Assignment 3

COMP 353 CD – Databases

Dr. Khaled Jababo

Angel Asencios (40176253)

July 28th, 2025

```
1.a) {D,F,G,H}b) {A,C,F,G}
```

2.

The decomposition is NOT lossless. After performing a chase test and this failed because we couldn't produce a row with all unsubscripted symbols, we can conclude that when we join R1, R2, and R3 back together we will get extra tuples that weren't in the original relation R, making it impossible to reconstruct the original relation.

```
a) The only key is {E, H}
b) Minimal basis: {AB → D, D → A, E → C, C → E, F → BG, H → CF, B → A}
c) 3NF Decomposition:
○ R1(A, B, D)
○ R3(C, E)
○ R5(B, F, G)
○ R6(C, F, H)
○ R8(E, H)
```

d) We lost AB → D in the decomposition, hence the BCNF decomposition is NOT dependency preserving.

```
4.
a)

π type, gender, locName, name (

σ YEAR(adoptDate) = 2024 ∧ YEAR(dateAdmitted) = 2025 (

Animals ⋈ aID = animalID Admission ⋈ locID HSO_Location ⋈ animalID Adoption ⋈ SIN Adopter

)
)
```

```
b)
\pi aID, type, gender, chipNo (
  Animals \bowtie aID = animalID (
     \pi animalID (
        \sigma A1.animalID = A2.animalID \wedge A1.animalID = A3.animalID \wedge
         A1.dateAdmitted \neq A2.dateAdmitted \wedge
         A1.dateAdmitted \neq A3.dateAdmitted \wedge
         A2.dateAdmitted \neq A3.dateAdmitted (
          \rho A1 (Admission) \times \rho A2 (Admission) \times \rho A3 (Admission)
       )
c)
\pi name, phone (
  \sigma Ad.province \neq H.province (
     Adopter ⋈ SIN Adoption ⋈ animalID Admission ⋈ locID HSO Location
  )
) - \pi name, phone (
  \sigma Ad.province = H.province (
     ρ Ad (Adopter) ⋈ SIN Adoption ⋈ animalID Admission ⋈ locID ρ H (HSO Location)
  )
)
\pi name, phone (Adopter \bowtie SIN Adoption) -
\pi name, phone (
  \sigma gender = 'Female' (
     Adopter ⋈ SIN Adoption ⋈ animalID Animals
  )
)
e)
MontrealLocs = \pi locID (\sigma city = 'Montréal' (HSO Location))
```