# Cryptography Lecture 8

Arkady Yerukhimovich

September 23, 2024

- Lecture 7 Review
- 2 Homework 1 review
- Quiz
- 4 Constructing CPA-Secure Encryption (Chapter 3.5.2)
- 5 Security of PRF+OTP (Chapter 3.5.2)

#### Lecture 7 Review

PRFs

- Lecture 7 Review
- 2 Homework 1 review
- Quiz
- 4 Constructing CPA-Secure Encryption (Chapter 3.5.2)
- 5 Security of PRF+OTP (Chapter 3.5.2)

- Lecture 7 Review
- 2 Homework 1 review
- Quiz
- 4 Constructing CPA-Secure Encryption (Chapter 3.5.2)
- 5 Security of PRF+OTP (Chapter 3.5.2)

- 1 Lecture 7 Review
- 2 Homework 1 review
- Quiz
- 4 Constructing CPA-Secure Encryption (Chapter 3.5.2)
- 5 Security of PRF+OTP (Chapter 3.5.2)

# How to Construct CPA-Secure Encryption

- Recall that PRG+OTP encryption allowed us to encrypt long messages.
- But, it still revealed if same message was encrypted many times.

#### Key Idea

What if encryption (and decryption) could generate a different OTP for each ciphertext?

Note: We need to produce enough OTP's for as many encryptions as  $\mathcal{A}$  wants. So, can't just pre-generate them all.

# PRF+OTP Encryption

•  $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ 

#### PRF+OTP Encryption

- $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$

8 / 24

#### PRF+OTP Encryption

- $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$
- Dec(k, c): Parse c as (r, c'), compute  $m = F_k(r) \oplus c'$

Arkady Yerukhimovich

### PRF+OTP Encryption

- $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$
- Dec(k, c): Parse c as (r, c'), compute  $m = F_k(r) \oplus c'$

#### Intuition:

•  $F_k(r)$  serves as a per-ciphertext OTP

### PRF+OTP Encryption

- $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$
- Dec(k, c): Parse c as (r, c'), compute  $m = F_k(r) \oplus c'$

#### Intuition:

- $F_k(r)$  serves as a per-ciphertext OTP
- Need to include r in c to enable decryption, but this is ok since r doesn't reveal anything about m

### PRF+OTP Encryption

- $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$
- Dec(k, c): Parse c as (r, c'), compute  $m = F_k(r) \oplus c'$

#### Intuition:

- $F_k(r)$  serves as a per-ciphertext OTP
- Need to include r in c to enable decryption, but this is ok since r doesn't reveal anything about m
- Only get computational security due to the use of a PRF

#### PRF+OTP Encryption

- $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$
- Dec(k, c): Parse c as (r, c'), compute  $m = F_k(r) \oplus c'$

#### Intuition:

- $F_k(r)$  serves as a per-ciphertext OTP
- Need to include r in c to enable decryption, but this is ok since r doesn't reveal anything about m
- Only get computational security due to the use of a PRF

#### Why Is This Secure?

Consider what happens if we use a random function instead of  $F_k$ 

Arkady Yerukhimovich Cryptography September 23, 2024 8 / 24

- Lecture 7 Review
- 2 Homework 1 review
- Quiz
- 4 Constructing CPA-Secure Encryption (Chapter 3.5.2)
- 5 Security of PRF+OTP (Chapter 3.5.2)

### PRF+OTP Encryption $(\Pi)$

- $Gen(1^n)$ :  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$
- Dec(k, c): Parse c as (r, c'), compute  $m = F_k(r) \oplus c'$

#### Theorem,

If F is a secure PRF, then PRF+OTP is CPA-secure

To prove security from a PRF, we often do the following:

• Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f

- **①** Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - ullet Show by reduction to security of PRF, that  ${\cal A}$  can't tell we made this change.

- **①** Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - Show by reduction to security of PRF, that  ${\cal A}$  can't tell we made this change.
  - So, A's success probability must be (essentially) the same in this and original variant.

- **①** Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - Show by reduction to security of PRF, that  ${\cal A}$  can't tell we made this change.
  - So, A's success probability must be (essentially) the same in this and original variant.
- ② Use a probabilistic argument to prove that scheme is unconditionally secure when using a random function f.

- **①** Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - Show by reduction to security of PRF, that  ${\cal A}$  can't tell we made this change.
  - So, A's success probability must be (essentially) the same in this and original variant.
- ② Use a probabilistic argument to prove that scheme is unconditionally secure when using a random function f.
  - Random function is essentially a collection of  $2^n$  OTPs

- **①** Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - ullet Show by reduction to security of PRF, that  ${\cal A}$  can't tell we made this change.
  - So, A's success probability must be (essentially) the same in this and original variant.
- ② Use a probabilistic argument to prove that scheme is unconditionally secure when using a random function f.
  - Random function is essentially a collection of  $2^n$  OTPs
  - Proof is similar to proof of OTP, but need to account for probability of collision in r

# Security of PRF+OTP: Step 1

Define the following encryption scheme  $\tilde{\Pi}$ :

#### Π Encryption Scheme

- $\widetilde{\mathsf{Gen}}(1^n)$ :  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  (the set of functions  $\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ )
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- $\widetilde{\mathrm{Dec}}(k,c)$ : Parse c as (r,c'), compute  $m=f(r)\oplus c'$

# Security of PRF+OTP: Step 1

Define the following encryption scheme  $\tilde{\Pi}$ :

#### Π Encryption Scheme

- $\widetilde{\mathsf{Gen}}(1^n)$ :  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  (the set of functions  $\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ )
- Enc(k, m): Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- $\widetilde{\mathsf{Dec}}(k,c)$ : Parse c as (r,c'), compute  $m=f(r)\oplus c'$
- Observe that this is exactly PRF+OTP with  $F_k$  replaced by f
- This encryption is not efficient as we cannot evaluate a random function
- But, it is useful as a "thought experiment" in the proof as it gives us a target for security

# Security of PRF+OTP: Step 1

#### Π Encryption Scheme

- Gen(1<sup>n</sup>):  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  (the set of functions  $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ )
- $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m)$ : Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , output  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- $\widetilde{\mathrm{Dec}}(k,c)$ : Parse c as (r,c'), compute  $m=f(r)\oplus c'$

Lemma: For any PPT A asking at most q(n) encryption queries

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[ \textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\textit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[ \textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\textit{n}) = 1] \right| \leq \mathsf{negl}(\textit{n})$$

#### Lemma

For any PPT A asking at most q(n) encryption queries

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\mathit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\mathit{n}) = 1] \right| \leq \mathsf{negl}(\mathit{n})$$

#### Lemma

For any PPT A asking at most q(n) encryption queries

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\mathit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\mathit{n}) = 1] \right| \leq \mathsf{negl}(\mathit{n})$$

We prove this lemma by reduction:

#### Lemma

For any PPT A asking at most q(n) encryption queries

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\mathit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\mathit{n}) = 1] \right| \leq \mathsf{negl}(\mathit{n})$$

We prove this lemma by reduction:

• Assume there is a PPT  $\mathcal{A}_c$  making q(n) queries that distinguishes between  $\Pi$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 

#### Lemma

For any PPT A asking at most q(n) encryption queries

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\mathit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\mathit{n}) = 1] \right| \leq \mathsf{negl}(\mathit{n})$$

We prove this lemma by reduction:

- Assume there is a PPT  $\mathcal{A}_c$  making q(n) queries that distinguishes between  $\Pi$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 
  - $A_c$  is a CPA-security adversary
  - What we care about is the difference in probability that  $\mathcal{A}_c$  wins the CPA-security game when playing with  $\Pi$  vs.  $\tilde{\Pi}$ .

#### Lemma

For any PPT A asking at most q(n) encryption queries

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\mathit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\mathit{n}) = 1] \right| \leq \mathsf{negl}(\mathit{n})$$

We prove this lemma by reduction:

- Assume there is a PPT  $\mathcal{A}_c$  making q(n) queries that distinguishes between  $\Pi$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 
  - $A_c$  is a CPA-security adversary
  - What we care about is the difference in probability that  $\mathcal{A}_c$  wins the CPA-security game when playing with  $\Pi$  vs.  $\tilde{\Pi}$ .
- ullet Use this to construct  $\mathcal{A}_r$  that breaks PRF security of  $F_k$

- 4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 恵 ト 4 恵 ト - 恵 - からで

#### $PRF_{\mathcal{D},F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ . If b=0, he chooses  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O}=f$ . if b=1, he chooses  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O}=F_k$ .
- $\bullet$  With access to oracle  $\mathcal O,$  the distinguisher  $\mathcal D$  outputs a bit b'
- $PRF_{D,F}(n) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}$  wins) if b' = b

#### $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- The challenger chooses k ← Gen(1<sup>n</sup>)
- A<sup>Enc<sub>k</sub>(·)</sup>(1<sup>n</sup>) outputs m<sub>0</sub>, m<sub>1</sub> such that |m<sub>0</sub>| = |m<sub>1</sub>|.
- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \mathsf{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal A$
- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- ullet We say that  $\operatorname{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{\mathit{cpa}}(\mathit{n})=1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  wins) if  $\mathit{b}'=\mathit{b}$ .

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ .
- If b = 0, he chooses  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O} = f$ . if b = 1, he chooses  $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$ .
- ullet With access to oracle  $\mathcal O$ , the distinguisher  $\mathcal D$  outputs a bit b'
- $PRF_{\mathcal{D}} F(n) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}$  wins) if b' = b

#### $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- The challenger chooses k ← Gen(1<sup>n</sup>)
- A<sup>Enck(·)</sup>(1<sup>n</sup>) outputs m<sub>0</sub>, m<sub>1</sub> such that |m<sub>0</sub>| = |m<sub>1</sub>|.
- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal A$
- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that PrivK<sup>cpa</sup><sub>A II</sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

We have to consider two adversaries,  $A_r$  and  $A_c$ 

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ . If b=0, he chooses  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O}=f$ . if b=1, he chooses  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O}=F_k$ .
- $\bullet$  With access to oracle  $\mathcal{O},$  the distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  outputs a bit b'
- $PRF_{D,F}(n) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}$  wins) if b' = b

#### $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
- A<sup>Enck(·)</sup>(1<sup>n</sup>) outputs m<sub>0</sub>, m<sub>1</sub> such that |m<sub>0</sub>| = |m<sub>1</sub>|.
- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal A$
- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that PrivK<sup>cpa</sup><sub>A II</sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

We have to consider two adversaries,  $A_r$  and  $A_c$ 

• The PRF adversary  $A_r$ :

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses b ← {0,1}.
   If b = 0, he chooses f ← F<sub>n</sub> and gives D an oracle O = f.
   if b = 1, he chooses k ← {0,1}<sup>n</sup>, and gives D an oracle O = F<sub>ν</sub>.
- With access to oracle  $\mathcal{O}$ , the distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  outputs a bit b'
- $PRF_{D,F}(n) = 1$  (i.e., D wins) if b' = b

#### $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
- A<sup>Enc<sub>k</sub>(·)</sup>(1<sup>n</sup>) outputs m<sub>0</sub>, m<sub>1</sub> such that |m<sub>0</sub>| = |m<sub>1</sub>|.
  - The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to  $\mathcal A$
  - $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that PrivK<sup>cpa</sup><sub>A II</sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

We have to consider two adversaries,  $A_r$  and  $A_c$ 

- The PRF adversary  $A_r$ :
  - $A_r$  is playing the PRF security game

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses b ← {0,1}.
   If b = 0, he chooses f ← F<sub>n</sub> and gives D an oracle O = f.
   if b = 1, he chooses k ← {0,1}<sup>n</sup>, and gives D an oracle O = F<sub>k</sub>.
- $\bullet$  With access to oracle  $\mathcal{O},$  the distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  outputs a bit b'
- $PRF_{\mathcal{D},F}(n) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}$  wins) if b' = b

#### $\operatorname{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{cpa}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}(1^n)$  outputs  $m_0, m_1$  such that  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
- The challenger chooses b ← {0,1}, computes c ← Enc<sub>k</sub>(m<sub>b</sub>) and gives c to A
- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that  $PrivK_{A,\Pi}^{cpa}(n) = 1$  (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

#### We have to consider two adversaries, $A_r$ and $A_c$

- The PRF adversary  $A_r$ :
  - $A_r$  is playing the PRF security game
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  is given oracle  $\mathcal{O}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  where either  $\mathcal{O} = F_k(\cdot)$  (a PRF), or  $\mathcal{O} = f(\cdot)$  (a random function)

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses b ← {0,1}. If b = 0, he chooses  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O} = f$ . if b = 1, he chooses  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O} = F_{\nu}$ .
- ullet With access to oracle  $\mathcal{O}$ , the distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  outputs a bit b'•  $PRF_{\mathcal{D},F}(n) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}$  wins) if b' = b

- The challenger chooses k ← Gen(1<sup>n</sup>)
- A<sup>Enck(·)</sup>(1<sup>n</sup>) outputs m<sub>0</sub>, m<sub>1</sub> such that |m<sub>0</sub>| = |m<sub>1</sub>|.
- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to A
- A<sup>Enc<sub>k</sub>(·)</sup> outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that PrivK<sup>cpa</sup><sub>A,D</sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

### We have to consider two adversaries, $A_r$ and $A_c$

- The PRF adversary  $A_r$ :
  - $A_r$  is playing the PRF security game
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  is given oracle  $\mathcal{O}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  where either  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{F}_k(\cdot)$  (a PRF), or  $\mathcal{O} = f(\cdot)$  (a random function)
- The CPA-security adversary  $A_c$ :

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses b ← {0,1}. If b = 0, he chooses  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O} = f$ . if b = 1, he chooses  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , and gives D an oracle  $O = F_k$ .
- ullet With access to oracle  $\mathcal{O}$ , the distinguisher  $\mathcal{D}$  outputs a bit b'
- $PRF_{\mathcal{D},F}(n) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}$  wins) if b' = b

- The challenger chooses k ← Gen(1<sup>n</sup>)
- A<sup>Enck(·)</sup>(1<sup>n</sup>) outputs m<sub>0</sub>, m<sub>1</sub> such that |m<sub>0</sub>| = |m<sub>1</sub>|.
- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ , computes  $c \leftarrow \operatorname{Enc}_k(m_b)$  and gives c to A
- A<sup>Enc<sub>k</sub>(·)</sup> outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that PrivK<sup>cpa</sup><sub>A,D</sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

### We have to consider two adversaries, $A_r$ and $A_c$

- The PRF adversary  $A_r$ :
  - $A_r$  is playing the PRF security game
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  is given oracle  $\mathcal{O}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  where either  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{F}_k(\cdot)$  (a PRF), or  $\mathcal{O} = f(\cdot)$  (a random function)
- The CPA-security adversary  $A_c$ :
  - $A_c$  plays the CPA-security game against either  $\Pi$  or  $\tilde{\Pi}$

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ . If b=0, he chooses  $f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n$  and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O}=f$ . if b=1, he chooses  $k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , and gives  $\mathcal{D}$  an oracle  $\mathcal{O}=F_k$ .
- ullet With access to oracle  ${\mathcal O}$ , the distinguisher  ${\mathcal D}$  outputs a bit b'
- $PRF_{D,F}(n) = 1$  (i.e., D wins) if b' = b

#### $PrivK_{A,\Pi}^{cpa}(n)$

- The challenger chooses k ← Gen(1<sup>n</sup>)
- A<sup>Enck(·)</sup>(1<sup>n</sup>) outputs m<sub>0</sub>, m<sub>1</sub> such that |m<sub>0</sub>| = |m<sub>1</sub>|.
- The challenger chooses b ← {0,1}, computes c ← Enc<sub>k</sub>(m<sub>b</sub>) and gives c to A
- ullet  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that PrivK<sup>cpa</sup><sub>A,Π</sub>(n) = 1 (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

### We have to consider two adversaries, $A_r$ and $A_c$

- The PRF adversary  $A_r$ :
  - $A_r$  is playing the PRF security game
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  is given oracle  $\mathcal{O}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  where either  $\mathcal{O} = F_k(\cdot)$  (a PRF), or  $\mathcal{O} = f(\cdot)$  (a random function)
- The CPA-security adversary  $A_c$ :
  - $\mathcal{A}_c$  plays the CPA-security game against either  $\Pi$  or  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 
    - to answer encryption queries The Enc(·) oracle given to  $\mathcal{A}_c$  in  $\Pi$  uses  $F_k$  and the oracle in  $\tilde{\Pi}$  uses f

#### $PRF_{D,F}(n)$

- $$\begin{split} \bullet & \text{ The challenger chooses } b \leftarrow \{0,1\}. \\ & \text{ If } b=0, \text{ he chooses } f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n \text{ and gives } \mathcal{D} \text{ an oracle } \mathcal{O}=f. \\ & \text{ if } b=1, \text{ he chooses } k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n, \text{ and gives } \mathcal{D} \text{ an oracle } \mathcal{O}=F_k. \end{split}$$
- With access to oracle O, the distinguisher D outputs a bit b'
  PRF<sub>D</sub> F(n) = 1 (i.e., D wins) if b' = b

#### $\mathcal{L}_{A,\Pi}(n)$

- The challenger chooses  $k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$
- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}(1^n)$  outputs  $m_0, m_1$  such that  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
- The challenger chooses b ← {0,1}, computes c ← Enc<sub>k</sub>(m<sub>b</sub>) and gives c to A
- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Enc}_k(\cdot)}$  outputs a guess bit b'
- We say that  $PrivK_{A,\Pi}^{cpa}(n) = 1$  (i.e., A wins) if b' = b.

### We have to consider two adversaries, $A_r$ and $A_c$

- The PRF adversary  $A_r$ :
  - $A_r$  is playing the PRF security game
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  is given oracle  $\mathcal{O}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  where either  $\mathcal{O} = F_k(\cdot)$  (a PRF), or  $\mathcal{O} = f(\cdot)$  (a random function)
- The CPA-security adversary  $A_c$ :
  - $\mathcal{A}_c$  plays the CPA-security game against either  $\Pi$  or  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 
    - to answer encryption queries The Enc(·) oracle given to  $\mathcal{A}_c$  in  $\Pi$  uses  $F_k$  and the oracle in  $\tilde{\Pi}$  uses f
  - ullet We care about the *difference* in  $\mathcal{A}_c$ 's WIN probability

15/24

# Constructing $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathcal{O}}$ : Intuition

ullet  $\mathcal{A}_r$  needs to use  $\mathcal{A}_c$  to win PRF game

Arkady Yerukhimovich

# Constructing $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathcal{O}}$ : Intuition

- $A_r$  needs to use  $A_c$  to win PRF game
- ullet  $\mathcal{A}_r$  acts as the challenger for  $\mathcal{A}_c$  in CPA-security game

## Constructing $\overline{\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathcal{O}}}$ : Intuition

- $A_r$  needs to use  $A_c$  to win PRF game
- ullet  $\mathcal{A}_r$  acts as the challenger for  $\mathcal{A}_c$  in CPA-security game
  - $A_r$  must answer  $A_c$ 's Enc $(\cdot)$  queries (i.e., simulate the Enc oracle)
  - $A_r$  must produce the challenge ciphertext c

# Constructing $\overline{\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathcal{O}}}$ : Intuition

- $A_r$  needs to use  $A_c$  to win PRF game
- ullet  $\mathcal{A}_r$  acts as the challenger for  $\mathcal{A}_c$  in CPA-security game
  - $A_r$  must answer  $A_c$ 's Enc $(\cdot)$  queries (i.e., simulate the Enc oracle)
  - ullet  $\mathcal{A}_r$  must produce the challenge ciphertext c
- ullet If  $\mathcal{A}_c$  WINS,  $\mathcal{A}_r$  must use that to win the game against his challenger

# Constructing $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathcal{O}}$

- Run  $A_c(1^n)$  and when  $A_c$  asks  $\operatorname{Enc}(m)$  query
  - Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , query  $y = \mathcal{O}(r)$ , return  $c = (r, y \oplus m)$  to  $\mathcal{A}_c$

# Constructing $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathcal{O}}$

- Run  $A_c(1^n)$  and when  $A_c$  asks Enc(m) query
  - Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , query  $y = \mathcal{O}(r)$ , return  $c = (r, y \oplus m)$  to  $\mathcal{A}_c$
- ullet When  $\mathcal{A}_c$  outputs  $(m_0,m_1)$ 
  - Choose  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$
  - Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , query  $y = \mathcal{O}(r)$ , return  $c = (r,y \oplus m_b)$  as the challenge

# Constructing $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathcal{O}}$

- Run  $A_c(1^n)$  and when  $A_c$  asks  $\operatorname{Enc}(m)$  query
  - Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , query  $y = \mathcal{O}(r)$ , return  $c = (r, y \oplus m)$  to  $\mathcal{A}_c$
- When  $A_c$  outputs  $(m_0, m_1)$ 
  - Choose  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$
  - Choose  $r \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$ , query  $y = \mathcal{O}(r)$ , return  $c = (r,y \oplus m_b)$  as the challenge
- ullet Continue answering Enc queries until  $\mathcal{A}_c$  outputs guess b'
  - Output 1 ("PRF") if b = b', and 0 otherwise.

There are two cases to analyze:

Arkady Yerukhimovich Cryptography September 23, 2024 18 / 24

There are two cases to analyze:

• Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)

Arkady Yerukhimovich Cryptography September 23, 2024 18 / 24

There are two cases to analyze:

- Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)
  - $A_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $F_k(r) \oplus m$

18 / 24

Arkady Yerukhimovich Cryptography September 23, 2024

- Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)
  - $A_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $F_k(r) \oplus m$
  - ullet This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\Pi$

- Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)
  - $A_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $F_k(r) \oplus m$
  - ullet This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\Pi$
  - Since  $A_r$  output 1 when  $A_c$  WINS, we have

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n}[\mathcal{A}^{F_k(\cdot)}_r(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[Priv\mathcal{K}^{cpa}_{\mathcal{A}_c,\Pi}(n) = 1]$$

There are two cases to analyze:

- Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)
  - $A_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $F_k(r) \oplus m$
  - ullet This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\Pi$
  - Since  $A_r$  output 1 when  $A_c$  WINS, we have

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n}[\mathcal{A}^{F_k(\cdot)}_r(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_c,\Pi}(n) = 1]$$

• Case 2:  $\mathcal{O} = f$  (i.e., b = 0 in PRF game)

- Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)
  - $A_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $F_k(r) \oplus m$
  - ullet This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\Pi$
  - Since  $A_r$  output 1 when  $A_c$  WINS, we have

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n}[\mathcal{A}^{F_k(\cdot)}_r(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_c,\Pi}(n) = 1]$$

- Case 2:  $\mathcal{O} = f$  (i.e., b = 0 in PRF game)
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $f(r) \oplus m$

- Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)
  - $A_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $F_k(r) \oplus m$
  - ullet This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\Pi$
  - Since  $A_r$  output 1 when  $A_c$  WINS, we have

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n}[\mathcal{A}^{F_k(\cdot)}_r(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_c,\Pi}(n) = 1]$$

- Case 2:  $\mathcal{O} = f$  (i.e., b = 0 in PRF game)
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $f(r) \oplus m$
  - $\bullet$  This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\tilde{\Pi}$

- Case 1:  $\mathcal{O} = F_k$  (i.e., b = 1 in PRF game)
  - $A_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $F_k(r) \oplus m$
  - ullet This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\Pi$
  - Since  $A_r$  output 1 when  $A_c$  WINS, we have

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n}[\mathcal{A}^{F_k(\cdot)}_r(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[\textit{PrivK}^\textit{cpa}_{\mathcal{A}_c,\Pi}(n) = 1]$$

- Case 2:  $\mathcal{O} = f$  (i.e., b = 0 in PRF game)
  - $\mathcal{A}_r$  answers all Enc queries and produces c with  $f(r) \oplus m$
  - ullet This is exactly the CPA-security game vs.  $\tilde{\Pi}$
  - Since  $A_r$  output 1 when  $A_c$  WINS, we have

$$\Pr_{f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n}[\mathcal{A}_r^{f(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] = \Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A}_c,\tilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n) = 1]$$

• We assumed that  $A_c$  distinguishes between  $\Pi$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\textit{c}},\Pi}(\textit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\textit{c}},\tilde{\Pi}}(\textit{n}) = 1] \right| > 1/\mathsf{poly}(\textit{n})$$

Arkady Yerukhimovich

ullet We assumed that  $\mathcal{A}_c$  distinguishes between  $\Pi$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_{c},\Pi}(\mathit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\mathit{PrivK}^{\mathit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_{c},\tilde{\Pi}}(\mathit{n}) = 1] \right| > 1/\mathsf{poly}(\mathit{n})$$

By the last slide, this implies that

$$\left| \Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [\mathcal{A}_r^{F_k(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr_{f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n} [\mathcal{A}_r^{f(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] \right| > 1/\mathsf{poly}(n)$$

• We assumed that  $\mathcal{A}_c$  distinguishes between  $\Pi$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}$ 

$$\left| \mathsf{Pr}[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\textit{c}},\Pi}(\textit{n}) = 1] - \mathsf{Pr}[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\textit{c}},\tilde{\Pi}}(\textit{n}) = 1] \right| > 1/\mathsf{poly}(\textit{n})$$

• By the last slide, this implies that

$$\left| \Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [\mathcal{A}_r^{F_k(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] - \Pr_{f \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n} [\mathcal{A}_r^{f(\cdot)}(1^n) = 1] \right| > 1/\mathsf{poly}(n)$$

• That is,  $A_r$  is able to distinguish between  $F_k(\cdot)$  and  $f(\cdot)$ . But, we know that  $F_k$  is a PRF.

Contradiction!



## Proof Technique

To prove security from a PRF, we often do the following:

- $\checkmark$  Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - ullet Show by reduction to security of PRF, that  ${\cal A}$  can't tell we made this change.
  - So, A's success probability must be (essentially) the same in this and original variant.
- ② Use a probabilistic argument to prove that scheme is unconditionally secure when using a random function f.
  - Random function is essentially a collection of  $2^n$  OTPs
  - Proof is similar to proof of OTP, but need to account for probability of collision in r

#### Lemma

For any  $\mathcal A$  making at most q(n) queries to  $\mathsf{Enc}(\cdot)$ 

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\widetilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + rac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

21 / 24

Arkady Yerukhimovich Cryptography September 23, 2024

#### Lemma

For any  $\mathcal A$  making at most q(n) queries to  $\mathsf{Enc}(\cdot)$ 

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\widetilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + \frac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

• Recall that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  encrypts as  $c=(r,f(r)\oplus m)$ 

#### Lemma

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\widetilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + \frac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

- Recall that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  encrypts as  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- Let  $r^*$  be the randomness used to encrypt the challenge

#### Lemma

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + rac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

- Recall that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  encrypts as  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- Let  $r^*$  be the randomness used to encrypt the challenge
- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering A's Enc queries

#### Lemma

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + rac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

- Recall that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  encrypts as  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- Let  $r^*$  be the randomness used to encrypt the challenge
- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - A knows nothing about  $f(r^*)$ , so  $f(r^*)$  is random (good OTP)
  - $\Pr[\mathcal{A} \text{ outputs } b = b'] = 1/2$

#### Lemma

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + rac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

- Recall that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  encrypts as  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- Let  $r^*$  be the randomness used to encrypt the challenge
- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - A knows nothing about  $f(r^*)$ , so  $f(r^*)$  is random (good OTP)
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries

#### Lemma

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + rac{q(n)}{2^n}$$

- Recall that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  encrypts as  $c = (r, f(r) \oplus m)$
- Let  $r^*$  be the randomness used to encrypt the challenge
- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - A knows nothing about  $f(r^*)$ , so  $f(r^*)$  is random (good OTP)
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of A's Enc queries
  - $\mathcal{A}$  learns value of  $f(r^*)$  (he sees  $c=(r^*,c')$ , computes  $f(r^*)=c'\oplus m$ )
  - $\Pr[\mathcal{A} \text{ outputs } b = b'] = 1$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering A's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - $\Pr[\mathcal{A} \text{ outputs } b = b'] = 1$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering A's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of A's Enc queries
  - $\Pr[A \text{ outputs } b = b'] = 1$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1

Claim:  $Pr[Case 2] \leq negl(n)$ 

• We said that A makes at most q(n) = poly(n) Enc queries

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of A's Enc queries
  - $\Pr[A \text{ outputs } b = b'] = 1$

- We said that A makes at most q(n) = poly(n) Enc queries
- ullet On each Enc query, randomness  $r_i \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of A's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1

- We said that A makes at most q(n) = poly(n) Enc queries
- ullet On each Enc query, randomness  $r_i \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- In encrypting challenge,  $r^* \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1

- We said that  $\mathcal{A}$  makes at most q(n) = poly(n) Enc queries
- ullet On each Enc query, randomness  $r_i \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- In encrypting challenge,  $r^* \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- So,

$$\Pr[r^* \in \{r_1, \dots, r_{q(n)}\}] \le \sum_{i=1}^{q(n)} \Pr[r^* = r_i] = \frac{q(n)}{2^n} \le \operatorname{negl}(n)$$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1
  - Occurs with probability at most  $q(n)/2^n$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering A's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1
  - Occurs with probability at most  $q(n)/2^n$

$$\Pr[\textit{PrivK}^\textit{cpa}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\textit{n}) = 1] \ = \ \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \mathsf{WINS} \; \land \; \mathsf{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \mathsf{WINS} \; \land \; \mathsf{Case} \; 2]$$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering A's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1
  - Occurs with probability at most  $q(n)/2^n$

$$\begin{split} \Pr[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\textit{n}) = 1] &= \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; \wedge \; \text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; \wedge \; \text{Case} \; 2] \\ &\leq \; \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; | \; \text{Case} \; 1] \cdot \Pr[\text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\text{Case} \; 2] \end{split}$$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - $\Pr[A \text{ outputs } b = b'] = 1$
  - Occurs with probability at most  $q(n)/2^n$

$$\begin{split} \Pr[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\textit{n}) = 1] &= \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; \land \; \text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; \land \; \text{Case} \; 2] \\ &\leq \; \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; | \; \text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\text{Case} \; 2] \\ &\leq \; \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; | \; \text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\text{Case} \; 2] \end{split}$$

- Case 1:  $r^*$  is never used when answering  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - Pr[A outputs b = b'] = 1/2
- Case 2:  $r^*$  is used when answering one of  $\mathcal{A}$ 's Enc queries
  - $\Pr[A \text{ outputs } b = b'] = 1$
  - Occurs with probability at most  $q(n)/2^n$

$$\begin{split} \Pr[\textit{PrivK}^{\textit{cpa}}_{\mathcal{A},\tilde{\Pi}}(\textit{n}) = 1] &= \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; \wedge \; \text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; \wedge \; \text{Case} \; 2] \\ &\leq \; \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; | \; \text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\text{Case} \; 2] \\ &\leq \; \Pr[\mathcal{A} \; \text{WINS} \; | \; \text{Case} \; 1] + \Pr[\text{Case} \; 2] \\ &\leq \; 1/2 + \frac{q(\textit{n})}{2^\textit{n}} \end{split}$$

## Finishing Proof of CPA-security of PRF+OTP

- $\checkmark$  Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - We showed that any PPT  $\mathcal{A}$  has only a negl(n) advantage in distinguishing the two games
- $\checkmark$  Use a probabilistic argument to prove that scheme is unconditionally secure when using a random function f.
  - We showed that PPT  $\mathcal{A}$  WINS with probability  $\leq 1/2 + q(n)/2^n$

## Finishing Proof of CPA-security of PRF+OTP

- $\checkmark$  Consider the scheme where  $F_k$  is replaced by a random function f
  - We showed that any PPT  $\mathcal{A}$  has only a negl(n) advantage in distinguishing the two games
- $\checkmark$  Use a probabilistic argument to prove that scheme is unconditionally secure when using a random function f.
  - We showed that PPT  ${\cal A}$  WINS with probability  $\leq 1/2 + q(n)/2^n$

Combining these two statements, we get that for any PPT  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\Pr[PrivK_{\mathcal{A},\mathsf{PRF}+\mathsf{OTP}}^{cpa}(n)=1] \leq 1/2 + \frac{q(n)}{2^n} + \mathsf{negl}(n)$$