# Cryptography Lecture 3

Arkady Yerukhimovich

September 4, 2024

#### **Announcements**

- Homework will now be due on Fridays at 5pm
- 2 Homework 1 is out now on Piazza
- Office hours: Starting next week
  - Monday 2:15-3:15
  - Friday 2:30-3:30

### Outline

- Lecture 2 Review
- 2 One-Time Pad Encryption Review
- 3 Limitations of Perfect Secrecy (Ch. 2.3)
- 4 Proof Techniques
- 5 Computationally-Secure Private-Key Encryption (Ch. 3.1, 3.2.1)

#### Lecture 2 Review

- Probability review
- Perfectly-secure private-key encryption
- One-time pad

### Outline

- Lecture 2 Review
- One-Time Pad Encryption Review
- 3 Limitations of Perfect Secrecy (Ch. 2.3)
- Proof Techniques
- 5 Computationally-Secure Private-Key Encryption (Ch. 3.1, 3.2.1)

### One-Time Pad Encryption Scheme

- ullet Let  $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{C}=\{0,1\}^\ell$
- Gen:  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$
- Enc:  $c = k \oplus m$  ( $\oplus$  denotes bitwise exclusive-OR)
- Dec:  $m = k \oplus c$

Correctness: For all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  and all  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Dec}_k(\operatorname{Enc}_k(m)) =$$

### One-Time Pad Encryption Scheme

- ullet Let  $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{C}=\{0,1\}^\ell$
- Gen:  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$
- Enc:  $c = k \oplus m$  ( $\oplus$  denotes bitwise exclusive-OR)
- Dec:  $m = k \oplus c$

Correctness: For all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  and all  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\mathsf{Dec}_k(\mathsf{Enc}_k(m)) = k \oplus (k \oplus m) = (k \oplus k) \oplus m = 0^\ell \oplus m = m$$

Security: The OTP is perfectly secret

$$\Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = \Pr[M = m]$$

### One-Time Pad Encryption Scheme

Gen:  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$ 

Enc:  $c = k \oplus m$ 

$$\Pr[M=m\mid C=c]$$

#### One-Time Pad Encryption Scheme

Gen:  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$ 

Enc:  $c = k \oplus m$ 

$$Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = \frac{Pr[C = c \mid M = m] \cdot Pr[M = m]}{Pr[C = c]}$$

### One-Time Pad Encryption Scheme

Gen:  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$ 

Enc:  $c = k \oplus m$ 

$$\Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = \frac{\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] \cdot \Pr[M = m]}{\Pr[C = c]}$$

$$Pr[C = c \mid M = m] = Pr[Enc_K(m) = c] = Pr[m \oplus K = c]$$
$$= Pr[K = m \oplus c] = 2^{-\ell}$$

#### One-Time Pad Encryption Scheme

Gen:  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$ 

Enc:  $c = k \oplus m$ 

$$Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = \frac{\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] \cdot \Pr[M = m]}{\Pr[C \neq c]}$$

$$Pr[C = c \mid M = m] = \Pr[\operatorname{Enc}_{K}(m) = c] = \Pr[m \oplus K = c]$$

$$= \Pr[K = m \oplus c] = 2^{-\ell}$$

$$Pr[C = c] = \sum_{m' \in \mathcal{M}} \Pr[C = c \mid M = m'] \cdot \Pr[M = m']$$

$$= 2^{-\ell} \cdot \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \Pr[M = m] = 2^{-\ell}$$

The one-time pad has some critical limitations that make it not ideal for real-world use.

8/23

The one-time pad has some critical limitations that make it not ideal for real-world use.

• Can only use a key k to encrypt at most one message

The one-time pad has some critical limitations that make it not ideal for real-world use.

- Can only use a key k to encrypt at most one message
- Need the length of the key to be as long as the message (i.e.,  $|k| \ge |m|$ )

The one-time pad has some critical limitations that make it not ideal for real-world use.

- Can only use a key k to encrypt at most one message
- Need the length of the key to be as long as the message (i.e.,  $|k| \ge |m|$ )

Why?

### Outline

- Lecture 2 Review
- 2 One-Time Pad Encryption Review
- 3 Limitations of Perfect Secrecy (Ch. 2.3)
- Proof Techniques
- 5 Computationally-Secure Private-Key Encryption (Ch. 3.1, 3.2.1)

Theorem: If  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

Theorem: If  $\Pi =$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

Proof: (by contradiction)

• Assume that  $\Pi$  is perfectly secure and that  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ .

Theorem: If  $\Pi =$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

- Assume that  $\Pi$  is perfectly secure and that  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ .
- Let M be chosen uniformly over  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  be any ciphertext s.t.  $\Pr[C = c] > 0$ .

Theorem: If  $\Pi =$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

- Assume that  $\Pi$  is perfectly secure and that  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ .
- Let M be chosen uniformly over  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  be any ciphertext s.t.  $\Pr[\mathcal{C} = c] > 0$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{M}(c) = \{m \mid m = \mathsf{Dec}_k(c) \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{K}\}$

Theorem: If  $\Pi =$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

- Assume that  $\Pi$  is perfectly secure and that  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ .
- Let M be chosen uniformly over  $\mathcal M$  and let  $c \in \mathcal C$  be any ciphertext s.t.  $\Pr[\mathcal C = c] > 0$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{M}(c) = \{m \mid m = \mathsf{Dec}_k(c) \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ 
  - Observe that  $|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq |\mathcal{K}|$

Theorem: If  $\Pi =$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

- Assume that  $\Pi$  is perfectly secure and that  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ .
- Let M be chosen uniformly over  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  be any ciphertext s.t.  $\Pr[C = c] > 0$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{M}(c) = \{ m \mid m = \mathsf{Dec}_k(c) \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{K} \}$ • Observe that  $|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq |\mathcal{K}|$
- By assumption,  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ , so there exists  $m' \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $m' \notin \mathcal{M}(c)$

Theorem: If  $\Pi =$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

- Assume that  $\Pi$  is perfectly secure and that  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ .
- Let M be chosen uniformly over  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  be any ciphertext s.t.  $\Pr[C = c] > 0$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{M}(c) = \{ m \mid m = \mathrm{Dec}_k(c) \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{K} \}$ • Observe that  $|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq |\mathcal{K}|$
- By assumption,  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ , so there exists  $m' \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $m' \notin \mathcal{M}(c)$
- But, then  $\Pr[M = m' \mid C = c] = 0 \neq \Pr[M = m']$  (i.e.,  $\Pi$  is not perfectly secret), contradiction!

Theorem: If  $\Pi =$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) is a perfectly secret encryption scheme with message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

Proof: (by contradiction)

- Assume that  $\Pi$  is perfectly secure and that  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ .
- Let M be chosen uniformly over  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  be any ciphertext s.t.  $\Pr[\mathcal{C} = c] > 0$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{M}(c) = \{ m \mid m = \mathrm{Dec}_k(c) \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{K} \}$ • Observe that  $|\mathcal{M}(c)| \leq |\mathcal{K}|$
- By assumption,  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ , so there exists  $m' \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $m' \notin \mathcal{M}(c)$
- But, then  $\Pr[M = m' \mid C = c] = 0 \neq \Pr[M = m']$  (i.e.,  $\Pi$  is not perfectly secret), contradiction!

### Take Away

Perfectly secure encryption must have keys as long as the message.

10/23

### Outline

- Lecture 2 Review
- 2 One-Time Pad Encryption Review
- 3 Limitations of Perfect Secrecy (Ch. 2.3)
- Proof Techniques
- 5 Computationally-Secure Private-Key Encryption (Ch. 3.1, 3.2.1)

We will use the following proof techniques in this class:

Direct Proof

- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contradiction

- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proof by Reduction

- Direct Proof
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proof by Reduction
- Proof by Induction

#### Consider a OTP encryption:

• What happens if  $k = 0^{\ell}$ ?

#### Consider a OTP encryption:

- What happens if  $k = 0^{\ell}$ ?
- Is this a problem?

#### Consider a OTP encryption:

- What happens if  $k = 0^{\ell}$ ?
- Is this a problem?
- Suppose we modify Gen to never output  $k=0^{\ell}$ , is this still perfectly secure?

#### Consider a OTP encryption:

- What happens if  $k = 0^{\ell}$ ?
- Is this a problem?
- Suppose we modify Gen to never output  $k=0^{\ell}$ , is this still perfectly secure?
- Why or why not?

### Outline

- 1 Lecture 2 Review
- 2 One-Time Pad Encryption Review
- 3 Limitations of Perfect Secrecy (Ch. 2.3)
- 4 Proof Techniques
- 5 Computationally-Secure Private-Key Encryption (Ch. 3.1, 3.2.1)

# Asymptotics in Cryptography

• A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is *polynomial* if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(n) < n^c$  for all n

- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is *polynomial* if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(n) < n^c$  for all n
  - Interpretation: f grows no faster than a polynomial in n.

- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is *polynomial* if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(n) < n^c$  for all n
  - Interpretation: f grows no faster than a polynomial in n.
  - Examples:  $f(n) = n^2$ ,  $f(n) = 100n^3 + n + 6$

- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is *polynomial* if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(n) < n^c$  for all n
  - Interpretation: f grows no faster than a polynomial in n.
  - Examples:  $f(n) = n^2$ ,  $f(n) = 100n^3 + n + 6$
- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to [0,1]$  is *negligible* if *for every* polynomial p it holds that f(n) < 1/p(n) for large enough n.

- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is *polynomial* if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(n) < n^c$  for all n
  - Interpretation: f grows no faster than a polynomial in n.
  - Examples:  $f(n) = n^2$ ,  $f(n) = 100n^3 + n + 6$
- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to [0,1]$  is *negligible* if *for every* polynomial p it holds that f(n) < 1/p(n) for large enough n.
  - Interpretation: f goes to 0 faster than any inverse polynomial in n

- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is *polynomial* if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(n) < n^c$  for all n
  - Interpretation: f grows no faster than a polynomial in n.
  - Examples:  $f(n) = n^2$ ,  $f(n) = 100n^3 + n + 6$
- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to [0,1]$  is *negligible* if *for every* polynomial p it holds that f(n) < 1/p(n) for large enough n.
  - Interpretation: f goes to 0 faster than any inverse polynomial in n
  - Examples:  $f(n) = 2^{-n}, f(n) = 2^{-\log^2 n}$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2}{2^n} = 0 \qquad 2^{\log^2 n} = n^{\log n}$$

- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  is *polynomial* if there exist  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(n) < n^c$  for all n
  - Interpretation: f grows no faster than a polynomial in n.
  - Examples:  $f(n) = n^2$ ,  $f(n) = 100n^3 + n + 6$
- A function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to [0,1]$  is *negligible* if *for every* polynomial p it holds that f(n) < 1/p(n) for large enough n.
  - Interpretation: f goes to 0 faster than any inverse polynomial in n
  - Examples:  $f(n) = 2^{-n}, f(n) = 2^{-\log^2 n}$

#### Computational Security

Consider the case of private-key encryption with  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ :

Consider the case of private-key encryption with  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ :

• Adversary Runtime:

Consider the case of private-key encryption with  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ :

Adversary Runtime:
 Suppose A can run in unbounded time.

Consider the case of private-key encryption with  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ :

• Adversary Runtime: Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  can run in unbounded time. Given a ciphertext c, try to decrypt with all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , some m must be missing.

A run in time 2

Consider the case of private-key encryption with  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ :

- Adversary Runtime: Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  can run in unbounded time. Given a ciphertext c, try to decrypt with all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , some m must be missing.
- Adversary Success Probability: Consider an A that learns pairs  $(m_1, c_1), \ldots, (m_\ell, c_\ell)$ .

Consider the case of private-key encryption with  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ :

- Adversary Runtime: Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  can run in unbounded time. Given a ciphertext c, try to decrypt with all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , some m must be missing.
- Adversary Success Probability: Consider an  $\mathcal{A}$  that learns pairs  $(m_1, c_1), \ldots, (m_\ell, c_\ell)$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  can just guess  $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$  and check where  $\mathrm{Dec}_k(c_i) = m_i$  for all i (i.e., k is the correct key).  $\mathcal{A}$  can guess correct k with probability  $1/|\mathcal{K}| > 0$ .

Consider the case of private-key encryption with  $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$ :

- Adversary Runtime: Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  can run in unbounded time. Given a ciphertext c, try to decrypt with all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , some m must be missing.
- Adversary Success Probability: Consider an  $\mathcal A$  that learns pairs  $(m_1,c_1),\ldots,(m_\ell,c_\ell)$ .  $\mathcal A$  can just guess  $k\leftarrow\mathcal K$  and check where  $\operatorname{Dec}_k(c_i)=m_i$  for all i (i.e., k is the correct key).  $\mathcal A$  can guess correct k with probability  $1/|\mathcal K|>0$ .

#### Computational Security

#### Computational Security

- Efficient = probabilistic polynomial time (PPT)
  - Standard from algorithms / complexity theory

#### Computational Security

- Efficient = probabilistic polynomial time (PPT)
  - Standard from algorithms / complexity theory
- Convenient closure properties:

#### Computational Security

- Efficient = probabilistic polynomial time (PPT)
  - Standard from algorithms / complexity theory
- Convenient closure properties:
  - poly(n) · poly(n) = poly(n)
     A PPT algorithm making calls to PPT subroutines is PPT

#### Computational Security

- Efficient = probabilistic polynomial time (PPT)
  - Standard from algorithms / complexity theory
- Convenient closure properties:
  - poly(n) · poly(n) = poly(n)
     A PPT algorithm making calls to PPT subroutines is PPT
  - poly(n) · negl(n) = negl(n)
     Poly many calls to subroutines with negligible success probability, have negligible success probability

#### Computational Security

Private-key (symmetric-key) encryption scheme:

- Gen: Outputs randomly chosen key k
- $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m) : c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m)$
- $Dec(k, c) : m = Dec_k(c)$

#### Correctness

For all k output by Gen and all messages m,  $Dec_k(Enc_k(m)) = m$ 

Private-key (symmetric-key) encryption scheme:

- Gen, Enc, Dec run in polynomial time (in their input size)
- Gen: Outputs randomly chosen key k
- $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m) : c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m)$
- $Dec(k, c) : m = Dec_k(c)$

#### Correctness

For all k output by Gen and all messages m,  $Dec_k(Enc_k(m)) = m$ 

Private-key (symmetric-key) encryption scheme:

- Gen, Enc, Dec run in polynomial time (in their input size)
- Gen:  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n) n$  is called the security parameter
- $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m) : c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m)$
- $Dec(k, c) : m = Dec_k(c)$

#### Correctness

For all k output by Gen and all messages m,  $Dec_k(Enc_k(m)) = m$ 

Private-key (symmetric-key) encryption scheme:

- Gen, Enc, Dec run in polynomial time (in their input size)
- Gen:  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n) n$  is called the security parameter
- $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m) : c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m) \text{ for } m \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- $\operatorname{Dec}(k,c): m = \operatorname{Dec}_k(c)$

#### Correctness

For all k output by Gen and all messages m,  $\operatorname{Dec}_k(\operatorname{Enc}_k(m)) = m$ 

Private-key (symmetric-key) encryption scheme:

- Gen, Enc, Dec run in polynomial time (in their input size)
- Gen:  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n) n$  is called the security parameter
- $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m) : c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m) \text{ for } m \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- $Dec(k, c) : m = Dec_k(c)$

#### Correctness

For all k output by Gen and all messages m,  $\mathrm{Dec}_k(\mathrm{Enc}_k(m)) = m$ 

Private-key (symmetric-key) encryption scheme:

- Gen, Enc, Dec run in polynomial time (in their input size)
- Gen:  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n) n$  is called the *security parameter*
- $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m) : c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m) \text{ for } m \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- $Dec(k, c) : m = Dec_k(c)$

#### Correctness

For all n, for all k output by  $Gen(1^n)$  and all messages  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ ,  $Dec_k(Enc_k(m)) = m$ 

Let  $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  be an encryption scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary A and a challenger:

#### $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A}.\Pi}$

- ullet  ${\cal A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1 \in {\cal M}$
- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}$ ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives  $c \neq 0$ .
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that  $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{\Pi}} = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if b' = b.

Definition: An encryption scheme  $\Pi=$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) with message space  $\mathcal M$  is *perfectly indistinguishable* if for all  $\mathcal A$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{PrivK}^{eav}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}=1]=1/2$$

Let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be an encryption scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and a challenger:

# $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- ullet  ${\cal A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1 \in {\cal M}$
- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}$ ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives  $c \leftarrow A$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that  $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{\Pi}} = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if b' = b.

Definition: An encryption scheme  $\Pi=$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) with message space  $\mathcal M$  is *perfectly indistinguishable* if for all  $\mathcal A$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}=1]=1/2$$

Let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be an encryption scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  $\mathcal A$  and a challenger:

# $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1$  such that  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}$ ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives  $c \leftarrow A$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that  $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{\Pi}} = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if b' = b.

Definition: An encryption scheme  $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  with message space  $\mathcal M$  is *perfectly indistinguishable* if for all  $\mathcal A$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}=1]=1/2$$

Let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be an encryption scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and a challenger:

# $\mathsf{PrivK}^{eav}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1$  such that  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \operatorname{Gen}(1^n)$ ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- ullet We say that  $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{\Pi}}=1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if b'=b.

Definition: An encryption scheme  $\Pi=$  (Gen, Enc, Dec) with message space  $\mathcal M$  is *perfectly indistinguishable* if for all  $\mathcal A$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}=1]=1/2$$

Let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be an encryption scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and a challenger:

## $\mathsf{PrivK}^{eav}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1$  such that  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$  ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \mathsf{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal{A}$
- A outputs a guess bit b'
- ullet We say that  $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{\Pi}}=1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if b'=b.

Definition: An encryption scheme  $\Pi=(\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc},\mathsf{Dec})$  with message space  $\mathcal M$  is *perfectly indistinguishable* if for all  $\mathcal A$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}=1]=1/2$$

Let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be an encryption scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and a challenger:

### $\mathsf{PrivK}^{eav}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1$  such that  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$  ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \mathsf{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that  $\operatorname{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{eav}(n)=1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if b'=b.

Definition: An encryption scheme  $\Pi=(\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc},\mathsf{Dec})$  with message space  $\mathcal M$  is *perfectly indistinguishable* if for all  $\mathcal A$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{\Pi}}=1]=1/2$$

Let  $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$  be an encryption scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and a challenger:

# $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathit{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1$  such that  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$ ,  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal{A}$
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that  $\operatorname{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{eav}(n)=1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if b'=b.

Definition: An encryption scheme  $\Pi=(\mathsf{Gen},\mathsf{Enc},\mathsf{Dec})$  with message space  $\mathcal M$  has indistinguishable encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper if for all PPT  $\mathcal A$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\mathsf{PrivK}^{eav}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1] \le 1/2 + \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

#### How to Construct

- Recall that we encrypted by computing  $\operatorname{Enc}_k(m) = m \oplus k$
- But, if |k| < |m|, this is not secure

#### How to Construct

- Recall that we encrypted by computing  $\operatorname{Enc}_k(m) = m \oplus k$
- But, if |k| < |m|, this is not secure

#### Key Idea

What if we had a way to stretch key k into something longer that still looked random?