### Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining

- Classification: Bayesian Classification -

Instructor: Yong Zhuang

yong.zhuang@gvsu.edu

### Outline

- Bayesian Classification
  - Bayes' Theorem, posterior, likelihood, prior, and marginal probability
  - Prediction Based on Bayes' Theorem
  - Naïve Bayes Classifier

# Bayesian Classification: Why?

- A statistical classifier: performs probabilistic prediction, i.e., predicts class membership probabilities
- Theoretical Foundation: Based on Bayes' Theorem.
- Performance: A simple Bayesian classifier, naïve Bayesian classifier, has comparable performance with decision tree and selected neural network classifiers
- Incremental: Each training sample can incrementally increase/decrease the probability
   that a hypothesis is correct prior knowledge can be combined with observed data

# Bayes' Theorem: Basics

Named after: Thomas Bayes, an 18th-century English clergyman, who did early work in probability and decision theory.

Consider X as a data tuple. Within Bayesian context, X is viewed as "evidence." Typically, this evidence is characterized by measurements across a set of n attributes. Let's define H as a hypothesis suggesting that this data tuple, X, belongs to a specific class C. For classification tasks, our aim is to determine P(H|X), which represents the probability of hypothesis H being true based on the observed evidence X. Essentially, we're trying to assess the likelihood of X being in class C, given its attribute composition.

### Bayes' Theorem: Basics

- P(H|X): Posterior probability (probability tuple X belongs to class given its attributes).
  - the probability that customer X will buy a computer given that we know the customer's age and income.
- P(H): Prior probability (probability of a hypothesis without evidence).
  - o the probability that any given customer will buy a computer, regardless of age, income, or any other information
- P(X|H): Likelihood (probability of evidence given a hypothesis).
  - o if we know a customer will buy a computer, what is the probability that this customer X is 35 years old and earns \$40,000?
- P(X): Marginal probability (probability of X).
  - the probability that a person from our set of customers is 35 years old and earns \$40,000.

Bayes' theorem is useful in that it provides a way of calculating the posterior probability, P(H|X), from P(H), P(X|H), and P(X). Bayes' theorem is

$$P(H|X) = rac{P(X|H) imes P(H)}{P(X)}$$

# Prediction Based on Bayes' Theorem

• Given training data **X**, posteriori probability of a hypothesis H, P(H|**X**), follows the Bayes' theorem

$$P(H|X) = rac{P(X|H) imes P(H)}{P(X)}$$

- Informally, this can be viewed as
  - posteriori = likelihood x prior/evidence
- Predicts **X** belongs to  $C_i$  iff the probability  $P(C_i|X)$  is the highest among all the  $P(C_k|X)$  for all the k classes
- Practical difficulty: It requires initial knowledge of many probabilities, involving significant computational cost.

#### Classification Is to Derive the Maximum Posteriori

- Let D be a training set of tuples and their associated class labels, and each tuple is represented by an n-D attribute vector  $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$
- Suppose there are m classes C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, ..., C<sub>m</sub>.
- Classification is to derive the maximum posteriori, i.e., the maximal P(C<sub>i</sub>|X)
- This can be derived from Bayes' theorem

$$P(C_i|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{X}|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(\mathbf{X})}$$

Since P(X) is constant for all classes, only

$$P(C_i|\mathbf{X}) = P(\mathbf{X}|C_i)P(C_i)$$

needs to be maximized

Challenge: Estimating P(X|Ci) is challenging due to the exponential attribute value space.

The **Naïve Bayesian** classifier, or simple Bayesian classifier, follows the same procedure as Bayes classifier, except the way it estimates the conditional probabilities. In detail, it works as follows:

#### 1. Training Data Representation:

- Let D be the training set containing tuples and their corresponding class labels.
- Every tuple is depicted by an n-dimensional attribute vector: X = (x\_1, x\_2, ..., x\_n)
- Here, X describes n measurements from attributes A1, A2, ..., An respectively.

#### 2. Class Prediction:

- If we have m classes, represented as  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m$ , the classifier predicts the class of tuple X based on the highest posterior probability.
- The formula is represented by Bayes' theorem:

$$P(C_i|X) = rac{P(X|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(X)}$$

• The class  $C_i$  for which  $P(C_i|X)$  is maximized is termed the maximum posteriori hypothesis.

#### 3. Computing Posterior Probability:

- Given that P(X) is consistent across all classes, the main goal is to identify the class that maximizes  $P(X|C_i)P(C_i)$ .
- If class prior probabilities are unknown, classes are typically assumed to be equally likely, which means the focus is on maximizing  $P(X|C_i)$ .
- Alternatively, you can estimate class prior probabilities as:

$$P(C_i) = rac{|C_i,D|}{|D|}$$

where  $|C_i, D|$  is the number of training tuples of class  $C_i$  in D.

#### 4. The Naïve Assumption:

- ullet Computing  $P(X|C_i)$  with multiple attributes can be computationally intense.
- The naïve assumption of class-conditional independence is made to simplify computation, meaning attributes are considered independent given a class label.

$$egin{aligned} P(X|C_i) &= \prod_{k=1}^n P(x_k|C_i) \ &= P(x_1|C_i) imes P(x_2|C_i) imes \dots imes P(x_n|C_i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_k$  represents the value of attribute  $A_k$  for tuple X.

#### 5. Categorical vs. Continuous Attributes:

ullet For categorical attribute  $A_k$ ,  $P(x_k|C_i)$  is determined by:

$$P(x_k|C_i) = rac{ ext{Number of tuples of class } C_i ext{ with value } x_k ext{ for } A_k}{|C_i, D|}$$

For continuous attributes, a Gaussian distribution is often assumed with mean ( μ ) and standard deviation ( σ ):

$$P(x_k|C_i) = g(x_k,\mu_{C_i},\sigma_{C_i})$$

where

$$g(x,\mu,\sigma) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}e^{rac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

For example, considering attributes age and income, if customers who buy a computer have an average age of 38 with a standard deviation of 12, we can use the above formula to estimate the probability for a given age.

#### 6. Prediction:

- For class label prediction of X,  $P(X|C_i)P(C_i)$  is evaluated for each class.
- The predicted class label for X is the class  $C_i$  for which  $P(X|C_i)P(C_i)$  is the maximum.

**Example.** Naïve Bayesian Classification for Predicting a Class Label. Given the following training set, D. and a new tuple. X = (age = youth, income = medium, student = yes, credit-rating = fair), our goal is to predict its class label using the naïve Bayesian classification method.

RID	age	income	student	credit_rating	Class: buys_c	omputer
1	youth	high	no	fair	10	
2	youth	high	no	excellent	10	
3	middle_aged	high	no	fair	es	
4	senior	medium	no	fair	es D(C)	$P(X C_i)P(C_i)$
5	senior	low	yes	fair	$P(C_i)$	$(X) = rac{P(X C_i)P(C_i)}{P(X)}$
6	senior	low	yes	excellent	10	
7	middle_aged	low	yes	excellent	$P(C_i)$	$)=rac{ C_i,D }{ D }$
8	youth	medium	no	fair	10	$\frac{ D }{n}$
9	youth	low	yes	fair	P(X)	$C_i) = \prod P(x_k C_i)$
10	senior	medium	yes	fair	es	$\stackrel{{\scriptstyle \stackrel{\frown}{\scriptstyle k=1}}}{\scriptstyle k=1}$
11	youth	medium	yes	excellent	es	$= P(x_1 C_i) \times P(x_2 C_i) \times \ldots \times P(x_n C_i)$
12	middle_aged	medium	no	excellent	res	Number of tuples of class C: with value r, for A,
13	middle_aged	high	yes	fair	$P(x_k)$	$C_i) = rac{ ext{Number of tuples of class } C_i  ext{ with value } x_k  ext{ for } A_k}{ C_i,D }$
14	senior	medium	no	excellent	10	$[o_i, D]$

**Example.** Naïve Bayesian Classification for Predicting a Class Label. Given the following training set, D. and a new tuple. X = (age = youth, income = medium, student = yes, credit-rating = fair), our goal is to predict its class label using the naïve Bayesian classification method.

RID	age	income	student	credit_rating	Class:
1	youth	high	no	fair	no
2	youth	high	no	excellent	no
3	middle_aged	high	no	fair	yes
4	senior	medium	no	fair	yes
5	senior	low	yes	fair	yes
6	senior	low	yes	excellent	no
7	middle_aged	low	yes	excellent	yes
8	youth	medium	no	fair	no
9	youth	low	yes	fair	yes
10	senior	medium	yes	fair	yes
11	youth	medium	yes	excellent	yes
12	middle_aged	medium	no	excellent	yes
13	middle_aged	high	yes	fair	yes
14	senior	medium	no	excellent	no

#### **Prior Probabilities:**

- 1.  $P(buys\_computer = yes) = \frac{9}{14} = 0.643$
- 2.  $P(buys\_computer = no) = \frac{5}{14} = 0.357$

$$P(C_i|X) = rac{P(X|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(X)}$$
 $P(C_i) = rac{|C_i,D|}{|D|}$ 

$$P(C_i) = rac{|C_i,D|}{|D|}$$

buys\_computer

#### Computing Probabilities for Given Tuple:

1. $P(X buys\_computer = yes) = 0.222 \times 0.444 \times 0.667 \times 0.667$	
= 0.044	-
2. $P(X buys\_computer = no) = 0.600 \times 0.400 \times 0.200 \times 0.400$	
= 0.019	(

					/
RID	age	income	student	credit_rating	Class:
1	youth	high	no	fair	no
2	youth	high	no	excellent	no
3	middle_aged	high	no	fair	yes
4	senior	medium	no	fair	yes
5	senior	low	yes	fair	yes
6	senior	low	yes	excellent	no
7	middle_aged	low	yes	excellent	yes
8	youth	medium	no	fair	no
9	youth	low	yes	fair	yes
10	senior	medium	yes	fair	yes
11	youth	medium	yes	excellent	yes
12	middle_aged	medium	no	excellent	yes
13	middle_aged	high	yes	fair	yes
14	senior	medium	no	excellent	no

#### **Conditional Probabilities:**

1. 
$$P(age = youth|buys\_computer = yes) = \frac{2}{9} = 0.222$$

2. 
$$P(age = youth|buys\_computer = no) = \frac{3}{5} = 0.600$$

3. 
$$P(income = medium|buys\_computer = yes) = \frac{4}{9} = 0.444$$

4. 
$$P(income = medium|buys\_computer = no) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.400$$

5. 
$$P(student = yes|buys\_computer = yes) = \frac{6}{9} = 0.667$$

6. 
$$P(student = yes|buys\_computer = no) = \frac{1}{5} = 0.200$$

7. 
$$P(credit\_rating = fair|buys\_computer = yes) = \frac{6}{9} = 0.667$$

8. 
$$P(credit\_rating = fair|buys\_computer = no) = \frac{2}{5} = 0.400$$

$$egin{aligned} P(C_i|X) &= rac{P(X|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(X)} \ P(C_i) &= rac{|C_i,D|}{|D|} \ P(X|C_i) &= \prod_{k=1}^n P(x_k|C_i) \ &= P(x_1|C_i) imes P(x_2|C_i) imes \ldots imes P(x_n|C_i) \ P(x_k|C_i) &= rac{ ext{Number of tuples of class } C_i ext{ with value } x_k ext{ for } A_k}{|C_i,D|} \end{aligned}$$

Example. Naïve Baye Prior Probabilities:

income = medium, s

1.  $P(buys\_computer = yes) = \frac{9}{14} = 0.643$ 

2.  $P(buus\ computer = no) = \frac{5}{11} = 0.357$ 

		2. $P(ouys\_computer = no) = \frac{1}{14} = 0.3$			= 0.557
RID	age	ıncome		credit_rating	Class: buys
1	youth	high	no	fair	no
2	youth	high	no	excellent	no
3	middle_aged	d high	no	fair	yes
4	senior	medium	no	fair	yes
5	senior	low	yes	fair	yes P
6	senior	low	yes	excellent	no
7	middle_aged	low	yes	excellent	yes P
8	youth	medium	no	fair	no
9	youth	low	yes	fair	yes
10	senior	medium	yes	fair	yes P
11	youth	medium	yes	excellent	yes
12	middle_aged	d medium	no	excellent	yes
13	middle_aged	high	yes	fair	yes P
14	senior	medium	no	excellent	no

#### Computing Probabilities for Given Tuple:

- 1.  $P(X|buys\_computer = yes) = 0.222 \times 0.444 \times 0.667 \times 0.667$ = 0.044
- 2.  $P(X|buys\_computer = no) = 0.600 \times 0.400 \times 0.200 \times 0.400$ = 0.019

#### Class Maximization:

- 1.  $P(X|buys\_computer = yes)P(buys\_computer = yes) = 0.044$  $\times 0.643 = 0.028$
- 2.  $P(X|buys\_computer = no)P(buys\_computer = no) = 0.019$  $\times 0.357 = 0.007$

$$P(C_i|X) = rac{P(X|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(X)}$$

$$P(C_i) = rac{|C_i,D|}{|D|}$$

$$P(X|C_i) = \prod_{k=1}^n P(x_k|C_i)$$

$$=P(x_1|C_i) \times P(x_2|C_i) \times \ldots \times P(x_n|C_i)$$

Number of tuples of class  $C_i$  with value  $x_k$  for  $A_k$ 

### Avoiding the Zero-Probability Problem

Naïve Bayesian prediction requires each conditional prob. be non-zero. Otherwise, the predicted prob. will be zero

$$egin{aligned} P(X|C_i) &= \prod_{k=1}^n P(x_k|C_i) \ &= P(x_1|C_i) imes P(x_2|C_i) imes \ldots imes P(x_n|C_i) \end{aligned}$$

- Ex. Suppose a dataset with 1000 tuples, income=low (0), income= medium (990), and income = high (10)
- Use Laplacian correction (or Laplacian estimator)
  - Adding 1 to each case
    - $\circ$  Prob(income = low) = 1/1003
    - Prob(income = medium) = 991/1003
    - Prob(income = high) = 11/1003
  - The "corrected" prob. estimates are close to their "uncorrected" counterparts

### Naïve Bayes Classifier: Advantages vs. Disadvantages

#### Advantages

- Simple and easy to implement.
- Provides good results in many scenarios, especially with large datasets.

#### Disadvantages

- Naïve Bayes assumes that features are conditionally independent given the class label, which can lead to a loss in accuracy when dependencies exist.
- In practical applications, dependencies often exist between features that Naïve Bayes cannot capture. For instance, In a healthcare setting, features might include:
  - Patient Profile: age, family history, etc. Symptoms: fever, cough, etc. Disease: lung cancer, diabetes, etc.
  - Dependencies among these cannot be modeled by Naïve Bayes Classifier
- How to deal with these dependencies? Bayesian Belief Networks

### Summary

- Bayesian Classification
  - Bayes' Theorem, posterior, likelihood, prior, and marginal probability
  - Prediction Based on Bayes' Theorem
  - Naïve Bayes Classifier