# Cryptography Lecture 23

Arkady Yerukhimovich

November 18, 2024

#### Outline

1 Lecture 22 Review

2 Defining Digital Signatures

3 Digital Signatures from RSA

#### Lecture 22 Review

- ElGamal encryption scheme
- RSA encryption scheme
- Hybrid encryption
- CCA security

#### Outline

Lecture 22 Review

2 Defining Digital Signatures

3 Digital Signatures from RSA

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

Digital Signature Goals:

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

Digital Signature Goals:

A signature should authenticate sender and content of message

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

Digital Signature Goals:

- A signature should authenticate sender and content of message
- Only someone knowing sk can sign

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

#### Digital Signature Goals:

- A signature should authenticate sender and content of message
- Only someone knowing sk can sign
- Anyone knowing pk can verify

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

#### Digital Signature Goals:

- A signature should authenticate sender and content of message
- Only someone knowing sk can sign
- Anyone knowing pk can verify

Advantages over a MAC:

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

#### Digital Signature Goals:

- A signature should authenticate sender and content of message
- Only someone knowing sk can sign
- Anyone knowing pk can verify

#### Advantages over a MAC:

Public verifiability

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

#### Digital Signature Goals:

- A signature should authenticate sender and content of message
- Only someone knowing sk can sign
- Anyone knowing pk can verify

#### Advantages over a MAC:

- Public verifiability
- Transferability Can show  $(m, \sigma)$  to a third party

#### Integrity in the Public-Key Setting

Goal of digital signatures is to provide authenticity of messages and sender.

Public-key variant of a MAC

#### Digital Signature Goals:

- A signature should authenticate sender and content of message
- Only someone knowing sk can sign
- Anyone knowing pk can verify

#### Advantages over a MAC:

- Public verifiability
- ullet Transferability Can show  $(m,\sigma)$  to a third party
- Non-repudiation After a party S signs a message, he cannot deny having sent it

Software updates

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - ullet Include pk in original software

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity
  - Miners verify signature before adding transaction to block

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity
  - Miners verify signature before adding transaction to block
- Ocertificate Authorities (CAs)

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity
  - Miners verify signature before adding transaction to block
- Certificate Authorities (CAs)
  - Need to have a way to verifiably distribute pk's (e.g., for Google)

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity
  - Miners verify signature before adding transaction to block
- Certificate Authorities (CAs)
  - Need to have a way to verifiably distribute pk's (e.g., for Google)
  - CAs pks are known to all (included in Browsers)

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity
  - Miners verify signature before adding transaction to block
- Certificate Authorities (CAs)
  - Need to have a way to verifiably distribute pk's (e.g., for Google)
  - CAs pks are known to all (included in Browsers)
  - To distribute new pk for a company, company registers with CA

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity
  - Miners verify signature before adding transaction to block
- Ocertificate Authorities (CAs)
  - Need to have a way to verifiably distribute pk's (e.g., for Google)
  - CAs pks are known to all (included in Browsers)
  - To distribute new pk for a company, company registers with CA

Cryptography

• CA signs company's pk using his key – this is a certificate

- Software updates
  - Companies want to authenticate software updates
  - Include pk in original software
  - All valid updates signed using sk, installer verifies before installing
- Blockchain transactions
  - When issuing transactions, users need to prove identity and guarantee that transactions are not modified
  - User identity is their pk
  - User signs transaction with identity
  - Miners verify signature before adding transaction to block
- Certificate Authorities (CAs)
  - Need to have a way to verifiably distribute pk's (e.g., for Google)
  - CAs pks are known to all (included in Browsers)
  - To distribute new pk for a company, company registers with CA
  - CA signs company's pk using his key this is a certificate
  - All can verify that the certificate is from trusted authority and valid

#### Digital Signature

A digital signature scheme  $\Pi = (\mathsf{Gen}, \mathsf{Sign}, \mathsf{Verify})$ 

- Gen $(1^n)$ : Outputs (pk, sk)
- $\operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$ : Output a signature  $\sigma \leftarrow \operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$
- Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma)$ : Output a bit  $b = \text{Verify}_{pk}(m, \sigma)$

#### Digital Signature

A digital signature scheme  $\Pi = (Gen, Sign, Verify)$ 

- $Gen(1^n)$ : Outputs (pk, sk)
- $\operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$ : Output a signature  $\sigma \leftarrow \operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$
- Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma)$ : Output a bit  $b = \text{Verify}_{pk}(m, \sigma)$

Correctness: With all but negligible probability over the choice of  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$ 

$$\mathsf{Verify}_{pk}(m,\mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(m)) = 1$$

#### Digital Signature

A digital signature scheme  $\Pi = (Gen, Sign, Verify)$ 

- $Gen(1^n)$ : Outputs (pk, sk)
- $\operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$ : Output a signature  $\sigma \leftarrow \operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$
- Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma)$ : Output a bit  $b = \text{Verify}_{pk}(m, \sigma)$

Correctness: With all but negligible probability over the choice of  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$ 

$$\mathsf{Verify}_{pk}(m,\mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(m)) = 1$$

#### Notation:

pk is often called the verification key

#### Digital Signature

A digital signature scheme  $\Pi = (Gen, Sign, Verify)$ 

- $Gen(1^n)$ : Outputs (pk, sk)
- $\operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$ : Output a signature  $\sigma \leftarrow \operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$
- Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma)$ : Output a bit  $b = \text{Verify}_{pk}(m, \sigma)$

Correctness: With all but negligible probability over the choice of  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$ 

$$Verify_{pk}(m, Sign_{sk}(m)) = 1$$

#### Notation:

- pk is often called the verification key
- sk is often called the signing key



Let  $\Pi$  be a digital signature scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  ${\cal A}$  and a challenger:

 $\mathsf{SigForge}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$ 

Let  $\Pi$  be a digital signature scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  ${\cal A}$  and a challenger:

## $\mathsf{SigForge}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

• Challenger runs  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$  and gives pk to A

Let  $\Pi$  be a digital signature scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  ${\cal A}$  and a challenger:

#### $\mathsf{SigForge}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- Challenger runs  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$  and gives pk to A
- $\mathcal{A}$  gets pk and oracle access to  $\operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(\cdot)$  and outputs  $(m, \sigma)$ 
  - Let Q denote the set of  $\mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(\cdot)$  queries made by  $\mathcal A$

Let  $\Pi$  be a digital signature scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  ${\cal A}$  and a challenger:

## $\mathsf{SigForge}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- Challenger runs  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$  and gives pk to  $\mathcal{A}$
- ullet  ${\cal A}$  gets pk and oracle access to  ${\sf Sign}_{sk}(\cdot)$  and outputs  $(m,\sigma)$ 
  - Let Q denote the set of  $\mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(\cdot)$  queries made by  $\mathcal A$
- We say that SigForge<sub> $A,\Pi$ </sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma) = 1$  and  $m \notin Q$ .

## Defining Digital Signature Security

Let  $\Pi$  be a digital signature scheme. Consider the following game between an adversary  $\mathcal A$  and a challenger:

### $\mathsf{SigForge}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- Challenger runs  $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$  and gives pk to  $\mathcal{A}$
- ullet  ${\cal A}$  gets pk and oracle access to  ${\sf Sign}_{sk}(\cdot)$  and outputs  $(m,\sigma)$ 
  - Let Q denote the set of  $\mathsf{Sign}_{sk}(\cdot)$  queries made by  $\mathcal A$
- We say that SigForge<sub> $A,\Pi$ </sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma) = 1$  and  $m \notin Q$ .

Definition: A digital signature  $\Pi = (Gen, Sign, Verify)$  is existentially unforgeable under an adaptive chosen-message attack if for all PPT A,

$$\Pr[\mathsf{SigForge}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

### Outline

Lecture 22 Review

2 Defining Digital Signatures

3 Digital Signatures from RSA



#### Plain RSA

• Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \mathsf{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = N, e, sk = d

#### Plain RSA

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = N, e, sk = d
- $\operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ :  $\sigma = [m^d \mod N]$

#### Plain RSA

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = N, e, sk = d
- $\operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ :  $\sigma = [m^d \mod N]$
- Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*, \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ : Output 1 if and only if  $m = [\sigma^e \mod N]$

#### Plain RSA

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = N, e, sk = d
- Sign<sub>sk</sub> $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ :  $\sigma = [m^d \mod N]$
- ullet Verify $_{pk}(m\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*,\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ : Output 1 if and only if  $m=[\sigma^e mod N]$

Correctness:  $\sigma^e = (m^d)^e = m^{[ed \mod \phi(N)]} = m \mod N$ 

#### Plain RSA

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = N, e, sk = d
- Sign<sub>sk</sub> $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ :  $\sigma = [m^d \mod N]$
- ullet Verify $_{pk}(m\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*,\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ : Output 1 if and only if  $m=[\sigma^e mod N]$

Correctness:  $\sigma^e = (m^d)^e = m^{[ed \mod \phi(N)]} = m \mod N$ 

Question: Is this an unforgeable signature scheme?

#### Plain RSA

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \text{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = N, e, sk = d
- Sign<sub>sk</sub> $(m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ :  $\sigma = [m^d \mod N]$
- ullet Verify $_{pk}(m\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*,\sigma\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*)$ : Output 1 if and only if  $m=[\sigma^e mod N]$

Correctness:  $\sigma^e = (m^d)^e = m^{[ed \mod \phi(N)]} = m \mod N$ 

Question: Is this an unforgeable signature scheme?

Answer: No, you will work out attacks in today's quiz

RSA-FDH (Full-Domain Hash) Signature

11/11

### RSA-FDH (Full-Domain Hash) Signature

• Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \mathsf{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = (N, e), sk = d,  $H: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ 

11 / 11

### RSA-FDH (Full-Domain Hash) Signature

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \mathsf{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = (N, e), sk = d,  $H: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$
- Sign<sub>sk</sub> $(m \in \{0,1\}^*)$ :  $\sigma = [H(m)^d \mod N]$

### RSA-FDH (Full-Domain Hash) Signature

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \mathsf{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = (N, e), sk = d,  $H: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$
- $Sign_{sk}(m \in \{0,1\}^*)$ :  $\sigma = [H(m)^d \mod N]$
- Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma)$ : Output 1 if and only if  $\sigma^e = H(m) \mod N$

### RSA-FDH (Full-Domain Hash) Signature

- Gen:  $(N, e, d) \leftarrow \mathsf{GenRSA}(1^n)$ , pk = (N, e), sk = d,  $H: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$
- $Sign_{sk}(m \in \{0,1\}^*)$ :  $\sigma = [H(m)^d \mod N]$
- Verify<sub>pk</sub> $(m, \sigma)$ : Output 1 if and only if  $\sigma^e = H(m) \mod N$

Security: Secure based on RSA in ROM