

PHIL 220: Introduction to Logic

Week 11 Discussion (11/07/2025)

Today: Do more exercises on translations and models of predicate logic.

Exercise 1: Translation

Domain: people

$P_ _$ is a philosopher.

$Q_ _$ is a logician.

$R_ _$: $_$ admires $_$.

$S_ _$: $_$ is greater than $_$.

a : Plato

b : Aristotle

c : Hume

1. Plato admires only philosophers.
2. No philosopher admires a logician.
3. Not every logician admires Plato.
4. Some logicians admire every philosopher.
5. No logician admires every philosopher.
6. Anyone who admires every philosopher is a logician.
7. Hume neither admires Plato nor admires anyone greater than Aristotle.
8. No philosopher greater than Hume admires Aristotle.

Exercise 2: Models

1. Consistency

(a). $Raa, (Raa \rightarrow Qa), (\neg Pa \wedge Qb), Rbc, (Rcb \rightarrow (Pc \wedge Qb)), \neg Rac$

(b). $Qa \rightarrow (Raa \rightarrow Pa), \neg Pa, \forall x Rxa$

(c). $\forall xPx \rightarrow \forall xQx, \neg \forall x(Px \rightarrow Qx)$

2. Non-equivalence

(a). $\exists x\neg Qx, \forall xQx$

(b). $(\forall xPx \vee \forall xQx), \forall x(Px \vee Qx)$

(c). $\forall x(Px \rightarrow Gx), \exists xPx \rightarrow \exists xGx$

3. Invalidity

(a). $\forall x\exists y\exists z(Pz \wedge Rxzy), Rabc \vdash (Racb \wedge \neg Pb)$

(b). $\exists x\exists yRxy, \forall x\forall y(Rxy \rightarrow Ryx), \forall x\forall y\forall z((Rxy \wedge Ryz) \rightarrow Rxz) \vdash \forall x\forall yRxy$

(c). $\neg \forall x(Px \rightarrow Qx), \forall x(Qx \rightarrow Px) \vdash \exists x(\neg Px \wedge \neg Qx)$