# Foundations of Computing Lecture 25

Arkady Yerukhimovich

April 22, 2025

#### Outline

- Lecture 24 Review
- A New Goal for Proofs
- 3 Defining Knowledge
- 4 Examples of Zero-Knowledge Proofs
- 5 Zero-Knowledge on the Blockchain

#### Lecture 24 Review

- Interactive Proofs
- Proof for Graph Non-Isomorphism
- Polynomial Identity Testing

#### Outline

- Lecture 24 Review
- A New Goal for Proofs
- 3 Defining Knowledge
- 4 Examples of Zero-Knowledge Proofs
- 5 Zero-Knowledge on the Blockchain

### Reviewing the Definition of $\mathcal{IP}$

#### Definition of $\mathcal{IP}$

 $L \in \mathcal{IP}$  if there exist a pair of interactive algorithms (P, V) with V being poly-time (in |x|) s.t.

• (Completeness) If  $x \in L$ , then  $\Pr[\langle P, V \rangle(x) = 1] = 1$ 

### Reviewing the Definition of $\mathcal{IP}$

#### Definition of $\mathcal{IP}$

 $L \in \mathcal{IP}$  if there exist a pair of interactive algorithms (P, V) with V being poly-time (in |x|) s.t.

- (Completeness) If  $x \in L$ , then  $\Pr[\langle P, V \rangle(x) = 1] = 1$
- ② (Soundness) If  $x \notin L$ , then for any (possibly unbounded)  $P^*$ , we have  $\Pr[\langle P^*, V \rangle(x) = 1] \le 1/2$

### Reviewing the Definition of $\mathcal{IP}$

#### Definition of $\mathcal{IP}$

 $L \in \mathcal{IP}$  if there exist a pair of interactive algorithms (P, V) with V being poly-time (in |x|) s.t.

- (Completeness) If  $x \in L$ , then  $\Pr[\langle P, V \rangle(x) = 1] = 1$
- ② (Soundness) If  $x \notin L$ , then for any (possibly unbounded)  $P^*$ , we have  $\Pr[\langle P^*, V \rangle(x) = 1] \le 1/2$

#### A New Property

We say that a proof is *zero-knowledge* if the verifier learns nothing (other than the truth of the statement) from seeing the proof.

# An Example – Where's Waldo



# An Example



# A Second Example - Puppy and Panda









#### Outline

- 1 Lecture 24 Review
- 2 A New Goal for Proofs
- 3 Defining Knowledge
- 4 Examples of Zero-Knowledge Proofs
- 5 Zero-Knowledge on the Blockchain

#### Question

What does it mean for a machine to know/learn something?

#### Question

What does it mean for a machine to know/learn something?

Answer: A poly-time TM M "knows" x, if it can output x after an efficient computation.

#### Question

What does it mean for a machine to know/learn something?

Answer: A poly-time TM M "knows" x, if it can output x after an efficient computation.

#### Question

What does it mean for a machine to learn nothing from a proof?

#### Question

What does it mean for a machine to know/learn something?

Answer: A poly-time TM M "knows" x, if it can output x after an efficient computation.

#### Question

What does it mean for a machine to learn nothing from a proof?

Answer: Whatever it can (efficiently) compute after seeing the proof, it could have efficiently computed before seeing the proof.

Consider an interactive proof between Prover (P) and Verifier (V):

$$\langle P, V \rangle (x)$$

Consider an interactive proof between Prover (P) and Verifier (V):

$$\langle P, V \rangle (x)$$

Define V's view of this interaction by:

$$VIEW_V(\langle P, V \rangle(x))$$

Consider an interactive proof between Prover (P) and Verifier (V):

$$\langle P, V \rangle (x)$$

Define V's view of this interaction by:

$$VIEW_V(\langle P, V \rangle(x))$$

This includes:

- V's randomness
- ullet Any messages that V receives

Consider an interactive proof between Prover (P) and Verifier (V):

$$\langle P, V \rangle (x)$$

Define V's view of this interaction by:

$$VIEW_V(\langle P, V \rangle(x))$$

This includes:

- V's randomness
- Any messages that V receives

#### Zero-Knowledge Proof

Consider an interactive proof between Prover (P) and Verifier (V):

$$\langle P, V \rangle (x)$$

Define V's view of this interaction by:

$$VIEW_V(\langle P, V \rangle(x))$$

This includes:

- V's randomness
- Any messages that V receives

### Zero-Knowledge Proof

A proof  $\langle P, V \rangle(x)$  for a language L is zero-knowledge if

ullet For any (possibly malicious) poly-time verifier  $V^*$ 

Consider an interactive proof between Prover (P) and Verifier (V):

$$\langle P, V \rangle (x)$$

Define V's view of this interaction by:

$$VIEW_V(\langle P, V \rangle(x))$$

This includes:

- V's randomness
- Any messages that V receives

### Zero-Knowledge Proof

- ullet For any (possibly malicious) poly-time verifier  $V^*$
- There exists a poly-time Simulator S s.t.

$$\forall x \in L$$
,  $VIEW_{V^*}(\langle P, V^* \rangle(x)) \stackrel{d}{=} S(x)$ 

#### Zero-Knowledge Proof

- ullet For any (possibly malicious) poly-time verifier  $V^*$
- There exists a poly-time *Simulator S* s.t.

$$\forall x \in L$$
,  $VIEW_{V^*}(\langle P, V^* \rangle(x)) \stackrel{d}{=} S(x)$ 

#### Zero-Knowledge Proof

A proof  $\langle P, V \rangle(x)$  for a language L is zero-knowledge if

- ullet For any (possibly malicious) poly-time verifier  $V^*$
- There exists a poly-time *Simulator S* s.t.

$$\forall x \in L$$
,  $VIEW_{V^*}(\langle P, V^* \rangle(x)) \stackrel{d}{=} S(x)$ 

• S(x) captures what V knows about x

#### Zero-Knowledge Proof

- ullet For any (possibly malicious) poly-time verifier  $V^*$
- ullet There exists a poly-time *Simulator S* s.t.

$$\forall x \in L$$
,  $VIEW_{V^*}(\langle P, V^* \rangle(x)) \stackrel{d}{=} S(x)$ 

- S(x) captures what V knows about x
- If S can produce V's view in the proof, then this everything in this view is "known" to V before the proof.

#### Zero-Knowledge Proof

- ullet For any (possibly malicious) poly-time verifier  $V^*$
- There exists a poly-time *Simulator S* s.t.

$$\forall x \in L$$
,  $VIEW_{V^*}(\langle P, V^* \rangle(x)) \stackrel{d}{=} S(x)$ 

- S(x) captures what V knows about x
- If S can produce V's view in the proof, then this everything in this view is "known" to V before the proof.
- ullet Thus, the proof is zero-knowledge: V learns nothing more than that  $x \in \mathcal{L}$

#### Zero-Knowledge Proof

- ullet For any (possibly malicious) poly-time verifier  $V^*$
- ullet There exists a poly-time *Simulator S* s.t.

$$\forall x \in L$$
,  $VIEW_{V^*}(\langle P, V^* \rangle(x)) \stackrel{d}{=} S(x)$ 

- S(x) captures what V knows about x
- If S can produce V's view in the proof, then this everything in this view is "known" to V before the proof.
- ullet Thus, the proof is zero-knowledge: V learns nothing more than that  $x \in \mathcal{L}$
- IMPORTANT:  $VIEW_V^*$  and S(x) are both distributions, not values. So, equality is of distributions

#### Outline

- Lecture 24 Review
- A New Goal for Proofs
- 3 Defining Knowledge
- Examples of Zero-Knowledge Proofs
- 5 Zero-Knowledge on the Blockchain

### Where's Waldo



# Puppy and Panda









Input:  $x = (G_0, G_1)$ 

Prover's goal: Prove that he knows permutation  $\pi$  s.t.  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ 

Input:  $x = (G_0, G_1)$ 

Prover's goal: Prove that he knows permutation  $\pi$  s.t.  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ 

#### The Proof

Input:  $x = (G_0, G_1)$ 

Prover's goal: Prove that he knows permutation  $\pi$  s.t.  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ 

#### The Proof

**①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V

Input:  $x = (G_0, G_1)$ 

Prover's goal: Prove that he knows permutation  $\pi$  s.t.  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ 

#### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P

Input:  $x = (G_0, G_1)$ 

Prover's goal: Prove that he knows permutation  $\pi$  s.t.  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ 

#### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **1** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Input:  $x = (G_0, G_1)$ 

Prover's goal: Prove that he knows permutation  $\pi$  s.t.  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ 

#### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **1** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{cases}$$

• V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$ 

#### The Proof

- **1** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

**4** V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$ 

#### The Proof

- P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- lacksquare V accepts iff  $H=\pi'(G_{b'})$
- **①** Completeness: If  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ , then  $\pi'$  correctly maps  $G_{b'}$  to H

#### The Proof

- **1** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$
- **①** Completeness: If  $\pi(G_0) = G_1$ , then  $\pi'$  correctly maps  $G_{b'}$  to H
- ② Soundness: Suppose  $G_0$  is not isomorphic to  $G_1$ , so there is no such  $\pi$ . Then, if  $b \neq b'$ , there is no permutation that P can give that V will accept

#### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

**4** V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$ 

### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{cases}$$

• V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$ 

### Zero-Knowledge

### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

• V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$ 

### Zero-Knowledge

### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{cases}$$

• V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$ 

### Zero-Knowledge

We define simulator  $S(G_0, G_1)$  as follows:

**①** *S* chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$ 

### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{cases}$$

lacktriangledown V accepts iff  $H=\pi'(G_{b'})$ 

### Zero-Knowledge

- **①** *S* chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$

### The Proof

- **①** P chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$  and sends  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  to V
- ② V chooses  $b' \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and sends it to P
- **3** P sends V the permutation  $\pi'$  mapping  $G_{b'}$  to H

$$\pi' = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } b = b' \\ \sigma \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0, b' = 1 \\ \sigma \pi & \text{if } b = 1, b' = 0 \end{cases}$$

• V accepts iff  $H = \pi'(G_{b'})$ 

### Zero-Knowledge

- **①** *S* chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$
- **3** If b' = b, output  $(b', H, \sigma)$ . Otherwise, restart with new  $\sigma, b$ .

### Zero-Knowledge

- **①** *S* chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$
- **3** If b' = b, output  $(b', H, \sigma)$ . Otherwise, restart with new  $\sigma, b$ .

### Zero-Knowledge

We define simulator  $S(G_0, G_1)$  as follows:

- **①** S chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$
- **3** If b' = b, output  $(b', H, \sigma)$ . Otherwise, restart with new  $\sigma, b$ .

### Zero-Knowledge

We define simulator  $S(G_0, G_1)$  as follows:

- **1** S chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$
- **3** If b' = b, output  $(b', H, \sigma)$ . Otherwise, restart with new  $\sigma, b$ .

#### Observations:

• If b' = b, then S's simulation is perfect.

### Zero-Knowledge

We define simulator  $S(G_0, G_1)$  as follows:

- **①** *S* chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$
- **3** If b' = b, output  $(b', H, \sigma)$ . Otherwise, restart with new  $\sigma, b$ .

- If b' = b, then S's simulation is perfect.
- If  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  are isomorphic, then b' cannot depend on b

### Zero-Knowledge

We define simulator  $S(G_0, G_1)$  as follows:

- **①** S chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$
- **3** If b' = b, output  $(b', H, \sigma)$ . Otherwise, restart with new  $\sigma, b$ .

- If b' = b, then S's simulation is perfect.
- If  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  are isomorphic, then b' cannot depend on b
- So, b = b' with probability 1/2. Thus, S expected to stop after two rounds

### Zero-Knowledge

We define simulator  $S(G_0, G_1)$  as follows:

- **①** S chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and a random permutation  $\sigma$
- ② Set  $H = \sigma(G_b)$  and let  $b' = V^*(G_0, G_1, H)$
- **3** If b' = b, output  $(b', H, \sigma)$ . Otherwise, restart with new  $\sigma, b$ .

- If b' = b, then S's simulation is perfect.
- If  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  are isomorphic, then b' cannot depend on b
- So, b = b' with probability 1/2. Thus, S expected to stop after two rounds
- ullet When S stops, he produces a perfect simulation

# Graph 3-Coloring

### Outline

- Lecture 24 Review
- A New Goal for Proofs
- 3 Defining Knowledge
- 4 Examples of Zero-Knowledge Proofs
- 5 Zero-Knowledge on the Blockchain

ZK proofs have found practical importance in critical Blockchain applications:

ZK proofs have found practical importance in critical Blockchain applications:

ZK proofs have found practical importance in critical Blockchain applications:

#### Blockchain Transactions in a Nutshell

Blockchain consists of a sequence of "valid" transactions (e.g., Send
 5 Bitcoins from Alice to Bob)

ZK proofs have found practical importance in critical Blockchain applications:

- Blockchain consists of a sequence of "valid" transactions (e.g., Send
   5 Bitcoins from Alice to Bob)
- Miners need to be able to verify that transactions are valid

ZK proofs have found practical importance in critical Blockchain applications:

- Blockchain consists of a sequence of "valid" transactions (e.g., Send
   5 Bitcoins from Alice to Bob)
- Miners need to be able to verify that transactions are valid
- Bitcoin does this by making the transactions public

ZK proofs have found practical importance in critical Blockchain applications:

- Blockchain consists of a sequence of "valid" transactions (e.g., Send
   5 Bitcoins from Alice to Bob)
- Miners need to be able to verify that transactions are valid
- Bitcoin does this by making the transactions public
- But, what if we want to keep the details of our transaction secret?

ZK proofs have found practical importance in critical Blockchain applications:

#### Blockchain Transactions in a Nutshell

- Blockchain consists of a sequence of "valid" transactions (e.g., Send
   5 Bitcoins from Alice to Bob)
- Miners need to be able to verify that transactions are valid
- Bitcoin does this by making the transactions public
- But, what if we want to keep the details of our transaction secret?

ZK Proofs enable privacy-preserving transactions on a public Blockchain!