

Automatic exploit generation

Maxime Bélair ¹ Manh-Dung Nguyen ² Emilien Fournier ³
Tristan Benoit ⁴ Gabriel Sauger ⁵

Subject by: Jules Villard -



¹Orange Labs / IMT atlantique - maxime.belair@imt-atlantique.fr

²CEA LIST & Université Grenoble Alpes - manh-dung.nguyen@cea.fr

³ENSTA Bretagne / Lab-STICC - emilien.fournier@ensta-bretagne.org

⁴LORIA - tristan.benoit@loria.fr

⁵LORIA - gabriel.sauger@loria.fr

Problem Overview

Context

- Bugs in devices
- Are they weaknesses ?




Formal challenge

Can we automatically turn static analysis reports into executable confirming the vulnerability of a program ?

Section example

```
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$ clang -lssl -lcrypto bof_infer.c
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$ echo "ajksdnd" > pwd.txt
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$ ./a.out pwd.txt jkdnasndsandkjasndsakj
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$ echo "Infer" > pwd.txt
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$ ./a.out pwd.txt jkdnasndsandkjasndsakj
Invalid password, you foolish!
Segmentation fault
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$
dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$ ./a.out pwd.txt `echo -e 123456789012345678901234567891234"
> \x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01\x01`
Welcome to the admin section!
```

Infer tool





- Static analysis tool from Facebook
- **Capture** phase, then **Analysis** phase

Infer tool example

```

dungnguyen@bean:~/infer/examples/bof_infer$ infer run --debug --bufferoverflow -- clang -lssl -lcrypto bof_infer
Logs in /home/dungnguyen/infer/examples/bof_infer/infer-out/logs
Capturing in make/cc mode...
Found 1 source file to analyze in /home/dungnguyen/infer/examples/bof_infer/infer-out
1/1 [#####] 100% 573ms

bof_infer.c:37: warning: Precondition Not Met
possible array out of bounds in call to `memcpy()' at line 37, column 25.
35.             if (pwd[4] == 'r') {
36.                 isValid = checkPwd((unsigned char*)pwd, strlen(pwd));
37.                 memcpy(cmd, argv[2], 45);
38.                 if (isValid == 1)
39.                     valid();

bof_infer.c:37: error: Buffer Overrun L1
Offset added: 45 Size: 32.
35.             if (pwd[4] == 'r') {
36.                 isValid = checkPwd((unsigned char*)pwd, strlen(pwd));
37.                 memcpy(cmd, argv[2], 45);
38.                 if (isValid == 1)
39.                     valid();


Found 2 issues
      Issue Type(ISSUED_TYPE_ID): #
Precondition Not Met(PRECONDITION NOT MET): 1
Buffer Overrun L1(BUFFER OVERRUN L1): 1

```


Practical approach

Program


Practical approach



Practical approach



Practical approach



Practical approach

Practical challenge

Given the Infer information about bugs of a program A, create a program B that crashes A

Table of content

1 Problem overview

- Context
- Infer tool
- Practical approach

2 Proposed approaches

- Model checking
- SMT solvers
- Fuzzing technique

3 Conclusions and perspectives

- Results comparison
- Future Work

proposed approaches

Approaches overview


Model checking

Model Checking

- Intuitive
- Automated
- Provides counter-example
- × State-space explosion

What is it ?

- Fixed-point algorithm
- Plenty of algorithmic variations



SMT solvers

Present logic solvers

SMT Solvers

Compiler / Interpreter information

SMT Solver


CFG




SMT Solver




SMT Solver




SMT Solver




SMT Solver



SMT Solver




SMT Solver



SMT results

Present the results we have and on which program. The performance review is NOT done here, but in Part 3/Result Comparison

Fuzzing technique




September 15, 2020

Microsoft announces new Project OneFuzz framework, an open source developer tool to find and fix bugs at scale




FUZZING.IO
Security Automation • Vulnerability Research

Coverage-guided Greybox fuzzing



Coverage-guided Greybox fuzzing




Motivations

Explain intuition for our problem


Fuzzing technique

Program


Fuzzing technique




Fuzzing technique



Fuzzing technique



Fuzzing technique



Conclusions and perspectives

Results comparison

Show a table approaches / program comparing results (yes/no, running time, implementation complexity, computational complexity)

Future work

Put eeeeeverything we think of. Ex:

- Create a fully automatic process
- **SMT approach**: Manage fonctions calls in main.c

Future Work

Add a graph of automatic exploits using expert models

Thank you Questions ?

See the title