

PHIL 220: Introduction to Logic

Week 6 Discussion (10/03/2025)

Reminders:

Assignment 3 is due by midnight next Monday (10/06/2025).

Don't forget to post your questions on the weekly question sheet.

Goals for today:

Get more familiar with natural deduction proofs involving conjunctions and conditionals.

Truth tables vs. Natural deduction

A truth table is a *semantic* method. It checks the *meaning* of the statements by testing every single possible truth value assignment.

A proof is a *syntactic* method. It focuses on the *form* or *structure* of the argument, using rules of inference to derive a conclusion.

Two rules for conjunctions:

Conjunction Elimination ($E\wedge$) 1:

m	$p \wedge q$	
n	p	$E\wedge m$

Conjunction Elimination ($E\wedge$) 2:

m	$p \wedge q$	
n	q	$E\wedge m$

Conjunction Introduction ($I\wedge$):

l	p	
m	q	
n	$p \wedge q$	$I\wedge l, m$

Two rules for conditionals:

Conditional Elimination ($E\rightarrow$) 1:

l	$p \rightarrow q$	
m	p	
n	q	$E\rightarrow l, m$

Conjunction Introduction ($I\rightarrow$):

l	p	
m	q	
n	$p \rightarrow q$	$I\rightarrow l, m$

Identify the missing rules in the following proofs:

Exercise 2

1:	1	r	Assumption	2:	1	$p \wedge q$	Assumption
	2	$p \wedge q$	Assumption		2	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	Assumption
	3	q	? 2		3	p	? 1
	4	$q \wedge r$? 1,3		4	$q \rightarrow r$? 2,3
					5	q	? 1
					6	r	? 4,5

3:	1	$p \wedge q$	Assumption	4:	1	$p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$	Assumption
	2	$r \wedge s$	Assumption		2	p	Assumption
	3	p	? 1		3	$q \wedge r$? 1,2
	4	s	? 2		4	r	? 3
	5	$p \wedge s$? 3,4		5	q	? 3
	6	r	? 2		6	$r \wedge q$? 4,5
	7	$(p \wedge s) \wedge r$? 5,6		7	$p \rightarrow (r \wedge q)$? 2-6

Prove the following arguments:

Exercise 3

(a). $(p \wedge q) \wedge (r \wedge s) \vdash s$

(b). $(p \wedge q) \wedge (r \wedge s) \vdash q \wedge s$

(c). $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

(d). $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$