Logo wurde von den Eigenschaften des Kraken [2] inspiriert. Kraken sind bekannt dafür, dass sie viele Irrgarten-Probleme effizient lösen können. Dies ist eine Anspielung an die Algorithmen, die vom Graphs-Visualization-Service (GVS) unterstützt werden. Ebenfalls wurden die Saugnäpfe des Kraken als Graph visualisiert und auf der Stirn ist ein binärer Baum zu erkennen.

Abbildung 2.1 – Graphs-Visualization-Service Logo

2.2.2 Konzept

2.2.3 Icons

2.2.4 Farben

2.2.5 Wireframes

2.3 [Testing]

Kapitel 3

[Projektmanagement]

3.1 [Projektorganisation]

3.2 [Meilensteine]

3.3 [Zeitmanagement]

3.4 [Risikomanagement]

3.4.1 Backups

Zur Minimierung von allfälligen Datenverlusten wird wie folgt vorgegangen:

1. Töglich automatisierted Backup aller Daten im JIRA [1] (Zeiterfassung, erstellte Issues, ...)
2. Für jeglichen Code werden die vier Github Repositories der Organisation [**github**] verwendet. Bearbeiteter Code soll mindestens täglich commited werden.
3. Für jegliche andere Dokumente wird eine Dropbox [**dropbox**] verwendet.

Kapitel 4

[Examples]

4.1 [Section Title]

[...] Between sections and subsections, you may want to add at least three or four sentences (or more), instead of leaving it blank.

4.1.1 [Subsection Title]

[...] In the next subsection, there is some sample text with figures and tables. [...]

4.1.2 Pricing Errors

[...] we present the root mean squared pricing errors (RMSEs) and the mean pricing errors (MPEs) on caps and swaptions implied volatilities, defined as the difference in percentage points between the model-implied values and the market-implied volatility quotes. Overall, we find that, for intermediate and long maturities, our model performs remarkably well. The cap pricing errors in Table 4.1 indicate that the model's performance suffers mostly at the short end of option maturities, especially for the one-year maturity. Short maturity contracts are underpriced by the model. However, the pricing performance considerably improves with increasing maturity. For longer maturities, a tendency exists to underprice out-of-the money and overprice in-the-money contracts.

For the ATM swaptions implied volatilities in Table 4.2, we observe a similar pattern. The model

Tabelle 4.1 – Pricing errors for the caps market.
Reported are sample averages of the root mean squared errors (RMSEs) and mean pricing errors (MPEs) for caps implied volatilities, defined as the difference in percentage points between the model-implied values and the market-implied volatility quotes. Each row represents one cap maturity, and columns represent the moneyness of the cap.

	RMSE					MPE				
Maturity	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.10	1.20	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.10	1.20
One year	16.86	18.62	20.15	20.30	22.03	-10.73	-11.08	-11.95	-12.32	-15.96
Two years	12.26	11.71	9.79	9.57	9.85	-8.64	-8.77	-6.99	-6.17	-7.01
Three years	8.78	6.75	4.75	4.19	4.02	-6.67	-5.15	-3.44	-2.52	-2.59
Four years	6.47	4.29	2.25	1.66	2.03	-4.81	-2.98	-1.40	-0.48	-0.06
Five years	4.98	2.94	1.35	1.26	2.18	-3.41	-1.67	-0.30	0.56	1.29
Six years	4.28	2.36	1.41	1.68	2.50	-2.63	-0.92	0.31	1.11	1.97
Seven years	3.91	2.16	1.71	2.08	2.71	-2.07	-0.38	0.74	1.48	2.25
Eight years	3.63	2.07	1.89	2.27	2.80	-1.71	-0.07	0.95	1.63	2.33
Nine years	3.48	2.09	2.04	2.42	2.88	-1.35	0.20	1.15	1.79	2.41
Ten years	3.37	2.15	2.18	2.54	2.95	-1.06	0.42	1.31	1.91	2.47

struggles mostly for short option maturities and short swaption tenors, an observation that also holds true for the non-ATM swaptions. However, across moneyness no clear pattern emerges in terms of over- and underpricing as is the case for in-the-money and out-of-the-money caps.

The substantially higher pricing errors for the caps and swaptions market at shorter maturities call for further investigation. Ultimately, the caps and swaptions markets must be closely connected, as they both originate from derivatives written on the forward LIBOR. However, during periods of extreme market turmoil, the two markets might exhibit different behaviors due to differences in how the uncertainty regarding the intensified liquidity situation in the interbank market propagates through the caps and swaptions markets. Therefore, we next analyze the behavior of the pricing errors across time to see whether the caps and swaptions market become disintegrated or whether they suffer from the same deficiencies.

[Just added some additional arbitrary text here...] Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla

Tabelle 4.2 – Pricing errors for at-the-money (ATM) swaptions.
Reported are sample averages of the root mean squared errors (RMSEs) and mean pricing errors (MPEs) for ATM swaptions implied volatilities, defined as the difference in percentage points between the model-implied values and the market-implied volatility quotes. Each row represents one swaption maturity, and each column represents one swap tenor.

	RMSE							MPE						
	Swap tenor							Swap tenor						
Option maturity	One year	Two years	Three years	Four years	Five years	Seven years	Ten years	One year	Two years	Three years	Four years	Five years	Seven years	Ten years
Three months	21.16	9.66	4.46	4.82	5.94	8.30	10.32	-14.13	-7.24	-1.97	0.72	1.77	5.09	7.38
Six months	19.53	7.93	2.58	2.60	3.78	6.17	7.89	-13.67	-6.05	-1.45	0.90	1.93	4.35	6.10
One year	12.72	5.27	1.74	1.52	2.27	3.82	4.95	-8.81	-3.21	-0.43	0.86	1.48	2.83	3.84
Two years	4.20	2.20	1.49	1.31	1.36	1.61	2.04	-1.03	0.15	0.61	0.71	0.65	0.75	1.13
Three years	2.34	1.75	1.38	1.18	1.13	1.22	1.41	1.33	1.16	0.86	0.51	0.16	-0.05	-0.03
Four years	2.36	1.65	1.29	1.08	1.19	1.25	1.40	1.85	1.20	0.71	0.14	-0.17	-0.53	-0.65
Five years	2.36	1.65	1.35	1.25	1.32	1.51	1.67	1.89	1.13	0.49	-0.07	-0.47	-0.73	-0.88
Seven years	2.10	1.53	1.36	1.32	1.32	1.54	1.80	1.44	0.73	0.28	-0.16	-0.44	-0.74	-1.07
Ten years	1.93	1.56	1.40	1.39	1.42	1.53	1.72	1.32	0.88	0.50	0.22	-0.01	-0.47	-0.76

ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis.

Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetuer.

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

4.1.3 A Note on Generating Figures

In Figure 4.1, we plot the time series of RMSE (Panel A) and the MPE (Panel B) for caps implied volatilities. We split the time series into long maturities and short maturities. For the first period of our data sample with the financial crisis already in full swing, the pricing errors in terms of RMSE remain remarkably low. In addition, until October 2008, we do not observe a bias in the model's pricing performance with the MPE close to zero. However, the pricing performance deteriorates considerably around April 2009 with substantial underpricing of short maturity contracts. This mispricing remains high until the end of our sample. Interestingly, this period of persistent mispricing of short maturity contracts coincides with the period of high implied volatilities at these maturities. Hence, our model suffers when the volatility term structure is unusually steep.

[...] If you use `PDF` files for input, you should run `PDFLATEX`. If you use `EPS` files, then run LaTeX,



Abbildung 4.1 – Root mean squared error (RMSE) and mean pricing error MPE for caps with different option maturities. Panels A and B show the RMSE and the MPE in percentage points across time for caps implied volatilities of all maturities (solid line), for maturities up to three years (dash-dotted line), and for maturities of four to ten years (dashed line). Data are weekly (Wednesday) spanning our entire data sample August 8, 2007 to August 11, 2010; in total, 158 weeks.

then `DVI`→`PS`, then `PS`→`PDF`. To generate `PDF` files out of MATLAB, save them first as `EPS` files and then use the `DOS` prompt with the command `EPSTOPDF`. This gives the nicest results. Also, to generate MATLAB graphics in a decent format (lines will not get too thin and the labels will not get too small), use something like this:

```
figure(1)
set(gca,'Box', 'on', 'LineWidth',1.5 ,'FontSize',14)
plot(x,cumprod(1+R(:,2)),x,cumprod(1+R(:,3)),'--',x,cumprod(1+R(:,4)),'-.','LineWidth',1.5)
grid on
datetick('x','mmmyy')
axis([x(1)-10 x(end) 0.5 3.0])
title('Panel A: Equity and commodity indices')
ylabel('Cumulative return')
grid on
legend('MSCI World Total Return', 'MSCI Emerging Market Total Return','DJ UBS Commodity Index')
print('-depsc2', 'cumReturnA.eps' )
```

Whatever format you will eventually use, be sure that it looks nice and readable and use it consistently for the whole thesis.

4.1.4 A Note on Citations

[Here are some examples of citations...] Lévy processes cannot capture stochastic volatility, stochastic risk reversal (skewness) and stochastic correlation. These drawbacks can be resolved, at least to some extent, by considering time-changed Lévy processes for which it is possible to generate distributions which vary over time. If the return innovation is modeled by a Brownian motion, we can let the instantaneous variance be stochastic (see, e.g., [**SH1993**] and [**BA1996**]) to create dependence of the return increments.[1]

[1] [**CW2004**], for instance, introduced a time-changed Lévy model to capture the leverage effect.

Anhang

Anhang A

Proofs

[You may also want to add an appendix, if it makes sense. You delegate proofs to the appendix or other material that is essential for the understanding of your work, but would distract the reader if placed in the main text...]

A.1 Proof of Proposition [...]

[....], we can apply Ito's formula for Lévy processes to obtain the dynamics of the forward LIBOR $L(t,T_j)$ to obtain the dynamics of the forward LIBOR $L(t,T_j)$ under the T_{j+1}-forward measure as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dL(t,T_j)}{L(t,T_j)} &= b(t,T_j,T_{j+1})dt + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t,T_j)dt + \frac{1}{2}V_t^W dt \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[e^x - 1 - x\right] \pi_{J^-}^{\mathbb{Q}_{j+1}}(dx)\nu_t^J dt + \int_0^{\infty} \left[e^x - 1 - x\right] \pi_{J^+}^{\mathbb{Q}_{j+1}}(dx)\nu_t^J dt \\
&+ \lambda(t,T_j)dB_t^{Q_{j+1}} + \sqrt{V_t^W}dW_t^{Q_{j+1}} + \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[e^x - 1\right] \left[\mu^-(dt,dx) - \pi_{J^-}^{Q_{j+1}}(x)dx\nu_t^J dt\right] \\
&+ \int_0^{\infty} \left[e^x - 1\right] \left[\mu^+(dt,dx) - \pi_{J^+}^{Q_{j+1}}(x)dx\nu_t^J dt\right]. \qquad \text{(A.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

To ensure that $L(t,T_j)$ is a martingale under the T_{j+1}-forward measure, the drift must equal zero, which gives the drift condition in the proposition. ■

Glossar

GVS Graphs-Visualization-Service: Titel des vorliegenden Produktes 2, 15

4, 15

4, 15

Literatur

[1] *JIRA Projektmanagement.* URL: `https://project.redbackup.org/projects/GVS/`.

[2] *Kraken.* URL: `https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kraken`.

Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Der/Die Verfasser/in erklärt an Eides statt, dass er/sie die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig, ohne fremde Hilfe und ohne Benutzung anderer als die angegebenen Hilfsmittel angefertigt hat. Die aus fremden Quellen (einschliesslich elektronischer Quellen) direkt oder indirekt übernommenen Gedanken sind ausnahmslos als solche kenntlich gemacht. Die Arbeit ist in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form oder auszugsweise im Rahmen einer anderen Prüfung noch nicht vorgelegt worden.

....	....
Ort, Datum	Unterschrift des/der Verfassers/in
....	....
Ort, Datum	Unterschrift des/der Verfassers/in