

Foundations of Computing

Lecture 24

Arkady Yerukhimovich

April 20, 2023

1 Lecture 23 Review

Lecture 23 Review

- The class $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$
- Interactive Proofs
- $\text{GNI} \in \mathcal{IP}$

Our Goal For Today

Prove that $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \mathcal{IP}$

Graph Non-Isomorphism

Question

How can we prove that two graphs G_0 and G_1 are NOT isomorphic?

The Protocol:

- 1 V chooses $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$, and applies a random permutation π to the vertices of G_b and sends this graph G^* to P
- 2 P determines if G^* is isomorphic to G_0 and sends $b' = 0$ if so, or $b' = 1$ otherwise back to V
- 3 V accepts if $b' = b$

Why This Works:

Graph Non-Isomorphism

Question

How can we prove that two graphs G_0 and G_1 are NOT isomorphic?

The Protocol:

- 1 V chooses $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$, and applies a random permutation π to the vertices of G_b and sends this graph G^* to P
- 2 P determines if G^* is isomorphic to G_0 and sends $b' = 0$ if so, or $b' = 1$ otherwise back to V
- 3 V accepts if $b' = b$

Why This Works:

- 1 (Completeness) Suppose that G_0 and G_1 are not isomorphic.
 - Then G^* can only be isomorphic to one of the two graphs
 - P can perfectly determine which one this is
 - So $\Pr[b' = b] = 1$

Graph Non-Isomorphism

Question

How can we prove that two graphs G_0 and G_1 are NOT isomorphic?

The Protocol:

- 1 V chooses $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$, and applies a random permutation π to the vertices of G_b and sends this graph G^* to P
- 2 P determines if G^* is isomorphic to G_0 and sends $b' = 0$ if so, or $b' = 1$ otherwise back to V
- 3 V accepts if $b' = b$

Why This Works:

- 1 (Completeness) Suppose that G_0 and G_1 are not isomorphic.
 - Then G^* can only be isomorphic to one of the two graphs
 - P can perfectly determine which one this is
 - So $\Pr[b' = b] = 1$
- 2 (Soundness) Suppose that G_0 and G_1 are isomorphic
 - Then G^* is isomorphic to both G_0 and G_1
 - P has no way to tell which one V started from
 - Thus, $\Pr[b' = b] = 1/2$

A co- \mathcal{NP} Complete Problem

3-UNSAT

3-UNSAT = $\{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is an unsatisfiable 3-CNF formula}\}$

$$(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{x}_3)$$

A co- \mathcal{NP} Complete Problem

3-UNSAT

$$3\text{-UNSAT} = \{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is an unsatisfiable 3-CNF formula}\}$$

- If we can give \mathcal{IP} proof for 3-UNSAT, we can give an \mathcal{IP} proof for any $L \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

A co- \mathcal{NP} Complete Problem

3-UNSAT

$3\text{-UNSAT} = \{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is an unsatisfiable 3-CNF formula}\}$

- If we can give \mathcal{IP} proof for 3-UNSAT, we can give an \mathcal{IP} proof for any $L \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

The Challenge

A co- \mathcal{NP} Complete Problem

3-UNSAT

$3\text{-UNSAT} = \{ \langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is an unsatisfiable 3-CNF formula} \}$

- If we can give \mathcal{IP} proof for 3-UNSAT, we can give an \mathcal{IP} proof for any $L \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

The Challenge

- Need to prove that for all inputs x , $\phi(x) = 0$

A co- \mathcal{NP} Complete Problem

3-UNSAT

$3\text{-UNSAT} = \{ \langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is an unsatisfiable 3-CNF formula} \}$

- If we can give \mathcal{IP} proof for 3-UNSAT, we can give an \mathcal{IP} proof for any $L \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

The Challenge

- Need to prove that for all inputs x , $\phi(x) = 0$
- But, there are $2^{|x|}$ possible such x

A co- \mathcal{NP} Complete Problem

3-UNSAT

$3\text{-UNSAT} = \{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is an unsatisfiable 3-CNF formula}\}$

- If we can give \mathcal{IP} proof for 3-UNSAT, we can give an \mathcal{IP} proof for any $L \in \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$

The Challenge

- Need to prove that for all inputs x , $\phi(x) = 0$
- But, there are $2^{|x|}$ possible such x
- How can we prove properties about all possible x at once?

Arithmetization

Idea

For n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , construct a polynomial $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unsatisfiable

Idea

For n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , construct a polynomial $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unsatisfiable

Constructing Φ :

$$(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_4 \vee x_5)$$

$$(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \rightarrow (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$$

$$(\bar{x}_1 \vee x_4 \vee x_5) \rightarrow ((1 - x_1) + x_4 + x_5)$$

Idea

For n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , construct a polynomial $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unsatisfiable

Constructing Φ :

- Identify 0 = false, and positive integer = true

Idea

For n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , construct a polynomial $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unsatisfiable

Constructing Φ :

- Identify $0 = \text{false}$, and positive integer = true
- $x_i \rightarrow x_i, \overline{x_i} \rightarrow (1 - x_i)$

Idea

For n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , construct a polynomial $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unsatisfiable

Constructing Φ :

- Identify 0 = false, and positive integer = true
- $x_i \rightarrow x_i$, $\bar{x}_i \rightarrow (1 - x_i)$
- Replace \vee with $+$

Idea

For n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , construct a polynomial $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unsatisfiable

Constructing Φ :

- Identify 0 = false, and positive integer = true
- $x_i \rightarrow x_i, \overline{x_i} \rightarrow (1 - x_i)$
- Replace \vee with $+$
- Replace \wedge with \times

Idea

For n inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , construct a polynomial $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unsatisfiable

Constructing Φ :

- Identify 0 = false, and positive integer = true
- $x_i \rightarrow x_i, \bar{x}_i \rightarrow (1 - x_i)$
- Replace \vee with $+$
- Replace \wedge with \times

$$\phi(x) = (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3)$$

$$(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \cdot ((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 3^m$
- Any clause takes on a positive value iff at least one of its literals is 1
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ iff all of its clauses are > 0
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{TRUE}$
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{FALSE}$

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \underbrace{\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{2^n} = 0$$

Handwritten red text below the equation:
 $\Phi(0, \dots, 0) + \Phi(0, \dots, 1) + \dots$

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 3^m$

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 3^m$
- Any clause takes on a positive value iff at least one of its literals is 1

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 3^m$
- Any clause takes on a positive value iff at least one of its literals is 1
- $\Phi(x) > 0$ iff all of its clauses are > 0

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 3^m$
- Any clause takes on a positive value iff at least one of its literals is 1
- $\Phi(x) > 0$ iff all of its clauses are > 0
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{TRUE}$

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 3^m$
- Any clause takes on a positive value iff at least one of its literals is 1
- $\Phi(x) > 0$ iff all of its clauses are > 0
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{TRUE}$
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{FALSE}$

Properties of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)((1 - x_1) + x_2 + x_3)$$

Consider the value of Φ when the $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- Φ has degree equal to the number (m) of clauses of ϕ
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 3^m$
- Any clause takes on a positive value iff at least one of its literals is 1
- $\Phi(x) > 0$ iff all of its clauses are > 0
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0$ if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{TRUE}$
- $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ if $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{FALSE}$

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

$\leq 3^m \cdot 2^n$

Arithmetization

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

Arithmetization

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

- To prove ϕ is unsatisfiable, enough to prove that expression on the right equals 0
- To do so, we can use the power of algebra:

Arithmetization

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \pmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

- To prove ϕ is unsatisfiable, enough to prove that expression on the right equals 0
- To do so, we can use the power of algebra:
 - A polynomial of degree m has at most m roots

$$(x-a)(x-b)(x-c) \dots$$

Arithmetization

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \pmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

- To prove ϕ is unsatisfiable, enough to prove that expression on the right equals 0
- To do so, we can use the power of algebra:
 - A polynomial of degree m has at most m roots
 - Two different polynomials of degree m can only agree in at most m points

$$f_1(x) \neq f_2(x)$$

$$f_1(x) - f_2(x) = 0 \text{ if } f_1(x) = f_2(x)$$

Observations

Arithmetization

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \pmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

- To prove ϕ is unsatisfiable, enough to prove that expression on the right equals 0
- To do so, we can use the power of algebra:
 - A polynomial of degree m has at most m roots
 - Two different polynomials of degree m can only agree in at most m points

Key Idea

Arithmetization

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

- To prove ϕ is unsatisfiable, enough to prove that expression on the right equals 0
- To do so, we can use the power of algebra:
 - A polynomial of degree m has at most m roots
 - Two different polynomials of degree m can only agree in at most m points

Key Idea

- We only need to prove properties of Φ on inputs $x_i \in \{0,1\}$

Arithmetization

$$\phi \in 3\text{-UNSAT} \iff \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$$

For any prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

- To prove ϕ is unsatisfiable, enough to prove that expression on the right equals 0
- To do so, we can use the power of algebra:
 - A polynomial of degree m has at most m roots
 - Two different polynomials of degree m can only agree in at most m points

Key Idea

- We only need to prove properties of Φ on inputs $x_i \in \{0,1\}$
- We will use the values of Φ on $x_i \in [0, \dots, q-1]$ to check these properties

Nested Polynomials

Nested Polynomials

Goal

Prove that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

Nested Polynomials

$$\sum_{x_1} \left(\sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} \right) P_1$$

Goal

Prove that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

$$P_1(0) + P_1(1) = P(x)$$

Nested Polynomials

Goal

Prove that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

- Define $P_2(x_2) = \sum_{x_3 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

$$P_1(r_1) = P_2(0) + P_2(1)$$

Nested Polynomials

Goal

Prove that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- Define $P_2(x_2) = \sum_{x_3 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- Define $P_i(x_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$

Nested Polynomials

Goal

Prove that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- Define $P_2(x_2) = \sum_{x_3 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- Define $P_i(x_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$

$$P_i(0) + P_i(1) = P_{i-1}(r_i)$$

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- 1 Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- 1 Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- 2 V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- 1 Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- 2 V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$
- 3 V sets $v_0 = 0$

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- 1 Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- 2 V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$
- 3 V sets $v_0 = 0$
- 4 Repeat the following for $i = 1$ to n

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- ① Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- ② V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$
- ③ V sets $v_0 = 0$
- ④ Repeat the following for $i = 1$ to n
 - ① P sends poly $P_i(y)$ of degree $\leq m$

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- 1 Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- 2 V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$
- 3 V sets $v_0 = 0$
- 4 Repeat the following for $i = 1$ to n
 - 1 P sends poly $P_i(y)$ of degree $\leq m$
 - 2 V does the following:

Sum-check Protocol

$$P_i(0) + P_i(1) = v_{i-1}$$

Goal



Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- 1 Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- 2 V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$
- 3 V sets $v_0 = 0$
- 4 Repeat the following for $i = 1$ to n
 - 1 P sends poly $P_i(y)$ of degree $\leq m$
 - 2 V does the following:
 - V checks that $P_i(0) + P_i(1) = v_{i-1} \bmod q$

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- ① Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- ② V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$
- ③ V sets $v_0 = 0$
- ④ Repeat the following for $i = 1$ to n
 - ① P sends poly $P_i(y)$ of degree $\leq m$ 
 - ② V does the following:
 - V checks that $P_i(0) + P_i(1) = v_{i-1} \bmod q$
 - V picks $r_i \in [0, \dots, q-1]$, sets $v_i = P_i(r_i)$ and sends r_i to P 

Sum-check Protocol

Goal

Prove that $\sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- 1 Both P , and V have ϕ , P constructs poly Φ . V can't construct Φ , but can evaluate $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- 2 V chooses prime $q > 2^n 3^m$
- 3 V sets $v_0 = 0$
- 4 Repeat the following for $i = 1$ to n
 - 1 P sends poly $P_i(y)$ of degree $\leq m$
 - 2 V does the following:
 - V checks that $P_i(0) + P_i(1) = v_{i-1} \bmod q$
 - V picks $r_i \in [0, \dots, q-1]$, sets $v_i = P_i(r_i)$ and sends r_i to P
- 5 V accepts if $\Phi(r_1, \dots, r_n) = v_n \bmod q$

Completeness

If ϕ is not satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

Completeness

If ϕ is not satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

Completeness

If ϕ is not satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_1(0) + P_1(1) = P(x) = 0 = v_0$

Completeness

If ϕ is not satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_1(0) + P_1(1) = P(x) = 0 = v_0$
- Define $P_2(x_2) = \sum_{x_3 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

Completeness

If ϕ is not satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_1(0) + P_1(1) = P(x) = 0 = v_0$
- Define $P_2(x_2) = \sum_{x_3 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_2(0) + P_2(1) = P_1(r_1) = v_1$

Completeness

If ϕ is not satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_1(0) + P_1(1) = P(x) = 0 = v_0$
- Define $P_2(x_2) = \sum_{x_3 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_2(0) + P_2(1) = P_1(r_1) = v_1$
- Define $P_i(x_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$

Completeness

If ϕ is not satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \bmod q$

- Define $P_1(x_1) = \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_1(0) + P_1(1) = P(x) = \underline{0} = v_0$
- Define $P_2(x_2) = \sum_{x_3 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $P_2(0) + P_2(1) = P_1(r_1) = v_1$
- Define $P_i(x_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$

If P chooses the polys P_i as specified, he will pass all the checks, so V accepts

If ϕ is satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \pmod q$

If ϕ is satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \pmod q$

- Malicious P is trying to prove that $v_0 = 0$

If ϕ is satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \pmod q$

- Malicious P is trying to prove that $v_0 = 0$
- In iteration i , P is trying to prove that

$$v_{i-1} = \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

If ϕ is satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \pmod q$

- Malicious P is trying to prove that $v_0 = 0$
- In iteration i , P is trying to prove that

$$v_{i-1} = \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

- P sends poly P'_i

If ϕ is satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \pmod q$

- Malicious P is trying to prove that $v_0 = 0$
- In iteration i , P is trying to prove that

$$v_{i-1} = \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \left(\cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n) \right)$$

- P sends poly P'_i
- V checks that $P'_i(0) + P'_i(1) = v_{i-1}$, if so he picks r_i

\uparrow
 P_i

If ϕ is satisfiable

Know that $P(x) = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \pmod q$

- Malicious P is trying to prove that $v_0 = 0$
- In iteration i , P is trying to prove that

$$v_{i-1} = \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

- P sends poly P'_i
- V checks that $P'_i(0) + P'_i(1) = v_{i-1}$, if so he picks r_i
- Now, P needs to prove that

$$v_i = P_i(r_i)$$

$$v_i = P'_i(r_i) = \sum_{\underline{x_{i+1}} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Must be the case for some i since $v_0 \neq 0$

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Must be the case for some i since $v_0 \neq 0$

- P succeeds in proving

$$v_i = P'_i(r_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

with probability $\leq (n - i + 1)/q$

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Must be the case for some i since $v_0 \neq 0$

- P succeeds in proving

$$v_i = P'_i(r_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

with probability $\leq (n - i + 1)/q$

Proof sketch:

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Must be the case for some i since $v_0 \neq 0$

- P succeeds in proving

$$v_i = P'_i(r_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

with probability $\leq (n - i + 1)/q$

Proof sketch:

- Let $\hat{P}(x_i)$ be the poly P is supposed to send

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Must be the case for some i since $v_0 \neq 0$

- P succeeds in proving

$$v_i = P'_i(r_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

with probability $\leq (n - i + 1)/q$

Proof sketch:

- Let $\hat{P}(x_i)$ be the poly P is supposed to send
- Let $P'(x_i)$ be the poly he does send

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Must be the case for some i since $v_0 \neq 0$

- P succeeds in proving

$$v_i = P'_i(r_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

with probability $\leq (n - i + 1)/q$

Proof sketch:

- Let $\hat{P}(x_i)$ be the poly P is supposed to send
- Let $P'(x_i)$ be the poly he does send
- If $\hat{P} \neq P'$, then they agree in at most $n - i + 1$ points.

- Suppose that

$$v_{i-1} \neq \sum_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Must be the case for some i since $v_0 \neq 0$

- P succeeds in proving

$$v_i = P'_i(r_i) = \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_n \in \{0,1\}} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

with probability $\leq (n - i + 1)/q$

Proof sketch:

- Let $\hat{P}(x_i)$ be the poly P is supposed to send
- Let $P'(x_i)$ be the poly he does send
- If $\hat{P} \neq P'$, then they agree in at most $n - i + 1$ points. So, the probability that r_i is one of these is at most $(n - i + 1)/q$

What Is Going On Here

- To break soundness, P needs to make V think that Φ evaluates to 0 when it doesn't

What Is Going On Here

- To break soundness, P needs to make V think that Φ evaluates to 0 when it doesn't
- To do so, at some level of the recursion he must find a polynomial P' that agrees with the correct restriction of Φ (called P) on a randomly chosen point r_i

What Is Going On Here

- To break soundness, P needs to make V think that Φ evaluates to 0 when it doesn't
- To do so, at some level of the recursion he must find a polynomial P' that agrees with the correct restriction of Φ (called P) on a randomly chosen point r_i
- But, since all these polys are low degree, this is very unlikely

Conclusion

We have now proven that

$$3\text{-UNSAT} \in \mathcal{IP}$$

Conclusion

We have now proven that

$$3\text{-UNSAT} \in \mathcal{IP}$$

Implying that

$$\text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \mathcal{IP}$$

Conclusion

We have now proven that

$$3\text{-UNSAT} \in \mathcal{IP}$$

Implying that

$$\text{co-}\mathcal{NP} \subseteq \mathcal{IP}$$

But, the proof is not short: Required $O(n)$ rounds of communication.